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Subspace clustering is recognized as a more general and difficult task than standard clustering since it requires to identify not only objects sharing similar feature values but also the various subspaces where these similarities appear. Many approaches have been investigated for subspace clustering in the literature using various clustering paradigms. The reader is referred for instance to [5] for detailed reviews and comparisons of the best methods and main categories. Even if many evolutionary clustering approaches exist [4] very few of them address the subspace clustering problem and still include non-evolutionary stages [7,8].

According to [1], bio-inspired optimization algorithms could be improved by incorporating knowledge from molecular and evolutionary biology. A promising source of advances in optimization is one of the important phenomena in evolutionary biology: the dynamic evolution of the genome structure. Several studies showed for instance that an evolvable genome structure allows evolution to modify the effects that evolution operators (e.g., mutations) have on individuals, a phenomenon known as evolution of evolution [3]. In this paper, we present Chameleoclust, an evolutionary subspace clustering algorithm that incorporates a genome having an evolvable structure. The genome is a coarse-grained genome, inspired on [2], and defined as a list of tuples (the "genes"), each tuple containing numbers. These tuples are mapped at the phenotype level to denote core point locations in different dimensions, which are then used to collectively build the subspace clusters, by grouping the data around the core points. The biological analogy here would be that each gene codes for a molecular product and that the combination of molecular products associated together codes for a function, i.e., a cluster. To allow for evolution of evolution, Chameleoclust genome contains a variable proportion of functional elements as , and is subject to local mutations and to large random rearrangements, namely: large deletions, duplications and translocations. Local mutations and rearrangements may thus modify the genome elements but also the genome structure. The key intuition in the design of the Chameleoclust algorithm is to take advantage of such an evolvable structure to detect various numbers of clusters in subspaces of various dimensions and to self-tune the main evolutionary parameters (e.g., levels of variability). Figure 1 illustrates Chameleoclust genome structure (genome length and proportion of functional elements) and fitness convergence on a synthetic benchmark dataset. The reader is refered to [6] for a detailed description of Chameleoclust. The algorithm has been assessed using a reference framework for subspace clustering evaluation, and compared to state-of-the-art algorithms on both real and synthetic datasets [5]. However in [5] the parameters of the different state-of-the-art algorithms have been optimized according to external
Figure 1: Average of the best fitness, genome length and proportion of functional elements (functionality ratio) of all individuals for 10 runs on a synthetic dataset (1500 objects, 10 dimensions, 0% noise).

information in order to compare the different algorithms by the best possible subspace clusterings they could achieve if we were able to find the most appropriated parameter values. Since generally no external labeling is available when we search for clusters, parameter tuning is most of the time a difficult task and these high quality subspace clusterings are likely to be hard to obtain. This is one of the benefits evolution of evolution may offer. Consequently we decided not to perform any parameter optimization using external information for Chameleoclust. So, we compare clusterings effectively found by Chameleoclust to the best clusterings that could potentially be found by the other algorithms. For almost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>NumClusters</th>
<th>AvgDim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>breast</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetes</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pendigits</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shape</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vowel</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Average number of clusters and average dimensionality per cluster found for each real world dataset (left). Results for the Shape real dataset: 17 dimensions, 9 classes, 160 objects (right)
of dimensions of the synthetic datasets. The corresponding curves show that the algorithm scales rather linearly in both cases.
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Figure 3: Runtime. Maximal in red (circles), median in cyan (triangles), average in green (squares) and minimal in blue (crosses).

The results obtained with the Chameleoclust algorithm show that evolution of evolution, through an evolvable genome structure, is usefull to solve a difficult problem such as subspace clustering.
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