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ABSTRACT
As the world's affairs get increasingly more digital, timely production and consumption of news require to efficiently and quickly exploit heterogeneous data sources. Discussions with journalists revealed that content management tools currently at their disposal fall very short of expectations. We demonstrate TATOOINE, a lightweight data integration prototype, which allows to quickly set up integration queries across (very) heterogeneous data sources, capitalizing on the many data links (joins) available in this application domain. Our demonstration is based on scenarios we study in collaboration with Le Monde, France's major newspaper.

1. MOTIVATION AND OUTLINE
Data, social networks, and the media As the world’s affairs get increasingly digital, the production and consumption of news are massively impacted. First, \textit{data that journalists may work on is more and more digitized: structured databases, such as government‐gathered data (demographics, economics, taxes, elections, etc.), legal records, stock quotes for companies; un‐structured and semi‐structured datasets, all can be exploited for news analysis. For instance, large‐scale RDF datasets, endowed with ontologies, such as DBPedia or Yago, incorporate significant amounts of manual and automatic effort that led to structuring information about a wide variety of places, people, major events, and concepts. These sources of open data can be readily queried through SPARQL endpoints, e.g., data sources in the Linked Open Data cloud (lod-cloud.net). High‐quality content may also be organized in \textit{structured text form} (HTML or XML), e.g., (interrelated) laws and regulations, public speeches etc. Second, \textit{the arena of interactions between organizations (countries, government, corporations, media) and/or individuals (from politicians to bloggers), which journalism follows and analyzes, is increasingly digital}, with the Web and social networks as communication means of choice. The latter allows journalists to examine connections between public and unknown figures in social networks and analyze online interaction traces between these actors.

The need for dynamic data journalism tools A novel generation of data journalists has emerged, well aware of the potential of computerized databases, and eager to combine in innovative ways both static and dynamic information coming from structured, semi-structured, and un-structured databases and social feeds. Nevertheless, feedback collected through discussions with the data journalism and fact-checking team Les Décodeurs (lemonde.fr/les-decodeurs) of the major French journal “Le Monde”, as well as with journalists from “The Washington Post” and “The Financial Times” reveal that current content management tools at their disposal are disparate and limited, falling very short of expectations. Furthermore, the very short news cycles for many news stories, the frequent emergence of new sources, as well as the eagerness to be the first to exploit them, do not entice journalists nor media companies to invest time, effort, and funding into devising and filling a “standard” data warehouse comprising all types of information.

Our approach: lightweight integration architecture We propose to demonstrate TATOOINE, a lightweight data integration prototype we have devised based on our discussions with Les Décodeurs. TATOOINE allows journalists to exploit heterogeneous data sources of different data models, which we view as a \textit{mixed data instance}. Within the instance, we distinguish (Figure[1] a set of (structured, un-structured, or semi-structured) data sources, of various data models, each of which resides within a system providing some query capabilities over its data. Examples in the figure are: a set of Tweets stored within Apache Solr, a full-text search platform; a set of relational curated databases, such as those provided by INSEE, the French institute of economic and social statistics, or the Ministry of Interior, which compiles detailed results of national and regional elections; and a set of RDF data sources, such as French territory description data from the National Geographic Institute (IGN), and LOD sources, in particular DBPedia, etc.

The mixed instance also comprises a \textit{custom (application-dependent) RDF data graph} depicted under the form of black round nodes connected by edges above the data sources. This graph comprises an ontology (set of classes and properties) and/or data triples specific to a certain data journalism domain. For instance, when working on an article about French politics, a journalist may load a specialized data source classifying French political parties into currents and giving the affiliation of each party in the European Parliament; as there are very few parties, such a data source is typically put together manually. Another data source may hold all the elected representatives of the people, as they can be extracted from
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\caption{Mixed instance and querying in TATOOINE.}
\end{figure}

\begin{enumerate}
\item[A] A Twitter account has been correctly identified as belonging to French politician Marine Le Pen, by analyzing its posts and its social connections: bit.ly/1Lxi27C
\end{enumerate}
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Importantly, given a set of triples, called an RDF graph (graph,
in short), knowledge triples may beget implicit triples, which do
hold in the graph though not explicitly present. For example, within
a graph comprising the above triples, Samuel paidBy LeMonde,
Samuel rdf:type Employee, LeMonde rdf:type Organization,
etc. hold. RDF entailment rules, part of the RDF standard, show
how to derive the (finite) unique set of implicit triples of a graph.

For a given RDF graph G, we denote by G^{\omega} its saturation (or se-
matics), i.e., the result of adding to G all derivable implicit triples.

A prominent language for querying RDF graphs is that of basic
graph pattern queries (BGP, in short), the SPARQL subset corre-
sponding to conjunctive queries). A BGP is of the form:

\[ q(\bar{x}) : \text{ t_1, \ldots, t_n } \]

where \( q(\bar{x}) \) is the query head, with \( q \) the query name and \( \bar{x} \) its
output variables projected from the query body \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \), which is
a conjunction of triple patterns, i.e., triples whose subject, property
and object positions can also hold variables.

