

Products of Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes and the Hecke algebra of composed permutations

Pierre-Loïc Méliot

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre-Loïc Méliot. Products of Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes and the Hecke algebra of composed permutations. 22nd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2010), 2010, San Francisco, United States. pp.921-932, 10.46298/dmtcs.2844. hal-01186272

HAL Id: hal-01186272 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01186272v1

Submitted on 24 Aug 2015 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Products of Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes and the Hecke algebra of composed permutations

Pierre-Loïc Méliot¹

¹Institut Gaspard Monge — Université Paris-Est Marne-La-Vallée — 77454 Marne-La-Vallée cedex 2 meliot@phare.normalesup.org

Abstract. We show the q-analog of a well-known result of Farahat and Higman: in the center of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, if $(a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q))_{\nu}$ is the set of structure constants involved in the product of two Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes $\Gamma_{\lambda,n}$ and $\Gamma_{\mu,n}$, then each coefficient $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q)$ depend on n and q in a polynomial way. Our proof relies on the construction of a projective limit of the Hecke algebras; this projective limit is inspired by the Ivanov-Kerov algebra of partial permutations.

Résumé. Nous démontrons le *q*-analogue d'un résultat bien connu de Farahat et Higman : dans le centre de l'algèbre d'Iwahori-Hecke $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, si $(a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q))_{\nu}$ est l'ensemble des constantes de structure mises en jeu dans le produit de deux classes de conjugaison de Geck-Rouquier $\Gamma_{\lambda,n}$ et $\Gamma_{\mu,n}$, alors chaque coefficient $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q)$ dépend de façon polynomiale de *n* et de *q*. Notre preuve repose sur la construction d'une limite projective des algèbres d'Hecke ; cette limite projective est inspirée de l'algèbre d'Ivanov-Kerov des permutations partielles.

Keywords: Iwahori-Hecke algebras, Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes, symmetric functions.

In this paper, we answer a question asked in [FW09] that concerns the products of Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes in the Hecke algebras $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is a partition with $|\lambda| + \ell(\lambda) \leq n$, we consider the completed partition

$$\lambda \to n = (\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_r + 1, 1^{n - |\lambda| - \ell(\lambda)}),$$

and we denote by $C_{\lambda,n} = C_{\lambda \to n}$ the corresponding conjugacy class, that is to say, the sum of all permutations with cycle type $\lambda \to n$ in the center of the symmetric group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$. Notice that in particular, $C_{\lambda,n} = 0$ if $|\lambda| + \ell(\lambda) > n$. It is known since [FH59] that the products of completed conjugacy classes write as

$$C_{\lambda,n} * C_{\mu,n} = \sum_{|\nu| \le |\lambda| + |\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n) C_{\nu,n},$$

where the structure constants $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n)$ depend on n in a polynomial way. In [GR97], some deformations Γ_{λ} of the conjugacy classes C_{λ} are constructed. These central elements form a basis of the center $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, and they are characterized by the two following properties, see [Fra99]:

1365-8050 © 2010 Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DMTCS), Nancy, France

- 1. The element Γ_{λ} is central and specializes to C_{λ} for q = 1.
- 2. The difference $\Gamma_{\lambda} C_{\lambda}$ involves no permutation of minimal length in its conjugacy class.

As before, $\Gamma_{\lambda,n} = \Gamma_{\lambda \to n}$ if $|\lambda| + \ell(\lambda) \le n$, and 0 otherwise. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1 In the center of the Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, the products of completed Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes write as

$$\Gamma_{\lambda,n} * \Gamma_{\mu,n} = \sum_{|\nu| \le |\lambda| + |\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q) \Gamma_{\nu,n},$$

and the structure constants $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q)$ are in $\mathbb{Q}[n,q,q^{-1}]$.

The first part of Theorem 1 — that is to say, that elements $\Gamma_{\nu,n}$ involved in the product satisfy the inequality $|\nu| \leq |\lambda| + |\mu|$ — was already in [FW09, Theorem 1.1], and the polynomial dependance of the coefficients $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q)$ was Conjecture 3.1; our paper is devoted to a proof of this conjecture. We shall combine two main arguments:

- We construct a projective limit D_{∞,q} of the Hecke algebras, which is essentially a q-version of the algebra of Ivanov and Kerov, see [IK99]. We perform generic computations inside various subalgebras of D_{∞,q}, and we project then these calculations on the algebras H_{n,q} and their centers.
- The centers of the Hecke algebras admit numerous bases, and these bases are related one to another in the same way as the bases of the symmetric function algebra Λ . This allows to separate the dependance on q and the dependance on n of the coefficients $a_{\lambda u}^{\nu}(n,q)$.

Before we start, let us fix some notations. If n is a non-negative integer, \mathfrak{P}_n is the set of partitions of n, \mathfrak{C}_n is the set of compositions of n, and \mathfrak{S}_n is the set of permutations of the interval $[\![1, n]\!]$. The **type** of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the partition $\lambda = t(\sigma)$ obtained by ordering the sizes of the orbits of σ ; for instance, t(24513) = (3, 2). The **code** of a composition $c \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ is the complementary in $[\![1, n]\!]$ of the set of descents of c; for instance, the code of (3, 2, 3) is $\{1, 2, 4, 6, 7\}$. Finally, we denote by $\mathscr{Z}_n = Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n)$ the center of the algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$; the conjugacy classes C_λ form a linear basis of \mathscr{Z}_n when λ runs over \mathfrak{P}_n .

