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Abstract

We introduce a new corpus for distant-microphone speech processing in domestic environments. This corpus includes reverberated, noisy speech signals spoken by native French talkers in a lounge and recorded by an 8-microphone device at various angles and distances and in various noise conditions. Room impulse responses and noise-only signals recorded in various real rooms and homes and baseline speaker localization and enhancement software are also provided. This corpus stands apart from other corpora in the field by the number of rooms and homes considered and by the fact that it is publicly available at no cost. We describe the corpus specifications and annotations and the data recorded so far. We report baseline results.

Index Terms: home, distant-microphone, reverberation, noise, robustness, localization, enhancement, ASR.

1. Introduction

Distant-microphone speaker localization, speech enhancement, and speech recognition remain challenging tasks today [1–6]. The development of robust techniques able to fight reverberation and noise requires suitable corpora for development and testing. A number of real corpora are now publicly available for environments and application scenarios such as voice command for cars [7–9] and in public spaces [10], automatic transcription of lectures [11], meetings [12–14], dialogues [15, 16] and other public gatherings [17, 18], and automatic transcription of noisy or overlapped speech in broadcast media [19, 20].

More recently, distant-microphone speech processing in domestic environments has drawn much interest. This is explained not only by the financial stakes behind voice-controlled home automation and multimedia systems, human-robot communication, and speech monitoring and surveillance systems, but also by the difficult challenges raised by these environments. For instance, the reverberation time is typically higher than in, e.g., car or office environments. Talkers are located at variable distances from the microphone, from a few centimeters up to several meters. Noise backgrounds are often highly nonstationary and complex, due to the overlap of multiple noise sources such as competing talkers, TV/radio, footsteps, doors, kitchenware, electrical appliances, noise from outside... The CHiME-1 and CHiME-2 corpora [21, 22] have contributed to popularizing research on robust speech processing in domestic environments. They feature real noise backgrounds collected in daily situations in a family home over the course of several weeks. Reverberation was generated by convolving clean speech with time-varying room impulse responses recorded in the same home using a binaural microphone setup. Reverberated speech was then scaled so as to match the intensity of normal voice at a distance of 2 m and added to randomly selected noise segments. The DIRHA Simulated corpus [23] was generated in a similar way, with more microphones across several rooms and simulated noise backgrounds obtained by summing individually recorded noises. Both corpora were released with baseline software tools [22, 24]. These corpora are realistic in several aspects and, as such, they promoted significant advances in the field. Yet, they differ from speech collected in real, ecological situations in several other aspects. For instance, in the real world, the intensity and stress level of speech depend on the amount of reverberation and noise and on the distance.

Few speech corpora have been collected in real homes so far. The DICIT corpus [25] features a constrained scenario, with talkers sitting in front of a smart TV. The DIRHA-English corpus [26] and the Sweet-Home corpus [27] relax this constraint, but are not publicly available¹. Crucially, all recordings were made in a single home. This precludes the use of machine learning techniques (e.g., based on deep neural networks) for speech enhancement and recognition [28, 29], which require data collected in distinct homes for training, validation, and testing.

Motivated by these observations, we introduce a new corpus for distant-microphone speech processing in domestic environments, which is publicly available at no cost². This corpus includes live speech from native French talkers in reverberant and noisy conditions, as well as room impulse responses and noise signals recorded in various homes with an 8-microphone device. We have already collected and annotated some data and we are currently collecting more in the scope of the voiceHome project, whose target application is the distant-microphone command of multimedia and smart home appliances via natural dialog.

In Section 2, we present the corpus specifications and annotations and the data recorded so far. In Section 3, we describe the baseline software tools and the resulting performance. We conclude in Section 4 by outlining the additional data to be recorded by the end of the voiceHome project.

¹The authors of [26] plan to distribute it via the LDC for a fee.
²http://voice-home.gforge.inria.fr/voiceHome_corpus.html
2. Specifications, recording, and annotation

2.1. Specifications

The voiceHome corpus contains audio recordings, annotations and transcriptions of speech utterances from several speakers in diverse domestic noises, and room impulse responses that were recorded in various realistic, reverberant environments (RT_{60} varies from 395 to 585 ms).

