



HAL
open science

Invariantization and Polynomial Systems with Symmetry

Evelyne Hubert

► **To cite this version:**

| Evelyne Hubert. Invariantization and Polynomial Systems with Symmetry. 2016. hal-01254954v1

HAL Id: hal-01254954

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01254954v1>

Preprint submitted on 12 Jan 2016 (v1), last revised 25 Sep 2018 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Invariantization and Polynomial Systems with Symmetry

Evelyne Hubert *

Tuesday 12th January, 2016

Abstract

Assuming the variety of a polynomial set is invariant under a group action, we provide a set of invariants that define the same variety. The contribution is about infinite algebraic groups, the case of finite group being previously known. We introduce for those a new definition of the concept of algebraic invariantization [8]. It is based on the construction of rational invariants by Hubert and Kogan [7], a construction for which we provide here new simplified proofs.

Keywords: Rational invariants; Symmetric polynomial system; Section.

1 Introduction

Consider the variety in \mathbb{C}^n of a finite set of polynomials F in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. It can be invariant under the action of a group \mathcal{G} without the polynomials in F being themselves invariant. When \mathcal{G} is a finite group acting regularly, a system of polynomial invariants G that have the same variety as F can be determined explicitly. The construction of G can be found for instance in the proof of [24, Proposition 2.6.4]. Such a construction also applies to \mathcal{G} -invariant semi-algebraic sets [2]. The existence of such a G for compact group is proved in [1]. It was an open question in [2] whether a constructive approach exists for the action of an algebraic group of positive dimension. This article aims to provide such a construction for rational actions of any algebraic group.

For a given set F of polynomials as above we shall determine a set of invariant functions G such that the zero set of G is equal to the variety of F outside of some proper closed algebraic set \mathcal{W} . The elements of G are rational invariants and the restriction to an open set is therefore unavoidable for a general statement. Yet the elements of G are actually polynomial in a fixed finite set of generating rational invariants. The set \mathcal{W} is also fixed and contains the varieties of their denominators.

The construction of the set G above is based on an algebraic notion of *invariantization*. A special case was treated in [9]. The new definition of invariantization given here allows to use an analogous argument to the finite group case to prove that the zero set of G is equal to the variety of F . Algebraic invariantization was introduced in [8] to provide a constructive approach to the local invariantization of smooth functions that holds a central role in the formulation of the moving frame method of Fels and Olver [6]. The present result completes the applicability of algebraic invariantization to provide global properties analogous to the local properties of local invariantization.

Algebraic invariantization builds on a construction of the field of rational invariants based on the notion of section (a.k.a. as cross-section or quasi-section) to the orbits of the group action that was first presented in

*INRIA Méditerranée, 06902 Sophia Antipolis, France evelyne.hubert@inria.fr

[7]. The construction was revisited in [12, 14] with another terminology. We give here a new set of simpler proofs that nonetheless keeps the geometric flavor of [7].

In next section we define the group actions to be considered as well as the notion of *section of degree e* to the orbits. We show how to compute a finite set of generating rational invariants. The computed set is further endowed with an algorithm that allows to rewrite any other rational invariants in terms of them. In Section 3 we give a new constructive definition of invariantization w.r.t. a given section to the orbits: to any polynomial f is associated e *symmetrizations*, where e is the degree of the section to the orbits. These symmetrizations are polynomials in the generating invariants. If F is a set of polynomials whose variety is invariant under the group action then we show that the set of the symmetrisations of the elements of F have the same zero set. The result has to be understood outside of a \mathcal{G} -invariant variety \mathcal{W} that is defined as the locus where a Gröbner basis does not specialise.

Acknowledgments: The authors is grateful to Guillaume Moroz and Fabrice Rouillier for discussions on the specialisation properties of Gröbner bases.

2 Construction of rational invariants

2.1 Rational action of an algebraic group

\mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic zero, $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is an algebraically closed field extension of \mathbb{K} . We deal here with \mathcal{Z} an affine space $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^n$, but we believe our constructions can be extended to an irreducible algebraic variety.

The groups we consider are affine algebraic groups. They are given by an affine algebraic variety \mathcal{G} endowed with a group operation $\cdot : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and an inverse $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by regular maps. To be explicit, we assume that \mathcal{G} is embedded in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^l$ and $G \subset \mathbb{K}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l]$ is its defining ideal. G is an equidimensional radical ideal: All the irreducible components of G have the same dimension, say s . The coordinate ring $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{G}]$ can be identified with the quotient algebra $\mathbb{K}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l]/G$.

