

Counting self-dual interval orders

Vít Jelínek

▶ To cite this version:

Vít Jelínek. Counting self-dual interval orders. 23rd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2011), 2011, Reykjavik, Iceland. pp.539-550, $10.46298/\mathrm{dmtcs}.2932$. hal-01215055

HAL Id: hal-01215055 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01215055v1

Submitted on 13 Oct 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Counting self-dual interval orders

Vít Jelínek†

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Wien, Austria

Abstract. In this paper, we first derive an explicit formula for the generating function that counts unlabeled interval orders (a.k.a. (2+2)-free posets) with respect to several natural statistics, including their size, magnitude, and the number of minimal and maximal elements. In the second part of the paper, we derive a generating function for the number of self-dual unlabeled interval orders, with respect to the same statistics.

DMTCS proc. **AO**, 2011, 539–550

Our method is based on a bijective correspondence between interval orders and upper-triangular matrices in which each row and column has a positive entry.

Résumé. Dans cet article, on obtient une expression explicite pour la fonction génératrice du nombre des ensembles partiellement ordonnés (posets) qui évitent le motif (2+2). La fonction compte ces ensembles par rapport à plusieurs statistiques naturelles, incluant le nombre d'éléments, le nombre de niveaux, et le nombre d'éléments minimaux et maximaux. Dans la deuxième partie, on obtient une expression similaire pour la fonction génératrice des posets autoduaux évitant le motif (2+2).

On obtient ces résultats à l'aide d'une bijection entre les posets évitant (2 + 2) et les matrices triangulaires supérieures dont chaque ligne et chaque colonne contient un élément positif.

Keywords: interval orders, (2 + 2)-free posets, self-dual posets

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to enumerate interval orders (also known as (2+2)-free posets) with respect to several natural poset statistics, including the size, the magnitude, and the number of minimal and maximal elements. We are mostly motivated by the generating function formulas recently obtained by Bousquet-Mélou et al. [3], Kitaev and Remmel [14], and Dukes et al. [5].

Although the formulas derived in this paper provide a common generalization of these previous results, the method we use is different. The previous results were derived using a recursive bijection between interval orders and ascent sequences, due to Bousquet-Mélou et al. [3]. In this paper, we instead use an encoding of interval orders by upper-triangular matrices without zero rows and zero columns (we call such matrices *Fishburn matrices*. Our approach is considerably simpler than the approach based on ascent sequences. More importantly, our approach allows to easily capture the notion of poset duality,

[†]Supported by grant no. 090038011 from the Icelandic Research Fund and by grant Z130-N13 from the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF). Part of this research was conducted during the author's stay at the University of Reykjavík. jelinek@kam.mff.cuni.cz

which corresponds to transposition of Fishburn matrices. Consequently, we are easily able to adapt our method to the problem of enumerating self-dual interval orders, for which no explicit enumeration has been known so far.

Basic Notions

All the posets considered in this paper are assumed to be finite. We also assume that the posets are unlabeled, that is, isomorphic posets are taken to be identical. Let P be a poset with a strict order relation \prec . A strict down-set of an element $y \in P$ is the set D(y) of all the elements of P that are smaller than y, i.e., $D(y) = \{x \in P; \ x \prec y\}$. Similarly, the strict up-set of y, denoted by U(y), is the set $\{x \in P; \ x \succ y\}$. Note that y is a minimal element of P if and only if D(y) is empty, and y is a maximal element if and only if U(y) is empty.

For a poset P, the following conditions are known to be equivalent [2, 8]:

- P is (2+2)-free, that is, P does not have an induced subposet isomorphic to the disjoint union of two chains of length two.
- P has an interval representation, that is, with each element $x \in P$ we may associate a real closed interval $[l_x, r_x]$, in such a way that $x \prec y$ if and only if $r_x < l_y$.
- For any two elements $x, y \in P$, the strict down-sets D(x) and D(y) are comparable by inclusion, i.e., $D(x) \subseteq D(y)$ or $D(y) \subseteq D(x)$.
- For any two elements $x, y \in P$, the strict up-sets U(x) and U(y) are comparable by inclusion.

The posets that satisfy these properties are known as *interval orders*. Let us briefly review some of their basic properties. For a more thorough exposition, see e.g. Fishburn's monograph [11].

Let P be an interval order. Two elements x and y of P are indistinguishable if U(x) = U(y) and D(x) = D(y). This is an equivalence relation on P. If no two distinct elements of P are indistinguishable, then P is said to be *primitive*. Every interval order P can be uniquely obtained from a primitive interval order P' by simultaneously replacing each element of P' by a positive number of 'duplicates'.

