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A B S T R A C T

The antennal lobe (AL) of the Noctuid moth Agrotis ipsilon has emerged as an excellent model for studying olfactory processing and its plasticity in the central nervous system. Odor-evoked responses of AL neurons and input-to-output transformations involved in pheromone processing are well characterized in this species. However, the intrinsic electrical properties responsible of the firing of AL neurons are poorly known. To this end, patch-clamp recordings in current- and voltage-clamp mode from neurons located in the two main clusters of cell bodies in the ALs were combined with intracellular staining on A. ipsilon males. Staining indicated that the lateral cluster (LC) is composed of 85% of local neurons (LNs) and 15% of projection neurons (PNs). The medial cluster (MC) contains only PNs. Action potentials were readily recorded from the soma in LNs and PNs located in the LC but not from PNs in the MC where recordings showed small or no action potentials. In the LC, the spontaneous activity of about 20% of the LNs presented irregular bursts while being more regular in PNs. We also identified a small population of LNs lacking voltage-gated Na⁺ currents and generating spikelets. We focused on the firing properties of LNs since in about 60% of LNs, but not in PNs, action potentials were followed by depolarizing afterpotentials (DAPs). These DAPs could generate a second action potential, so that the possibility was composed of action potential doublets. DAPs depended on voltage, Ca²⁺-channels and possibly on Ca²⁺-activated non-specific cationic channels. During steady state current injection, DAPs occurred after each action potential and did not require high-frequency firing. The amplitude of DAPs increased when the interspike interval was small, typically within bursts, likely arising from a Ca²⁺ build up. DAPs were more often found in bursting than in non-bursting LNs but do not support bursting activity. DAPs and spike doublets also occurred during odor-evoked activity suggesting that they can mediate olfactory integration in the AL.

© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The insect antennal lobe (AL) is the primary structure involved in processing olfactory information within the brain. Similar to the olfactory bulb of vertebrates, the AL is organized in glomeruli forming discrete neuropil compartments (Rospars, 1988).

Olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) expressing a given odorant receptor, and thus having the same response profile, project their axons to the same glomerulus (Vosshall et al., 2000). This glomerular organization creates parallel information-processing channels. Within glomeruli three different types of neurons establish synaptic contacts. ORNs transduce the properties of olfactory stimuli into trains of action potentials and deliver this sensory input to the AL network. Local neurons (LNs) are intrinsic to ALs and connect the different glomeruli. Projection neurons (PNs) deliver the processed olfactory information to higher brain centers. In the periphery of the AL, cell bodies of PNs and LNs form two main clusters situated laterally (LC) and medially (MC). In moths, the macroglomerular complex is a sexually dimorphic...
structure specifically devoted to the processing of sex pheromone (Hansson and Anton, 2000).

LN s are highly diverse, both in their morphology and in their physiology. Indeed, LNs show a large diversity of glomerular innervation patterns, dendritic structures, densities and distribution of presynaptic terminals (Christensen et al., 1993; Fonta et al., 1993; Chou et al., 2010; Reisenman et al., 2011). While most LNs are GABAergic and thus inhibitory (Schafer and Bicker, 1986; Seki and Kanzaki, 2008; Wilson and Laurent, 2005), some LNs release other transmitters including neuropeptides (Carlsson et al., 2010), biogenic amines (Homberg and Müller, 1999) and others are cholinergic and thus excitatory (Chou et al., 2010; Fusca et al., 2013; Olsen et al., 2007; Shang et al., 2007). LNs also vary widely in their physiological properties, including their ion channels and their ability to generate action potentials (Husch et al., 2009a; Seki et al., 2010), odor response profile, temporal dynamics and spontaneous firing rate (Chou et al., 2010). These distinctive morphological and electrophysiological properties indicate that LNs play diverse roles in olfactory processing in the AL. Most PNs have their dendrites restricted to one single glomerulus, but PNs with multiglomerular arborizations have been found in moths, flies, and bees (Hansson and Anton, 2000). PN axons project to the lateral horn and the mushroom bodies via several antennal lobe tracts (ALT). PNs are usually cholinergic (Bicker, 1999; Homberg et al., 1995) and thus excitatory but GABAergic PNs were reported from the fruitfly and the honeybee (Schafer and Bicker, 1986).

Sensory inputs from a large number of ORNs converge onto a small number of PNs and are processed by the AL network resulting in a important transformation of odor representations between ORNs and PNs. Compared to their presynaptic ORNs, PNs are generally more sensitive and show less variability in trial-to-trial responses to a given odor, they are more broadly tuned to odors and their responses are more transient (Wilson, 2013). LNs create a dense network between all glomeruli of the AL. They establish synaptic contacts with ORN axon terminals (Ignell et al., 2009; Olsen and Wilson, 2008; Root et al., 2008) and have reciprocal synaptic connections with PN dendrites and other LNs (Huang et al., 2010; Warren and Klopchenburg, 2014; Wilson and Laurent, 2005; Yaksi and Wilson, 2010) and thus contribute actively to shaping and modulating PN responses.

