



HAL
open science

On the quasi-hydrostatic quasi-geostrophic model

Antoine Rousseau, James C. McWilliams

► **To cite this version:**

Antoine Rousseau, James C. McWilliams. On the quasi-hydrostatic quasi-geostrophic model. [Research Report] RR-7531, 2011, pp.9. hal-01232740v2

HAL Id: hal-01232740

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01232740v2>

Submitted on 11 Feb 2011 (v2), last revised 27 Jan 2017 (v7)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

*A propos du modèle quasi-geostrophique
quasi-hydrostatique*

Antoine Rousseau — James McWilliams

N° 7531

Février 2011

Observation and Modeling for Environmental Sciences



R
*apport
de recherche*

A propos du modèle quasi-geostrophique quasi-hydrostatique

Antoine Rousseau^{*}, James McWilliams[†]

Theme : Observation and Modeling for Environmental Sciences
Équipe-Projet Moise

Rapport de recherche n° 7531 — Février 2011 — 11 pages

Résumé : Ce travail présente une dérivation rigoureuse des équations quasi-geostrophiques (QG) de l'océan grande échelle lorsque le nombre de Rossby tend vers 0. On suit les techniques classiques de dérivation de ces modèles (voir par exemple [BB94]), mais les équations d'origine sur lesquelles on s'appuie prennent en compte tous les termes de rotation, ainsi que dans [LPR10]. Le modèle ainsi obtenu est légèrement différent du modèle QG traditionnel, et présente une seconde direction verticale, légèrement inclinée. Ceci confirme des simulations numériques et des expérimentations antérieures (voir [SHE04, WB06, GZMvH08]).

Mots-clés : analyse multi-échelles, force de Coriolis, approximation traditionnelle, modèles de circulation générale océanique, limite quasi-geostrophique

^{*} INRIA, Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann, 51 rue des mathématiques, B.P. 53, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France (Antoine.Rousseau@inria.fr).

[†] Dept. of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Mathematical Sciences Building, Room 7983 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1565 (jcm@atmos.ucla.edu).

On the quasi-hydrostatic quasi-geostrophic model

Abstract: This paper introduces a rigorous derivation of the quasi-geostrophic (QG) equations of large scale ocean as the Rossby number goes to zero. We follow classical techniques for the derivation (as in [BB94]), but the primitive equations that we consider account for all the rotating terms, as in [LPR10]. We end up with a slightly different QG model with a tilted vertical direction, which has been illustrated in previous works (see [SHE04, WB06, GZMvH08]).

Key-words: multiscale analysis, Coriolis force, traditional approximation, ocean global circulation models, quasi-geostrophic limit

1 Introduction

The quasi-geostrophic equations are very familiar to oceanographers and meteorologists for they have been extensively used for modeling oceanic and atmospheric circulations ([Cha71, BK75]). These equations are obtained from the 3D primitive equations thanks to an asymptotic expansion with respect to the Rossby number. The model is also very familiar to applied mathematicians, and several studies establishing the well-posedness of the corresponding boundary-value problem have been published (see *e.g.* [BB94, GM97, Mas00]). The primitive equations from which the traditional QG equations are obtained rely on a few well-known hypotheses, among which the so-called *traditional approximation*, which consists in neglecting the rotating terms involving $2\Omega \cos \theta$ that appear in the zonal and vertical components (1a) and (1c) of the momentum equation. This approximation has also been widely discussed in the literature (see [Eck60] and the correspondence in [Phi66, Ver68, Phi68, Wan70]). One may choose not to do this approximation, as in [JMA97, WHRS05] : in this case, the primitive equations are called quasi-hydrostatic (see [LPR10] for a mathematical study).

Since the QG equations are obtained in the zero-limit of the Rossby number (large rotational effects), one could think of retaining all the rotating terms in the primitive equations, before performing the asymptotic analysis. This is the main objective of this paper. We will see in (19) that the modified QG equations, that we will call quasi-hydrostatic quasi-geostrophic (QHQG) equations, are very similar to the traditional QG ones, except that they raise a new vertical direction (denoted Z hereafter), which differ from the traditional vertical direction z . The tilt between z and Z is proportional to the nondimensional parameter λ introduced in (2), which measures the ratio between traditional and nontraditional Coriolis terms. Experimental and numerical evidences of this tilted vertical direction can be found in [SHE04, WB06, GZMvH08] : we provide a first mathematical justification in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows : in Section 2 we perform the rigorous derivation of the QHQG equations, starting from the QH primitive equations. Then, we present in Section 3 some simple physical properties of the QHQG model.