1 Partial permutations and the Ivanov-Kerov algebra

Since our argument is essentially inspired by the construction of [IK99], let us recall it briefly. A **partial permutation** of order n is a pair (σ, S) where S is a subset of $[\![1, n]\!]$, and σ is a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}(S)$. Alternatively, one may see a partial permutation as a permutation σ in \mathfrak{S}_n together with a subset containing the non-trivial orbits of σ . The product of two partial permutations is

$$(\sigma, S) (\tau, T) = (\sigma\tau, S \cup T),$$

and this operation yield a semigroup whose complex algebra is denoted by \mathscr{B}_n . There is a natural projection $\operatorname{pr}_n : \mathscr{B}_n \to \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ that consists in forgetting the support of a partial permutation, and also natural compatible maps

$$\phi_{N,n}: (\sigma, S) \in \mathscr{B}_N \mapsto \begin{cases} (\sigma, S) \in \mathscr{B}_n & \text{if } S \subset \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

922

whence a projective limit $\mathscr{B}_{\infty} = \varprojlim \mathscr{B}_n$ with respect to this system $(\phi_{N,n})_{N \ge n}$ and in the category of filtered algebras. Now, one can lift the conjugacy classes C_{λ} to the algebras of partial permutations. Indeed, the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on \mathscr{B}_n by

$$\sigma \cdot (\tau, S) = (\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}, \sigma(S)),$$

and a linear basis of the invariant subalgebra $\mathscr{A}_n = (\mathscr{B}_n)^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is labelled by the partitions λ of size less than or equal to n:

$$\mathscr{A}_n = \bigoplus_{|\lambda| \le n} \mathbb{C}A_{\lambda,n}, \quad \text{where } A_{\lambda,n} = \sum_{\substack{|S| = |\lambda| \\ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(S), \ t(\sigma) = \lambda}} (\sigma, S).$$

Since the actions $\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright \mathscr{B}_n$ are compatible with the morphisms $\phi_{N,n}$, the inverse limit $\mathscr{A}_{\infty} = (\mathscr{B}_{\infty})^{\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}}$ of the invariant subalgebras has a basis $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ indexed by all partitions $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{P}_n$, and such that $\phi_{\infty,n}(A_{\lambda}) = A_{\lambda,n}$ (with by convention $A_{\lambda,n} = 0$ if $|\lambda| > n$). As a consequence, if $(a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu})_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}$ is the family of structure constants of the **Ivanov-Kerov algebra**⁽ⁱ⁾ \mathscr{A}_{∞} in the basis $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}}$, then

$$\forall n, \ A_{\lambda,n} * A_{\mu,n} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} A_{\nu,n},$$

with $A_{\lambda,n} = 0$ if $|\lambda| \ge n$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \ne 0$ implies $|\nu| \le |\lambda| + |\mu|$, and also $|\nu| - \ell(\nu) \le |\lambda| - \ell(\lambda) + |\mu| - \ell(\mu)$, cf. [IK99, §10], for the study of the filtrations of \mathscr{A}_{∞} . Now, $\operatorname{pr}_n(\mathscr{A}_n) = \mathscr{Z}_n$, and more precisely,

$$\operatorname{pr}_n(A_{\lambda,n}) = \binom{n - |\lambda| + m_1(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda)} C_{\lambda - 1, n}.$$

where $\lambda - 1 = (\lambda_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_s - 1)$ if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \ge 2, 1, \dots, 1)$. The result of Farahat and Higman follows immediately, and we shall try to mimic this construction in the context of Iwahori-Hecke algebras.

2 Composed permutations and their Hecke algebra

We recall that the **Iwahori-Hecke algebra** of type A and order n is the quantized version of the symmetric group algebra defined over $\mathbb{C}(q)$ by

$$\mathscr{H}_{n,q} = \left\langle S_1, \dots, S_{n-1} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{braid relations: } \forall i, \ S_i S_{i+1} S_i = S_{i+1} S_i S_{i+1} \\ \text{commutation relations: } \forall |j-i| > 1, \ S_i S_j = S_j S_i \\ \text{quadratic relations: } \forall i, \ (S_i)^2 = (q-1) S_i + q \end{array} \right\rangle$$

When q = 1, we recover the symmetric group algebra \mathbb{CS}_n . If $\omega \in \mathbb{S}_n$, let us denote by T_{ω} the product $S_{i_1}S_{i_2}\cdots S_{i_r}$, where $\omega = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_r}$ is any reduced expression of ω in elementary transpositions $s_i = (i, i + 1)$. Then, it is well known that the elements T_{ω} do not depend on the choice of reduced expressions, and that they form a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -linear basis of $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, see [Mat99].

⁽ⁱ⁾ It can be shown that \mathscr{A}_{∞} is isomorphic to the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials, see Theorem 9.1 in [IK99].

In order to construct a *projective* limit of the algebras $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, it is very tempting to mimic the construction of Ivanov and Kerov, and therefore to build an Hecke algebra of partial permutations. Unfortunately, this is not possible; let us explain why by considering for instance the transposition $\sigma = 1432$ in \mathfrak{S}_4 . The possible supports for σ are $\{2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. However,

$$\sigma = s_2 s_3 s_2,$$

and the support of s_2 (respectively, of s_3) contains at least $\{2,3\}$ (resp., $\{3,4\}$). So, if we take account of the Coxeter structure of \mathfrak{S}_4 — and it should obviously be the case in the context of Hecke algebras — then the only valid supports for σ are the connected ones, namely, $\{2,3,4\}$ and $\{1,2,3,4\}$. This problem leads to consider *composed permutations* instead of *partial permutations*. If c is a composition of n, let us denote by $\pi(c)$ the corresponding set partition of $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, *i.e.*, the set partition whose parts are the intervals $\llbracket 1, c_1 \rrbracket$, $\llbracket c_1 + 1, c_1 + c_2 \rrbracket$, etc. A **composed permutation** of order n is a pair (σ, c) with $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and c composition in \mathfrak{C}_n such that $\pi(c)$ is coarser than the set partition of orbits of σ . For instance, (32154867, (5,3)) is a composed permutation of order 8; we shall also write this 32154|867. The product of two composed permutations is then defined by

$$(\sigma, c) (\tau, d) = (\sigma\tau, c \lor d),$$

where $c \lor d$ is the finest composition of n such that $\pi(c \lor d) \ge \pi(c) \lor \pi(d)$ in the lattice of set partitions. For instance,

$$321|54|867 \times 12|435|687 = 42153|768.$$

One obtains so a semigroup of composed permutations; its complex semigroup algebra will be denoted by⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ \mathcal{D}_n , and the dimension of \mathcal{D}_n is the number of composed permutations of order n.