The properties and the plasticity of olfactory responses have been well characterized in the moth Agrotis ipsilon both at the peripheral and central level using single sensillum and intracellular recordings, respectively. These methods have been used to quantify and model (Belmarbrouk et al., 2011; Gremiaux et al., 2012; Jarrault et al., 2010; Martinez et al., 2013; Rospars et al., 2014) the ORN-to-PN transformations taking place in an identified glomerulus, the cumulus, processing the main component of the sex pheromone of this moth. However, an understanding of the intrinsic electrical properties of AL neurons is lacking in this and other moth species, and to our knowledge is only available to some extent in Manduca sexta (Klopchenburg et al., 1999; Mercer et al., 1995, 1996, 2005; Mercer and Hildebrand, 2002; Warren and Klopchenburg, 2014). Such understanding would be particularly useful since the AL of moths has emerged as an excellent model for studying olfactory processing in the central nervous system and its plasticity (Barrozo et al., 2011; Chaffiol et al., 2012; Desig et al., 2012). With the aim of better understanding the mechanisms of olfactory processing within ALs, we developed an in situ patch-clamp approach on sexually mature A. ipsilon male moths. We combined current- and voltage-clamp recordings from neurons located in the two main clusters of cell bodies in the ALs with intracellular staining. We specifically focused on the firing properties of LNs since we frequently observed that action potentials in these neurons were either followed by depolarizing afterpotentials (DAPs) or fired in doublets. We analyzed the mechanism involved in their generation and propose a putative role for DAPs and spike doublets in olfactory coding.

2. Methods

2.1. Insects

Experiments were performed with the moth A. ipsilon (Hufnagel 1766) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). The larvae were reared on an artificial diet (Poitout and Buès, 1974) and kept in individual plastic boxes at 23 ± 1 °C and 50 ± 5% relative humidity until pupation. Pupae were sexed and males and females were kept in separate rooms under a reversed 16 h:8 h light:dark photoperiod at 23 °C. Newly emerged adults were separated daily and were provided with a 20% sucrose solution ad libitum. The day of emergence was considered as day 0. Experiments were performed during the scotophase on virgin 5- day-old (sexually mature) males.

2.2. Electrophysiological recordings

Moths were anesthetized by CO2 and immobilized in a 1 ml pipette tip. The scales were removed from the head. The head capsule was opened, by cutting a window between the two compound eyes and the bases of the antennae. The brain with antennal nerves and antennae attached was removed from the head capsule and pinned in a recording chamber. To facilitate access to the recording site, the brain was enzyme treated (2 mg/ml papain and 4 mg/ml l-cysteine dissolved in extracellular saline solution) for 2–3 min at room temperature before the AL was desheathed using fine forceps.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were achieved either in the current-clamp or in the voltage-clamp mode from the cell body of AL neurons located either in the lateral or the medial cluster. Neurons were not synaptically isolated but the antennal nerve was cut to block the sensory input from ORNs when working on neurons from the LC but not from the MC since access to the MC required to isolate the AL which was only maintained by the antennal nerve. The somata of the AL neurons were visualized with a fixed-stage upright microscope (BX51WI, Olympus) using a water-immersion objective ( ×40 LUM Plan FL N). Electrodes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillary tubes (GC 150–10, Harvard Apparatus, Edenbridge, UK) and had a resistance between 6 and 8 MW when filled with the intracellular solution. For current clamp recordings, the pipettes were filled with an intracellular saline solution containing (in mM): 150 K gluconate, 10 NaCl, 1 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 10 EGTA and 10 HEPES adjusted to pH 7.2 (with KOH), resulting in an osmolality of ~340 mOsm/L. During experiments, if not stated otherwise, the AL were bathed constantly with extracellular saline solution containing (in mM): 150 NaCl, 4 KCl, 6 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 10 HEPES and 5 glucose adjusted to pH 7.2 (with NaOH) and to 360 mOsm/L (with mannitol). To record Ca2+ currents (ICa) in voltage-clamp recordings, Na+ and K+ currents were suppressed by ion substitution and pharmacological reagents. Pipettes were filled with a solution containing (in mM): Cs-aspartate 150, NaCl 10, CaCl2 1, MgCl2 2, EGTA 10, Mg-ATP 3, Hepes 10 adjusted to pH 7.2 (with CsOH) and an osmolality of ~390 mOsm/L. Neurons were superfused with saline containing 10−7 M tetrodotoxin (TTX), 5 mM 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) and 30 mM tetraethylammonium-CI (TEA-CI). The membrane potential was clamped at −80 mV. Current–voltage (I–V) relationships of the peak ICa were generated from step protocols. Chemicals used to prepare saline solutions, including TEA-CI (113042), 4-AP (A7840-3), flufenamic acid (FFA; F-9005) and CdCl2 (C-3141) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. TTX was purchased from Alomone (T-550). An Ag–AgCl reference electrode was connected to the bath
through an agar bridge filled with recording electrode solution. Recordings were made using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA, USA) controlled by pCLAMP10 (Molecular Devices). Electrophysiological data were filtered at 5 kHz using a four-pole low-pass Bessel filter and sampled at 50 kHz by a 16-bit A/D converter (Digidata 1440A, Molecular Devices). After the formation of a gigahm seal (>5 GW), series resistances were measured (typically between 15 and 20 MW and always below 30 MW). Subtraction of residual leakage currents was performed with an on-line P/6 protocol. Patch-clamp experiments were conducted at room temperature (20–24 °C).