2 Derivation of the QHQG model

In this section we present the derivation of the quasi-hydrostatic quasi-geostrophic (QHQG) equations. The derivation follows classical principles (as in [BB94]) : scaling, asymptotic expansion with respect to a small parameter, equations satisfied at order zero and one. Here, the small parameter (denoted ε in the sequel) is the Rossby number, so that we underline the effect of rotating terms. In order to account for the complete Coriolis force (see *e.g.* [LPR10] and references therein), we retain all the rotating terms in the primitive equa-

tions, including the terms that are usually neglected in the so-called *traditional approximation*¹ : see the discussion in [Phi66, Ver68, Phi68, Wan70]).

2.1 Scaling Parameters and Scaled Equations

We consider a three-dimensional domain with periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal directions, rigid lid and flat bottom in the vertical. The governing primitive equations, including the complete Coriolis force, read :

$$\frac{Du}{Dt} - fv + f^*w = -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{Dv}{Dt} + fu = -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y}, \quad (1b)$$

$$\frac{Dw}{Dt} - f^*u + \frac{g\rho}{\rho_0} = -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}, \quad (1c)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (1d)$$

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = 0. \quad (1e)$$

Here (u, v, w) and ρ are respectively the three-dimensional velocity and density of the fluid, and φ is the renormalized pressure, $\varphi = p/\rho_0$. The scalars $f = 2\Omega \sin(\theta)$ and $f^* = 2\Omega \cos(\theta)$ are the Coriolis parameters where Ω stands for the angular velocity of the earth and θ is the latitude ; g is the universal gravity constant, and ρ_0 stands for the averaged density of the fluid.

Equations (1a) to (1c) describe the conservation of momentum, where D/Dt is the material derivative $D/Dt = \partial/\partial t + u\partial_x + v\partial_y + w\partial_z$, and Equation (1d) corresponds to the conservation of mass. Finally, Equation (1e) describes the advection of tracers (here the density ρ). The density and the pressure may be classically decomposed as

$$\rho(x, y, z, t) = \bar{\rho}(z) + \rho(x, y, z, t) \text{ and } \varphi(x, y, z, t) = \bar{\varphi}(z) + \phi(x, y, z, t),$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ are the (known) background density and potential, depending only on the vertical variable. We also denote by $N^2(z) = -1/\bar{\rho}'(z)$ the buoyancy frequency, assuming that $\bar{\rho}'(z)$ is bounded away from zero.

Before going further in the derivation of the corresponding QG model, let us insist on the fact that we keep in equations (1a) and (1c) the Coriolis terms f^*w and f^*u . This is actually the novelty of this study and will finally lead to a slightly modified QG model (see (18)). We think that it is a relevant modification, since the QG approximation aims at underlying the earth's rotation effects : one should thus include every rotation term in the primitive equations prior to an asymptotic expansion with respect to the Rossby number.

In the context of the β -plane approximation, we have², with θ_0 the average

1. The denomination of *traditional approximation* was introduced by Carl Eckart in his book [Eck60].

2. For simplicity we do not consider any Taylor expansion of f^* with respect to θ . In fact we only keep the 0th order term, as suggested in [GZMvH08].

latitude :

$$f = f_0(1 + \varepsilon\beta_0 y) = 2\Omega \sin \theta_0(1 + \varepsilon\beta_0 y), \quad f^* = f_0^* = 2\Omega \cos \theta_0.$$

We now introduce the following dimensionless variables, as it is classically done in QG modeling :

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= L(x', y'), & z &= H z', & t &= \frac{L}{U} t', \\ u &= U u', & v &= U v', & w &= \frac{UH}{L} w', \\ \bar{\rho} &= P \bar{\rho}', & \rho &= \frac{\varrho_0 f_0 U L}{gH} \rho', & \phi &= f_0 U L \phi'. \end{aligned}$$