A rational action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{Z} is defined by a homomorphism ρ from \mathcal{G} to the group of birational maps of \mathcal{Z} . In practice it is given by a rational map $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, $(\lambda, z) \mapsto \lambda \star z = \rho(\lambda)(z)$ defined on some open dense set by quotients of polynomials:

$$\lambda \star z = \left(\frac{h_1(\lambda, z)}{h_0(\lambda, z)}, \dots, \frac{h_n(\lambda, z)}{h_0(\lambda, z)} \right)$$

where $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda, z]$. The orbit \mathcal{O}_z of $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ is the image of the rational map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda \star z$.

A rational action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{Z} induces an action on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{Z})$ given by $(\lambda \star f)(z) = f(\lambda^{-1} \star z)$. The set of rational invariants $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{Z})^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the subfield of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{Z})$ of rational functions f s.t. $\lambda \star f = f$, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.1 *If p/q is a rational invariant, with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}[z]$ relatively prime, then the varieties $\mathcal{V}(p)$ and $\mathcal{V}(q)$ are invariant under the action of \mathcal{G} .*

PROOF: By hypothesis $p(z)q(\lambda \star z) = q(z)p(\lambda \star z)$ for all $(\lambda, z) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{Z}$. Hence $p(\lambda \star z) = 0$ for all $(\lambda, z) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{V}(p) \setminus \mathcal{V}(q)$. Since p and q are relatively prime, $\mathcal{V}(p) \setminus \mathcal{V}(q)$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}(p)$. Hence $p(\lambda \star z)$ has to vanish on the whole of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{V}(p)$. \square

When \mathcal{G} is connected and acts regularly on \mathcal{Z} , one can further conclude that p and q are *semi-invariants* with the same *weight*, i.e. $p(\lambda \star Z) = \chi(\lambda)p(z)$ and $q(\lambda \star Z) = \chi(\lambda)q(z)$ where $\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{K}}^*$ is a group morphism [22, Theorem 3.1 and 3.3].

2.2 Sections to the orbits

Definition 2.2 For a given rational action of \mathcal{G} , an irreducible variety \mathcal{P} is a section of degree e to the orbits if there exists an open dense subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{Z} such that the orbits of \mathcal{U} intersect \mathcal{P} at exactly e points.

Obviously, a section cannot be contained in a proper \mathcal{G} -invariant variety of \mathcal{Z} . Yet the present notion of section is not restrictive. Most irreducible varieties of complementary dimension to the generic orbits are sections. Without further knowledge on the geometry of the generic orbits one can always choose an affine linear space as a section [7, Theorem 3.3], or even the level set of some of coordinates [8, Theorem 1.6]. For a generic affine linear space the degree of the section it defines is the degree of the orbits. Sections of lower degree can be obtained by taking into consideration the points at infinity or the singular points of the closure of generic orbits. Section of degree one are of particular interest, as we shall point out at several places.

Proposition 2.3 Assume the generic orbits have dimension d and take $P \subset \mathbb{K}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ as a prime ideal of codimension d . Consider

$$A = (h_0(\lambda, z) Z_1 - h_1(\lambda, z), \dots, h_0(\lambda, z) Z_n - h_n(\lambda, z)) \subset \mathbb{K}[z, Z, \lambda] \quad (1)$$

Then the variety \mathcal{P} of P is a section if the ideal

$$I_{\mathcal{P}} = (G + A + P) : h_0^\infty \cap \mathbb{K}(z)[Z]. \quad (2)$$

is zero dimensional. The dimension e of the quotient algebra $\mathbb{K}(z)[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}}$ as a $\mathbb{K}(z)$ -vector space is the degree of the section.

Indeed, by specializing z to a generic point in \mathcal{Z} , the ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ becomes the ideal of the intersection of the orbit \mathcal{O}_z of z with the section \mathcal{P} . We shall refer to the ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ as the *orbit-section* ideal.

Given the equations of an irreducible variety we can thus determine if it is a section and compute its degree by computing the Gröbner basis¹ of the elimination ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$. The degree e of the section \mathcal{P} is the number of monomials *under the staircase* defined by the leading monomials of the Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$. As we shall see next, the reduced Gröbner bases of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ also deliver a generating set of invariants for the action.

Example 2.4 SCALINGS IN THE PLANE. Consider the action of the multiplicative group \mathbb{K}^* given by

$$\begin{aligned} \star : \mathbb{K}^* \times \mathbb{K}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}^2 \\ (\lambda, (x, y)) &\mapsto (\lambda^a x, \lambda^b y) \end{aligned}$$

where a and b are positive integers that we assume here relatively prime. The ideal of the orbit of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is then given by

$$O = (x^b Y^a - y^a X^b).$$

Note that the origin is in the closure of all the orbits. There is therefore no non constant polynomial invariant for this action [5, Lemma 2.4.5].