Now, let us describe an Hecke version $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ of the algebra \mathscr{D}_n . As for $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, one introduces generators $(S_i)_{1 \le i \le n-1}$ corresponding to the elementary transpositions s_i , but one has also to introduce generators $(I_i)_{1 \le i \le n-1}$ that allow to join the parts of the composition of a composed permutation. Hence, the **Iwahori-Hecke algebra of composed permutations** is defined (over the ground field $\mathbb{C}(q)$) by $\mathscr{D}_{n,q} = \langle S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}, I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1} \rangle$ and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i, \ S_i S_{i+1} S_i &= S_{i+1} S_i S_{i+1} \\ \forall |j-i| > 1, \ S_i S_j &= S_j S_i \\ \forall i, \ (S_i)^2 &= (q-1) S_i + q I_i \\ \forall i, j, \ S_i I_j &= I_j S_i \\ \forall i, j, \ I_i I_j &= I_j I_i \\ \forall i, \ S_i I_i &= S_i \\ \forall i, \ (I_i)^2 &= I_i \end{aligned}$$

The generators S_i correspond to the composed permutations $1|2| \dots |i-1|i+1, i|i+2| \dots |n|$, and the generators I_i correspond to the composed permutations $1|2| \dots |i-1|i, i+1|i+2| \dots |n|$.

⁽ii) If one considers pairs (σ, π) where π is any set partition of $[\![1, n]\!]$ coarser than $\operatorname{orb}(\sigma)$ (and not necessarily a set partition associated to a composition), then one obtains an algebra of *split permutations* whose subalgebra of invariants is related to the connected Hurwitz numbers $H_{n,q}(\lambda)$.

Products of Geck-Rouquier conjugacy classes

Proposition 2 The algebra $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ specializes to the algebra of composed permutations \mathscr{D}_n when q = 1; to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$ when $I_1 = I_2 = \cdots = I_{n-1} = 1$; and to the algebra $\mathscr{D}_{m,q}$ of lower order m < n when $I_m = I_{m+1} = \cdots = I_{n-1} = 0$ and $S_m = S_{m+1} = \cdots = S_{n-1} = 0$.

In the following, we shall denote by pr_n the specialization $\mathscr{D}_{n,q} \to \mathscr{H}_{n,q}$; it generalizes the map $\mathscr{D}_n \to \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ of the first section. The first part of Proposition 2 is actually the only one that is non trivial, and it will be a consequence of Theorem 3. If ω is a permutation with reduced expression $\omega = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_r}$, we denote as before by T_{ω} the product $S_{i_1}S_{i_2}\ldots S_{i_r}$ in $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$. On the other hand, if c is a composition of $[\![1,n]\!]$, we denote by I_c the product of the generators I_j with j in the code of c (so for instance, $I_{(3,2,3)} = I_1I_2I_4I_6I_7$ in $\mathscr{D}_{8,q}$). These elements are central idempotents, and I_c correspond to the composed permutation (id, c). Finally, if (σ, c) is a composed permutation, $T_{\sigma,c}$ is the product $T_{\sigma}I_c$.

Theorem 3 In $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$, the products T_{σ} do not depend on the choice of reduced expressions, and the products $T_{\sigma,c}$ form a linear basis of $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ when (σ, c) runs over composed permutations of order n. There is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebras between

$$\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$$
 and $\bigoplus_{c\in\mathfrak{C}_n}\mathscr{H}_{c,q},$

where $\mathscr{H}_{c,q}$ is the Young subalgebra $\mathscr{H}_{c_1,q} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{c_2,q} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathscr{H}_{c_r,q}$ of $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$.

Proof: If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the Matsumoto theorem ensures that it is always possible to go from a reduced expression $s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_r}$ to another reduced expression $s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\cdots s_{j_r}$ by braid moves $s_is_{i+1}s_i \leftrightarrow s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}$ and commutations $s_is_j \leftrightarrow s_js_i$ when |j - i| > 1. Since the corresponding products of S_i in $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ are preserved by these substitutions, a product T_{σ} in $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ does not depend on the choice of a reduced expression. Now, let us consider an arbitrary product Π of generators S_i and I_j (in any order). As the elements I_j are central idempotents, it is always possible to reduce the product to

$$\Pi = S_{i_1} S_{i_2} \cdots S_{i_p} I_c$$

with c composition of n — here, $s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_p}$ is a priori not a reduced expression. Moreover, since $S_i I_i = S_i$, we can suppose that the code of c contains $\{i_1, \ldots, i_p\}$. Now, suppose that $\sigma = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_p}$ is not a reduced expression. Then, by using braid moves and commutations, we can transform the expression in one with two consecutive letters that are identical, that is to say that if $j_k = j_{k+1}$,

$$\sigma = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k} s_{j_{k+1}} \cdots s_{j_p} = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{k-1}} s_{j_{k+2}} \cdots s_{j_p}.$$

We apply the same moves to the S_i in $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ and we obtain $\Pi = S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_k} S_{j_{k+1}} \cdots S_{j_p} I_c$; notice that the code of c still contains $\{j_1, \ldots, j_p\} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_p\}$. By using the quadratic relation in $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$, we conclude that if $j_k = j_{k+1}$,

$$\Pi = (q-1) S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_{k-1}} S_{j_k} S_{j_{k+2}} \cdots S_{j_p} I_c + q S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_{k-1}} I_{j_k} S_{j_{k+2}} \cdots S_{j_p} I_c$$