For extracellular recordings ALs were visualized with a stereomicroscope (MZ16, Leica, Nanterre, France). Electrodes pulled from boro-silicate glass capillary tubes (GC 150-10) were cut under a microscope (Leica DMIL, ×400 total magnification) to a tip of 2 mm and filled with the extracellular saline solution used for patch-clamp recording. The same saline solution was used for perfusing the brain. Recordings were done using a CyberAmp 320 (Molecular Devices) controlled by pCLAMP10 (Molecular Devices). The biological signal was amplified (×2000), high-pass (1 Hz) and low-pass (3 kHz) filtered and sampled at 10 kHz via a Digidata 1440A acquisition board (Molecular Devices). A humidified and charcoal-filtered airflow (70 l/h) was continuously directed to the preparation through a 20 cm long glass tube (7 mm of diameter). Pheromone stimuli consisted of blowing an air puff (5 l/h) through a Pasteur pipette containing a filter paper loaded with 0.1 ng of (Z)-7-dodecenylo acetate (Z7-12:Ac; Pherobank, Wijk bij Duurstede, The Netherlands), the main pheromone component of A. ipsilon. The tip of the Pasteur pipette was introduced through a lateral hole within the tube carrying the permanent humidified air flow onto the antennae at 15 cm from its outlet.

Data are expressed as means ± sem. Differences between means were tested for statistical significance by Student’s t-test. For data analysis, Clampfit 10 (Molecular Devices), Spike2 (Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd., Cambridge, UK), Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA USA) and Prism 5 (Graphpad software, San Diego, CA, USA) software were used.

2.3. Labeling and microscopy

To label single cells after patch-clamp recordings, 1% neurobiotin (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) was added to the pipette solution. After the recordings, the brain was removed and immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C and rinsed 5 × 30 min in Millonig’s phosphate buffer (2.3% NaH2PO4·H2O, 2.5% NaOH and 2.6 g sucrose, pH 7.2). The brain was dehydrated and rehydrated in ethanol series to make membranes more permeable and then incubated in phosphate buffer containing Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated streptavidin (diluted at 1/40, Invitrogen, St. Aubin, France), 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.2% Triton X-100 overnight

---

**Fig. 1.** Distribution of local neuron (LN) and projection neuron (PN) cell bodies in the antennal lobe (AL). (A) Dextran tetramethyl-rhodamine labeled preparation showing two clusters of cell bodies in the AL, a lateral cluster (LC) and a medial cluster (MC). (B) Microruby staining from the antennal lobe reveals three tracts connecting the AL to the mushroom bodies (MB) and the lateral horn (LH), the medial antennal lobe tract (mALT), the medio-lateral ALT (mlALT) and the lateral ALT (lALT). (C) Microruby backfills from the mushroom bodies stained many neurons in the MC and only a few dispersed neurons (arrows) in the LC. (D) Representative example of the morphology of a PN from the lateral cluster (LcPN). (E) Representative example of the morphology of a LN from the lateral cluster (LcLN). (F) Representative example of the morphology of a PN from the medial cluster (McPN). (G) Percentages of LNs and PNs in the LC and MC. D, dorsal; L, lateral. Asterisks in D, E, F indicate the position of the cell body of the stained neuron which was removed with the patch electrode in D and F but is visible in E. Number and depth of confocal sections used for two-dimensional reconstructions are as follows: in D, 68 sections in 75 mm; in E, 136 sections in 270 mm; in F, 55 sections in 55 mm.
at 4 °C. The brain was rinsed in phosphate buffer (3 × 10 min at room temperature) and then mounted on glass-slides in vectashield mounting medium (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). The fluorescence images were captured with a confocal scanning microscope (DMIRE2, Leica, Heidelberg, Germany) equipped with an HC PL APO CS 10 × 0.4 DRY objective. Streptavidin Alexa 488 was detected with the argon laser (excitation wavelength 488 nm, detection range 500–650 nm). Images were recorded with Leica confocal software (version 2.61, Leica Microsystems Heidelberg GmbH, Germany) and processed with the software ImageJ (version 1.47, National Institutes of Health, MD, USA).

For mass staining from the mushroom bodies and from the AL, access to the brain was prepared as in patch-clamp experiments. The mushroom body region or the center of the AL was then punctured with a fine glass electrode. A few crystals of Microruby (tetramethyl-rhodamine dextran with biotin, 3000 MW, lysine-fixable, D-7162; Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon, USA) were gently applied to the punctured area by means of a broken micropipette. The brain was subsequently covered with a drop of extracellular saline solution and kept for 3 h in a moist dark chamber at room temperature to let the dye diffuse. Then, the brain was dissected, fixed and dehydrated as for single neuron labeling and it was cleared and mounted in methylsalicylate (M2047, Sigma–Aldrich). Brain preparations were observed with a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP2; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a diode laser. The excitation wavelength for rhodamine dextrane was 568 nm and the detection range 600–700 nm.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution of AL neuron cell bodies

Two clusters of cell bodies were observed in each AL, a large lateral cluster (LC) and a small medial cluster (MC) as shown in dextran tetramethyl-rhodamine labeled preparations (Fig. 1A). The Microruby staining from the AL shows three tracts that connect the AL to the mushroom bodies and the lateral horn; the medial antennal lobe tract (mALT) projects first to the mushroom bodies.
and then to the lateral horn, the medio-lateral ALT (mALT) projects only to the lateral horn, and the lateral ALT (lALT) projects first to the lateral horn and then to the mushroom bodies (Fig. 1B).

Microruby backfills from the mushroom bodies heavily stained the MC while staining just a few randomly distributed cell bodies in the LC. This staining pattern suggests that most PNs have their soma in the MC and only a few in the LC (Fig. 1C). This conclusion was confirmed by single neuron stains done after patch-clamp recordings. Indeed, a large majority of neurons stained in the LC (88%, N = 188) presented a typical morphology of LNs, with multiglomerular dendritic arborization and no axon (Fig. 1D). These LNs have a whole cell capacitance of 8.3 ± 0.2 pF (N = 102).