A generic affine line in \mathbb{K}^2 is a section of degree $\max(a, b)$. If we choose the section defined by $P = (X - 1)$, it defines a section of degree a since the ideal of the intersection of the orbit of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^2 \setminus \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{K}\}$ with the variety \mathcal{P} of P is

$$I = \left(X - 1, Y^a - \frac{y^a}{x^b} \right).$$

A section of degree 1 is provided by the Bezout coefficients $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\alpha a - \beta b = 1$. Without loss of generality one can assume that $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. If we choose $P = (X^\alpha - Y^\beta)$ then the ideal of the intersection of the

¹The reader is invited to consult for instance [3, 4] for the basic concepts and results about Gröbner bases.

orbit of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^2 \setminus \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{K}\}$ with the variety \mathcal{P} of P is

$$I_{\mathcal{P}} = \left(X - \left(\frac{y^a}{x^b} \right)^\beta, Y - \left(\frac{y^a}{x^b} \right)^\alpha \right).$$

This generalizes for scalings in any dimension, i.e. diagonal linear actions of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{K}^*)^r$: we can compute the (binomial) equations of a section of degree one with linear algebra over the integers [9, 10].

2.3 Generating invariants and rewriting

In this section we revisit two essential theorems of [7] with more direct proofs.

Observe that $\mathcal{O}_z = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda * z}$. Since $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_z \cap \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda * z} \cap \mathcal{P}$ a canonical representation of the ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ must be defined over $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$. If we fix a term ordering on the monomials in Z , the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ is such a canonical representative.

Theorem 2.5 *The coefficients of a reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ belong to $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$.*

PROOF: For a given term order, the reduced Gröbner basis of an ideal is unique. Let B be the reduced Gröbner basis for $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ for a given term order on Z . As such it consists of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{K}(z)[Z]$.

There is a closed proper subset \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{Z} s.t. for $z \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$ the image of B under specialization is a (reduced) Gröbner basis for the ideal whose variety is the intersection of \mathcal{O}_z with \mathcal{P} . Since $\mathcal{O}_z = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda * z}$, the specializations of B to z and to $\lambda * z$ bring the same reduced Gröbner basis, for a generic $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore $B \subset \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]$. \square

In this construction it is clear that the coefficients of the Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ separate orbits. Thanks to a result of [23], we can deduce that they form a generating set. The alternative proof we give next is constructive. We show how to rewrite any invariant in terms of the coefficients of the reduced Gröbner basis.

Theorem 2.6 *Consider $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\} \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ the coefficients of a reduced Gröbner basis B of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbb{K}(r_1, \dots, r_m)$ and we can rewrite any rational invariant $\frac{p}{q}$, with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}[z]$ relatively prime, in terms of those as follows.*

Take a new set of indeterminates y_1, \dots, y_m and consider the set $\bar{B} \subset \mathbb{K}[y, Z]$ obtained from B by substituting r_i by y_i . Let $a(y, Z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha}(y) Z^{\alpha}$ and $b(y, Z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_{\alpha}(y) Z^{\alpha}$ in $\mathbb{K}[y, Z]$ be the normal forms² of $p(Z)$ and $q(Z)$ w.r.t. \bar{B} . There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ s.t. $b_{\alpha}(r) \neq 0$ and for any such α we have $\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{a_{\alpha}(r)}{b_{\alpha}(r)}$.

PROOF: We first note that neither $q(Z)$, nor $p(Z)$, belong to P . Indeed $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{V}(q)$ and $\mathcal{V}(q)$ invariant (Lemma 2.1) implies $q = 0$ since the orbits of the points on \mathcal{P} fill an open dense set of \mathcal{Z} by hypothesis.

We obviously have $P \subset I_{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{K}[Z]$ and therefore the projection of $\mathcal{V}(I_{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{K}[z, Z])$ is included in \mathcal{P} . And, for a generic point Z on \mathcal{P} , the points $(\lambda * Z, Z)$, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ lies $\mathcal{V}(I_{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{K}[z, Z])$. The projection of this latter on the second component is thus dense in \mathcal{P} . Hence $I_{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{K}[Z] = P$.

Therefore neither $p(Z)$ nor $q(Z)$ belong to $I_{\mathcal{P}}$. The normal forms of $q(Z)$ and $p(Z)$ w.r.t. B are, respectively, $b(r, Z)$ and $a(r, Z)$ and they are thus both different from zero.