= $(q-1) S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_{k-1}} S_{j_k} S_{j_{k+2}} \cdots S_{j_p} I_c + q S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_{k-1}} S_{j_{k+2}} \cdots S_{j_p} I_c$

because $I_{j_k}I_c = I_c$. Consequently, by induction on p, any product Π is a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -linear combination of products $T_{\tau,c}$ (and with the same composition c for all the terms of the linear combination). So, the

reduced products $T_{\sigma,c}$ span linearly $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ when (σ, c) runs over composed permutations of order n. If c is in \mathfrak{C}_n , we define a morphism of $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebras from $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ to $\mathscr{H}_{c,q}$ by

$$\psi_c(S_i) = \begin{cases} S_i & \text{if } i \text{ is in the code of } c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}; \qquad \psi_c(I_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is in the code of } c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The elements $\psi_c(S_i)$ and $\psi_c(I_i)$ sastify in $\mathscr{H}_{c,q}$ the relations of the generators S_i and I_i in $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$. So, there is indeed such a morphism of algebras $\psi_c : \mathscr{D}_{n,q} \to \mathscr{H}_{c,q}$, and one has in fact $\psi_c(T_{\sigma,b}) = T_{\sigma}$ if $\pi(b) \leq \pi(c)$, and 0 otherwise. Let us consider the direct sum of algebras $\mathscr{H}_{\mathfrak{C}_n,q} = \bigoplus_{c \in \mathfrak{C}_n} \mathscr{H}_{c,q}$, and the direct sum of morphisms $\psi = \bigoplus_{c \in \mathfrak{C}_n} \psi_c$. We denote the basis vectors $[0, 0, \ldots, (T_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{H}_{c,q}), \ldots, 0]$ of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathfrak{C}_n,q}$ by $T_{\sigma \in \mathscr{H}_{c,q}}$; in particular,

$$\psi(T_{\sigma,c}) = \sum_{d \ge c} T_{\sigma \in \mathscr{H}_{d,q}}$$

for any composed permutation (σ, c) . As a consequence, the map ψ is surjective, because

$$\psi\left(\sum_{d\geq c}\mu(c,d)\,T_{\sigma,c}\right)=T_{\sigma\in\mathscr{H}_{c,q}}$$

where $\mu(c, d) = \mu(\pi(c), \pi(d)) = (-1)^{\ell(c)-\ell(d)}$ is the Möbius function of the hypercube lattice of compositions. If σ is a permutation, we denote by $\operatorname{orb}(\sigma)$ the set partition whose parts are the orbits of σ . Since the families $(T_{\sigma,c})_{\operatorname{orb}(\sigma) \leq \pi(c)}$ and $(T_{\sigma \in \mathscr{H}_{c,q}})_{\operatorname{orb}(\sigma) \leq \pi(c)}$ have the same cardinality dim \mathscr{D}_n , we conclude that $(T_{\sigma,c})_{\operatorname{orb}(\sigma) \leq \pi(c)}$ is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -linear basis of $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ and that ψ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebras. \Box

Notice that the second part of Theorem 3 is the q-analog of Corollary 3.2 in [IK99]. To conclude this part, we have to build the inverse limit $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mathscr{D}_{n,q}$, but this is easy thanks to the specializations evoked in the third part of Proposition 2. Hence, if $\phi_{N,n} : \mathscr{D}_{N,q} \to \mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ is the map that sends the generators $I_{i\geq n}$ and $S_{i\geq n}$ to zero and that preserves the other generators, then $(\phi_{N,n})_{N\geq n}$ is a system of compatible maps, and these maps behave well with respect to the filtration deg $T_{\sigma,c} = |\operatorname{code}(c)|$. Consequently, there is a projective limit $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$ whose elements are the infinite linear combinations of $T_{\sigma,c}$, with σ finite permutation in \mathfrak{S}_{∞} and c infinite composition compatible with σ and with almost all its parts of size 1.

It is not true that two elements x and y in $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$ are equal if and only if their projections $\operatorname{pr}_n(\phi_{\infty,n}(x))$ and $\operatorname{pr}_n(\phi_{\infty,n}(y))$ are equal for all n: for instance,

$$T[21|34|5|6|\cdots] = S_1I_1I_3$$
 and $T[2134|5|6|\cdots] = S_1I_1I_2I_3$

have the same projections in all the Hecke algebras (namely, S_1 if $n \ge 4$ and 0 otherwise), but they are not equal. However, the result is true if we consider only the subalgebras $\mathscr{D}'_{n,q} \subset \mathscr{D}_{n,q}$ spanned by the $T_{\sigma,c}$ with $c = (k, 1, \ldots, 1)$ — then, σ may be considered as a partial permutation of $[\![1, k]\!]$.

Proposition 4 For any n, the vector space $\mathscr{D}'_{n,q}$ spanned by the $T_{\sigma,c}$ with $c = (k, 1^{n-k})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$. In the inverse limit $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$, the projections $\operatorname{pr}_{\infty,n} = \operatorname{pr}_n \circ \phi_{\infty,n}$ separate the vectors:

$$\forall x, y \in \mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}, \qquad (\forall n, \ \mathrm{pr}_{\infty,n}(x) = \mathrm{pr}_{\infty,n}(y)) \iff (x = y).$$

Proof: The supremum of two compositions $(k, 1^{n-k})$ and $(l, 1^{n-l})$ is $(m, 1^{n-m})$ with $m = \max(k, l)$; consequently, $\mathscr{D}'_{n,q}$ is indeed a subalgebra of $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$. Any element x of the projective limit $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$ writes uniquely as

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} a_{\sigma,k}(x) T_{\sigma,(k,1^{\infty})}.$$

Suppose that x and y have the same projections, and let us fix a permutation σ . There is a minimal integer k such that $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$, and $a_{\sigma,k}(x)$ is the coefficient of T_{σ} in $\operatorname{pr}_{\infty,k}(x)$; consequently, $a_{\sigma,k}(x) = a_{\sigma,k}(y)$. Now, $a_{\sigma,k}(x) + a_{\sigma,k+1}(x)$ is the coefficient of T_{σ} in $\operatorname{pr}_{\infty,k+1}(x)$, so one has also $a_{\sigma,k}(x) + a_{\sigma,k+1}(x) = a_{\sigma,k}(y) + a_{\sigma,k+1}(y)$, and $a_{\sigma,k+1}(x) = a_{\sigma,k+1}(y)$. By using the same argument and by induction on l, we conclude that $a_{\sigma,k+l}(x) = a_{\sigma,k+l}(y)$ for every l, and therefore x = y. We have then proved that the projections separate the vectors in $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,a}$.

