

Could Greek and Italic share a same Indo-European substratum?

Romain Garnier, Benoît Sagot

▶ To cite this version:

Romain Garnier, Benoît Sagot. Could Greek and Italic share a same Indo-European substratum?. 22nd International Conference on Historical Linguistics, Jul 2015, Naples, Italy. hal-01256310

HAL Id: hal-01256310 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01256310v1

Submitted on 14 Jan 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Could Greek and Italic share a same Indo-European substratum?

Romain Garnier¹ Benoît Sagot²

1. Univ. de Limoges & Institut Universitaire de France 2. Alpage, Inria & Univ. Paris-Diderot, France

Greek and Latin have developed from their common Proto-Indo-European (PIE) ancestor in distinct ways, resulting in two languages that exhibit very different features, in particular regarding phonology and *Wortbildung*. Moreover, the Greek lexicon has long been recognised for its huge proportion of non-inherited words, among which it is difficult to draw a clear distinction between substrata and loan words. Several of the languages that contributed to shaping the Greek lexicon are Indo-European. Among the Indo-European contributors to the non-inherited Greek lexicon, we tentatively identify a language that shares phonetic and morphological features with substratic elements attested in Italic, and possibly articulatory properties of Latin itself. We shall review five phonetic features of this language: (i) voiceless reflexes of PIE voiced aspirated stops; (ii) the anticipation of nasals resembling *lex-unda* in Latin but generalised to labial stops, such that VCnV > VnGV with lenition of the consonant; (iii) a velarised /t/ (viz. *l pinguis*) which can trigger an anaptyctic - \check{o} - or - \check{u} -; (iv) apparent voice alternations that follow similar patterns to the Verner law in Germanic; (v) the metathesis of -r-, such that CVrC > CrVC. Our study also unveils morphological peculiarities of this language: (a) the frequent use of elsewhere poorly attested labial morphs, leading to nouns of the form *CoC-Po- and adjectives of the form *CoC-Po-; (b) the frequent use of a prefix * eg^hs -(cf. Lat. ex-, Gr. ex-) reflected as a simple *s-; (c) the frequent occurrence of action nouns built with the well-known *CoC-Po- pattern.

- (i) The connection between non-inherited Lat. $r\bar{u}t\bar{t}lus$ 'red' and the ethonym $R\bar{u}t\bar{u}l\bar{t}$ 'Rutulians' is consensual, as is their derivation from PIE * h_1rud^h - $r\acute{o}$ 'red' > Com. It. * $r\bar{u}t\bar{t}l\acute{o}$ 'id.' (Szemerényi, 1991:670). Inherited counterparts are Lat. $r\bar{u}b\bar{e}r$ 'red' (< Com. It. * $ru\theta r\acute{o}$ -) and Gr. $\dot{\epsilon}\varrho\upsilon\theta\varrho\acute{o}\varsigma$ 'red'. As we shall see, this non-inherited correspondence PIE * d^h ~ Lat. t reflects a substratic treatment of all voiced aspirated consonants as voiceless consontants.
- (ii) The case for PIE $*b^h > *p$ is illustrated by Gr. πύνδἄξ [m.] 'bottom of a jar' reflecting *pundó-'bottom' < PIE * $b^h u d^h$ -nó- 'bottom'. More interestingly, this word is the result of a treatment akin to lex-unda in Latin (Meiser, 1998:121), i.e. *-T-n- > Lat. -nd- (with voicing; T = *t, *d or * d^h). The inherited Latin reflex of PIE * $b^h u d^h$ -nó-, namely fundus 'bottom', provides a parallel for a derivation of the form PIE * $b^h u d^h$ -nó- > *put-nó- > *put-nó-, hence Gr. πύνδᾶξ. Contrarily to the lex-unda, this treatment applies in our substratum language to any stop, as illustrated by Gr. τύμδος 'tomb' from a substratic *túmbo- < PIE * d^h ub h -no- m. 'depth', derived from PIE * $d^h u$ b h -nó- 'deep' based on the same PIE root * $d^h e u$ b h as above. A cognate of this substratic *túmbo- would be Gaul. *dubno- 'underworld' attested in compounds such as the PN Dubno-rix.
- (iii) Several non-inherited Greek words seem to contain anaptyctic vowels -ŏ- or -ŭ-, especially next to an l. It could reflect a velarised articulation ll when the l is the first consonant in a consonant cluster, as is precisely the case in Latin. Let us consider for example σκόλοψ [m.] 'pointed pole, palisade, prickle' <*skόlp-<*skόlp-<*li>*skόlp-<*li>*skόlp-*(s)kól-p-*(s)kól-p-*(s)kól-p-*(s)kól(h2)-p- (with 'Saussure-effect') from a PIE root <math>*(s)kelh2- 'to chop (wood)' (Rix et al. 2001:322) and with a known although poorly attested labial morph.² Σκόλοψ can be related to the Hesychian glosses σκόλοφον· θρανίον 'bench' and σκολύψαι· κολοῦσαι, κολοδῶσαι 'cut short, mutilate' <*skόlp-**PIE *(s)kól-p-.