Since any two strict down-sets in P are comparable by inclusion, it is possible to arrange all the distinct strict down-sets into an increasing chain $D_1 \subsetneq D_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq D_m$, where m is the number of distinct strict down-sets determined by elements of P. An element $x \in P$ is said to have $level\ i$, if $D(x) = D_i$. Note that D_1 is always the empty set, and the elements of level 1 are exactly the minimal elements of P. Following Fishburn [9, 10], we call the number m of distinct strict down-sets the magnitude of P. It turns out that m is also equal to the number of distinct strict up-sets, and we can order the strict up-sets of P into a decreasing chain $U_1 \supsetneq U_2 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq U_m$, and we say that x has up-level i if $U(x) = U_i$. The maximal elements of P are precisely the elements of up-level m, and we have $U_m = \emptyset$. It can be shown [10] that an element of level i has an up-level greater than or equal to i. An interval representation of P can be obtained by mapping an element x with level x and up-level x to the (possibly degenerate) interval x interval x in the unique representation of x by intervals with endpoints belonging to the set x in x in x in x and in particular, there is no interval representation of x with fewer than x distinct endpoints.

The *dual* of a poset P is the poset \overline{P} with the same elements as P and an order relation $\overline{\prec}$ defined by $x\overline{\prec}y\iff y\prec x$. A poset is *self-dual* if it is isomorphic to its dual. The dual of an interval order P of

magnitude m is again an interval order of magnitude m, and an element of level i and up-level j in P has the level m+1-j and up-level m+1-i in \overline{P} .

Throughout this paper, an important part will be played by a bijective correspondence between interval orders and a certain kind of integer matrices, which we will call Fishburn matrices. We will state the key properties of the correspondence without proof. A slightly more technical, but essentially equivalent, version of this correspondence has been used by Fishburn [9, 11], where these matrices are called 'characteristic matrices'. The matrix representation has also been applied in several other papers related to interval orders [4, 6, 7].

A Fishburn matrix is an upper-triangular square matrix M of nonnegative integers with the property that every row and every column contains a nonzero entry. A Fishburn matrix is called *primitive* if all its entries are equal to 0 or 1. We always assume that a matrix has its rows numbered from top to bottom, and columns numbered left-to-right, starting with row and column number one. Let M_{ij} be the entry of M in row i and column j.

An interval order P of magnitude m corresponds to an $m \times m$ Fishburn matrix M with M_{ij} being equal to the number of elements of P that have level i and up-level j. Conversely, given an $m \times m$ Fishburn matrix M, we may recover the corresponding interval order P by taking the collection of intervals that contains precisely M_{ij} copies of the interval [i,j], and taking this to be the interval representation of P.

This correspondence is a bijection between Fishburn matrices and interval orders. In fact, in this correspondence, each nonzero entry M_{ij} of M can be associated with a group of M_{ij} indistinguishable elements of P. Note that the sum of the i-th row of M is equal to the number of elements of level i in P, and similarly for column-sums and up-levels.

Primitive interval orders correspond to primitive Fishburn matrices. If the order P is mapped to a matrix M, then the dual order \overline{P} is mapped to the matrix \overline{M} obtained from M by transposition along the diagonal running from bottom-left to top-right. If a matrix M is equal to \overline{M} , we call it *self-dual*. Of course, self-dual matrices are representing precisely the self-dual interval orders.

Previous work and our results

Interval orders are equinumerous with several other combinatorial structures. Apart from the correspondence between interval orders and Fishburn matrices, there are also bijections mapping interval orders to other combinatorial objects, such as ascent sequences [3], Stoimenow matchings [17], or certain classes of pattern-avoiding permutations [3, 16]. Many of these combinatorial structures have been studied independently even before their relationship to interval orders was discovered.

The concept of interval order has been introduced by Fishburn [8] in 1970. In 1976, Andresen and Kjeldsen [1] have studied, under different terminology, an enumeration problem equivalent to counting the number of primitive Fishburn matrices with respect to their dimension and the number of elements in the first row, which in poset terminology corresponds to the number of primitive interval orders of a given magnitude and number of minimal elements. They obtained asymptotic bounds for the number of these matrices, as well as recurrence formulas that allowed them to compute several exact initial values.

In 1987, Haxell, McDonald and Thomason [12] have described the first efficient algorithm to compute the number of interval orders, using a recurrence derived using Fishburn matrices, which were already known to be equinumerous with interval orders, thanks to the work of Fishburn [11].