The evaluation of a BGP \( q \) on a graph \( G \) is classically defined as
the set of \( \phi(\bar{x}) \) tuples obtained by all possible embeddings \( \phi \)
of \( q \) into \( G \). The answer of \( q \) over \( G \) is defined as the evaluation \( q \)
against \( G^{\omega} \), i.e., all the triples, explicit or implicit, holding in \( G \).

SPARQL queries also allow disjunction, optional pattern matching,
construction of RDF triple results, aggregation etc.

Mixed instances We assume a given set of data models, and one
or several query languages for each. For example, an RDF source
may accept SPARQL, an XML one may accept XPath or XQuery.

DEFINITION 2.1 (MIXED INSTANCE). A mixed instance \( I = (G, D) \)
is an RDF graph \( G \) together with a set of data sources \( D \).
As a running example, we consider a mixed instance $I$ as sketched in Figure 1: its RDF graph $G$ contains information on entities related to the French political landscape such as:

- POL01140 rdf:type politician
- POL01140 position headOfState
- POL01140 foaf:name "François Hollande"
- POL01140 twitterAccount "fhollande"

$G$ also contains metadata on other RDF or relational data sources from $I$, such as the INSER data source $d_{\text{INSER}}$ containing for instance an SQL table for the Production and value-added of the agriculture in 2015 ([bit.ly/1QqcIlx](bit.ly/1QqcIlx)). Finally, $I$ contains a Solr database of tweets, exemplified (in JSON) in Figure 2.

### 2.2 Mixed instance querying

To query mixed instances, we first propose Conjunctive Mixed Queries (CMQ, in short) of the form:

$$q(x):= q_1(x_1), q_2(x_2), \ldots, q_n(x_n)\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$$

with, similar to BGPs, $q(x)$ being the query head, $q$ the query name, and $x$ its output variables projected from the body. However, the body is a conjunction of a query $q_1$ over the custom application dependent RDF graph $G$ of a mixed instance $I = (G, D)$ (recall Fig. 1) and, optionally, of $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ queries over $D$ sources. Importantly, each of $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ is either a source URI or a variable (i.e., in $\bigcup_{i=0}^n x_i$). CMQs generalize BGPs against RDF graphs.

The answers to $q$ are the set of $\phi(x)$ tuples obtained through all possible embeddings $\phi$ of $q$ into $I$, i.e., for which $(i) \phi(x_1)$ is an answer to $q_1$ against $G$ and $(ii)$ for every $i$, $\phi(x_i)$ is an answer to $q_i$ against $d_i$ if $d_i$ is a source URI, or against $q(d_i)$ if $d_i$ a variable. Observe that this allows to identify at runtime, for each embedding $\phi$, a potentially different data source.

A sample CMQ looking for tweets from head of states about “SIA2016” (Salon International de L’Agriculture) is:

$$q_{\text{SIA}}(t, id):= q_{\text{SIA}}(id),\text{tweetContains}(t, id, \text{SIA2016})\{d_{\text{Solr}}\}$$

where $q_{\text{SIA}}(id): x$ position headOfState, $x$ twitterAccount id and the Solr query $\text{tweetContains}$ yields pairs $(t, id)$ such that the tweet $t$ with the hashtag “SIA2016” was posted on the account id. If $d_{\text{Solr}}$ is a URI, then $\text{tweetContains}$ is only evaluated on the source identified by this URI, otherwise it is evaluated on every data source of the mixed instance that accepts it.

### Keyword-based querying

Mixed queries are powerful and flexible, but writing them requires query language skills and knowledge of the custom RDF graph. This may be hard for journalists, thus we devise an approach to query over mixed instances through keyword queries. From each source, we build a digest, comprising: (i) its schema (if it has one; otherwise we use data-derived structural summaries, i.e., XML or JSON Dataguides, RDF summaries [2] etc.) and (ii) a representation of the set of atomic values (constant, URIs etc.) associated to each position in the schema. This could mean: the values of the attribute $a$ in a relation $R$; the values found in the user, screenname attributes of all the tweets; the values of property position etc.. If value set representations are provided by the data sources (e.g., an index on $R.a$), TATOOINE exploits them; if not, TATOOINE builds and stores them externally. The precision level of the value set representations is controlled by parameters dividing up the available space; histograms and Bloom filters are used. We view all digests as directed graphs (e.g., for a relational database, there is one node per attribute, one edge per key-foreign key constraint, etc.), and to each node we attach the representation of the set of data values corresponding to it.

Given the search keywords “head of state” and “SIA2016”, the engine looks up the keywords in (value set representations from) the digests; in our example, “head of state” appears in the custom RDF RDF part, while “SIA2016” appears as a hashtag. Following the approach of [7], the shortest join paths from “head of state” to “SIA2016” are then identified, which may be through the id of a head of state, then his Twitter id (these are found in the custom RDF), to the tweet tagged “SIA2016” as hashtag (found in Solr). Thus, the structured query $q_{\text{SIA}}$ is generated from the keywords.