The remaining neurons stained from the LC were PNs (LCPNs), with an arborization in a single glomerulus and an axon projecting via the mALT to the MB (Fig. 1E). The capacitance of LCPNs is 14.5 ± 1.5 pF (N = 16). By contrast, all neurons labeled in the MC (N = 33) showed morphological characteristics of PNs (MCPNs), they arborize in a single glomerulus and have an axon leaving the AL (Fig. 1F). However, the protocerebral projection of their axons could not be observed because removing the rest of the brain is required to record from that side of the AL. The capacitance of MCPNs, 7.2 ± 0.4 pF (N = 33), is significantly lower (P < 0.001) than that of LCPNs. The capacitance of LNs is higher than that of MCPNs (P < 0.05) but lower than that of LCPNs (P < 0.001).

**Fig. 3.** Shape of action potentials in LNs. (A) In about 60% of LNs, but not in PNs, action potentials were followed by DAPs. (B) Firing activity of a non-bursting LN at different potentials. Depolarizations from −26 to −14 mV increased the amplitude of DAPs that followed each action potential (arrows). The more the LN was depolarized above −23 mV, the more frequent was the firing of an action potential (asterisk) instead of a DAP (arrow), resulting in action potentials fired almost exclusively in pairs at −18 mV. (C) Superposition of average shapes of action potentials recorded at different potentials (positive phase of action potentials is truncated for clarity) from the same cell as in (B). Only single action potentials and not those in pairs were selected to generate average shapes. (D) Firing activity of a bursting LN. Isolated action potentials or first action potentials of bursts showed small DAPs (see the small shoulder on the first spike at the enlarged time scale). Within bursts, the amplitude of DAPs systematically increased from the first action potential to later ones and eventually triggered the firing a second action potential. (E) Firing activity of a bursting LN. As for the cell in (D) the amplitude of DAPs increased within bursts (when ISIs were short) but DAPs did not trigger action potentials. (F) Superimposition of action potentials from the cells in (D) shows that DAPs or small action potentials follow each action potential. Note that when a second action potential is fired, its amplitude is much smaller than the amplitude of the first action potential. (G) Three parameters were used to characterize DAPs: a, the amplitude of DAPs, is the difference between the membrane potential (Vm) at the second peak (should it be a DAP or a second action potential) and the average Vm during a reference time period defined as 10–5 ms before the action potential; b is the inter-peak interval (ISI); c, the inter-peak interval, is the time between each action potential and the second peak. The timing of action potential and DAP peak was defined at the time of local minima in the second derivative of Vm. (H) Relationship between inter-peak intervals and amplitude of the second peak. Note for cell 1 (same as in D) that for inter-peak intervals larger than 3.5 ms, DAPs triggered action potentials while for cell 2 (same as in E) most DAPs lasted less than 3.5 ms and did not trigger any action potential. (I) Relationship between interspike interval and amplitude of the second peak. In (B, D and E) arrows point at DAPs and stars indicate when the DAP triggered the firing of an action potential.
3.2. Firing activity of AL neurons

Action potentials could be readily recorded in the current-clamp mode from most LN cell bodies (85%) and from MCPNs but not from MCpNs (Fig. 2A and B). The amplitude of action potentials recorded from LNs (25.8 ± 1.0 mV, N = 105) and MCPNs (28.6 ± 3.8 mV, N = 15) did not differ significantly (P = 0.37, t = 0.89, df = 117). The spontaneous firing activity of a minority of LNs (19%, 35/188) included irregular bursts of electrical activity (Fig. 2B). Here we considered bursts as the firing of at least 5 action potentials at a frequency higher than 25 Hz. Other LNs and all MCPNs (N = 15) showed more regular electrical activities and did not exhibit bursts. In contrast with MCPNs, action potentials were recorded only from 3 MCPNs out of 33 and the amplitude of action potentials was strongly reduced (3.3 ± 1.9 mV) compared to that of MCPNs in LNs (Fig. 2C). To elucidate whether lack of a firing activity from MCPN cell bodies was due to the brain dissection protocols, we managed to record the activity of three neurons from the MC without having dissected the brain. In those conditions, these three neurons did not exhibit any spiking activity from the cell body. This indicates that action potentials barely propagate up to the cell body of MCPNs while they readily propagate in MCPNs and LNs. We could, however, record voltage-gated Na⁺ currents from MCPNs (Fig. 2D), including MCpNs in which action potentials could not be recorded. In 3 LNs from which we did not record action potentials we clearly identified spikelets with an amplitude of 2.0–2.8 mV (Fig. 2E). Spikelets were not Na⁺-driven since voltage-clamp recordings from these neurons showed no transient inward Na⁺ current (Fig. 2F).