In 1998, Stoimenow [17] has introduced the concept of 'regular linearized chord diagram', later often referred to as a 'Stoimenow matching'. A Stoimenow matching of size n is a matching on the set [2n] in which no two nested edges have adjacent endpoints. Stoimenow has introduced these matchings as

a tool in the study of Vassiliev invariants of knots, and computed several asymptotic bounds on their number. Later, these bounds were improved by Zagier [19], who also showed that the generating function of Stoimenow matchings enumerated by their size admits a simple formula

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - (1-x)^{i} \right). \tag{1}$$

Recently, Bousquet-Mélou, Claesson, Dukes and Kitaev [3] have found a sequence of bijections, showing that interval orders are equinumerous with several other combinatorial objects, including Stoimenow matchings. They have also provided an alternative proof for (1), and derived a formula for the refined generating function that counts interval order with respect to their size and magnitude. This result has prompted renewed interest in this line of research. Dukes, Kitaev, Remmel and Steingrímsson [5] have found an expression for the generating function that enumerates primitive interval orders with respect to their size and magnitude, and deduced a formula that counts interval orders by their size, magnitude and the number of indistinguishable elements. Kitaev and Remmel [14] have obtained, among other results, the formula

$$F(x,y) = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{xy}{(1-xy)^{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - (1-x)^i\right),\tag{2}$$

where F(x,y) is the generating function of interval orders in which x counts the size and y the number of minimal elements of the interval order. They conjectured that F(x,y) can be also expressed in the following form:

$$F(x,y) = \sum_{n>0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - (1-x)^{i-1} (1-xy) \right).$$
 (3)

This conjecture has been subsequently confirmed by Yan [18] and independently by Levande [15]. Let us remark formula (3) also appears in Zagier's work [19, Theorem 1], but there it is interpreted in terms of Stoimenow matchings, not interval orders.

In Section 2 of this paper, we generalize the above-mentioned results of Bousquet-Mélou et al. [3], Dukes et al. [5], and Kitaev and Remmel [14], by obtaining a closed-form expression for the generation function of primitive interval orders, counted with respect to their magnitude, their size, and their number of minimal and maximal elements. From this expression, it is possible to directly derive the generating function of general interval orders, or of interval orders with bounded size of indistinguishability classes, counted with respect to the same statistics.

However, the main significance of our results is not in counting more statistics than previous papers, but rather in presenting a much simpler method to derive the generating functions. Previous results were largely based on a bijection, constructed by Bousquet-Mélou et al. [3], which maps interval orders to a certain kind of integer sequences, known as 'ascent sequences'. This bijection has a complicated recursive definition, which consequently leads to difficult recurrences for generating functions, which then require great ingenuity to be solved into closed forms. In contrast, the arguments in this paper are based on the encoding of interval orders as Fishburn matrices. We exploit a relationship between Fishburn matrices of dimension m and those of dimension m+1 to obtain a recurrence for the generating function that can be easily solved by elementary means.

To further illustrate the benefit of this approach, in Section 3 we enumerate self-dual interval orders, by a slightly more elaborate application of the same basic technique. The problem of counting self-dual

interval orders with respect to their size, or counting primitive self-dual Fishburn matrices with respect to their dimension, does not seem to have been addressed by previous research, presumably because there is no known way to characterize self-duality in terms of ascent sequences. In view of this, it is remarkable that the expressions we obtain for the generating functions of self-dual objects are almost as simple as those of their non-self-dual counterparts.

2 Enumeration of Interval Orders

Recall that a primitive poset is a poset that does not contain any two indistinguishable elements. Our main concern will be to find an expression for the generating function of primitive interval orders, or equivalently, of 01-Fishburn matrices.

Let us call an element of a poset P isolated if it is not comparable to any other element of P. Note that an element is isolated if and only if it is both minimal and maximal. The number of isolated elements of an interval order P is equal to the value of the top-right cell of the corresponding Fishburn matrix. We will call the top-right cell of the matrix the corner cell.

Let us also say that an element of the poset P is *internal* if it is neither minimal nor maximal. We will consider these statistics of an interval order P:

- the magnitude of P, denoted by mag(P),
- the number of isolated elements, denoted by iso(P),
- the number of non-isolated minimal elements, denoted by $\min(P)$,
- the number of non-isolated maximal elements, denoted by $\max(P)$, and
- \bullet the number of internal elements, denoted by int(P).

