



Decision-making in a neural network model of the basal ganglia

Charlotte Héricé, Radwa Khalil, Maria Moftah, Thomas Boraud, Martin Guthrie, André Garenne

► To cite this version:

Charlotte Héricé, Radwa Khalil, Maria Moftah, Thomas Boraud, Martin Guthrie, et al.. Decision-making in a neural network model of the basal ganglia. Sixth International Symposium on Biology of Decision Making (SBDM 2016), May 2016, Paris France. . hal-01368504

HAL Id: hal-01368504

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01368504>

Submitted on 19 Sep 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.


Decision-making in a neural network model of the basal ganglia

Charlotte HÉRICÉ^{1,2,3}, Radwa KHALIL¹, Marie MOFTAH⁴, Thomas BORAUD^{1,2}, Martin GUTHRIE^{1,2} and André GARENNE^{1,2,3}

Contact: charlotte.herice@u-bordeaux.fr
andre.garenne@u-bordeaux.fr

INTRODUCTION

Basal ganglia (BG) are known to host mechanisms of **action selection** and its adaptation to a changing environment. Their architecture consist of several parallel functional **loops** connecting back to distinct areas of cortex (motor, cognitive and limbic) and processing different modalities of **decision making**. The picture of parallel loops is complicated by partial convergence and divergence connections that implies that the various loops are interacting.



A previous BG model¹ was built of interacting bloc-diagram based on **rate-models**. It was able to learn optimized action selection during a probabilistic reward task. The aim of the present work is to refine and extend these results to a cell-synapse level through a **bottom-up approach**.


- Highlighting of the **structure-function relationship** and circuitry emerging properties.
- Investigation of **cell-scale mechanisms** impact on the whole model capacities (learning and decision-making).

BEHAVIORAL TASK


We submit the model to a protocol^{2,3} for BG involvement in decision-making with monkeys in **conditions of uncertainty**. There are **4** different **cue shapes**, each with its **own reward probability** and 4 possible positions. At each trial :

1. Random **presentation** of 2 cue shapes (at random positions)
2. **Choice** made by the monkey and the model
3. **Reward** given or not according to the reward probability of the shape

→ Probabilistic learning task
→ The monkey and the model both have to **learn** to chose the optimum cue shape (the one with the best reward probability).



NETWORK ARCHITECTURE



- **Spiking neurons**: Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) neurons and voltage jump synapses.
- **Learning**: adaptation of the cognitive corticostriatal projections strength modulated by a phasic dopamine release (\approx reward prediction error).

CONCLUSION

We have presented here, for the first time, a biophysically based, **spiking neuron model of the BG** that is able to perform 2 levels action selection. This model is closely based on the known anatomy and physiology of the basal ganglia and demonstrates a reasonable mechanism of network level **action selection**.

This cellular and synaptic level of description bridges the gap between top-down mesoscopic level of description¹ and a bottom-up approach relying on emerging properties of neuronal networks dynamics. Our model is also able to predicts some important behavioral characteristics like localized lesion consequences on **learning impairment** and intrinsic dynamics, **reversal learning** and **extinction protocol**.

RESULTS


- Exploration:

An expected emergent property of the network is a **divergence** in the cortical activations of cognitive and motor sub-populations. In the absence of learning the network is still able to make a decision. This is equivalent to **decision-making** during the exploration phase of **reinforcement learning**.

→ With the time course of the average firing rate (A), we are able to see the evolution of **motor and cognitive cortex** for example.




→ A decision is made when a difference in the activities more than 40 Hz is observed.

→ The **higher activity** represents the **choice**



- Exploitation:

A Good Choice (GC) is made when the **optimal shape** is selected and a Good Decision (GD) when the **associated direction** is selected too. Both are improved during a standard learning session (B).



During training, the model learns to create a **dynamic link** between the cognitive and motor sensory component of a cue. This can be assessed by the learning curves profile of the model (C).

→ The **average reward** and **GC** rate gradually increase along the session (C).

→ The **optimum** cue shape direction is preferentially selected (B).

→ The movement onset delay is decreased by the **learning** (D).

REFERENCES

- 1 M. Guthrie et al. Interaction between cognitive and motor cortico-basal ganglia loops during decision making: a computational study, 2013 *J. NeuroPhysiology*
- 2 B. Pasquereau et al. Shaping of Motor Responses by Incentive Values through the Basal Ganglia, 2007 *J. Neuroscience*
- 3 C. Piron et al. The GPi in goal-oriented and routine behaviors: resolving a long-standing paradox, 2015 *Movement Disorders*

INSTITUTES

- 1 Institut des Maladies Neurodégénératives, CNRS UMR 5293, Bordeaux, France
- 2 University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France
- 3 Team Mnemosyne INRIA Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, Bordeaux, France
- 4 Zoology Department, Faculty of Science of Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