Since p/q is invariant, $p(z)q(\lambda * z) \equiv q(z)p(\lambda * z) \pmod{G}$. Hence $p(z)q(Z) - q(z)p(Z)$ belongs to $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ so that its normal form with respect to B must be zero: $p(z)b(r, Z) = q(z)a(r, Z)$. The conclusion follows. \square

For section of degree one, the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ w.r.t. any term order is of the form $\{Z_1 - r_1(z), \dots, Z_n - r_n(z)\}$, where $r_i \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$. The rewriting described in the theorem statement is a simple substitution: if f is a rational invariant then $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(r_1(z), \dots, r_n(z))$.

²For the reductions in $\mathbb{K}[y, Z]$ the term order on Z is extended to a block order $y \ll Z$ so that the set of leading term of \bar{B} is equal to the set of leading terms of B .

Example 2.7 Following up on Example 2.4, $f(x, y) = f(r^\beta, r^\alpha)$ for any invariant f .

Theorem 2.6 applies in particular to polynomial invariants. We immediately see that:

Corollary 2.8 Any polynomial invariant can be written as a polynomial in $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$.

Therefore a case of special interest is when the coefficients of the reduced Gröbner basis have no denominator.

Proposition 2.9 If the coefficients $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ of a reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ are polynomials, then they generate $\mathbb{K}[z]^{\mathcal{G}}$.

2.4 Section, quasi-section, cross-section, partial section

The present concept of *section of degree e* appears as *quasi-section* in [22]. In [7] we defined *cross-sections of degree e* but the two notions actually differ.

In [7, Definition 3.1] an irreducible ideal P , of complementary dimension to the generic orbits, defines a cross-section if the ideal $O + P$ is zero-dimensional and radical, where $O = (G + A) : h_0^\infty \cap \mathbb{K}(z)[Z]$ is the ideal of the generic orbit. The degree of the cross-section is then the dimension of the $\mathbb{K}(z)$ -vector space $\mathbb{K}(z)[Z]/(O + P)$. This is the number of points of intersection of the closure of a generic orbit with the variety \mathcal{P} of P . The following example shows how this differs from the notion of section.

Example 2.10 Consider the scaling $\lambda \star (x, y) = (\lambda^2 x, \lambda^3 y)$ and \mathcal{P} as the variety of $P = (Y - X)$. On one hand, the orbit-section ideal is

$$I_{\mathcal{P}} = \left(Y - \frac{x^3}{y^2}, X - \frac{x^3}{y^2} \right)$$

so that \mathcal{P} is a section of degree one. On the other hand $O = (y^2 X^3 - x^3 Y^2)$ so that

$$O + P = \left(X - Y, Y^2 \left(Y - \frac{x^3}{y^2} \right) \right).$$

The closures of the generic orbits contain the origin, as does \mathcal{P} . \mathcal{P} furthermore fails to be a cross-section as $O + P$ is not radical.

Both concepts lead to valid constructions for the rational invariants. The present concept of section nonetheless appears as more favorable. For instance, sections of degree one can be obtained for any scaling, i.e. diagonal representation of tori [9, 10].

In [7] we also proved Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 for the orbit ideal $O = (G + A) : h_0^\infty$ and it is natural to consider intermediate cases, i.e. where \mathcal{P} is of lower dimension than the codimension of the generic orbits and thus the ideal $(G + A + P) : h_0^\infty$ is not zero dimensional. The proofs of Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 used the following properties:

- \mathcal{P} is not contained in any invariant hypersurface
- $(G + A + P) : h_0^\infty \cap \mathbb{K}[Z] = P$.

Those properties would be sufficient to define a notion of *partial section*. We invite the reader to consult [12, 14] for an alternative algebraic take on those concepts.

3 Invariantisation & Symmetrization

3.1 Specializations

The variety of the orbit-section ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ defined in previous section is the intersection of the section \mathcal{P} and the generic orbit. The construction of rational invariants makes essential use of the reduced Gröbner basis B of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ for a given term order on Z .

Recall that G is the ideal in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ defining the group \mathcal{G} , that A is the set of polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[z, Z, \lambda]$ describing the action of \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{Z} and that P is the prime ideal defining \mathcal{P} . If \tilde{B} is a reduced Gröbner basis for $(G + A + P):h_0^\infty$, as an ideal in $\mathbb{K}(z)[Z, \lambda]$ according to a block order that eliminates λ , then $B = \tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{K}(z)[Z]$ is a reduced Gröbner basis for $I_{\mathcal{P}}$. For $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z}$ we write $A_{\bar{z}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{K}}[Z, \lambda]$ for the specialisation of A at \bar{z} .