The Rossby number $\varepsilon = U/f_0 L$ is the fundamental ordering parameter in the following asymptotic expansion. A secondary ordering parameter is the scale ratio of ρ to ϱ : this ratio is assumed to be ε , that is, we assume :

$$\frac{\varrho_0 f_0 U L}{gH} = P \varepsilon.$$

Finally, the density may be expressed in terms of nondimensional quantities :

$$\varrho = P \left(\bar{\rho}'(z) + \varepsilon \rho' \right).$$

Another usual nondimensional number is the aspect ratio $\delta = H/L$; δ also appears in the ratio between the two Coriolis terms in the zonal momentum equation (1a), which scales as

$$\lambda = \delta \cot[\theta_0]. \quad (2)$$

When we considered the scaling numbers introduced above, we have implicitly assumed that the leading term at the left-hand-side of Equation (1a) was $f v$, which means that $\delta \cot[\theta_0]$ should not be too large :

$$\lambda \lesssim 1. \quad (3)$$

Fortunately, because the aspect ratio $\delta = H/L$ is rather small in large ocean models, the condition (3) is easily satisfied. However, the objective of the present work is to draw the reader's attention on the fact that λ is not necessarily small, and that it may have some physical repercussions (see Section 3).

We end this section with the scaled equations (we naturally drop the primes) :

$$\varepsilon \frac{Du}{Dt} - (1 + \varepsilon\beta_0 y)v + \lambda w = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad (4a)$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{Dv}{Dt} + (1 + \varepsilon\beta_0 y)u = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \quad (4b)$$

$$\varepsilon \delta^2 \frac{Dw}{Dt} - \lambda u + \rho = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}, \quad (4c)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (4d)$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{D\varrho}{Dt} + w \bar{\rho}_z = 0, \quad (4e)$$

where we recall that ε is the Rossby number (meant to go to zero), $\delta = H/L$ is the domain aspect ratio, and $\lambda = \delta \cot(\theta_0)$.

2.2 Geostrophic Balance

We now consider an asymptotic expansion of all variables with respect to the Rossby number : for every unknown function ξ , we write the formal asymptotic expansion

$$\xi = \xi^{(0)} + \varepsilon \xi^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \xi^{(2)} + \dots$$

where $(\xi^{(j)})_{j \geq 0}$ behave as $O(1)$ as ε goes to zero. Equations (4a)-(4c) give, keeping only the order zero terms in ε :

$$-v^{(0)} + \lambda w^{(0)} = -\phi_x^{(0)}, \quad (5)$$

$$u^{(0)} = -\phi_y^{(0)}, \quad (6)$$

$$-\lambda u^{(0)} + \rho^{(0)} = -\phi_z^{(0)}. \quad (7)$$

The incompressibility condition reads $w_z^{(0)} = -u_x^{(0)} - v_y^{(0)}$ and this *traditionally* leads to $w^{(0)} = 0$, thanks to equations (5), (6) and boundary conditions on w (see [BB94]). Here, the incompressibility condition does not provide $w_z^{(0)} = 0$, but we have, denoting $\partial_Z = \partial_z + \lambda \partial_y$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_Z^{(0)} &= w_z^{(0)} + \lambda w_y^{(0)} \\ &= -u_x^{(0)} - v_y^{(0)} + \lambda w_y^{(0)} \\ &= \text{curl}(\phi_y, \phi_x) \\ w_Z^{(0)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thanks to (8) and to homogeneous boundary conditions on $w^{(0)}$, we finally obtain³ that $w^{(0)} = 0$.