3.3. Shape of action potentials and firing pattern in LNs

We then compared the shape of action potentials from LNs and MCPNs. In 60% of the LNs (113/188) but not in MCPNs (0/15), action potentials were followed by short DAPs (Fig. 3). When considering only bursting LNs, the proportion of neurons firing action potentials followed by DAPs was more than 90% (32/35). DAPs following action potentials were observed both after a long interspike interval (ISI) and within bursts. Depending on the neurons, DAPs appeared at potentials ranging from −52 mV to −20 mV. The amplitude of DAPs increased with LN depolarization and eventually led to the firing of an additional action potential instead of a DAP resulting in doublets of action potentials (Fig. 3B and C). For clarity in the following we will refer to the two action potentials in doublets as the first and the second; second peak refers indistinctly to DAPs or second action potentials that are generated within 10 ms after action potentials. In most neurons, the second action potential of a doublet had a smaller amplitude than the first one (cell 1; Fig. 3D and F) but was clearly distinct from DAPs due to a bimodal distribution of second peak amplitude (Fig. 3H and I). Finally, in some cells, depolarization up to +20 mV DAPs did not trigger supplementary action potentials (cell 2; Fig. 3E). Plotting amplitudes of second peaks against the inter-peak interval between first action potentials and second peaks showed that the refractory period that prevents a DAP to trigger an action potential varied between 3.5 ms (Fig. 3H) to almost 6 ms (not shown). When the firing frequency was increased (and thus ISIs reduced) at the beginning of a burst or in response to a depolarizing step, the amplitude of DAPs increased (Fig. 3D and E) and eventually led to the firing of a second action potential. Plotting the amplitude of DAPs vs. ISIs shows that the shorter the ISIs the larger the DAPs (cell 2) or the more frequent a second action potential (cell 1; Fig. 3I).

During strong bursts of activity or in response to strong depolarizations eliciting a firing frequency higher than 60–80 Hz, action potential doublets were replaced by a more regular firing activity (Fig. 4). It was then no longer possible to discriminate between first and second action potentials, all action potentials

Fig. 4. Action potentials within LN bursts. (A) Firing activity of a spontaneously strongly bursting LN. Triangles with letters indicate where sections of activity were enlarged below. (B) At the beginning of the burst, action potentials were generated in doublets and then the firing increased in frequency and became more regular. Arrows indicate DAPs following doublets of action potential. (C) When the firing activity exceeded 60 Hz action potentials were equally spaced and had approximately the same amplitude. (D) At the end of the burst, action potentials were generated in doublets again with some doublets followed by a DAP (arrows). (E) Train of action potentials evoked by an injection of 100 pA. The transition between doublets of action potentials and a high regular activity is enlarged and shows two doublets followed by a DAP (arrows).
having the same amplitude and ISI (Fig. 4C). In these conditions, action potential doublets followed by a DAP were observed at the transition of the firing regime from an activity in doublets to a high frequency with regular activity and vice versa (Fig. 4B, D and E).

3.4. Pharmacology of DAP generation

On other neuron types, DAPs were often found to depend on Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (Bourque, 1986; Jung et al., 2001; Kobayashi et al., 1997; Magee and Carruth, 1999; Metz et al., 2005; Su et al., 2002) or Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent channels (Fraser and MacVicar, 1996; Ghamari-Langroudi and Bourque, 2002; Haj-Dahmane and Andrade, 1997; Teruyama and Armstrong, 2007). Therefore, to elucidate the origin of DAPs in moth AL we first verified whether they depend on Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by quantifying the effects of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and other pharmacological agents. In the following, we refer to 6 mM of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the bath solution as the control condition, which might be higher than the physiological concentration. Since not all DAPs triggered an action potential, we measured the average amplitude of second peaks, whether it be a second action potential or a DAP, as shown in Fig. 5A. These measures were done after stepping the membrane potential to approximately −10 mV. The effects of drugs are listed in Fig. 5B, with amplitude of second peaks expressed in percentage of their amplitude in control conditions. The amplitude of second peaks was reversibly reduced when the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration in the bath was diminished from 6 to 0.5 mM (49.3 ± 12.0%, N = 7; Fig. 5B and C) and to nominally Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free solution (18.4 ± 9.4%, N = 7; Fig. 5B and D). Similarly, the bath application of 0.5 mM Cd\textsuperscript{2+} (34.1 ± 13.5%, N = 11, Fig. 5B and E), a blocker of voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels, reversibly inhibited the amplitude of second peaks. Recordings done either in low Ca\textsuperscript{2+} solutions or after adding Cd\textsuperscript{2+} demonstrate that DAPs depend on a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry in LNs through voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. Since DAPs in other neuron types were found to depend on Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated non-specific (CAN) channels (Fraser and MacVicar, 1996; Ghamari-Langroudi and Bourque, 2002; Teruyama and Armstrong, 2007), we tested the effects of FFA, a blocker of CAN channels (Partridge and Valenzuela, 2000). The application of 200 mM FFA strongly reduced the amplitude of DAPs (43.6 ± 11.1%; N = 10, Fig. 5B and F). The effects of FFA could not be reversed and LNs eventually stopped firing while FFA had no effect on the membrane potential.