In particular, the size of P is equal to $\operatorname{iso}(P) + \min(P) + \max(P) + \operatorname{int}(P)$, and its number of minimal elements is equal to $\min(P) + \operatorname{iso}(P)$. In Table 1, we summarize these statistics, with their interpretation both in terms of posets and in terms of matrices. As a matter of convenience, we restrict ourselves to nonempty interval orders and non-empty matrices in our arguments. If M is the Fishburn matrix representing an interval order P, we write $\operatorname{iso}(M)$ as a synonym for $\operatorname{iso}(P)$, and similarly for the other statistics. For an integer $n \geq 0$, we let $V_n(a,b)$ denote the polynomial $(a+1)(b+1)^n-1$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all non-empty primitive interval orders, and let G be the generating function

$$G(t,v,w,x,y) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} t^{\mathrm{mag}(P)} x^{\mathrm{iso}(P)} y^{\mathrm{min}(P)} v^{\mathrm{max}(P)} w^{\mathrm{int}(P)}.$$

We can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1 The generating function G(t, v, w, x, y) satisfies the identity

$$G(t, v, w, x, y) = \sum_{n \ge 0} t^{n+1} \frac{V_n(x, y)}{1 + tV_n(v, w)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{V_i(v, w)}{1 + tV_i(v, w)}.$$
 (4)

statistic	poset interpretation	matrix interpretation	variable
mag	magnitude	number of rows	t
iso	num. of isolated elements	value of corner cell	x
min	num. of non-isolated minimal elements	sum of the first row, except the corner cell	y
max	num. of non-isolated maximal elements	sum of the last column, except the corner cell	v
int	num. of internal elements	sum of cells outside first row and last column	w

Tab. 1: The statistics of interval orders

Remark 2.2 Let $S_n \equiv S_n(t, v, w, x, y)$ denote the n-th summand of the sum on the right-hand side of (4). Clearly, S_n is a multiple of t^{n+1} . Consequently, the sum on the right-hand side of (4) converges as a sum of power series in t. Moreover, S_n has total degree at least n in the variables v and w. Thus, the sum also converges as a sum of power series in v and v. Furthermore, for all v, the coefficient of v in v is a polynomial in v, v, v and v, and v.

The properties stated in the above remark make the identity (4) amenable to many combinatorially meaningful substitutions. Before we state the proof of the theorem, we demonstrate several possible substitutions. Note that some of the formulas we derive have been previously obtained by different methods.

Corollary 2.3 [5, Theorem 8] Let p_k be the number of primitive interval orders of size k. The generating function of p_k is equal to

$$\sum_{k>1} p_k x^k = G(1, x, x, x, x) = \sum_{n>0} \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{V_i(x, x)}{1 + V_i(x, x)} = \sum_{n>0} \prod_{i=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+x)^{i+1}}\right).$$

Corollary 2.4 Let m_k be the number of primitive $k \times k$ Fishburn matrices (or equivalently, the number of primitive interval orders of magnitude k). Then

$$\sum_{m>1} m_k t^k = G(t, 1, 1, 1, 1) = \sum_{n>0} t^{n+1} \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{2^{i+1} - 1}{1 + t(2^{i+1} - 1)}.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be the set of non-empty interval orders, and let $G^*(t, v, w, x, y)$ be the corresponding generating function

$$G^*(t,v,w,x,y) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{G}} t^{\operatorname{mag}(P)} x^{\operatorname{iso}(P)} y^{\min(P)} v^{\max(P)} w^{\operatorname{int}(P)}.$$

Each interval order can be uniquely obtained from a primitive interval order by replacing each element with a group of indistinguishable elements. In terms of generating functions, this means that

$$G^*(t, v, w, x, y) = G(t, \frac{v}{1-v}, \frac{w}{1-w}, \frac{x}{1-x}, \frac{y}{1-y}).$$

By substituting into (4), we get the next corollary.

Corollary 2.5 The generating function $G^*(t, v, w, x, y)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} t^{n+1} \frac{1+V_n(-v,-w)}{1+V_n(-x,-y)} \cdot \frac{V_n(-x,-y)}{(t-1)V_n(-v,-w)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{V_i(-v,-w)}{(t-1)V_i(-v,-w)-1}.$$

From Corollary 2.5 we can obtain yet another proof of the formula (3) derived by Levande [15] and Yan [18] (and indirectly also by Zagier [19]) for the generating function of interval orders counted by their size and number of maximal elements (or equivalently size and number of minimal elements).

Corollary 2.6 [15, 18, 19] Let $g_{k,\ell}$ be the number of interval orders with k elements and having exactly ℓ maximal elements (including isolated ones). We have

$$\sum_{k,\ell \geq 1} g_{k,\ell} r^k s^\ell = G^*(1, rs, r, rs, r) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^n -V_i(-rs, -r) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - (1 - rs)(1 - r)^i).$$

Kitaev and Remmel have obtained a different expression for the generating function from the previous corollary. This alternative expression can also be derived from the general formula for G^* , by restricting the general formula to count minimal elements, rather than maximal ones.

