

N Dimensional Crowd Motion

Jean-Paul Zolésio, Paola Goatin

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Paul Zolésio, Paola Goatin. N
 Dimensional Crowd Motion. 25th System Modeling and Optimization (CSMO), Sep 2011, Berlin, Germany. pp.557-566, 10.1007/978-3-642-36062-6_56 . hal-01347584

HAL Id: hal-01347584 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01347584

Submitted on 21 Jul 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

N dimensional Crowd Motion

Jean-Paul Zolésio*and Paola Goatin[†]

Abstract

We propose a variational formulation of a macroscopic model for crowd motion involving a conservation law describing mass conservation coupled with an eikonal equation giving the flow direction. To get a self contain paper we recall many results concerning flow mapping and convection process associated with non smooth vector field V.

1 The crowd motion problem

We consider a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ representing a room and we denote by $\Gamma = \Gamma_w \cup \Gamma_o$ its boundary, where Γ_w represents the solid wall, and Γ_o the doors (we also assume $\Gamma_w \cap \Gamma_o = \emptyset$). The natural setting for the problem considered in this paper is N = 2, but the 3D problem arises for example for fishes in an aquarium or flock of birds in a portion of sky.

The aim of this paper is to present a variational formulation of a model describing the motion of pedestrians in a finite set Ω . The control parameter of the crowd dynamics is the *speed vector field* V (which is time dependent) and equation (2.1) below expresses the conservation of the pedestrian mass $\int_{\Omega} \rho(t, x) dx$, where $\rho = \rho(t, x)$ denotes the pedestrian density. We study the dynamic system on a time interval $I = (0, \tau)$, the final time τ being arbitrary.

2 Crowd motion

We denote by $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the *velocity*, which is the norm of *speed vector* V, i.e. v(t, x) = |V(t, x)|. The conservation of the mass, ρ being the density, is classically expressed by the following equation in conservation form

(2.1)

$$\rho_t + div(\rho V) = 0, \quad \text{in } I \times \Omega,$$

$$\rho(0) = \rho_0,$$

$$V.n = 0, \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_w,$$

$$V = v \vec{n}, \quad v \ge 0, \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_\rho.$$

^{*}CNRS and INRIA, CNRS-INLN, 1136 route des Lucioles, 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France, Jean-Paul.Zolesio@inln.cnrs.fr

 $^{^\}dagger INRIA$ Sophia Antipolis - Méditerranée, 2004, route des Luci
oles - BP 93, 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France, paola.goatin@inria.fr

N dimensional pedestrian walk

The "crowd rheology" modeling is done in two steps. First, we impose that v is a decreasing function of the density ρ , which means that the pedestrian is going faster when there are less people around him. We assume given a decreasing function f (we will consider as an example the function $f(\rho) = 1 - \rho$, so that we have

$$(2.2) v = f \circ \rho.$$

Secondly, we want to take into account the fact that pedestrians try to minimize their travel time. As a consequence, they prefer avoiding high density regions, where they would proceed at low velocity. This behavior can be recovered by means of an eikonal equation whose running cost is given by the reciprocal of the velocity, as proposed by [3]. More precisely, we impose that there exists some potential function Φ , which solves

(2.3)
$$\|\nabla \Phi\| = \frac{1}{f(\rho)}, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$\Phi = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{\sigma}$$

such that

(2.4)
$$V = f^2(\rho) \nabla \Phi.$$

Since the geometrical domain Ω is assumed to be simply connected, the existence of Φ such that (2.4) holds implies the following curl free condition (assuming that f never reaches zero):

(2.5)
$$\operatorname{curl}\left(\frac{V}{f^2(\rho)}\right) = 0$$

We observe that $\operatorname{curl}\left(\frac{V}{f^{2}(\rho)}\right) = f^{-2}\operatorname{curl}V + \nabla(f^{-2}) \times V$, then (2.5) is equivalent to

(2.6)
$$f(\rho) \operatorname{curl} V - 2f'(\rho) \nabla \rho \times V = 0$$

which, taking $f = 1 - \rho + \kappa$ (for some constant $\kappa > 0$), simplifies to the following bilinear condition:

(2.7)
$$(1-\rho) \operatorname{curl} V + 2 \nabla \rho \times V = 0$$

Concerning the boundary condition for the vector field V, we shall assume that the initial density ρ_0 is compactly supported inside the domain Ω so that during the time τ , the speed of the crowd being bounded, no pedestrian will reach the boundary so that without any loss of generality and for sake of simplicity we shall assume V.n = 0 on the wall Γ_w and $||V|| \leq v_{max}$, where $v_{max} > 0$ is the maximum speed for a pedestrian. The "strong" boundary bondition $\Phi = 0$ on Γ_0 is lost in this process but is preserved in weak form as, from $V = v\vec{n}$ on Γ_0 , we get $\Phi = c^{te}$ on Γ_0 .

3 Speed vector V

We assume Ω to be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with "smooth boundary" Γ . We consider a vector field $V \in L^1(I, L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N))$ with divergence $divV \in L^1(I, L^1(\Omega))$ and normal component V.n = 0 at the boundary (as an element of $W^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$). As a definition we set E(V) the family of such L^1 vector fields V. We denote by V(t) the partial mapping $x \to V(t)(x) = V(t, x)$.

3.1 Regularization

We assume now Ω to be star shaped, and without any loss of generality we assume that $0 \in \Omega$ and the domain to be star shaped with respect to 0. (In fact in all what follows in this section it suffices the domain to be locally star shaped.) We denote by V^e the extension of V to \mathbb{R}^N by zero outside of Ω . And we set

$$\overline{V}_n(t,x) = V^e(t, (1+1/n)x),$$

which is compactly supported in Ω . Let λ_n be a mollifier and consider

$$V_n(t) = \lambda_n \star \bar{V}_n(t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

We assume the mollifier suitably chosen, so that $V_n(t)$ is also compactly supported in Ω . We get $div\bar{V}_n(t,x) = (1+1/n) (divV)^e(t,(1+1/n)x)$

$$divV_n(t) = (1+1/n)\,\lambda_n \star div\bar{V}_n$$

So that we have the following strong convergences

$$V_n \to V \text{ in } L^1(I, L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)); \quad div V_n \to div V \text{ in } L^1(I, L^1(\Omega))$$

4 Flow mapping

Consider $V \in L^1(I, C^1(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$. We prove here, following [6], that the mapping mapping $T_t(V)$ defined over the bounded domain Ω .

4.1 Existence

Let $X \in \Omega$, we consider the sequence

(4.1)

$$x_{0}(t) = X$$

$$x_{1}(t) = X + \int_{0}^{t} V(s, x_{0}(s)) ds$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{n+1}(t) = X + \int_{0}^{t} V(s, x_{n}(s)) ds$$

As a first result we have $x_n(t) \in \overline{\Omega}$. We apply Ascoli compactness theorem to the family $x_n(.) \in C^0(I, \overline{\Omega})$. We verify the equicontinuity of this family at any $t \in I = [0, \tau]$:

$$x_n(t+\varepsilon) - x_n(t) = \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} V(s, x_n(s)) \, ds$$

N dimensional pedestrian walk

$$\forall n, \ ||x_n(t+\varepsilon) - x_n(t)|| \le \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \|V(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} \, ds$$

Then this sequence converges in $C^0(I, \overline{\Omega})$ to an element x(t), passing to the limit in (4.1) we observe that x(t) is a solution to the flow equation.

4.2 Uniqueness

Assume x^i , i = 1, 2 are two solutions. We set $y(t) = x^2(t) - x^1(t)$, we get

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \left[\int_0^1 DV(s, x^1(s) + \lambda y(s)) \, d\lambda \right] . y(s) \, ds$$

Then

$$\forall t \in I, \quad \|y(t)\| \le \int_0^t \|DV(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|y(s)\| \ ds \ \le \ \max_s \|y(s)\| \int_0^t \|DV(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \ ds$$

Choose t_V such that $k = \int_0^{t_V} \|DV(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds < 1$, then we get y = 0 on $[0, t_V]$. Then the solution is unique on this interval $[0, t_V]$. Now the interval I can be decomposed in a finite number of such intervals, then the solution is unique on I.