Prompts are generated from two distinct grammars, one for home automation applications and one for multimedia applications, which result from industry specifications and user study and span the basic functionalities expected in a smart home controlled in natural language. All utterances starts with a keyword, “OK Vesta”, to allow for future wake-up-word technology deployment. The keyword is followed either by:

- a question: “est-ce que la climatisation fonctionne?” (“is the air conditioning turned on?”),
- a wish: “j’aimerais que tu m’enregistres le prochain Desperate Housewives” (“I’d like you to record the next Desperate Housewives for me”),
- a command: “ouvre la porte du garage” (“open the garage door”).

With the exception of near-field speech, all audio data have been recorded as 16-bit, 16 kHz, 8-channel WAV files recorded by means of 8 MEMS microphones plugged on the faces of a 10 cm cube (see Fig. 1). A USB interface allows direct digital recording from the array to a computer.

All speech data were recorded during a unique session in two different rooms of a smart room experimental facility (home1), furnished and equipped to mimic a real home. The position of the microphones was fixed during the whole session. Each of the 3 speakers (2 males, 1 female) was assigned a list of 20 sentences and asked to read them: i) All at once in the first room (noiseless, near-field, single-channel recording with low reverberation); ii) 5 by 5, each group of 5 sentences corresponding to one noise condition (noiseless, vacuum cleaner,

2.2. Recording protocol

With the exception of near-field speech, all audio data have been recorded as 16-bit, 16 kHz, 8-channel WAV files recorded by means of 8 MEMS microphones plugged on the faces of a 10 cm cube (see Fig. 1). A USB interface allows direct digital recording from the array to a computer.

All speech data were recorded during a unique session in two different rooms of a smart room experimental facility (home1), furnished and equipped to mimic a real home. The position of the microphones was fixed during the whole session. Each of the 3 speakers (2 males, 1 female) was assigned a list of 20 sentences and asked to read them: i) All at once in the first room (noiseless, near-field, single-channel recording with low reverberation); ii) 5 by 5, each group of 5 sentences corresponding to one noise condition (noiseless, vacuum cleaner,

2.3. Annotations and transcriptions

The voiceHome corpus contains audio recordings, annotations and transcriptions of speech utterances from several speakers in diverse domestic noises, and room impulse responses that were recorded in various realistic, reverberant environments (RT_{60} varies from 395 to 585 ms).

Prompts are generated from two distinct grammars, one for home automation applications and one for multimedia applications, which result from industry specifications and user study and span the basic functionalities expected in a smart home controlled in natural language. All utterances starts with a keyword, “OK Vesta”, to allow for future wake-up-word technology deployment. The keyword is followed either by:

- a question: “est-ce que la climatisation fonctionne?” (“is the air conditioning turned on?”),
- a wish: “j’aimerais que tu m’enregistres le prochain Desperate Housewives” (“I’d like you to record the next Desperate Housewives for me”),
- a command: “ouvre la porte du garage” (“open the garage door”).

With the exception of near-field speech, all audio data have been recorded as 16-bit, 16 kHz, 8-channel WAV files recorded by means of 8 MEMS microphones plugged on the faces of a 10 cm cube (see Fig. 1). A USB interface allows direct digital recording from the array to a computer.

All speech data were recorded during a unique session in two different rooms of a smart room experimental facility (home1), furnished and equipped to mimic a real home. The position of the microphones was fixed during the whole session. Each of the 3 speakers (2 males, 1 female) was assigned a list of 20 sentences and asked to read them: i) All at once in the first room (noiseless, near-field, single-channel recording with low reverberation); ii) 5 by 5, each group of 5 sentences corresponding to one noise condition (noiseless, vacuum cleaner,

2.4. Filenaming conventions

The voiceHome corpus contains audio recordings, annotations and transcriptions of speech utterances from several speakers in diverse domestic noises, and room impulse responses that were recorded in various realistic, reverberant environments (RT_{60} varies from 395 to 585 ms).