The geostrophic equations read :

$$-v^{(0)} = -\phi_x^{(0)}, \quad (9a)$$

$$u^{(0)} = -\phi_y^{(0)}, \quad (9b)$$

$$\rho^{(0)} = -\phi_z^{(0)} - \lambda \phi_y^{(0)} = -\phi_Z^{(0)}, \quad (9c)$$

$$w^{(0)} = 0. \quad (9d)$$

2.3 Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

Now we need the first order equations in order to determine the evolution of $\phi^{(0)}$. We denote by d_g the zero-order material derivative :

$$d_g = \partial_t + u^{(0)} \partial_x + v^{(0)} \partial_y.$$

The first order equations are :

$$d_g u^{(0)} - \beta_0 y v^{(0)} - v^{(1)} + \lambda w^{(1)} = -\phi_x^{(1)}, \quad (10a)$$

$$d_g v^{(0)} + \beta_0 y u^{(0)} + u^{(1)} = -\phi_y^{(1)}, \quad (10b)$$

$$-\lambda u^{(1)} + \rho^{(1)} = -\phi_z^{(1)}, \quad (10c)$$

$$u_x^{(1)} + v_y^{(1)} + w_z^{(1)} = 0, \quad (10d)$$

$$d_g \rho^{(0)} + w^{(1)} \bar{\rho}_z = 0. \quad (10e)$$

3. Alternatively, we have equation (4e) which (written to the order zero and since $\bar{\rho}(z)$ never vanishes) leads to $w^{(0)} = 0$.

We now take the curl of equations (10a)-(10b) to obtain, thanks to Equation (10d)

$$d_g(v_x^{(0)} - u_y^{(0)}) - w_z^{(1)} - \lambda w_y^{(1)} + \beta_0 v^{(0)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

We notice, as for the traditional QG equations, that $\beta_0 v^{(0)} = d_g(\beta_0 y)$. We thus try to express $-w_z^{(1)} - \lambda w_y^{(1)} = w_z^{(1)}$ as $d_g(\Gamma)$ where Γ is a function to be defined. To this aim, we will extensively make use of Equation (10e) that we reformulate :

$$w^{(1)} = N^2 d_g \rho^{(0)} = d_g(N^2 \rho^{(0)}). \quad (12)$$

Given (12), we may compute the required quantity

$$w_z^{(1)} + \lambda w_y^{(1)} = \left(d_g(N^2 \rho^{(0)}) \right)_z + \lambda \left(d_g(N^2 \rho^{(0)}) \right)_y. \quad (13)$$

We remark that for any function ξ and any variable $*$ we have the identity

$$\left(d_g(\xi) \right)_* = d_g(\xi_*) + u_*^{(0)} \partial_x \xi + v_*^{(0)} \partial_y \xi,$$

so that we can write

$$w_z^{(1)} + \lambda w_y^{(1)} = d_g \left((N^2 \rho^{(0)})_z \right) + \lambda d_g \left((N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y \right) + R, \quad (14)$$

where the rest R , according to the remark above, writes

$$\begin{aligned} R &= u_z^{(0)} (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_x + v_z^{(0)} (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y + \lambda u_y^{(0)} (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_x + \lambda v_y^{(0)} (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y \\ &= (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_x (u_z^{(0)} + \lambda u_y^{(0)}) + (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y (v_z^{(0)} + \lambda v_y^{(0)}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using (9a)-(9c) again, we have

$$R = N^2 \rho_x^{(0)} \rho_y^{(0)} - N^2 \rho_y^{(0)} \rho_x^{(0)} = 0,$$

which simplifies Equation (14) as follows :

$$w_z^{(1)} = w_z^{(1)} + \lambda w_y^{(1)} = d_g \left((N^2 \rho^{(0)})_z + \lambda (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y \right). \quad (16)$$

Back to Equation (11), we obtain the quasi-hydrostatic quasi-geostrophic equation :

$$d_g \left(v_x^{(0)} - u_y^{(0)} - (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_z - \lambda (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y + \beta_0 y \right) = 0. \quad (17)$$

The potential vorticity

$$v_x^{(0)} - u_y^{(0)} - (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_z - \lambda (N^2 \rho^{(0)})_y + \beta_0 y$$

is thus conserved along material paths.