Corollary 2.7 [14] With $g_{k,\ell}$ as in Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\sum_{k,\ell \ge 1} g_{k,\ell} \, r^k s^\ell = G^*(1, r, r, rs, rs) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{rs}{(1 - rs)^{n+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - (1 - r)^{i+1} \right). \tag{5}$$

Let us now prove Theorem 2.1. Define $G_k(v, w, x, y) = [t^k]G(t, v, w, x, y)$, that is, G_k is the coefficient of t^k in G. We will state the proof in the language of Fishburn matrices rather than in the equivalent language of interval orders. It is thus convenient to view G_k as the generating function of the primitive $k \times k$ Fishburn matrices.

The next lemma provides the main idea in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.8 For any $k \ge 1$, we have

$$G_{k+1}(v, w, x, y) = vG_k(v + w + vw, w, x + y + xy, y) - vG_k(v, w, x, y).$$
(6)

Proof: Let \mathcal{M}_k denote the set of primitive $k \times k$ Fishburn matrices. We will describe an operation which from a given matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ produces a (typically not unique) new matrix $M' \in \mathcal{M}_{k+1}$. The matrix M' is created by adding to M a new rightmost column and a new bottom row, and filling them according to these rules:

- $M'_{k+1,k+1} = 1$, and all the other cells in row k+1 of M' have value 0.
- For every $j \leq k$, if $M_{j,k} = 0$, then $M'_{j,k} = M'_{j,k+1} = 0$.
- For every $j \leq k$, if $M_{j,k}=1$ we choose one of the three possibilities to fill $M'_{j,k}$ and $M'_{j,k+1}$: either $M'_{j,k}=0$ and $M'_{j,k+1}=1$, or $M'_{j,k}=M'_{j,k+1}=1$, or $M'_{j,k}=1$ and $M'_{j,k+1}=0$.
- Any other cell has the same value in M' as in M.

If M has p 1-cells in column k, then the above operation can produce 3^p matrices M'. All these 3^p matrices are upper-triangular, all of them have at least one 1-cell in each row, all of them have at least one 1-cell in each column different from column k, and all except for exactly one of them have at least one 1-cell in column k. In other words, for a given $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ with p 1-cells in column k, the above operation

produces 3^p-1 matrices M' from \mathcal{M}_{k+1} (and one 'bad' matrix not belonging to \mathcal{M}_{k+1}). It is not difficult to see that each matrix $M' \in \mathcal{M}_{k+1}$ can be created in this way from exactly one matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$.

More generally, suppose that $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ is a matrix with $\mathrm{iso}(M) = a$, $\min(M) = b$, $\max(M) = c$ and $\mathrm{int}(M) = d$, that is, M contributes the monomial $x^a y^b v^c w^d$ into $G_k(v, w, x, y)$. Then all the Fishburn matrices produced from M have generating function

$$v\left((x+y+xy)^ay^b(v+w+vw)^cw^d-x^ay^bv^cw^d\right),$$

where the leftmost factor of v counts the 1-cell (k+1, k+1) of M'. Summing this expression over all $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ gives the recurrence from the statement of the lemma.

An idea very similar to the previous proof has already been used by Haxell, McDonald, and Thomason [12] to obtain an efficient algorithm for the enumeration of interval orders. It is also closely related to the approach that Khamis [13] has used to derive a recurrence formula for the number of interval orders of a given size and height.

We now deduce Theorem 2.1 from Lemma 2.8 by a simple manipulation of series. For any power series F(v,w,x,y), let $\sigma[F(v,w,x,y)]$ denote the power series F(v+w+vw,w,x+y+xy,y), that is, σ represents the substitution of v+w+vw for v and x+y+xy for x. Let $\sigma^{(i)}[F(v,w,x,y)]$ denote the i-fold iteration of σ , i.e., $\sigma^{(0)}[F]=F$ and for $i\geq 1$, $\sigma^{(i)}[F]=\sigma[\sigma^{(i-1)}[F]]$. Note that

$$\sigma^{(i)}[F(v, w, x, y)] = F(V_i(v, w), w, V_i(x, y), y).$$

By writing G_k for $G_k(v, w, x, y)$ and G for G(t, v, w, x, y), we can rewrite (6) as $G_{k+1} = v\sigma[G_k] - vG_k$, which implies $G - tG_1 = tv\sigma[G] - tvG$. Since $G_1 = x$, this simplifies into

$$G = \frac{tx}{1+tv} + \frac{tv}{1+tv}\sigma[G]. \tag{7}$$

Substituting repeatedly the right-hand side of (7) for the occurrence of G on the right-hand side, we obtain for any $m \ge 1$ the identity

$$G = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} \sigma^{(n)} \left[\frac{tx}{1+tv} \right] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{(i)} \left[\frac{tv}{1+tv} \right] \right) + \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m} \sigma^{(i)} \left[\frac{tv}{1+tv} \right] \right) \sigma^{(m+1)}[G]. \tag{8}$$

Since the rightmost summand of the right-hand side of (8) is $\mathcal{O}(t^{m+1})$, we can take the limit as $m \to \infty$, to obtain the identity in Theorem 2.1. This proves the theorem.