Prompts are generated from two distinct grammars, one for home automation applications and one for multimedia applications, which result from industry specifications and user study and span the basic functionalities expected in a smart home controlled in natural language. All utterances starts with a keyword, “OK Vesta”, to allow for future wake-up-word technology deployment. The keyword is followed either by:

- a question: “est-ce que la climatisation fonctionne?” (“is the air conditioning turned on?”),
- a wish: “j’aimerais que tu m’enregistres le prochain Desperate Housewives” (“I’d like you to record the next Desperate Housewives for me”),
- a command: “ouvre la porte du garage” (“open the garage door”).

With the exception of near-field speech, all audio data have been recorded as 16-bit, 16 kHz, 8-channel WAV files recorded by means of 8 MEMS microphones plugged on the faces of a 10 cm cube (see Fig. 1). A USB interface allows direct digital recording from the array to a computer.

All speech data were recorded during a unique session in two different rooms of a smart room experimental facility (home1), furnished and equipped to mimic a real home. The position of the microphones was fixed during the whole session. Each of the 3 speakers (2 males, 1 female) was assigned a list of 20 sentences and asked to read them: i) All at once in the first room (noiseless, near-field, single-channel recording with low reverberation); ii) 5 by 5, each group of 5 sentences corresponding to one noise condition (noiseless, vacuum cleaner,
Each file of the dataset, irrespective of its nature, follows a naming convention describing its contents. It is built from the general pattern

/home<>._room<>._arrayGeo<>._arrayPos<>._speaker<><>._speakerPos<>._noiseCond<>._uttNum<>..<ext>

where
- home<> and room<> encode the home index and the room index in that home, respectively;
- arrayGeo<> and arrayPos<> identify the position of the microphones and of the array;
- speaker<<> describes the speaker identity with two keys: a letter (Female/Male/Child) and a number;
- speakerPos<> is the speaker position identifier;
- noiseCond<> encodes the noise condition;
- uttNum<> is the utterance number;

and brackets <> are filled with integer indexes, allowing us to match each audio file with the corresponding annotations and transcriptions. Depending on the contents of the file, irrelevant fields are removed from the pattern to build the full filename. For instance, the file home3_room2_arrayPos1.txt contains annotations of the array position 1 in room 2 of home 3, and the file uttNum26.txt is the 26th prompt. Filetypes depend on the contents (.wav for audio files, .txt and .pdf for annotations). Exhaustive information about each field and full name by type of file can be found in the dataset documentation.

### 2.5. Summary

At the current stage, the voiceHome corpus contains:
- 60 clean utterances, 75 reverberated utterances (including quiet background noise) and 225 noisy (reverberated) utterances from 3 different speakers, for a total duration of about 2.5 hours (including background noise before and after the actual utterance);
- 188 8-channel impulse responses from 12 different rooms of 3 real homes;
- 120 minutes of various noises in the same rooms as for the impulse responses;
- full annotations for all data.

In particular, it includes challenging situations (high reverberation, low SNR, nonstationary noises with variable position or diffuse spatial distribution, impulse responses without direct path), which make it particularly suited for the development and test of next-generation speech processing techniques. Reverberated noisy speech is mainly intended for the testing of source localization, speech enhancement and speech recognition, while clean speech, impulse responses and noise-only signals are intended for training, in particular for generating data for acoustic model adaptation.

### 3. Baseline tools and results

In order to assess the realism and the level of difficulty of the voiceHome corpus as currently defined, we provide here some baseline tools and results of source localization, speech enhancement, and speech recognition.

#### 3.1. Multichannel source localization

The location of the speaker or its Direction-of-Arrival (DOA) with respect to the microphone array is a valuable information for subsequent signal processing, in particular for speech enhancement and recognition. As a baseline, we used our own implementation of the state-of-the-art SRP-PHAT algorithm [30]. We made this implementation freely available, together with 7 other angular spectrum-based localization techniques [31], in a Matlab toolbox named Multichannel BSS Locate.

We investigated the capability of the source localization algorithm to return the correct DOA of the speaker, depending on the number of DOAs returned for each different noise condition of the home1 subset. Fig. 3 displays the obtained results, as the cumulative recall of correct speaker DOA (with a tolerance of ±15°) with respect to the number of DOAs returned. Localization is performed with all 8 microphones on the portion of signal corresponding to the wake-up word.