3 Bases of the center of the Hecke algebra

In the following, $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ is the center of $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$. We have already given a characterization of the **Geck-Rouquier central elements** Γ_{λ} , and they form a linear basis of $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ when λ runs over \mathfrak{P}_n . Let us write down explicitly this basis when n = 3:

$$\Gamma_3 = T_{231} + T_{312} + (q-1)q^{-1}T_{321} \qquad ; \qquad \Gamma_{2,1} = T_{213} + T_{132} + q^{-1}T_{321} \qquad ; \qquad \Gamma_{1,1,1} = T_{123} + T_{132} + q^{-1}T_{321} = T_{123} + T_{132} + q^{-1}T_{321} = T_{123} + T_{132} + q^{-1}T_{321} = T_{132} + T_{132} +$$

The first significative example of Geck-Rouquier element is actually when n = 4. Thus, if one considers

$$\Gamma_{3,1} = T_{1342} + T_{1423} + T_{2314} + T_{3124} + q^{-1} (T_{2431} + T_{4132} + T_{3214} + T_{4213}) + (q-1)q^{-1} (T_{1432} + T_{3214}) + (q-1)q^{-2} (T_{3421} + T_{4312} + 2T_{4231}) + (q-1)^2 q^{-3} T_{4321},$$

the terms with coefficient 1 are the four minimal 3-cycles in \mathfrak{S}_4 ; the terms whose coefficients specialize to 1 when q = 1 are the eight 3-cycles in \mathfrak{S}_4 ; and the other terms are not minimal in their conjugacy classes, and their coefficients vanish when q = 1.

It is really unclear how one can lift these elements to the Hecke algebras of composed permutations; fortunately, the center $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ admits other linear bases that are easier to pull back from $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$ to $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$. In [Las06], seven different bases for $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ are studied⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾, and it is shown that up to diagonal matrices that depend on q in a polynomial way, the transition matrices between these bases are the same as the transition matrices between the usual bases of the algebra of symmetric functions. We shall only need the **norm basis** N_{λ} , whose properties are recalled in Proposition 5. If c is a composition of n and \mathfrak{S}_c is the corresponding Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n , it is well-known that each coset in $\mathfrak{S}_n/\mathfrak{S}_c$ or $\mathfrak{S}_c \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n$ has a unique representative ω of minimal length which is called the **distinguished representative** — this fact is even true for parabolic double cosets. In what follows, we rather work with right cosets, and the distinguished representatives of $\mathfrak{S}_c \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n$ are precisely the permutation words whose recoils are contained in the set of descents of c. So for instance, if c = (2, 3), then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(2,3)} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_5 = \{12345, 13245, 13425, 13452, 31245, 31425, 31425, 31452, 34125, 34152, 34512\} = 12 \sqcup 345.$$

⁽iii) One can also consult [Jon90] and [Fra99].

Proposition 5 [Las06, Theorem 7] If c is a composition of n, let us denote by N_c the element

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_c \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{-\ell(\omega)} T_{\omega^{-1}} T_{\omega}$$

in the Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$. Then, N_c does not depend on the order of the parts of c, and the N_{λ} form a linear basis of $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ when λ runs over \mathfrak{P}_n — in particular, the norms N_c are central elements. Moreover,

$$(\Gamma_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_n} = D \cdot M2E \cdot (N_{\mu})_{\mu \in \mathfrak{P}_n},$$

where M2E is the transition matrice between monomial functions m_{λ} and elementary functions e_{μ} , and D is the diagonal matrix with coefficients $(q/(q-1))^{n-\ell(\lambda)}$.

So for instance, $\Gamma_3 = q^2 (q-1)^{-2} (3 N_3 - 3 N_{2,1} + N_{1,1,1})$, because $m_3 = 3 e_3 - 3 e_{2,1} + e_{1,1,1}$. Let us write down explicitly the norm basis when n = 3:

$$N_{3} = T_{123} \quad ; \qquad N_{2,1} = 3 T_{123} + (q-1)q^{-1} (T_{213} + T_{132}) + (q-1)q^{-2} T_{321}$$
$$N_{1,1,1} = 6 T_{123} + 3(q-1)q^{-1} (T_{213} + T_{132}) + (q-1)^{2}q^{-2} (T_{231} + T_{312}) + (q^{3}-1)q^{-3} T_{321}$$

We shall see hereafter that these norms have natural preimages by the projections pr_n and $pr_{\infty,n}$.

4 Generic norms and the Hecke-Ivanov-Kerov algebra

Let us fix some notations. If c is a composition of size |c| less than n, then $c \uparrow n$ is the composition $(c_1, \ldots, c_r, n - |c|), J_c = I_1 I_2 \cdots I_{|c|-1}$, and

$$M_{c,n} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_{c\uparrow n} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{-\ell(\omega)} T_{\omega^{-1}} T_{\omega} J_c,$$

the products T_{ω} being considered as elements of $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$. So, $M_{c,n}$ is an element of $\mathscr{D}_{n,q}$, and we set $M_{c,n} = 0$ if |c| > n.

Proposition 6 For any N, n and any composition $c, \phi_{N,n}(M_{c,N}) = M_{c,n}$, and $\operatorname{pr}_n(M_{c,n}) = N_{c\uparrow n}$ if $|c| \leq n$, and 0 otherwise. On the other hand, $M_{c,n}$ is always in $\mathcal{D}'_{n,q}$.