Interestingly, blocking DAPs with Cd\textsuperscript{2+} (Fig. 6A) or after lowering extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (not shown) did not prevent spontaneous bursting activity in LNs. Moreover, in addition to the reduction of DAP amplitude, lowering the extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration from 6 to 0.5 mM or to 0 mM induced an elevation of the spontaneous firing activity. The firing switched to a regime of regular and long lasting bursts for 7 LNs out of 8 in 0 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and for 1 LN out of 7 in 0.5 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Fig. 6B). This explains why the amplitude of action potentials appeared reduced in 0 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Fig. 5D). The fast hyperpolarizing afterpotentials (HAPs) that follow each action potential were measured as shown in Fig. 5A and expressed relatively to their amplitude in control saline. The mean firing frequency and duration of action potentials, measured as indicated (Fig. 7D), were also measured and expressed relatively to control conditions. As expected, smaller HAPs after adding Cd\textsuperscript{2+} or reducing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the bath solution (12 ± 6% in 0.5 mM Cd\textsuperscript{2+}; 37 ± 11% in 0.5 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}; 21 ± 6% in 0 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) (Fig. 7A) were associated to significant increases in firing frequency (142 ± 24% in 0.5 mM Cd\textsuperscript{2+}; 157 ± 30% in 0.5 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) (Fig. 7B) and action potential duration (137 ± 6% in 0.5 mM Cd\textsuperscript{2+}; 123 ± 3% in 0.5 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}; 141 ± 7% in 0 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) (Fig. 7C). It is likely that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent K\textsuperscript{+} channels are implicated in HAPs and thus are involved in the control of repetitive firing activity. FFA did not attenuate significantly HAPs (89 ± 6%, N = 10), it did not modify the duration of action potentials (100 ± 2%, N = 10) but it significantly reduced the firing activity by almost one half (53 ± 8%, N = 10) (Fig. 7A–C). However, no effect of FFA was noticed on the membrane potential.

If the addition of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was the most active factor for reducing the amplitude of HAPs, it never elicited any bursting activity (N = 11). To understand this observation, we recorded the blockage of I\textsubscript{Ca} by Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in voltage-clamp recordings. All LNs expressed
sustained inward currents elicited by depolarizing voltage steps that we identified as \( I_{Ca} \) due to \( I-V \) relationships and \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) sensitivity. These currents activated between \(-40\) and \(-30\) mV and reached a maximum amplitude \((-211 \pm 11 \text{ pA}, N=60)\) at \(+10\) mV (Fig. 7G). The application of 0.5 mM of \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) reversibly inhibited most but not all sustained inward currents (Fig. 7F). A small fraction of the inward current was resistant to \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) in all LNs \((-22 \pm 6 \text{ pA at } +10 \text{ mV}, N=10)\) while in the same conditions, 100% of the sustained inward current was blocked in LCPNs \((N=9, \text{not shown})\). Therefore, the observation that \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) did not elicit any bursting may relate to the fact that part of \( I_{Ca} \) was resistant to the addition of 0.5 mM \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) while \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) is the most effective blocker of \( I_{Ca} \) in Periplaneta americana LNs with an \( IC_{50} \) of 10^{-3} M (Husch et al., 2008).

3.5. Firing activity of a pheromone-responding LN

If the doublets of action potential induced by DAP have a physiological role in the antennal lobe, they should be observed occasionally from odor-responding neurons. Recordings were done extracellularly, as this is less invasive for the neuron. Indeed, recordings were very stable and the sensitivity of the neuron could be frequently maintained for more than 1 h. Fig. 8 shows the firing activity of a pheromone-sensitive neuron recorded extracellularly in the cumulus of the macroglomerular complex of the AL. This activity shares several features with the activity of the LNs eliciting DAPs and action potential doublets. Doublets were observed during both spontaneous and odor-evoked activity (Fig. 8A), resulting in a bimodal distribution of ISIs (Fig. 8B). The second action potential in the doublets was small but could not originate from another cell recorded simultaneously since it never occurred alone but always followed a large action potential. It could not result from an unusual filtering of the electric signal altering the spike shape, since single spikes were observed in alternation with spike doublets. The frequency of action potentials within doublets (150–350 Hz) was compatible with a DAP induction. We then plotted the probability of doublet occurrences (ISI < 7 ms) as a function of the preceding ISI (Fig. 8C). The probability of doublets was maximal for ISIs typically between 10 and 20 ms and very low for long ISIs (>50 ms) indicating that spike doublets mainly lied within bursts. We also observed that the short ISIs (<5 ms) were never followed by another short ISI revealing the absence of triplets or more action potentials. Other single units recorded extracellularly may have fired doublets, however in most cases the second spike was small and not readily distinguishable from noise or multi-unit activity.

4. Discussion

4.1. Distribution of AL neurons

The cell bodies of AL neurons are gathered in two main clusters in A. ipsilon, a large lateral cluster (LC) and a smaller medial cluster (MC). The presence of an even smaller antero-ventral cluster that is usually present in moths (Anton and Homberg, 1999; Homberg et al., 1988) may have been overlooked in this work. Mass staining and single neuron staining after patch clamp recordings allowed identifying the distribution of PN and LN cell bodies in these two clusters. The LC gathers LNs and a small proportion of PNs (\( \mu \text{PNs} \)) while the MC seems to be composed only of PN cell bodies (\( \mu \text{PNs} \)). Indeed, we did not find any evidence of the presence of LNs in the MC, similar to what was reported in M. sexta (Hayashi and
Hildebrand, 1990; Homberg et al., 1988). In *Bombyx mori* ALs, a higher proportion of PNs is also found in the MC than in the LC (Iwano and Kanzaki, 2005; Kanzaki et al., 2003). All stained PNs from the LC showed dense uniglomerular arborizations in the AL and an axon that projects via the mALT to the mushroom bodies and the lateral horn. This morphology corresponds to “Pla” PNs of *M. sexta* according to the nomenclature of Homberg et al. (1988). Pla PNs have somas in the three clusters in *M. sexta*. PNs from the MC also showed a dense uniglomerular arborization but their projection to higher brain structures could not be studied because visualization and access to their soma for patch-clamp recording required removing the rest of the brain.