Let $X \in \Omega$, we set $T_t(V)(X) = x(t)$, and T(V) denotes the mapping $(t, X) \mapsto T_t(V)(X)$

Proposition 4.1. Let $V \in L^1(I, C^1(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$, the flow mapping $T_t(V)$ is defined for any $t \leq \tau$ and $T_t(V) \in C^1(\Omega)$. It is invertible and $T_t(V)^{-1} = T_t(V^t)$ where $V^t(s) = -V(t-s)$, so that $T_t(V)^{-1} \in C^1(\Omega)$.

4.3 Convection

Let $\zeta_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and set $\zeta(t) = \zeta_0 \circ T_t(V)^{-1}$. This function solves the convection problem

(4.2)
$$\zeta_t + \nabla \zeta(t) \cdot V(t) = 0, \ \zeta(0) = \zeta_0$$

Moreover, as $\zeta_t = -div(\zeta V) + \zeta divV(t) \in L^1(I, W^{-1,1}(\Omega))$, we get $\zeta \in C(I, W^{-1,1}(\Omega))$.

5 Solution to transport equation (2.1)

5.1 The homogeneous equation

Proposition 5.1. Let $V \in L^1(I, L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N))$ with $div V \in L^1(I \times \Omega)$ and V.n = 0on Γ as an element of $W^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$. Let $\rho_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a solution $\rho \in L^{\infty}(I \times \Omega)$ to the transport equation (4.2). Moreover, we have the following estimates

(5.1)
$$\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(I\times D)} \le \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

Proof. Let V_n a smooth field strongly converging to V. Let ρ_n be the solution of this equation associated to the smooth vector field V_n , that is:

(5.2)
$$\rho_n(t) = \rho_0 \circ T_t(V_n)^{-1}$$

then

$$||\rho_n||_{L^{\infty}(I \times D)} = ||\rho_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

There exists a subsequence which is σ * weakly converging to some element ρ verifying $\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(I \times D)} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ and we can pass to the limit in the weak formulation :

$$\forall \varphi \in C^{\infty}(I \times \Omega), \ \varphi(\tau) = 0, \quad \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{n}(-\varphi_{t} - div(\varphi V_{n})) \ dx \ dt + \int_{\Omega} \rho_{0}\varphi(0) \ dx = 0$$

5.2 The non-homogeneous equation

Proposition 5.2. Let $V \in L^1(I, L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N))$ with $div V \in L^1(I \times \Omega)$ and V.n = 0on Γ as an element of $W^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$. Let $\rho_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\|\rho_0\| \leq 1$. Assume that $F \in L^1(I, L^{\infty}(\Omega))$, then there exists a solution $\rho \in L^{\infty}(I \times \Omega)$ to the transport equation

(5.3)
$$\rho_t + \nabla \rho V = F, \ \rho(0) = \rho_0$$

Moreover, we have the following estimate

(5.4)
$$\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(I \times D)} \le \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \int_0^{\tau} \|F(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \, ds.$$

Proof. Let V_n be a smooth field strongly converging to V. Let ρ_n be the solution associated to the smooth vector field V_n , that is:

(5.5)
$$\rho_n(t) = [\rho_0 + \int_0^t F(s) \circ T_s(V_n) ds] \circ T_t(V_n)^{-1}$$

then

(5.6)
$$\forall t, \quad \|\rho_n(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = \|\rho_0 + \int_0^t F(s) \circ T_s(V_n) \, ds\|_{L^{\infty}\Omega)} \\ \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \|F(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \, ds.$$

Then

$$\|\rho_n(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(I \times \Omega)} \le \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \int_0^{\tau} \|F(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ds,$$

The weak formulation gives

$$\varphi(\tau) = 0, \quad \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \rho_n(-\varphi_t - div(\varphi V_n)) \, dxdt + \int_\Omega \rho_0 \varphi(0, x) \, dx = \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega F\varphi \, dxdt$$

N dimensional pedestrian walk

for all $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(I \times \Omega)$. Now

$$div(\varphi V_n) = \varphi \ div V_n + \nabla \varphi V_n \longrightarrow div(\varphi V) \quad \text{in } L^2,$$

which, together with the weak convergence of ρ_n to some ρ , enables us to pass to the limit and obtain the weak formulation of a solution ρ to equation (5.5). The bound leads to the convergence (up to a subsequence) weakly in $\sigma - *$, and the (weak) limit preserves the estimate.