3 Self-dual posets

Recall that a $k \times k$ Fishburn matrix M represents a self-dual interval order if and only if M is invariant under transposition along the north-east diagonal, or in other words, if for each i, j the cell (i, j) has the same value as the cell (k - j + 1, k - i + 1). We will say that the two cells (i, j) and (k - j + 1, k - i + 1) form a *symmetric pair*.

As in the previous section, we will first concentrate on enumerating the primitive matrices. Unless otherwise noted, the generating functions are counting nonempty objects.

We distinguish three types of cells in a $k \times k$ matrix M: a cell (i,j) is a diagonal cell if i+j=k+1, i.e., (i,j) belongs to the north-east diagonal of the matrix. If i+j< k+1 (i.e., (i,j) is above and to the left of the diagonal) then (i,j) is a North-West cell, or NW-cell, while if i+j>k+1, then (i,j) is an SE-cell. The diagonal cells and SE-cells together uniquely determine a self-dual matrix.

Apart of the statistics introduced in Section 2 (see Table 1), we will also consider three new statistics of a matrix M.

- \bullet diag(M) is the sum of all the diagonal cells except for the corner cell.
- se(M) is the sum of all the SE-cells that do not belong to the last column.
- nw(M) is the sum of all the NW-cells that do not belong to the first row.

In particular, the sum of all cells in M is equal to iso(M) + min(M) + max(M) + se(M) + nw(M) + diag(M). In a self-dual matrix we of course have min(M) = max(M) and se(M) = nw(M), so the above sum is equal to iso(M) + diag(M) + 2 max(M) + 2se(M).

If k>1, then among all the $k\times k$ primitive self-dual Fishburn matrices, exactly half have the corner cell filled with 1. This is because changing the corner cell from 1 to 0 cannot create an empty row or empty column, since the cells (1,1) and (k,k) are always 1-cells and the value of the corner cell also does not affect the symmetry of the matrix. We will first enumerate the symmetric matrices whose corner cell has the fixed value 1, and then use the above observation to obtain the full count.

Let S^+ be the set of primitive self-dual Fishburn matrices whose corner cell is equal to 1. Define the generating function $S^+(t, v, w, z)$ by

$$S^+(t,v,w,z) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}^+} t^{\mathrm{mag}(M)} v^{\mathrm{max}(M)} w^{\mathrm{se}(M)} z^{\mathrm{diag}(M)}.$$

The next theorem is the key result of this section.

Theorem 3.1 The generating function $S^+(t, v, w, z)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{n>0} t^{2n+1} \frac{1+tV_n(v,w)}{1+t^2 V_n(v,w)} (1+z)^n (1+v)^n (1+w)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{V_i(v,w)}{1+t^2 V_i(v,w)}.$$
 (9)

The comments in Remark 2.2 apply to the expression (9) as well.

Before we prove Theorem 3.1, we first state some of its consequences. Although Theorem 3.1 provides all the information we need for our enumerations, it is often more convenient to work with the closely related generating function that counts all Fishburn matrices, rather than just those that belong to \mathcal{S}^+ . Let \mathcal{S} be the set of primitive self-dual Fishburn matrices, and define

$$S(t,v,w,x,z) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}} t^{\operatorname{mag}(M)} v^{\min(M) + \max(M)} w^{\operatorname{se}(M) + \operatorname{nw}(M)} x^{\operatorname{iso}(M)} z^{\operatorname{diag}(M)}.$$

Lemma 3.2 The generating function S satisfies the identity

$$S(t, v, w, x, z) = (1+x)S^{+}(t, v^{2}, w^{2}, z) - t.$$
(10)

Consequently, S(t, v, w, x, z) is equal to

$$-t + (1+x) \sum_{n\geq 0} t^{2n+1} \frac{1+tV_n(v^2, w^2)}{1+t^2V_n(v^2, w^2)} (1+z)^n (1+v^2)^n (1+w^2)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{V_i(v^2, w^2)}{1+t^2V_i(v^2, w^2)}.$$

