



HAL
open science

Equivalences for pattern avoiding involutions and classification

Mark Dukes, Vít Jelínek, Toufik Mansour, Astrid Reifegerste

► **To cite this version:**

Mark Dukes, Vít Jelínek, Toufik Mansour, Astrid Reifegerste. Equivalences for pattern avoiding involutions and classification. 20th Annual International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2008), 2008, Vi a del Mar, Chile. pp.181-188, 10.46298/dmtcs.3637 . hal-01185172

HAL Id: hal-01185172

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01185172>

Submitted on 19 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Equivalences for pattern avoiding involutions and classification

Mark Dukes¹, Vít Jelínek^{2†}, Toufik Mansour³ and Astrid Reifegerste⁴

¹Science Institute, University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland

²Department of Applied Mathematics, Charles University Prague, Czech Republic

³Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, 31905 Haifa, Israel

⁴Faculty of Mathematics, University of Magdeburg, Germany.

Abstract. We complete the Wilf classification of signed patterns of length 5 for both signed permutations and signed involutions. New general equivalences of patterns are given which prove Jaggard's conjectures concerning involutions in the symmetric group avoiding certain patterns of length 5 and 6. In this way, we also complete the Wilf classification of S_5 , S_6 , and S_7 for both permutations and involutions.

Résumé. Nous complétons la classification de Wilf des motifs signés de longueur 5 à la fois pour les permutations signées et les involutions signées. Nous donnons de nouvelles équivalences générales de motifs qui prouvent les conjectures de Jaggard concernant les involutions dans le groupe symétrique évitant certains motifs de longueur 5 et 6. De cette manière nous complétons également la classification de Wilf de S_5 , S_6 et S_7 à la fois pour les permutations et les involutions.

Keywords: forbidden subsequences, pattern avoiding permutations, pattern avoiding involutions, signed permutations, Wilf equivalence

1 Introduction

Let S_n and B_n denote the symmetric group and hyperoctahedral group on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, respectively. We will represent the elements of S_n as permutation matrices, and the elements of B_n as signed permutation matrices, where a signed permutation matrix is a $0, 1, -1$ -matrix with exactly one nonzero entry in every row and every column. We may also write the elements of B_n as words $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ in which each of the letters $1, 2, \dots, n$ appears, possibly barred to signify negative letters; a matrix p corresponds to the word π such that $p_{ij} = 1$ if $\pi_i = j$, $p_{ij} = -1$ if $\pi_i = -j$, and $p_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. In our paper, we will make no explicit distinction between these two representations of a signed permutation. Let I_n and SI_n be the set of involutions in S_n and B_n , respectively. Note that involutions correspond precisely to symmetric matrices.

[†]Supported by project 201/05/H014 of the Czech Science Foundation and project MSM0021620838 of the Czech Ministry of Education.

A signed permutation $\pi \in B_n$ is said to *contain the pattern* $\tau \in B_k$ if there exists a sequence $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ such that $|\pi_{i_a}| < |\pi_{i_b}|$ if and only if $|\tau_a| < |\tau_b|$ and $\pi_{i_a} > 0$ if and only if $\tau_a > 0$ for all $1 \leq a, b \leq k$. Otherwise, π is called a τ -*avoiding* permutation. Note that π contains τ if and only if the matrix representing π contains the matrix representing τ as a submatrix. By $M(\tau)$ we denote the set of all elements of M which avoid the pattern τ .

Two signed patterns σ and τ are called *Wilf equivalent*, in symbols $\sigma \sim \tau$, if they are avoided by the same number of signed n -permutations, i.e., if $|B_n(\sigma)| = |B_n(\tau)|$ for each $n \geq 1$. Similarly, σ and τ are called *I-Wilf equivalent*, denoted by $\sigma \stackrel{I}{\sim} \tau$, if $|SI_n(\sigma)| = |SI_n(\tau)|$ for each n . Note that two unsigned permutations $\sigma, \tau \in S_k$ are Wilf-equivalent if and only if they satisfy the identity $|S_n(\sigma)| = |S_n(\tau)|$ for each n , and they are I-Wilf equivalent if and only if they satisfy $|I_n(\sigma)| = |I_n(\tau)|$ for each n . The classification given by the Wilf equivalence is slightly coarser than that which is based on the symmetries of permutations, that is, the mappings generated by the reversal, transpose, and barring operation. The same is true for the I-Wilf equivalence, where the available symmetries are generated by the two diagonal reflections and the barring operation.

The question of whether two patterns are Wilf equivalent or not is difficult to answer in many cases. By the few generic equivalences known so far, it has been possible to completely determine the Wilf classes of S_n up to level $n = 7$. The decomposition of S_n into I-Wilf classes has been completely determined for $n = 4$ and almost solved for $n = 5$ as well. Jaggard (6) conjectured the last case of a possible equivalence for patterns of length 5: 12345 (or equivalently, 54321) and 45312 are equally restrictive for I_n up to $n = 11$.

Continuing the I-Wilf classification of signed patterns that began in (5), we will first prove a general equivalence result which confirms Jaggard's conjecture mentioned above, as well as another conjecture he made about the equivalence of certain patterns of length 6. The correspondence behind this result is based on a bijection between pattern avoiding transversals of Young diagrams given by Backelin, West and Xin (1). In this way, we complete the classification of S_5 with respect to $\stackrel{I}{\sim}$, which is fundamental for the analogous classification of B_5 . The result even covers all missing I-Wilf equivalences in S_6 and S_7 .

Furthermore, we will show that barring some blocks of a signed block diagonal pattern preserves the Wilf class of the pattern, and it also (under some additional assumptions) preserves the I-Wilf class. These results not only allow us to determine the Wilf as well as the I-Wilf classes in B_5 but they also have consequences for longer signed patterns. The proofs of the theorems and tables of Wilf equivalence classes may be found in the papers (4; 3).