There exists a proper closed set \mathcal{W} in \mathcal{Z} such that for $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$ the specialisation $B_{\bar{z}}$ of B at \bar{z} is precisely the reduced Gröbner basis of $(G + A_{\bar{z}} + P):h_0^\infty \cap \overline{\mathbb{K}}[Z]$. The variety of this latter ideal is the intersection of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{z}}$ with \mathcal{P} . We can determine such a set \mathcal{W} with specialisation criteria as [11, Theorem 3.1] or [13, Theorem 4.3] that apply to a Gröbner basis of $(G + A + P):h_0^\infty$ considered as an ideal of $\mathbb{K}[z][Z, \lambda]$. Yet, to compute a minimal such \mathcal{W} it is necessary to resort to the computation of a comprehensive Gröbner basis [13, 25] or of a Gröbner cover [17, 18].

In the present situation, $B_{\bar{\lambda} * \bar{z}} = B_{\bar{z}}$ for any $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{G}$ so that the minimal such \mathcal{W} is \mathcal{G} -invariant. It is left for future research to examine if this geometric property can bring a computational advantage in determining \mathcal{W} , in particular in combination with the additional geometric information that can be read directly on the Gröbner basis [15, 19, 20]. Let us just make one observation. Obviously the points in $\mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$ should not make the denominators of the coefficients in B vanish. Concomittently the hypersurface of the product of those denominators is \mathcal{G} -invariant. Yet the following example shows that it is not enough to consider the product of those denominators. Among other considerations one might have to consider the denominators in \tilde{B} .

Example 3.1 Consider the action of $\mathcal{G} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}^*$ on the plane given by $\lambda \star (x, y) = (\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda y)$. We have $G = (\lambda\mu - 1)$ in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda, \mu]$, $A = (X - \mu x, Y - \lambda y)$ and let us take the section defined by $P = (X - 1)$.

The reduced Gröbner basis of $J = G + A + P$ in $\mathbb{K}(x, y)[X, Y, \lambda, \mu]$ for a block term order $\{X, Y\} \ll \{\lambda, \mu\}$ is

$$\tilde{B} = \left\{ X - 1, Y - xy, \lambda - x, \mu - \frac{1}{x} \right\}.$$

This corroborates the fact that the orbits of the points $(0, \bar{y})$ do not intersect the section defined by P . When $\bar{x} \neq 0$, the Gröbner basis for the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} \cap \mathcal{P}$ is obtained by specializing $B \cap \mathbb{K}(x, y)[X, Y] = \{X - 1, Y - xy\}$.

The above example is nonetheless slightly deceptive in that it leads us to think that the denominators of \tilde{B} provide a description of \mathcal{W} . Some of the denominators in \tilde{B} are spurious in the description of \mathcal{W} while some components of \mathcal{W} do not arise as the variety of denominators in \tilde{B} .

3.2 Invariantization

Consider a rational action of \mathcal{G} on $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}^n$ and \mathcal{P} a section of degree e . We fix a term order on Z and consider the reduced Gröbner basis B of the orbit-section ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $\mathbb{K}(z)[Z]$. The coefficients of B belong to and generate $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ (Theorem 2.5 and 2.6). $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ is thus the field of definition of the orbit-section ideal $I_{\mathcal{P}}$; We can consider $I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}} = I_{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]$. It is a zero dimensional ideal in $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]$ and the dimension of

$\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ as a $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ -vector space is e .³

A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]$ defines an element \bar{f} in the quotient $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}}$. The multiplication map

$$m_f: \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}}$$

$$\bar{g} \mapsto \overline{fg}$$

is a linear mapping [4, Proposition 4.1]. Note that $m_{fg} = m_f \circ m_g = m_g \circ m_f$. When f is not a zero divisor modulo $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ then $\det m_f \neq 0$ or there exists $f_1 \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]$ s.t. $f f_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}}$. It follows that $m_{f_1} = (m_f)^{-1}$. We shall thus define $m_{g/f}$ as $m_{g f_1} = m_g (m_f)^{-1}$ when f is not a zero divisor modulo $I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$.

$\mathbb{K}[Z]_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes the localisation of $\mathbb{K}[Z]$ at the complement of P in $K[Z]$. No element of $\mathbb{K}[Z] \setminus P$ are zero divisors modulo $I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. The following is thus well defined.

Definition 3.2 For $f \in \mathbb{K}[Z]_{\mathcal{P}}$ we define its invariantization with respect to the section \mathcal{P} as the characteristic polynomial

$$f_{\mathcal{P}}(z, \zeta) = \zeta^e - f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(z) \zeta^{e-1} + \dots + (-1)^j f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}(z) \zeta^{e-j} + \dots + (-1)^e f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(e)}(z)$$

of the multiplication map m_f by f in $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. The coefficients $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(z), \dots, f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(e)}(z) \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ are the symmetrizations of f w.r.t. \mathcal{P} .