![Figure 3: Baseline speaker localization performance.](http://bass-db.gforge.inria.fr/bss_locate/#mbss_locate)
3.2. Enhancement by audio source separation

Source separation has proved to be a valuable enhancement strategy for subsequent speech recognition, as evaluated for instance in the CHiME challenges [21, 22]. We replicated the strategy deployed in [32] and adapted it to perform source separation on the voiceHome corpus using the FASST toolbox [33]. FASST is based on local Gaussian modeling in the time-frequency domain. The multichannel covariance of each source in each time-frequency bin is expressed as the product of a spatial term (spatial covariance matrix) and a spectral term (short-term power spectrum), which is itself factored into the product of template spectra and time activation coefficients by means of multichannel Nonnegative Matrix Factorization (NMF).

We consider that there are two sources: speech and noise. First, the single-channel near-field data (audio/clean) are used to train speaker-independent template spectra by 32-component NMF. This is done by a first call to the FASST toolbox, where the template spectra are initialized by vector quantization of the input magnitude spectrogram. Second, a spatial and spectral model of the noise is trained from the segments of noise preceding and following the utterance to be enhanced. This is achieved by a second call to FASST, where the spatial covariance matrices are initialized via a rank-1 model computed from the ground truth position of the noise source, and the template spectra are learned by 16-component NMF. Eventually, separation is performed by a last call to FASST, using the previous trained models and the ground truth speaker position to initialize its spatial covariance matrix. The template spectra are now kept fixed and only the time activation coefficients and the spatial covariance matrices are adapted to the test signal. 50 Expectation-Maximization (EM) iterations are performed within each call to FASST.

In the absence of ground truth noise and speech signals in the test subset (home1/room1/), we can only roughly evaluate the enhancement performance by computing the average SNR before and after separation. The annotation is used to compute the average noise power $P_n$ (from the segments of noise preceding and following the utterance) and the average noise+speech power $(P_n + P_s)$ (from the utterance itself), and the SNR is estimated as $P_s/P_n$. The resulting SNRs are shown in Table 1.

3.3. Speech recognition

We conducted a first speech recognition experiment to serve as a baseline for further use of the corpus. Training is performed on the ESTER corpus [34], which contains approximately 200 hours of broadcast speech, and test on the home1/room1 subset of the voiceHome corpus. Speech recognition is conducted using a DNN-HMM acoustic model implemented using the Kaldi speech recognition toolkit [35]. We used 40 logmel features with 5 left and right context frames, that is an input feature dimension of $40 \times 11 = 440$. No cepstral mean and variance normalization (CMVN) was performed. The DNN output represents the senone states. The number of senones used was 4113. All the hidden layers were pretrained using restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs). The RBMs are stacked together to form a deep belief network (DBN). The weights of the DBN are updated using the backpropagation algorithm with cross-entropy as the loss function. The two deterministic grammars (cf. Sec. 2.1) were used as language models for test. Table 2 sums up the first results obtained with this approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Home automation</th>
<th>Multimedia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WER (%)</td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>71.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Baseline speech recognition performance.

Improved speech recognition baselines involving signal enhancement, model adaptation, and multi-condition training will be made available in the near future.

4. Conclusion

The voiceHome corpus, which will be fully completed in the near future, already provides a variety of multichannel distant-microphone speech, noise and room data, in realistic domestic environments. This data can serve for the development and testing of robust speech processing technology, including source localization, speech enhancement, and speech recognition. As real speech data will always be limited in quantity, this data is fundamentally meant to serve as a test set. By contrast, the possibility to mix collected room impulse responses with clean utterances and recorded noises seems to be the key solution to obtain enough data for efficient multi-condition training. In the coming months, we plan to enrich this corpus by extending the (currently insufficient) number of speakers, as well as collecting speech uttered in natural dialog scenarios and more ecological situations, for instance through a Wizard-of-Oz scheme. New data will be included in successive releases of the voiceHome corpus and made available at the same URL.
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