Proof: Because of the description of distinguished representatives of right cosets by positions of recoils, if $|c| \leq n$, then the sum $M_{c,n}$ is over permutation words ω with recoils in the set of descents of c (notice that we include |c| in the set of descents of c). Let us denote by $R_{c,n}$ this set of words, and suppose that $|c| \leq n - 1$. If $\omega \in R_{c,n}$ is such that $\omega(n) \neq n$, then T_{ω} involves S_{n-1} , so the image by $\phi_{n,n-1}$ of the corresponding term in $M_{c,n}$ is zero. On the other hand, if $\omega(n) = n$, then any reduced decomposition of T_{ω} does not involve S_{n-1} , so the corresponding term in $M_{c,n}$ is preserved by $\phi_{n,n-1}$. Consequently, $\phi_{n,n-1}(M_{c,n})$ is a sum with the same terms as $M_{c,n}$, but with ω running over $R_{c,n-1}$; so, we have proved that $\phi_{n,n-1}(M_{c,n}) = M_{c,n-1}$ when $|c| \leq n - 1$. The other cases are much easier: thus, if |c| = n, then $M_{c,n-1} = 0$, and $\phi_{n,n-1}(M_{c,n})$ is also zero because $\phi_{n,n-1}(J_c) = 0$. And if |c| > n, then $M_{c,n}$ and $M_{c,n-1}$ are both equal to zero, and again $\phi_{n,n-1}(M_{c,n}) = M_{c,n-1}$. Since

$$\phi_{N,n} = \phi_{n+1,n} \circ \phi_{n+2,n+1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{N,N-1},$$

we have proved the first part of the proposition, and the second part is really obvious.

Now, let us show that $M_{c,n}$ is in $\mathscr{D}'_{n,q}$. Notice that the result is trivial if |c| > n, and also if |c| = n, because we have then $J_c = I_{(n)}$, and therefore d = (n) for any composed permutation (σ, d) involved in $M_{c,|c|}$. Suppose then that $|c| \le n - 1$. Because of the description of $\mathfrak{S}_d \setminus \mathfrak{S}_{|d|}$ as a shuffle product, any distinguished representative ω of $\mathfrak{S}_{c\uparrow n} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the shuffle of a distinguished representative ω_c of $\mathfrak{S}_c \setminus \mathfrak{S}_{|c|}$ with the word $|c| + 1, |c| + 2, \ldots, n$. For instance, 5613724 is the distinguished representative of a right $\mathfrak{S}_{(2,2,3)}$ -coset, and it is a shuffle of 567 with the distinguished representative 1324 of a right $\mathfrak{S}_{(2,2)}$ -coset. Let us denote by $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ a reduced expression of ω_c , and by $j_{|c|+1}, \ldots, j_n$ the positions of $|c|+1, \ldots, n$ in ω . Then, it is not difficult to see that

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r} \times (s_{|c|} s_{|c|-1} \cdots s_{j_{|c|+1}}) (s_{|c|+1} s_{|c|} \cdots s_{j_{|c|+2}}) \cdots (s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{j_n})$$

is a reduced expression for ω ; for instance, s_2 is the reduced expression of 1324, and

$$s_2 \times (s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1) (s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2) (s_6 s_5)$$

is a reduced expression of 5613724. From this, we deduce that $T_{\omega} J_c = T_{\omega,(k,1^{n-k})}$, where k is the highest integer in $[\![|c|+1,n]\!]$ such that $j_k < k$ — we take k = |c| if $\omega = \omega_c$. Then, the multiplication by $T_{\omega^{-1}}$ cannot fatten the composition anymore, so $T_{\omega^{-1}}T_{\omega} J_c$ is a linear combination of $T_{\tau,(k,1^{n-k})}$, and we have proved that $M_{n,c}$ is indeed in $\mathscr{D}'_{n,q}$.

From the previous proof, it is now clear that if we consider the infinite sum $M_c = \sum q^{-\ell(\omega)} T_{\omega^{-1}} T_{\omega} J_c$ over permutation words $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$ with their recoils in the set of descents of c, then M_c is the unique element of $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$ such that $\phi_{\infty,n}(M_c) = M_{c,n}$ for any positive integer n, and also the unique element of $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$ such that $\operatorname{pr}_{\infty,n}(M_c) = N_{c\uparrow n}$ for any positive integer n (with by convention $N_{c\uparrow n} = 0$ if |c| > n). In particular, M_c does not depend on the order of the parts of c, because this is true for the $N_{c\uparrow n}$ and the projections separate the vectors in $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$. Consequently, we shall consider only elements M_{λ} labelled by partitions λ of arbitrary size, and call them **generic norms**. For instance:

$$M_{(2),3} = T_{12|3} + 2T_{123} + (1 - q^{-1})(T_{132} + T_{213}) + (q^{-1} - q^{-2})T_{321}$$

In what follows, if i < n, we denote by $(S_i)^{-1}$ the element of $\mathcal{D}_{n,q}$ equal to:

$$(S_i)^{-1} = q^{-1} S_i + (q^{-1} - 1) I_i$$

The product $S_i (S_i)^{-1} = (S_i)^{-1} S_i$ equals I_i in $\mathcal{D}_{n,q}$, and by the specialization $\operatorname{pr}_n : \mathcal{D}_{n,q} \to \mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, one recovers $S_i (S_i)^{-1} = 1$ in the Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$.

Theorem 7 The M_{λ} span linearly the subalgebra $\mathscr{C}_{\infty,q} \subset \mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$ that consists in elements $x \in \mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$ such that $I_i x = S_i x (S_i)^{-1}$ for every *i*. In particular, any product $M_{\lambda} * M_{\mu}$ is a linear combination of M_{ν} , and moreover, the terms M_{ν} involved in the product satisfy the inequality $|\nu| \leq |\lambda| + |\mu|$.