6 The conservation equation

Proposition 6.1. Let $\rho_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $V \in L^1(I \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $divV \in L^1(I, L^{\infty}(\Omega))$, with V.n = 0 in $W^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$. Assuming $\|divV\|_{L^1(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} < 1$, there exists a solution $\rho \in L^{\infty}(I \times \Omega)$ to equation (2.1).

Proof. Equation (2.1) writes

$$\rho_t + \nabla \rho V = -\rho \, div V, \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0.$$

Let

$$\rho_t^{n+1} + \nabla \rho^{n+1} V = -\rho^n \, divV, \quad \rho^{n+1}(0) = \rho_0$$

and

$$\delta_{n,p} = \rho^{n+p} - \rho^n.$$

From ??, as $\delta_{n,p}(0) = 0$, we get,

$$\begin{split} \|\delta_{n+1,p}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty})} &\leq \|\delta_{n,p} \, divV\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty})} \\ &\leq \|\delta_{n,p}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty})} \, \|divV\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\delta_{n-1,p}\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty})} \, \|divV\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}^{2} \\ & \cdots \\ &\leq \|\delta_{1,p}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \, \|divV\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}^{n} \\ &= \|\rho^{p+1} - \rho^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \, \|divV\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}^{n} \end{split}$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \|\rho^{p+1}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} &\leq \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\rho^{p} \operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\rho^{p}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \|\operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \left(\|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\rho^{p-1} \operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}\right) \|\operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \left(\|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\rho^{p-1}\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \|\operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}\right) \|\operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \\ &\cdots \\ &\leq \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \Sigma_{i=0,\dots,p+1} \|\operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}^{i} \\ &\text{We get} \\ &\quad \forall p, \ \|\rho^{p}\|_{L^{\infty}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \leq \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \left(1 - \|\operatorname{div}V\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{\infty}(\Omega))}\right)^{-1}. \end{split}$$

So
$$\{\rho^n\}_n$$
 is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{\infty}(I, L^{\infty}(\Omega))$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\rho_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $V \in L^1(I \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $divV \in L^1(I, L^{\infty}(\Omega))$ with V.n = 0 in $W^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$. Then there exists a solution $\rho \in L^{\infty}(I \times \Omega)$ to equation (2.1).

Proof. We consider a finite covering of the interval $I = [0, \tau]$ by open intervals $]t_i, t_i + \tau_i[, i = 0, ..., k$, with $t_0 = 0, t_k + \tau_k = \tau$, and such that $\int_{t_i}^{t_i + \tau_i} ||V(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} dt < 1$ for all *i*. From the next proposition, there exists a solution ρ_1 on the interval $]t_0, t_0 + \tau_0[$ verifying $\rho_1(0) = \rho_0$. This solution is continuous in the following sense:

$$\rho_1 \in C([t_0, t_0 + \tau_0], W^{-1,1}(\Omega)).$$

Then for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_0]$ the element $\rho_1(t)$ is defined as an element of $W^{-1,1}(\Omega)$, but for a.e. $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_0]$ this element is in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. So we can choose such an element $\tilde{t}_1 \in]t_1, t_0 + \tau_0[$ with $\rho_1(\tilde{t}_1) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then on the interval $I_2 = (\tilde{t}_1, t_1 + \tau_1)$ by the next proposition we built a solution ρ_2 , and so on on each interval I_i . We obtain a solution on the whole interval $(0, \tau)$.