A concept of invariantization of algebraic functions was introduced in [8]. When restricted to polynomial functions, this latter is equivalent to the present concept. It can thus be interpreted as the algebraic construction of the invariantization initially introduced in the differential geometric context of the moving frame method [6] : By [8, Theorem 3.9], $f_{\mathcal{P}}(z, \zeta)$ is the defining polynomial of an algebraic function that is the local invariant that agrees with the values of f on the section \mathcal{P} .

Given a reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$, we can identify a set of monomials that form a basis of the $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ -vector space $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]/I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and explicetely write down the matrix of m_f in this basis. Invariantization as defined above can thus be computed algorithmically. At no additional cost, the *symmetrizations* $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(z), \dots, f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(e)}(z) \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ are written in terms of the generators $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ of $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ that are read from the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ according to Theorem 2.6.

Proposition 3.3 If the coefficients of the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ can be written as polynomials in $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ then, for any $f \in \mathbb{K}[Z]$, we can determine polynomials $\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq e$, in $\mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ such that the symmetrizations of f are

$$f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \tilde{f}_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}(r_1(z), \dots, r_m(z)).$$

The case where \mathcal{P} is a section of degree 1 is particularly favorable. Then $I_{\mathcal{P}} = (Z_1 - r_1(z), \dots, Z_n - r_n(z))$ with $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ and therefore $f_{\mathcal{P}}(z, \zeta) = \zeta - f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}$ with $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(r_1(z), \dots, r_n(z))$. Therefore $\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} = f$.

Example 3.4 SCALINGS IN THE PLANE. We follow up on Example 2.4. We choose $P = (X^{\alpha} - Y^{\beta})$. It defines a section of degree one and the reduced Gröbner of $I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is $B = \{X - r^{\beta}, Y - r^{\alpha}\}$ where $r = \frac{y^{\alpha}}{x^{\beta}}$. Thus $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(x, y) = f(r^{\beta}, r^{\alpha})$.

Let \mathcal{W} be a proper \mathcal{G} -invariant closed set such that for $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$, the specialisation $B_{\bar{z}}$ of B is a Gröbner basis for an ideal whose variety is $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{z}} \cap \mathcal{P}$. We discussed how to determine such a \mathcal{W} in Section 3.1. For $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$ the ideal $(B_{\bar{z}})$ has e zeros $\{z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(e)}\}$. They form $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{z}} \cap \mathcal{P}$, possibly with multiplicities.

³If the section is generically transverse, i.e. $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ is radical, then $I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a prime ideal, and thus a maximal ideal, in $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}[Z]$ [8, Lemma 2.16]. There exist non transverse cross-sections for smooth action [16, Section 4.2], yet I wonder if non transverse section exists for rational actions.

Proposition 3.5 Consider $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$ and $\{z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(e)}\}$ the e zeros of $B_{\bar{z}}$. For $1 \leq j \leq e$,

$$f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}(z) = S_j(f(z^{(1)}), \dots, f(z^{(e)}))$$

where S_j is the j th symmetric polynomial in e variables.

PROOF: The eigenvalues of m_f are the evaluations of f at the roots of $(B_{\bar{z}})$, with matching multiplicities [4, Theorem 4.5]. \square

Corollary 3.6 If $f \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ then $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)} = \binom{j}{e} f^j$.

PROOF: By Proposition 2.1, $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathbb{K}[z]_{\mathcal{P}}$ since \mathcal{P} cannot be included in any invariant hypersurface. \square

Note though that in general $(\lambda \star f)_{\mathcal{P}}^{(i)} \neq f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(i)}$. For instance, in the case of Example 3.4, $(\lambda \star f)_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(x, y) = f(\lambda^{-a}r^{\beta}, \lambda^{-b}r^{\alpha})$ while $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(x, y) = f(r^{\beta}, r^{\alpha})$.

Example 3.7 Consider the linear action of $\mathrm{SO}_2(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ on $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}^3$ acting by rotation on the (x, y) -plane. Choose the section \mathcal{P} of degree 2 given by $P = (X)$. Then $I_{\mathcal{P}} = (X, Y^2 - (x^2 + y^2), Z - z)$. Thus $r = x^2 + y^2$ and z form a generating set for $\mathbb{K}(x, y, z)^{\mathcal{G}}$.

Consider the polynomials $f_1 = (1 + x)(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - x^2z$ and $f_2 = x^2 + y^2 - 1 + (1 - x)z$. Neither f_1 nor f_2 is invariant.