Proof: The factor (1+x) on the right-hand side of (10) corresponds to the fact that each primitive self-dual matrix either belongs to \mathcal{S}^+ or is obtained from a matrix in \mathcal{S}^+ by changing its corner cell from 1 to 0. The subtracted t accounts for the fact that the 1×1 matrix containing 0 is not a Fishburn matrix, even though it can be obtained from a matrix in \mathcal{S}^+ by changing the corner cell. The substitutions into S^+ are straightforward

Corollary 3.3 Let s_m be the number of self-dual primitive interval orders on m elements, with $s_0 = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{m>0} s_m x^m = 1 + S(1, x, x, x) = \sum_{n>0} (1+x)^{n+1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} ((1+x^2)^{i+1} - 1).$$

Corollary 3.4 Let r_m be the number of primitive self-dual $m \times m$ Fishburn matrices. Then

$$\sum_{m>1} r_m t^m = S(t,1,1,1) = -t + \sum_{n>0} 2^{\binom{2n+2}{2}} t^{2n+1} \frac{1 + (2^{n+1} - 1)t}{1 + (2^{n+1} - 1)t^2} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{i+1} - 1}{1 + (2^{i+1} - 1)t^2}.$$

Let $S^*(t, v, w, x, z)$ be the generating function of (not necessarily primitive) self-dual interval orders, with variables having the same meaning as in S(t, v, w, x, z). Clearly, a Fishburn matrix M representing a self-dual interval order may be obtained in a unique way from a matrix M' representing a primitive interval order, by changing each diagonal 1-cell of M' into an arbitrary non-zero cell, and by changing a symmetric pair of non-diagonal 1-cells of M' into a pair of nonzero cells having the same value. Thus,

$$\begin{split} S^*(t,v,w,x,z) &= \frac{1}{1-x} S^+ \left(t, \frac{v^2}{1-v^2}, \frac{w^2}{1-w^2}, \frac{z}{1-z} \right) - t \\ &= -t + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^{2n+1} \left(1 + (1-t) V_n(-v^2, -w^2) \right) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{-V_i(-v^2, -w^2)}{1 + (1-t^2) V_i(-v^2, -w^2)}}{(1-x)(1-z)^n (1-v^2)^n (1-w^2)^{\binom{n}{2}} \left(1 + (1-t^2) V_n(-v^2, -w^2) \right)}. \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.5 Let g_m be the number of self-dual interval orders on m elements, with $g_0 = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{m\geq 0} g_m x^m = 1 + S^*(1, x, x, x, x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} (1-x)^{n+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - (1-x^2)^{i+1}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{i+1}} - 1\right).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the same general idea as the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us define $S_k^+(v,w,z) = [t^k]S^+(t,v,w,z)$. The next lemma is the self-dual analogue of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 3.6 For any k > 1, we have

$$S_{k+2}^{+}(v,w,z) = v(1+v)(1+z)S_{k}^{+}(v+w+vw,w,z) - vS_{k}^{+}(v,w,z).$$
(11)

Proof: Let \mathcal{S}_k^+ be the set of matrices of \mathcal{S}^+ of size $k \times k$. We will show how a given matrix $M \in \mathcal{S}_k^+$ can be extended into a matrix $M' \in \mathcal{S}_{k+2}^+$. Assume, just for the sake of this proof, that matrices in \mathcal{S}_k^+ have rows and columns indexed by $1, 2, \ldots, k$, while matrices in \mathcal{S}_{k+2}^+ have rows and columns indexed by $1, 2, \ldots, k$, while matrices in $1, 2, \ldots, k$ have rows and columns indexed by

- $M'_{k+1,k+1} = 1$, and any other cell in row k+1 of M' has value 0.
- $M'_{0,k+1} = 1$. Note that the cell (0, k+1) is the corner cell of M'.
- $M'_{1,k}$ is filled arbitrarily by 0 or 1, and $M'_{1,k+1}$ is filled arbitrarily by 0 or 1 as well. (Recall that $M_{1,k} = 1$ by the definition of S^+ .)
- For any $j \in \{2, ..., k\}$, if $M_{j,k} = 0$, then $M'_{j,k} = M'_{j,k+1} = 0$, while if $M_{j,k} = 1$, choose one of three possibilities: either $M'_{j,k} = 0$ and $M'_{j,k+1} = 1$, or $M'_{j,k} = M'_{j,k+1} = 1$, or $M'_{j,k} = 1$ and $M'_{j,k+1} = 0$.
- Any other SE-cell or diagonal cell of M' has the same value in M' as in M, and the NE-cells of M' are filled in order to form a self-dual matrix.