Let us now rewrite Equation (17), expressing everything in terms of $\phi^{(0)}$. We have

$$\left(\partial_t - \phi_y^{(0)} \partial_x + \phi_x^{(0)} \partial_y \right) \left(\Delta \phi^{(0)} + N^2 (\partial_z + \lambda \partial_y)^2 \phi^{(0)} + N_z^2 (\partial_z + \lambda \partial_y) \phi^{(0)} + \beta_0 y \right) = 0, \quad (18)$$

which we could also write, with $\partial_Z = \partial_z + \lambda\partial_y$,

$$\left(\partial_t - \phi_y^{(0)}\partial_x + \phi_x^{(0)}\partial_y\right)\left(\Delta\phi^{(0)} + (N^2\phi_Z^{(0)})_Z + \beta_0 y\right) = 0. \quad (19)$$

One can thus easily recognize the traditional QG equation (see Equation (2.23) in [BB94]), except that the differential operator ∂_z is replaced by $\partial_Z = \partial_z + \lambda\partial_y$. We recall here that $\lambda = \delta \cot \theta_0$ is proportional to the domain aspect ratio. In particular, we recover the traditional QG equation when setting $\delta = 0$ in equations (17), (18) or (19).

3 Simple physical properties of the QH-QG model

We reformulate the QHQG equation as follows :

$$D[q + \beta y] = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_Z\left[\frac{1}{N^2(z)}\partial_Z\right]\right)\phi = q, \quad (21)$$

where $D = \partial_t + J_{xy}[\Phi, \cdot]$, $\partial_Z = \partial_z + \lambda\partial_y$, and $\lambda = \frac{H}{L} \cot \theta_0$.

3.1 Separable solutions

Unlike in QG this system, when linearized by neglecting $J[\phi, q]$, does not have vertically separable solutions for general $N(z)$. One could think about whether the system could be justified with a background stratification of $N(Z)$, which would have Z -separable solutions. However, if N is constant, then vertically separable solutions do exist.

3.2 Ranges for the non-traditional terms

Assuming a wavenumber-space characterization of the solution in terms of vertical and horizontal wavenumbers, kv and kh , or equivalently local values of H and L , we can ask when the λ value is not small.

In QG the common view (sometimes called Charney's stretched isotropy) is that the Burger number, $Bu = NH/2\Omega L = kh/(2\Omega kv/N)$, is order one while $2\Omega/N$ is small. If $H/L \sim 2\Omega/N \ll 1$, then $\lambda \sim (2\Omega/N) \cot \theta_0$, which will be small except when θ_0 is very close to the Equator.

Alternatively, if we consider flow patterns with $2\Omega kv/Nkh \sim r \ll 1$, then $\lambda \sim (2\Omega/Nr) \cot \theta_0$. For small enough r , λ need not be small, and the QH correction to QG will be important. This happens when the aspect ratio H/L is large, the stratification is weak, and/or θ_0 is small. Large aspect ratio can be described from the QG perspective as atypically "tall" flows.

3.3 Rossby wave modes

One class of simple solutions is Rossby wave modes. They satisfy a linearized PDE usually justified by an assumption of small amplitude flow,

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (22)$$

With constant N in either a vertically bounded or unbounded domain, eigenmodes are

$$\phi \propto e^{i(kx+ly+mz-\omega t)}, \quad (23)$$

with a dispersion relation,

$$\omega = \frac{-\beta k}{K^2}, \quad K^2 = k^2 + l^2 + \frac{1}{N^2}(m + \lambda)^2. \quad (24)$$

Thus, $\lambda \neq 0$ implies lower frequency and slower phase speed. We can also consider the group velocity for wave energy propagation, $c_g = \partial_k \omega$. Its components are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \omega &= \frac{\beta(2k^2 - K^2)}{K^4}, \\ \partial_l \omega &= \frac{2\beta k(l + \lambda(m + \lambda)/N^2)}{K^4} \\ \partial_m \omega &= \frac{2\beta k(m + \lambda)/N^2}{K^4} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This usually has a more westward zonal propagation due to $\lambda \neq 0$, and its (y, z) propagation is altered as well. These effects also occur for the barotropic mode ($m = 0$) where the vertical propagation is nonzero because of the QH correction.