A basis for $\mathbb{K}(x, y)[X, Y]/I_{\mathcal{P}}$ is given by the set of monomials $\{1, Y\}$. In this basis, the multiplication matrix of $f_1(X, Y, Z)$ and $f_2(X, Y, Z)$ are respectively

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} r-1 & 0 \\ 0 & r-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} z+r-1 & 0 \\ 0 & z+r-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$f_1^{(1)} = \mathrm{Tr}(M_1) = 2(r-1), \quad f_1^{(2)} = \mathrm{Det}(M_1) = (r-1)^2,$$

and

$$f_2^{(1)} = \mathrm{Tr}(M_2) = 2(z+r-1), \quad f_2^{(2)} = \mathrm{Det}(M_2) = (z+r-1)^2.$$

3.3 Polynomial systems with symmetry

In the case where \mathcal{G} is a finite group acting regularly and faithfully, we should consider $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Z}$ as section. Its degree e is the order of group \mathcal{G} . For $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\{\bar{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{z}^{(e)}\} = \{\lambda \star \bar{z} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Thus the invariantization of a polynomial f is

$$\zeta^e - f^{(1)}(z)\zeta^{e-1} + \dots + (-1)^j f^{(j)}(z)\zeta^{e-j} + \dots + (-1)^e f^{(e)}(z) = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}} (\zeta - f(\lambda \star z))$$

where $f^{(j)}(z)$ is simply the i -th symmetric function on $\{f(\lambda \star z) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{G}\}$. The symmetrization $f^{(j)}(z)$, for $1 \leq j \leq e$, are invariant polynomials. As can be read in [24, Proposition 2.6.4], if the variety $\mathcal{V}(F)$ of a finite set of polynomials F is invariant then

$$\mathcal{V}(F) = \mathcal{V}(f^{(i)} \mid f \in F, 1 \leq i \leq e).$$

This proves that any variety invariant under the action of a finite group is the variety of a set of invariant polynomials. This result is also extended to \mathcal{G} -invariant semi-algebraic sets defined by $f_1(z) \geq 0, \dots, f_m(z) \geq 0$ in [2].

The notion of symetrization w.r.t. a section \mathcal{P} we introduced for algebraic groups of positive dimension allows us to provide an analogous result for rational actions of algebraic groups of positive dimension with a similar proof. A caveat though is that the result is valid outside of a proper closed set \mathcal{W} determined by the specialisation properties discussed in Section 3.1.

Proposition 3.8 *Let F be a set of polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[z]$ and assume that its variety $\mathcal{V}(F)$ is \mathcal{G} -invariant. Consider a section \mathcal{P} of degree e defined by the prime ideal $P \subset \mathbb{K}[Z]$. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}\left(f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(i)} \mid f \in F, 1 \leq i \leq e\right) \setminus \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \mathcal{W},$$

where \mathcal{W} is the \mathcal{G} -invariant variety discussed in Section 3.1.

In the above proposition, $\mathcal{V}\left(f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(i)} \mid f \in F, 1 \leq i \leq e\right)$ stands for the variety of the numerators. The varieties of the denominators arising in $f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}$ actually lies in \mathcal{W} due to Proposition 3.3.

PROOF: For $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$, we note $\{\bar{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{z}^{(e)}\}$ the zeros of $(B_{\bar{z}})$. Each $\bar{z}^{(i)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{z}} \cap \mathcal{P}$. As $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is \mathcal{G} -invariant we thus have $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_m) \cap \mathcal{W} \Leftrightarrow \{z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(e)}\} \subset \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

Since

$$\prod_{j=1}^e (\zeta - f(\bar{z}^{(j)})) = \zeta^e - f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(\bar{z})\zeta^{e-1} + \dots + (-1)^j f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)}(\bar{z})\zeta^{e-j} + \dots + (-1)^e f_{\mathcal{P}}^{(e)}(z)$$

we have $(f(\bar{z}^{(1)}) = 0, \dots, f(\bar{z}^{(e)}) = 0) \Leftrightarrow (f^{(j)}(\bar{z}) = 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq e)$. \square

Example 3.9 *Following on Example 3.7, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{V}(f_1, f_2)$ is invariant under the action of $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{K})$. One can determine that for the points on $\mathcal{W} = \{(0, 0, \bar{z}) \mid \bar{z} \in \mathbb{K}\}$ the Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ above does not specialise to a Gröbner basis of the intersection of the orbit of that point with the section \mathcal{P} .*

Applying the above construction we obtain

$$\mathcal{V}(f_1, f_2) \setminus \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}\left(f_1^{(1)}, f_1^{(2)}, f_2^{(1)}, f_2^{(2)}\right) \setminus \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}(w-1, z+w-1) = \mathcal{V}(w-1, z)$$

where $w = x^2 + y^2$.