Proof: If $I_i x = S_i x (S_i)^{-1}$ and $I_i y = S_i y (S_i)^{-1}$, then

$$I_i xy = I_i x I_i y = S_i x (S_i)^{-1} S_i y (S_i)^{-1} = S_i x I_i y (S_i)^{-1} = S_i xy (S_i)^{-1},$$

so the elements that "commute" with S_i in $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$ form a subalgebra. As an intersection, $\mathscr{C}_{\infty,q}$ is also a subalgebra of $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$; let us see why it is spanned by the generic norms. If $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q,i}$ is the subspace of $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$ spanned by the $T_{\sigma,c}$ with $c = (k, 1^{\infty}) \vee (1^{i-1}, 2, 1^{\infty})$, then the projections separate the vectors in this subspace — this is the same proof as in Proposition 4. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$, $I_i M_\lambda$ and $S_i M_\lambda (S_i)^{-1}$ belong to $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q,i}$, and they have the same projections in $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, because $\operatorname{pr}_{\infty,n}(M_\lambda)$ is a norm and in particular a central element. Consequently, $I_i M_\lambda = S_i M_\lambda (S_i)^{-1}$, and the M_λ are indeed in $\mathscr{C}_{\infty,q}$. Now, if we consider an element $x \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty,q}$, then for i < n, $\operatorname{pr}_n(x) = S_i \operatorname{pr}_n(x) (S_i)^{-1}$, so $\operatorname{pr}_n(x)$ is in $\mathscr{Z}_{n,q}$ and is a linear combination of norms:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \operatorname{pr}_n(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_n} a_\lambda(x) N_\lambda$$

Since the same holds for any difference $x - \sum b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$, we can construct by induction on n an infinite linear combination S_{∞} of M_{λ} that has the same projections as x:

$$pr_{1}(x) = \sum_{|\lambda|=1} b_{\lambda} N_{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad pr_{1}\left(x - \sum_{|\lambda|=1} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}\right) = 0, \quad S_{1} = \sum_{|\lambda|=1} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$$

$$pr_{2}(x - S_{1}) = \sum_{|\lambda|=2} b_{\lambda} N_{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad pr_{1,2}\left(x - \sum_{|\lambda|\leq 2} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}\right) = 0, \quad S_{2} = \sum_{|\lambda|\leq 2} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$pr_{n+1}(x - S_{n}) = \sum_{|\lambda|=n+1} b_{\lambda} N_{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_{n+1} = S_{n} + \sum_{|\lambda|=n+1} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} = \sum_{|\lambda|\leq n+1} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$$

Then, $S_{\infty} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}} b_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$ is in $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$ and has the same projections as x, so $S_{\infty} = x$. In particular, since $\mathscr{C}_{\infty,q}$ is a subalgebra, a product $M_{\lambda} * M_{\mu}$ is in $\mathscr{C}_{\infty,q}$ and is an *a priori* infinite linear combination of M_{ν} :

$$\forall \lambda, \mu, \ M_{\lambda} * M_{\mu} = \sum g_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} M_{\nu}$$

Since the norms N_{λ} are defined over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, by projection on the Hecke algebras $\mathscr{H}_{n,q}$, one sees that the $g_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ are also in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ — in fact, they are symmetric polynomials in q and q^{-1} . It remains to be shown that the previous sum is in fact over partitions $|\nu|$ with $|\nu| \leq |\lambda| + |\mu|$; we shall see why this is true in the last paragraph^(iv).

For example, $M_1 * M_1 = M_1 + (q+1+q^{-1}) M_{1,1} - (q+2+q^{-1}) M_2$, and from this generic identity one deduces the expression of any product $(N_{(1)\uparrow n})^2$, *e.g.*,

$$N_{1,1}^2 = (q+2+q^{-1})(N_{1,1}-N_2) \qquad ; \qquad N_{3,1}^2 = N_{3,1} + (q+1+q^{-1})N_{2,1,1} - (q+2+q^{-1})N_{2,2}$$

Let us denote by $\mathscr{A}_{\infty,q}$ the subspace of $\mathscr{C}_{\infty,q}$ whose elements are *finite* linear combinations of generic norms; this is in fact a subalgebra, which we call the **Hecke-Ivanov-Kerov algebra** since it plays the same role for Iwahori-Hecke algebras as \mathscr{A}_{∞} for symmetric group algebras.

930

^(iv) Unfortunately, we did not succeed in proving this result with adequate filtrations on $\mathscr{D}_{\infty,q}$ or $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$.

5 Completion of partitions and symmetric functions

The proof of Theorem 1 and of the last part of Theorem 7 relies now on a rather elementary property of the transition matrices M2E and E2M. By convention, we set $e_{\lambda\uparrow n} = 0$ if $|\lambda| > n$, and $m_{\lambda\to n} = 0$ if $|\lambda| + \ell(\lambda) > n$. Then:

Proposition 8 There exists polynomials $P_{\lambda\mu}(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[n]$ and $Q_{\lambda\mu}(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[n]$ such that

$$\forall \lambda, n, \qquad m_{\lambda \to n} = \sum_{\mu' \leq_d \lambda} P_{\lambda \mu}(n) \ e_{\mu \uparrow n} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\lambda \uparrow n} = \sum_{\mu \leq_d \lambda'} Q_{\lambda \mu}(n) \ m_{\mu \to n},$$

where $\mu \leq_d \lambda$ is the domination relation on partitions.