### 4.2. Firing activity of AL neurons as recorded from the soma

We then compared the firing activity of LNs and PNs. Action potentials could be readily recorded from PNs and most LNs belonging to the LC. No action potentials could be recorded from a small proportion of LNs. While this might be related to a damaged preparation, small spikelets were recorded from three LNs lacking voltage-dependent Na⁺ channels. This is reminiscent of type II LNs from *P. americana* that lack voltage-dependent Na⁺ channels and therefore do not generate Na⁺-driven action potentials (Husch et al., 2009a,b). Nonspiking LNs have also been identified in the locust (Laurent and Davidowitz, 1994) but had not been reported in moths. In contrast to LC PNs, action potentials could rarely be recorded from MC PNs, indicating that action potentials do not propagate actively to the soma of MC PNs. This was already suggested from patch-clamp recordings in *Drosophila* melanogaster, where small (< 10 mV) action potentials were recorded from PN somata (Wilson et al., 2004). The soma is the only location in AL neurons that is accessible to a patch-clamp electrode. Action potential recordings from MC PNs in *A. ipsislon* are therefore restricted to intra- or extracellular recordings within the neuropil. The differential action potential propagation to the soma between LC PNs and MC PNs can result from a larger electrotonic distance of the spike initiation zone to the soma in MC PNs than in LC PNs. Such a difference of electrotonic distance can be due to differences in the anatomy of the primary neurite (length, diameter) and/or location of the spike initiation zone. In *Drosophila* PNs, action potentials are
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thought to be initiated where the primary neurite bifurcates into the axonal and dendritic branches (Gouwens and Wilson, 2009), while in mitral cells of the rat olfactory bulb, action potentials can be initiated in either the soma-axon hillock or in the distal primary dendrite depending on the level of synaptic inputs (Chen et al., 1997). One cannot exclude, however, that voltage-gated channels also contribute to some extent to the active propagation of action potentials in the primary neurite of LNs and LCPNs but not of MC-PNs.

In current clamp recordings, the firing of PNs (LCPNs and 3
MC-PNs) was regular with action potentials equally spaced and no or faint bursting activities. Some LNs exhibited a clear bursting activity, either with short bursts (less than 10 spikes) or long ones that could last several seconds, similar to plateau potentials described in M. sexta (Mercer et al., 2005). The higher bursting activity in LNs than in PNs is in good agreement with what was reported in Agrotis segetum (Hansson et al., 1994). In the sphinx moth M. sexta, the measure of burstiness of AL neurons using a statistical approach (Poisson Surprise) showed contrasting results. PNs produce bursts while LNs fire more regularly, but with an overlapping range of burstiness among PNs and LNs (Lei et al., 2011). These discrepancies may result from the diversity of spiking patterns in moth AL neurons.

4.3. Mechanism of DAP generation

In our experimental conditions (6 mM of extracellular Ca2+), we observed in a majority of LNs, but not in LCPNs, that action potentials could be followed by brief DAPs (<10 ms). During steady state current injection DAPs occurred after each action potential and did not require high-frequency firing. When LNs generating action potentials followed by DAP were further depolarized, DAPs could generate a second action potential, the firing being then a succession of spike doublets.

DAPs were described in many neuronal types and rely on specific intrinsic cell mechanisms. They can result from the activation of different channel types including (i) non-inactivating voltage-gated Na+ channels (Azouz et al., 1996; Guatueo et al., 1996; Washburn et al., 2000), (ii) Ca2+ channels (Jung et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 1993), (iii) CAN channels (Fraser and MacVicar, 1996; Ghamari-Langroudi and Bourque, 2002) and Ca2+-activated Cl- channels (Higashi et al., 1993; Martínez-Pinna et al., 2000). The most common mechanism of DAP generation is due to dendritic action potentials. The action potential generated at the soma/axon hillock (referred to as somatic action potential in the following) backpropagates into the dendritic tree, activates voltage-gated channels there, and triggers a slower dendritic action potential that depolarizes the soma and produces a DAP. Recruitment of voltage-
gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in apical dendrites (Magee and Carruth, 1999; Su et al., 2002) or activation of a persistent somatic Na\(^{+}\) current (Azouz et al., 1996) by the backpropagating somatic action potential reinforces the somatic DAP. Then, the DAP triggers another action potential, leading to repetitive discharges. This interplay between soma and dendrite named “ping-pong” (Wang, 1999) is an essential part of the burst mechanism in a number of cell types (Coombes and Bressloff, 2005). It has been particularly well characterized in pyramidal cells of the electrosensory lateral line lobe (ELL) of weakly electric fish (Apteronotus leptorhynchos) (Krahe and Gabbiani, 2004; Turner et al., 1994). Since DAPs resulting from action potential backpropagation are short (Magee and Carruth, 1999) and can produce action potential doublets (Lemon and Turner, 2000), we propose that a mechanism of action potential backpropagation from the action potential initiation zone is responsible for the generation of DAPs and doublets in A. ipsislon LNs. Unlike ELL pyramidal cells where DAPs are boosted by a persistent Na\(^{+}\) current (Doiron et al., 2003), DAPs rely on Ca\(^{2+}\) conductances in our study, being inhibited in the absence of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) or when Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blockers were added to the bath solution. The first action potential recorded after a depolarization, either in response to a current injection or during a burst, did not exhibit a DAP. Therefore, the progressive increase in DAP amplitude after membrane depolarization is likely due to a buildup of Ca\(^{2+}\) when ISIs are short. DAPs may also depend on a CAN channel as they were strongly reduced by FFA, a CAN channel blocker. FFA had no effect on HAPs and on the membrane potential of LNs, but it reduced the firing activity of LNs in a non-reversible manner. Therefore, we cannot exclude that FFA modified other properties of LNs, this compound being known to have other targets (Pézier et al., 2010; White and Aylwin, 1990).