The above proposition combined with Proposition 3.3 thus allows us to provide the following general statement that is the main claim of this article.

Theorem 3.10 *Assume \mathcal{P} is a section of degree e to the orbits of the rational action of an algebraic group \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{Z} . We can determine a \mathcal{G} -invariant algebraic set \mathcal{W} and $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathbb{K}(z)^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbb{K}(r_1, \dots, r_m)$ with the following properties: If the variety $\mathcal{V}(F)$ of a finite set of polynomials F in $\mathbb{K}[z]$ is \mathcal{G} -invariant then there exists a finite set of polynomials $\tilde{F} \subset \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ such that for any $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$*

$$f(\bar{z}) = 0, \forall f \in F \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \tilde{f}(r(\bar{z})) = 0, \forall \tilde{f} \in \tilde{F}.$$

For a finite group \mathcal{G} , the above result also stood for a \mathcal{G} -semi-algebraic set $\mathcal{K} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, f_m(x) \geq 0\}$. The construction we presented would work similarly for a semi-algebraic set \mathcal{K} if we could ensure that the orbits in $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{W}$ intersect the section \mathcal{P} in e points (counting multiplicities), *i.e.* the intersection points are not complex.

References

- [1] L. Bröcker. On symmetric semialgebraic sets and orbit spaces. In *Singularities Symposium—Lojasiewicz 70 (Kraków, 1996; Warsaw, 1996)*, volume 44 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 37–50. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1998.
- [2] J. Cimprič, S. Kuhlmann, and C. Scheiderer. Sums of squares and moment problems in equivariant situations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(2):735–765, 2009.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea. *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra.
- [4] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea. *Using algebraic geometry*, volume 185 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2005.
- [5] H. Derksen and G. Kemper. *Computational invariant theory*. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Encyclopaedia of Math. Sc., 130.
- [6] M. Fels and P. J. Olver. Moving coframes. II. Regularization and theoretical foundations. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 55(2):127–208, 1999.
- [7] E. Hubert and I. Kogan. Rational invariants of a group action. Construction and rewriting. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 42(1-2):203–217, 2007.
- [8] E. Hubert and I. Kogan. Smooth and algebraic invariants of a group action. Local and global constructions. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 7(4):355–393, 2007.
- [9] E. Hubert and G. Labahn. Rational invariants of scalings from Hermite normal forms. In *ISSAC 2012*, pages 219–226. ACM Press, 2012.
- [10] E. Hubert and G. Labahn. Scaling invariants and symmetry reduction of dynamical systems. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 13(4):479–516, 2013.
- [11] M. Kalkbrener. On the stability of Gröbner bases under specializations. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(1):51–58, 1997.
- [12] T. Kamke and G. Kemper. Algorithmic invariant theory of nonreductive group. *Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems*, 11:79–110, 2012.
- [13] D. Kapur, Y. Sun, and D. Wang. An efficient method for computing comprehensive Gröbner bases. *Journal Symbolic Computation*, 52:124–142, 2013.
- [14] G. Kemper. Using extended Derksen ideals in computational invariant theory. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 72:161–181, 2016.
- [15] D. Lazard and F. Rouillier. Solving parametric polynomial systems. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 42(6):636–667, 2007.
- [16] E. L. Mansfield. *A practical guide to the invariant calculus*. Number 26 in Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [17] A. Montes and M. Wibmer. Gröbner bases for polynomial systems with parameters. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 45(12):1391–1425, 2010.
- [18] A. Montes and M. Wibmer. Software for discussing parametric polynomial systems: the Gröbner cover. In *Mathematical software—ICMS 2014*, volume 8592 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 406–413. Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.

- [19] G. Moroz. Complexity of the resolution of parametric systems of polynomial equations and inequations. In *ISSAC 2006*, pages 246–253. ACM, New York, 2006.
- [20] G. Moroz. Properness defects of projection and minimal discriminant variety. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 46(10):1139–1157, 2011.
- [21] A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, editors. *Algebraic Geometry. IV*, volume 55 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [22] V. L. Popov and E. B. Vinberg. Invariant theory. In Parshin and Shafarevich [21], pages 122–278.
- [23] M. Rosenlicht. Some basic theorems on algebraic groups. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 78:401–443, 1956.
- [24] B. Sturmfels. *Algorithms in invariant theory*. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1993.
- [25] V. Weispfenning. Comprehensive Gröbner bases. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 14(1):1–29, 1992.