From a given matrix $M \in \mathcal{S}_k^+$ with $\max(M) = p$, this procedure creates $4 \cdot 3^p$ distinct self-dual matrices of size $(k+2) \times (k+2)$, which all belong to \mathcal{S}_{k+2}^+ except for one matrix, which has column k filled with zeros. If M is a matrix that contributes a monomial $v^p w^q z^r$ into the generating function $S_k^+(v,w,z)$, then the matrices in \mathcal{S}_{k+2}^+ created from M have the generating function

$$\sum_{\substack{M' \in \mathcal{S}_{k+2}^+ \\ \text{f' obtained from } M}} v^{\max(M')} w^{\text{se}(M')} z^{\text{diag}(M')} = v \left((1+v)(1+z)(v+w+vw)^p w^q z^r - v^p w^q z^r \right). \tag{12}$$

It is easy to see that each matrix $M' \in \mathcal{S}_{k+2}^+$ can be generated by the above rules from a unique matrix $M \in \mathcal{S}_k^+$. By summing the identity (12) over all $M \in \mathcal{S}_k^+$, we obtain the identity (11).

To prove Theorem 3.1 from Lemma 3.6, we can just imitate the proof of Theorem 2.1 from Lemma 2.8. We omit the straightforward argument.

4 Final remarks

The formulas of the form we derived in this paper are useful in providing an efficient way to explicitly compute the coefficients of the corresponding generating functions. It is not clear, however, whether one can use such formulas to extract information about the asymptotic growth of the coefficients. Zagier [19] has used formula (1), together with some highly non-trivial power series identities, to find a very precise asymptotic estimate of the number of interval orders on n elements. The corresponding formula for self-dual interval orders from Corollary 3.5 has a similar form, and perhaps it might be also used as a basis for an asymptotic estimate.

References

[1] E. Andresen and K. Kjeldsen. On certain subgraphs of a complete transitively directed graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 14(2):103 – 119, 1976.

- [2] K. P. Bogart. An obvious proof of Fishburn's interval order theorem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 118(1-3):239 242, 1993.
- [3] M. Bousquet-Mélou, A. Claesson, M. Dukes, and S. Kitaev. (2+2)-free posets, ascent sequences and pattern avoiding permutations. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A*, 117(7):884–909, 2010.
- [4] A. Claesson and S. Linusson. n! matchings, n! posets. Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, 139:435–449, 2011.
- [5] M. Dukes, S. Kitaev, J. Remmel, and E. Steingrímsson. Enumerating (2+2)-free posets by indistinguishable elements. arXiv:1006.2696, 2010.
- [6] M. Dukes, V. Jelínek, and M. Kubitzke. Composition matrices, (2 + 2)-free posets and their specializations. *Electronic J. Combin.*, 18(1)(P44), 2011.
- [7] M. Dukes and R. Parviainen. Ascent sequences and upper triangular matrices containing non-negative integers. *Electronic J. Combin.*, 17(R53), 2010.
- [8] P. C. Fishburn. Intransitive indifference with unequal indifference intervals. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 7(1):144 149, 1970.
- [9] P. C. Fishburn. Interval lengths for interval orders: A minimization problem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 47:63 82, 1983.
- [10] P. C. Fishburn. Interval graphs and interval orders. Discrete Mathematics, 55(2):135 149, 1985.
- [11] P. C. Fishburn. Interval orders and interval graphs: A study of partially ordered sets. John Wiley & Sons, 1985.
- [12] P. E. Haxell, J. J. McDonald, and S. K. Thomason. Counting interval orders. Order, 4:269–272, 1987. 10.1007/BF00337889.
- [13] S. M. Khamis. Height counting of unlabeled interval and N-free posets. *Discrete Mathematics*, 275(1-3):165 175, 2004.
- [14] S. Kitaev and J. Remmel. Enumerating (2+2)-free posets by the number of minimal elements and other statistics. In 22nd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2010), 2010.
- [15] Paul Levande. Two new interpretations of the Fishburn numbers and their refined generating functions. arXiv:1006.3013, 2010.
- [16] R. Parviainen. Wilf classification of bi-vincular permutation patterns. arXiv:0910.5103, 2009.
- [17] A. Stoimenow. Enumeration of chord diagrams and an upper bound for Vassiliev invariants. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 7:93 114, 1998.
- [18] S. H. F. Yan. On a conjecture about enumerating (2+2)-free posets. arXiv:1006.1226, 2010.
- [19] D. Zagier. Vassiliev invariants and a strange identity related to the Dedekind eta-function. *Topology*, 40(5):945–960, 2001.