This fact follows from the study of the Kotska matrix elements $K_{\lambda,\mu\to n}$, see [Mac95, §1.6, in particular the example 4. (c)]. It can also be shown directly by expanding $e_{\lambda\uparrow n}$ on a sufficient number of variables and collecting the monomials; this simpler proof explains the appearance of binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$. For instance,

$$m_{2,1\to n} = e_{2,1\uparrow n} - 3 e_{3\uparrow n} - (n-3) e_{1,1\uparrow n} + (2n-8) e_{2\uparrow n} + (2n-5) e_{1\uparrow n} - n(n-4) e_{\uparrow n},$$

$$e_{2,1\uparrow n} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2} m_{\to n} + \frac{(n-2)(3n-7)}{2} m_{1\to n} + (3n-10) m_{1,1\to n} + 3 m_{1,1,1\to n} + (n-3) m_{2\to n} + m_{2,1\to n}.$$

In the following, $N_{\lambda,n} = N_{\lambda\uparrow n}$ if $|\lambda| \le n$, and 0 otherwise. Because of the existence of the projective limits M_{λ} , we know that $N_{\lambda,n} * N_{\mu,n} = \sum_{\nu} g_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} N_{\nu,n}$, where the sum is not restricted. But on the other hand, by using Proposition 5 and the second identity in Proposition 8, one sees that

$$N_{\lambda,n} * N_{\mu,n} = \sum_{|\rho| \le |\lambda|, \ |\sigma| \le |\mu|} h_{\lambda\mu}^{\rho\sigma}(n) \ \Gamma_{\rho,n} * \Gamma_{\sigma,n}, \quad \text{with the } h_{\lambda\mu}^{\rho\sigma}(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[n,q,q^{-1}].$$

Because of the result of Francis and Wang, the latter sum may be written as $\sum_{|\tau| \le |\lambda| + |\mu|} i_{\lambda\mu}^{\tau}(n) \Gamma_{\tau,n}$, and by using the first identity of Proposition 8, one has finally

$$N_{\lambda,n} \, \ast \, N_{\mu,n} = \sum_{|\nu| \le |\lambda| + |\mu|} \, j_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n) \, N_{\nu,n}, \quad \text{with the } j_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[n,q,q^{-1}].$$

From this, it can be shown that the first sum $\sum_{\nu} g_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} N_{\nu,n}$ is in fact restricted on partitions $|\nu|$ such that $|\nu| \leq |\lambda| + |\mu|$, and because the projections separate the vectors of $\mathscr{D}'_{\infty,q}$, this implies that $M_{\lambda} * M_{\mu} = \sum_{|\nu| \leq |\lambda| + |\mu|} g_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} M_{\nu}$, so the last part of Theorem 7 is proved. Finally, by reversing the argument, one sees that the $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q)$ are in $\mathbb{Q}[n](q)$:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\lambda,n} * \Gamma_{\mu,n} &= (q/(q-1))^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} \sum_{\rho,\sigma} P_{\lambda\rho}(n) \, P_{\mu\sigma}(n) \, N_{\rho,n} * N_{\sigma,n} \\ &= (q/(q-1))^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} \sum_{\rho,\sigma,\tau} P_{\lambda\rho}(n) \, P_{\mu\sigma}(n) \, g_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau} \, N_{\tau,n} \\ &= \sum_{\rho,\sigma,\tau,\nu} (q/(q-1))^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|} \, P_{\lambda\rho}(n) \, P_{\mu\sigma}(n) \, g_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau}(q) \, Q_{\tau\nu}(n) \, \Gamma_{\nu,n} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q) \, \Gamma_{\nu,n} \end{split}$$

with $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q) = (q/(q-1))^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|} (P^{\otimes 2}(n) g(q) Q(n))_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ in tensor notation. And since the Γ_{λ} are known to be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$, the coefficients $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(n,q) \in \mathbb{Q}[n](q)$ are in fact^(v) in $\mathbb{Q}[n,q,q^{-1}]$. Using this technique, one can for instance show that

$$(\Gamma_{(1),n})^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} q \Gamma_{(0),n} + (n-1) (q-1) \Gamma_{(1),n} + (q+q^{-1}) \Gamma_{(1,1),n} + (q+1+q^{-1}) \Gamma_{(2),n},$$

and this is because $m_{1\to n} = e_{1\uparrow n} - n e_{\uparrow n}$ and $e_{1\uparrow n} = n m_{\to n} + m_{1\to n}$. Let us conclude by two remarks. First, the reader may have noticed that we did not construct generic conjugacy classes $F_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{A}_{\infty,q}$ such that $\operatorname{pr}_{\infty,n}(F_{\lambda}) = \Gamma_{\lambda,n}$; since the Geck-Rouquier elements themselves are difficult to describe, we had little hope to obtain simple generic versions of these Γ_{λ} . Secondly, the Ivanov-Kerov projective limits of other group algebras — *e.g.*, the algebras of the finite reductive Lie groups $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, $\operatorname{U}(n, \mathbb{F}_{q^2})$, etc. — have not yet been studied. It seems to be an interesting open question.

References

- [FH59] H. Farahat and G. Higman. The centers of symmetric group rings. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* (*A*), 250:212–221, 1959.
- [Fra99] A. Francis. The minimal basis for the centre of an Iwahori-Hecke algebra. J. Algebra, 221:1–28, 1999.
- [FW09] A. Francis and W. Wang. The centers of Iwahori-Hecke algebras are filtered. *Representation Theory, Comtemporary Mathematics*, 478:29–38, 2009.
- [GR97] M. Geck and R. Rouquier. Centers and simple modules for Iwahori-Hecke algebras. In *Finite reductive groups (Luminy, 1994)*, volume 141 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 251–272. Birkhaüser, Boston, 1997.
- [IK99] V. Ivanov and S. Kerov. The algebra of conjugacy classes in symmetric groups, and partial permutations. In *Representation Theory, Dynamical Systems, Combinatorial and Algorithmical Methods III*, volume 256 of *Zapiski Nauchnyh Seminarov POMI*, pages 95–120, 1999. English translation available at arXiv:math/0302203v1 [math.CO].
- [Jon90] L. Jones. Centers of generic Hecke algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 317:361–392, 1990.
- [Las06] A. Lascoux. The Hecke algebra and structure constants of the ring of symmetric polynomials, 2006. Available at arXiv:math/0602379 [math.CO].
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 2nd edition, 1995.
- [Mat99] A. Mathas. *Iwahori-Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group*, volume 15 of *University Lecture Series*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.

^(v) They are even in $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}[n] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}[n]$ is the \mathbb{Z} -module of polynomials with rational coefficients and integer values on integers; indeed, the matrices M2E and E2M have integer entries. It is well known that $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}[n]$ is spanned over \mathbb{Z} by the binomials $\binom{n}{k}$.