### 2.3 Evaluating mixed instance queries

A mixed query is decomposed into a query over the custom RDF and one query per data source. Subqueries are then ordered for evaluation such that (i) bindings for data sources must be obtained before the source can be queried, (ii) parallelism is exploited when possible, and (iii) the most selective subqueries are executed first, in classical mediator style, and extending our experience with mixed XML-RDF querying [7]. The remaining processing (joins etc.) on subquery results takes place within our in-house iterator-based execution engine (Java, approx. 10K lines).

### 3. DEMONSTRATION SCENARIO

**Dataset** We will use a mixed instance we built over the last year as part of our collaboration with Les Décodeurs. It comprises: (i) tweets from about 4,500 French politicians and political groups, updated daily since June 2015 (1.6M tweets at the time of writing). Author, retweet number, timestamp, and stemmed text are continuously indexed by an Apache Solr engine; (ii) 10K Facebook posts collected from verified pages of the most important French politicians since June 2015. Author, creation, and collection timestamp, stemmed text, number of likes, shares, and comments associated with each post are also indexed by a Solr instance; (iii) an RDF graph containing basic (name, gender, date and place of birth, nationality, spouse, etc.) and detailed (DBPedia URI, personal website, Twitter ID, Facebook ID, current political position, party affiliations, parliament and senate group affiliations etc.) information of top French politicians, as well as political parties and currents.

**Scenarios** demonstrated through mixed queries prepared before the presentation include:

1. Identify factual sources of information that relate to the claims made by a personality on Twitter, for instance the French President.

2. Compare vocabulary used by different parties on a user-defined topic, and show the most influential tweets on this topic (illustrated by tag clouds). All terms $w$ used by each party $P$ in a set of tweets $Q$ (result of a mixed query) are ranked by their exponentiated pointwise mutual information (PMI, in short), comparing the probability of $w$ in the party to its global probability in the entire corpus. Probabilities are calculated with Maximum Likelihood Estimation [10]:

$$PMI(w, Q) = \sum_{t \in T} n_{tw} \frac{N_w}{N_{Qw}} \frac{N_{Qw}}{N_Q}$$

where $t$ is a tweet from party $P$, $n_{tw}$ is the count of word $w$ in tweet $t$, $n$, the number of words in tweet $t$, $N_{Qw}$ the total number of words in $Q$ and $n_{Qw}$ the count of word $w$ in $Q$. 

---

[1] "created_at": "Tue March 01 03:42:31 +0000 2016",
[2] "id": 483794260,
[3] "name": "François Hollande",
[4] "screen_name": "fhollande",
[5] "description": "Président de la République française",
[6] "followers_count": 1502835,
[7] "retweet_count": 469,
[8] "favorite_count": 883,
[9] "entities": {"hashtags": ["SIA2016"], "url": []}
Recent works seek to efficiently exploit heterogeneous-model data sources; under the names of polystores or hybrid stores [1, 6], assume sources are (possibly trivially mapped to) relations, while [2] supports heterogeneous sources through complex view-based rewriting. In contrast, TATOOINE natively supports a variety of heterogeneous models and tools, inspired from the data journalists’ needs, and exploits the opportunities offered by RDF for bridging across data sources. Work on TATOOINE continues within our collaborative French R&D project with Les Décodeurs (also supported by a Google Research Award on Computational Journalism).
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**Figure 3**: Tag cloud visualization of mixed query results on the tweet database.

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>décret</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assemblée</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONTIERES</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proclamation</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollande</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fermeture</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diffusion</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contrôle</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>militants</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parlementaire</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The audience will also be prompted to create new queries by customizing existing ones, and/or through keywords, and see the digests matches, before triggering the execution.

## 4. Related Work

TATOOINE enables querying a diverse set of data sources, close in spirit to global-as-view data integration [5]. A particularity of TATOOINE is the *preeminence given to the RDF model* as “glue” between all others; however, in contrast to previous integration systems exporting all data sources as semistructured graphs [4], TATOOINE is based on the juxtaposition of different-model queries integrated through joins on common variables; unlike this and other previous systems, it also allows dynamic source discovery. We are interested in RDF as it simplifies the creation of integration links between datasets, by its built-in concept of identifiers (URIs) and its linked aspect. RDF ontologies are also handy means to integrate different databases under a common conceptual view; if TATOOINE is queried through an ontology, the setting resembles *ATOOINE*, as “glue” mappings nor an integrated global schema, or to migrate data between sources [1, 8]; entity resolution is also an important area. TATOOINE does not currently use mappings nor an integrated global schema, since it appeared more urgent to provide the journalists with query tools capable of combining several sources in order to get results, and with a simpler keyword-based query interface. This fits their data usage patterns, consisting first of searching (for specific items and for data interconnection), and then (if the search is successful) of refining the respective queries and/or generating visualisations based on them.