¹ This root is duplicated in the LIV² (Rix et al., 2001) as * $kelh_2$ - 'chop wood' (p. 350) and * $skelh_2$ - 'id.' (p. 553).

² On the same root, cf. Lat. (inherited) $scalp\bar{o}$ 'scratch, carve' < Com. It. * $sk\acute{a}l\breve{a}p$ -e/o- < PIE * $sk\rlap/h_2$ -p-e/o-.

³ According to Pokorny (1959:926), Com. Gmc. *χalbά^z 'half' (> Go. halbs, ON halfr) reflects, with the standard Verner effect, a Pre-Proto-Gmc. *kolpó- 'cut (into two pieces)' < PIE *(s)kol(h₂)-p-ó-, which we pose as an indirect source for Gr. κολοβός.

Other examples of this Verner-like treatment can be found in words derived from PIE root $*d^heub^h$ - 'sink in(to), go deep' (Kümmel, 2014, s.v.). We analyse Lat. $Tib\breve{e}ris$, -is [m.] 'the river Tiber' < Com. It. $*T\breve{u}bris$ as reflecting PIE $*d^hub^h$ -ri- 'ravine' (\pm Szemerényi, 1991:675-681). Another example is non-inherited Lat. $t\breve{u}bus^4$ (and Proto-Romance $*t\breve{u}fus$) < Com. It. $*t\acute{u}\phi o$ - 'underground pipe (for conducting water)' (Meyer-Lübke, 1935:746) from a substratic $*t\acute{u}ppo$ - $*t\acute{u}ffo$ - 'id.'.

We have unveiled a consistent system of phonetic and morphological common points between one of the IE layers in the non-inherited Greek lexicon and substratic words and influences in Latin and Romance.⁶ We conclude with the widespread PIE word $*g^h \acute{o}r d^h$ -o- 'fence, enclosure' which acquired the meaning 'town' for example in OCS gradv 'town' (cf. also the Phryg. city name $G\acute{o}rdion$). With the well-known characterising suffix *-on-, we would expect a development $*g^h\acute{o}r d^h\bar{o}n > *k\acute{o}rt\bar{o}n$ (cf. point (i)) $> *kr\acute{o}t\bar{o}n$ (cf. point (v)), which correctly matches the name of the town Kootov 'Crotone' located in Calabria, Southern Italy. Based on this formal, semantic and geographic match, and despite the lack of definitive evidence, we suggest the name "Crotonian" for the IE substratic language reconstructed here.

REFERENCES

BEEKES R.S.P. (2010). Etymological Dictionary of Greek (2 volumes). Leiden Boston: Brill.

MEISER G. (1998). Historische Laut- und Formenlehre der lateinischen Sprache. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

MEYER-LÜBKE W. (1935), Romanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch. Heidelberg: Winter.

POKORNY J. (1959). Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch. Bern · Stuttgart: Francke Verlag.

RIX H., M. KÜMMEL, T. ZEHNDER, R. LIPP AND B. SCHIRMER (2001), *Lexikon der Indogermanischen Verben*, 2nd ed. (LIV²). Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert Verlag.

KÜMMEL, M. (2014), *Addenda und Corrigenda zu LIV*². Published online at the following URL: http://www.indogermanistik.uni-jena.de/dokumente/PDF/liv2add.pdf.

SZEMERÉNYI O. (1991). "The development of the Indo-European mediæ aspiratæ in Latin and Italic", in *Scripta minora*. *Selected Essays in Indo-European*, *Greek and Latin*. Innsbruck: IBS, Band 53 (=Volume II), 628-693.

⁴ Lat. $t\bar{u}bus$ and Proto-Romance * $t\bar{u}bus$ ~ * $t\bar{u}fus$ < Com. It. * $t\bar{u}\phi o$ - (Meyer-Lübke, 1935:746) reflecting a source word *tuPo- derived from zero-grade PIE * $d^h\bar{u}b^h$ -o- [m.] 'ravine' from PIE * $d^h\bar{u}b^h$ - \acute{o} - 'deep.' This PIE formation would have been inherited as Com. It. ** $\theta u\phi o$ - and would appear as Lat. ** $f\bar{u}bus$.

⁵ It is generally accepted that Gr. στρέφω is akin to the Myc. Gr. *ku-su-to-ro-qa* 'global sum', probably for *ξυν-στροχ^wα (cf. Gr. συστροφή 'density, condensation, gathering, group'). Therefore, an etymology for Gr. στρέφω based on the PIE root **trep*- 'turn' is unlikely, as are *ad hoc* reconstructions of the form PIE †*streb*^h- or †*streg*^{uh}- (*pace* Rix *et al.*, 2001:603 and Kümmel 2001, 2014).

⁶ On the Italic side, it corresponds to Szemerényi's "Siculo-Ausonian" substrate.