7 Crowd motion variational formulation

Let us denote by I the time interval, $I = [0, \tau[$. To any element $V \in E(\Omega) \subset L^1(I, L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N))$, we associate the set R_V of solutions to the conservation equation (2.1) and we introduce the functionals

(7.1)
$$J(V,\rho) = \|f \circ \rho - |V|\|_{L^{1}(I,L^{1}(\Omega))} + \beta \|(1-\rho)\operatorname{curl} V + 2\nabla\rho \times V\|_{L^{1}(I,W^{-1,1}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{N}))}$$

(7.2)
$$j(V) = \inf_{\rho \in R_V} J(V, \rho)$$

which can be rewritten as

(7.3)
$$j(V) = \inf_{r \in L^{\infty}(I, L^{\infty}\Omega)} \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{W}} L(V, r, \theta)$$

where

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ \theta \in L^1(I, W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,1}(I, L^1(\Omega)), : \theta(\tau) = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$L(V,r,\theta) = J(V,r) + \int_{I} \int_{\Omega} r \left(\theta_t + \nabla \theta \cdot V\right) dx dt + \int_{D} \rho_0 \theta(0) dx.$$

We have the obvious

Proposition 7.1. Let $V \in E(\Omega)$ such that j(V) = 0, then it solves the crowd problem in the sense that there exists a solution $\rho \in R_V$ such that (V, ρ) solves the crowd system (2.1), (2.3), (2.4).

If such a speed vector V exists it minimizes the positive functional j over the space $E(\Omega)$. The variational approach for the crowd problem under consideration is to replace it by the weaker one which is the minimization of the non negative functional j over $E(\Omega)$. Our approach is now to compute the gradient of the functional j to be minimized.

We remark that, if the infimum of the functional j is not zero, then, in some sense, the crowd problem formulated as (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) would have no solution. If the infimum reaches zero it would built a solution.

8 Minimization of the functional

The main objective is to calculate the gradient of j.

We denote by $j'(V;W) = \liminf_{\varepsilon>0, \varepsilon\to 0} j(V + \varepsilon W)$. If the limit exists, it is the classical Gateau semi derivative. For sake of simplicity let us first compute the gradient for a regularized functional j_{γ} expressed in the following form

(8.1)
$$j_{\gamma}(V) = j_{2}(V) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{I} \int_{D} \|V(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} dt,$$

where \mathcal{H} stands here for a Banach space of function over the domain Ω which will ensure the set R_V of solutions to the conservation equation (2.1) to be a singleton element ρ_V . This will be the case for the following choices :

 $\mathcal{H} = \{ V \in H^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \ \Delta v = 0, \ V.n = v_{max} \ on \ \Sigma \} \subset E(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ or

 $\mathcal{H} = \{ V \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ with } div V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \},\$

and where j_2 is the quadratic version of j, that is $j_2(V) = \inf_{\rho \in R_V} J_2(V, \rho)$ with

(8.2)
$$J_2(V,\rho) = \|f \circ \rho - |V|\|_{L^2(I,L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \beta \|(1-\rho)\operatorname{curl} V + 2\nabla\rho \times V\|_{L^2(I,L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N))}^2$$

We briefly recall now the calculus of the gradient of the functional expressed in Min Max.

8.1 Derivative of a function in Min Max form, from [1], [6]

Let E, F be two Banach spaces and L(s, e, f) be a function defined from $[0, 1] \times K_E \times K_F$ into \mathbb{R} , where K_E , K_F are convex sets, respectively in E and F. Assume that the *Lagrangian* functional L is convex l.s.c. with repect to e, concave u.s.c. with respect to f and continuously differentiable with respect to the parameter s. Assume moreover that there exists a non empty set S(s) of saddle points. Then it always takes the following form:

$$S(s) = A(s) \times B(s), \ A(s) \subset K_E, \ B(s) \subset K_F, \ such \ that :$$
$$\forall a(s) \in A(s), \ \forall b(s) \in B(s), \ \forall \gamma \in K_A, \ \forall \beta \in K_B,$$
$$L(s, a(s), \beta) \le L(s, a(s), b(s)) \le L(t, \gamma, b(s))$$