LNs are untypical neurons since they have no axon, the normal output pathway for action potentials in neurons. Moreover, LNs likely can have more than one spike initiation zone as suggested by intracellular recordings showing different action potential sizes (Christensen et al., 1993) or double patch-clamp recordings (Warren et al., 2013). Therefore, action potential backpropagation cannot be from the soma/axon hillock to the dendritic tree but from the spike initiation zone(s) to the apical dendrite.

Several questions arise then, the first one being why are DAPs and spike doublets not present in all LNs and PNs? First, we cannot exclude that due to their small amplitude, DAPs have been overlooked in some LNs. Second, if two populations of LNs differ in their ability to have DAPs, it can either be related to a less efficient spike backpropagation and/or to the absence/lower density of Ca\(^{2+}\) and CAN channels that appear to be essential for both of these events. Spike propagation into the dendrite is usually active and relies on Na\(^{+}\) channels (Stuart et al., 1997). A lower dendritic Na\(^{+}\)-channel density in some LNs and in PNs or a higher K\(^{+}\)-channel density that could decrease the effectiveness of spike backpropagation (Johnston et al., 1999) may explain why DAPs and spike doublets were not observed in all AL neurons. Differences in dendritic morphology and branching pattern also contribute to the diversity in dendritic spike propagation observed between neurons (Vetter et al., 2001). Indeed, the large diversity of morphology observed within the population of LNs in moths or other insects (Chou et al., 2010; Christensen et al., 1993; Reisenman et al., 2011; Seki and Kanzaki, 2008) may account for differences in dendritic spike propagation.

### 4.4. DAPs do not support bursting activity

DAPs were more often found in bursting (90%) than in non-bursting LNs (56%). But interestingly, the pharmacological inhibition of DAPs did not prevent the generation of bursts. Therefore, DAPs could lead to the firing of spike doublets but did not support bursting activity. One can wonder why, since in other systems DAPs, including those dependent on Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, are involved in controlling phasic bursting in neurons (Bourque, 1986; Chamaari-Langroudi and Bourque, 2002; Haj-Dahmane and Andrade, 1997; Kobayashi et al., 1997; Magee and Carruth, 1999; Metz et al., 2005; Su et al., 2002; Teruyama and Armstrong, 2007; Zhang et al., 1993).

In ELL pyramidal cells of weakly electric fish the soma-dendritic ping-pong generates bursts at the soma with an increasing firing frequency during the burst (Krahe and Gabbiani, 2004). The burst terminates with a high-frequency spike doublet, the second spike of the doublet failing to propagate back into the dendrite because it falls within the dendritic refractory period (Lemon and Turner, 2000). This backpropagation failure brings the burst to an end. Similarly, spike doublets were generally not followed by a DAP, suggesting that backpropagation of the second spike of the doublet failed. Indeed, the ISI within a doublet was always shorter than 7 ms indicating that DAPs fell within (no spike doublet) or close (second spike of the doublet smaller) to the refractory period of the spike generator.

In our recordings, a minority of LNs produced strong bursts of spikes. The firing frequency was decreasing along the burst and not increasing as in ELL pyramidal cells of weakly electric fish. Moreover, bursts could include spike doublets at the beginning that were eventually disappearing when the firing frequency was maximal. Action potential doublets followed by a DAP were only observed at the transition between the two regimes of firing. The inhibition of DAPs or spike doublets using pharmacological blockers did neither prevent bursts nor high frequency firing in response to depolarizing current pulses. These observations suggest that (i) spike doublets and bursts originate from different biophysical mechanisms and that (ii) the change in firing frequency may be relevant only for moderate sensory inputs.

#### 4.5. Functional significance

DAPs and spike doublets were recorded from depolarized LNs, either during spontaneous bursts of action potentials or in response to depolarizing currents. One can therefore wonder whether DAPs and spike doublets occur during odorant responses and can thus contribute to the olfactory integration in the AL. To this end we recorded odor-evoked activity of AL neurons from the cumulus of the macroglomerular complex extracellularly. Because the small size of the second spike in doublets often lies under the noise level, we presented a case study with good agreement with patch clamp recordings rather than a population analysis.

What could be the consequences of this mechanism of action potential generation? Spike doublets can potentially amplify the output of LNs to moderate synaptic inputs. It can improve the transfer of information within the AL, as neuronal bursts are transmitted across synapses more reliably than isolated spikes (Lisman, 1997). In this way, spike doublets carry specific information concerning afferent stimuli in ELL pyramidal cells (Charon et al., 2003; Oswald et al., 2004). Finally, the propagation of action potentials to apical dendrites can provide a retrograde signal informing synapses in the dendritic tree that the neuron has generated an output, being a source of synaptic plasticity. Since the efficacy of backpropagation can vary in different dendrites of the same neuron (Larkum et al., 1996) it offers additional complexity for neuronal computation.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Jan Kropf and Wolfgang Roessler (University of Wuerzburg, Germany) for their help in retrograde labeling. This work was funded by the state program Investissements d’avenir managed by ANR (grant ANR-10-BINF-05 “Pherotaxis”).