3.4 Vortex solutions

A simple vortex solution is a nonlinear stationary state when $\beta = 0$ and N is constant. In QG this occurs for any axisymmetric profile, $\phi(r, z)$, where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ is the radial coordinate, which is sufficient to make $J[\phi, q] = 0$ even when the arguments have large amplitude. Physical interest usually lies in profiles that are localized in r , e.g., a monopole with $\phi(r)$ decaying away from a central extremum. In QH nonlinear stationary solutions exist for any profile $\phi(\xi, z)$, where $\xi^2 = x^2 + y^2/(1 + \lambda^2/N^2)$; ξ is an elliptical ‘‘radius’’ associated with the coordinate transformation,

$$x = \xi \cos[v], \quad y = \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2/N^2} \xi \sin[v]. \quad (26)$$

Thus, QH vortices are meridionally elongated rather than horizontally round. Notice that in the vortex solutions the relevant parameter for QH differences from QG is λ/N rather than λ alone. This gives further emphasis to situations with weak stratification (small N).

Références

- [BB94] Alfred J. Bourgeois and J. Thomas Beale. Validity of the quasigeostrophic model for large-scale flow in the atmosphere and ocean. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 25(4) :1023–1068, 1994.
- [BK75] F. J. Bretherton and M. J. Karweit. Mid-ocean mesoscale modeling. In *Numerical Models of Ocean Circulation*, pages 237–. Ocean Affairs Board, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 1975. [Quasi-geostrophic layered model with doubly-periodic horizontal boundary conditions and double Fourier pseudospectral algorithm.]
- [Cha71] Jule G. Charney. Geostrophic turbulence. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 28(6) :1087–1095, 1971.
- [Eck60] Carl Eckart. *Hydrodynamics of oceans and atmospheres*. Pergamon Press, New York, 1960.
- [GM97] E. Grenier and N. Masmoudi. Ekman layers of rotating fluids, the case of well prepared initial data. *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations*, 22 :953–975, 1997.
- [GZMvH08] T. Gerkema, J. T. F. Zimmerman, L. R. M. Maas, and H. van Haren. Geophysical and astrophysical fluid dynamics beyond the traditional approximation. *Rev. Geophys.*, 46(2), 05 2008.
- [JMA97] L. Perelman J. Marshall, C. Hill and A. Adcroft. Hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic, and nonhydrostatic ocean modeling. *J. Geophys. Research*, 102 :5733–5752, 1997.
- [LPR10] Carine Lucas, Madalina Petcu, and Antoine Rousseau. Quasi-hydrostatic primitive equations for ocean global circulation models. *Chin. Ann. Math.*, 31B(6) :1–20, 2010.
- [Mas00] N. Masmoudi. Ekman layers of rotating fluids : The case of general initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53(4) :432–483, 2000.
- [Phi66] Norman A. Phillips. The equations of motion for a shallow rotating atmosphere and the "traditional approximation". *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 23 :626–628, 1966.
- [Phi68] Norman A. Phillips. Reply (to George Veronis). *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 25 :1155–1157, 1968.
- [SHE04] VITALII A. SHEREMET. Laboratory experiments with tilted convective plumes on a centrifuge : a finite angle between the buoyancy force and the axis of rotation. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 506 :217–244, 2004.
- [Ver68] George Veronis. Comments on phillips proposed simplification of the equations of motion for a shallow rotating atmosphere. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 25 :1154–1155, 1968.
- [Wan70] Roald K. Wangsness. Comments on "the equations of motion for a shallow rotating atmosphere and the 'traditionnal approximation' ". *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 27 :504–506, 1970.
- [WB06] A. Wirth and B. Barnier. Tilted convective plumes in numerical experiments. *Ocean Modelling*, 12(1-2) :101–111, 2006.

- [WHRS05] Andy A. White, Brian J. Hoskins, Ian Roulstone, and Andrew Staniforth. Consistent approximate models of the global atmosphere : shallow, deep, hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 131 :2081–2107, 2005.



Centre de recherche INRIA Grenoble – Rhône-Alpes
655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France)

Centre de recherche INRIA Bordeaux – Sud Ouest : Domaine Universitaire - 351, cours de la Libération - 33405 Talence Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Lille – Nord Europe : Parc Scientifique de la Haute Borne - 40, avenue Halley - 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq
Centre de recherche INRIA Nancy – Grand Est : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Paris – Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France : Parc Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes : 4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 Orsay Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis – Méditerranée : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex

Éditeur
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)
<http://www.inria.fr>
ISSN 0249-6399