So that $\forall \gamma' \in K_E, \ \forall \beta' \in K_F$ we have

$$-L(0,\gamma',b(0)) \le -L(0,a(0),b(0)) \le -L(0,a(0),\beta').$$

By choosing $\gamma = a(0)$, $\beta = b(0)$, $\gamma' = a(s)$, $\beta' = b(s)$, and adding the two previous inequalities we get for any s > 0:

$$\frac{L(s, a(s), b(0)) - L(0, a(s), b(0))}{s} \le \frac{L(s, a(s), b(s)) - L(0, a(0), b(0))}{s} \le \frac{L(s, a(0), b(s)) - L(0, a(0), b(s))}{s}$$

Under reasonable smoothness assumptions on L and Kuratowski continuity of the sets A(s) and B(s) we get the semi-derivative of

$$l(s) = \min_{a \in K_E} \max_{b \in K_F} L(s, a, b)$$

i.e.

(8.3)
$$l'(0) = \min_{a \in A(0)} \max_{b \in B(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} L(0, a, b)$$

In the following section we shall make use of that semi-derivative in the specific situation in which the set S(0) is reduced to a unique pair, $A(0) = \{y\}$, $B(0) = \{p\}$, where y and p will be the "state" and "adjoint-state" solution associated with the wave equation under consideration. In this situation the function l is differentiable at s = 0 and the derivative (8.3) takes the following form:

$$l'(0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} L(0, y, p)$$

8.2 The optimal system

Just for shortness of the expressions we make $\beta = 0$ and m = 1 so that

$$J_{\gamma}'(V;W) = \int_{I} \int_{\Omega} \left(2(|V| - f \circ \rho_{V}) \frac{V}{|V|} \cdot W + \rho_{V} W \cdot \nabla P \right) dx dt + \gamma \int_{I} \int_{\Omega} D\Delta V \cdot D\Delta W \, dx dt,$$

where the adjoint state P is the solution to the following backward adjoint problem:

(8.4)
$$P_t + \nabla P V = 2(f \circ \rho_V - |V|) f' \circ \rho_V, \quad P(\tau) = 0.$$

Obviously the gradient is

$$\nabla J_{\epsilon}(V) = 2(|V| - f \circ \rho_V) \frac{V}{|V|} + \rho_V \nabla P - \gamma \Delta V.$$

Proposition 8.1. If the vector field V minimizes the functional j_{γ} , then it solves the optimality system

$$\begin{split} \rho_t + div(\rho V) &= 0, & \rho(0) = \rho_0, \\ P_t + \nabla P.V &= -2(1 - \rho + \gamma - |V|), & P(\tau) = 0, \\ (2(|V| - f \circ \rho)\frac{V}{|V|} + \rho \nabla P - \gamma \Delta V = 0. \\ V(t).n &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{\omega}, \ V(t).n = v_{max} \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \ \Delta V(t) = \Delta^2 V(t) = 0, \text{ on } \Sigma \end{split}$$

References

- M. Cuer and J.P. Zolesio Control of singular problem via differentiation of a min-max, Systems & Control Letters, 11(2), 1988, 151–158.
- [2] M.C. Delfour and J.P. Zolésio, Shapes and Geometries: Analysis, Differential Calculus and Optimization, SIAM series on Advances in Design and Control, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, USA (2001) second ed. 2011.
- [3] R.L. Hughes, A continuum theory for the flow of pedestrians, Transpn. Res., B, 36(6), 2002, 507–535.
- [4] J. Sokolowski and J.P. Zolésio, Introduction to shape optimization. Shape sensitivity analysis, Springer Ser. Comput. Math., 16. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [5] J.P. Zolésio, The material derivative (or speed) method for shape optimization, in "Optimization of distributed parameter structures", Vol. II (Iowa City, Iowa, 1980), E. J. Haug and J. Céa, eds., pp. 1089–1151, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. E: Appl. Sci., 50, Sijhofff and Nordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1981 (Nijhoff, The Hague).
- [6] ——Control of moving domains, shape stabilization and variational tube formulation, Int. series of numerical mathematics, vol 55, p. 329-382, 2007, Birkhause Verlag Basel/Switzerland