2 Jaggard's conjectures

In 2003, Jaggard (6) proved the equivalences $12\tau \stackrel{I}{\sim} 21\tau$ and $123\tau \stackrel{I}{\sim} 321\tau$, and completed the classification of S_4 according to pattern avoidance by involutions in this way. Furthermore, he conjectured that

- (1) $12 \dots k\tau \stackrel{I}{\sim} k(k-1) \dots 1\tau$ for any $k \geq 1$,
- (2) $12345 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 45312$ (or equivalently, $54321 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 45312$),
- (3) $123456 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 456123 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 564312$ (or equivalently, $654321 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 456123$).

In (1), Backelin, West and Xin defined a transformation to prove $12 \dots k\tau \sim k(k-1) \dots 1\tau$. (As already mentioned in (5), their proof also works for a signed pattern τ .) This map acts not only on permutation matrices, but more generally, on transversals of Young diagrams. Bousquet-Mélou and Steingrímsson (2) showed that this map commutes with the diagonal reflection of the diagram, which proves the first of the three conjectures above. From this result, it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_l \end{pmatrix} \overset{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_l \end{pmatrix}$$

for every signed permutation matrix χ and any $k, l \geq 0$, where α_n and β_n denote the $n \times n$ diagonal and antidiagonal permutation matrices corresponding to $12 \dots n$ and $n(n-1) \dots 1$, respectively. In this section, we will show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_k \\ 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overset{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_k \\ 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overset{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where χ^t denotes the transpose of χ . Note that, different to the general case, the reverse operation is not a symmetry for involutions, so these equivalences are really new.

Our proof also uses the BWX bijection. Therefore, let us first recall the extended notion of pattern avoidance they have used. A *Young diagram* (or *Young shape*) is a top-justified and left-justified array of cells, i.e., an array whose rows have non-increasing lengths from top to bottom, and its columns have non-increasing lengths from left to right. A cell of a Young shape is called a *corner* if the array obtained by removing the cell is still a Young shape. Occasionally, it will be convenient to use top-right justified diagrams instead of the top-left justified diagrams defined above. We will refer to the top-right justified shapes as *NE-shapes* to avoid confusion with the ordinary Young shapes.

A (*signed*) *transversal* of a Young diagram λ is an assignment of 0's and 1's (of 0's, 1's and -1's) to the cells of λ , such that each row and column contains exactly one nonzero entry. A *sparse filling* of λ is an arrangement of 0's, 1's and -1's which has at most one nonzero entry in every row and column.

For a $k \times k$ permutation matrix τ , we say that a filling L of a shape λ *contains* τ if there exists a $k \times k$ subshape within λ whose induced filling is equal to τ . The set of all transversals (or signed transversals) of a shape λ which do not contain τ is denoted by $S_\lambda(\tau)$ (or $B_\lambda(\tau)$, respectively). Two signed permutation matrices σ and τ are called *shape Wilf equivalent* if $|B_\lambda(\sigma)| = |B_\lambda(\tau)|$ for all Young shapes λ . Shape Wilf equivalence clearly implies Wilf equivalence. We will also say that σ and τ are *NE-shape Wilf equivalent* if $|B_\lambda(\sigma)| = |B_\lambda(\tau)|$ for each NE-shape λ . Observe that if σ and τ are permutation matrices, then they are shape Wilf equivalent if and only if $|S_\lambda(\sigma)| = |S_\lambda(\tau)|$ for each Young diagram λ .

By (1, Proposition 2.2), α_k and β_k are shape Wilf equivalent for all k . The following proposition, which is also largely based on (1, Proposition 2.3), will allow us to extend this equivalence to more general patterns.

Proposition 2.1 *Let λ be a Young shape, and let χ, χ_1, χ_2 be signed permutations, such that χ_1 and χ_2 are shape Wilf equivalent. We set*

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a bijection between θ -avoiding and ω -avoiding sparse fillings of λ . This bijection preserves the number of nonzero entries in each row and column; in particular, θ and ω are shape Wilf equivalent. Furthermore, if χ is nonempty, the bijection preserves the filling in the corners of λ .

Note that Proposition 2.1 yields some information even when χ is the empty matrix. In such situation, the proposition shows that a bijection between pattern avoiding signed transversals can be extended to a bijection between pattern-avoiding sparse fillings, by simply ignoring the rows and columns with no nonzero entries.

We will now show how the results on shape Wilf equivalence may be applied to obtain new classes of I-Wilf equivalent patterns. Let us first give the necessary definitions. For an $n \times n$ matrix π let π^+ denote the subfilling of π formed by the cells of π which are strictly above the main diagonal, and let π_0^+ denote the subfilling formed by the cells on the main diagonal and above it. For example, for $\pi = 2431$ we have

$$\pi^+ = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & -1 \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_0^+ = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & 1 & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & -1 \\ \hline & & 1 \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

The coordinates of the entries in π are used for the cells of π^+ as well. Thus, for instance, the cell $(1, 2)$ is the top-left corner of π^+ . Analogously, we define π^- to be the filled shape corresponding to the entries strictly below the main diagonal of π . Clearly, a symmetric matrix π is completely determined by π_0^+ . Observe that a symmetric $0, 1, -1$ -matrix π is a signed involution if and only if, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, the filling π_0^+ has exactly one nonzero entry in the union of all cells of the i -th row and i -th column.

Note that i is a fixed point of a signed involution π , that is $|\pi_i| = i$, if and only if the i -th row and the i -th column of π^+ have all entries equal to zero. In general, a signed involution π need not be completely determined by the filling π^+ ; however, if we have two signed involutions π, ρ with $\pi^+ = \rho^+$, then π and ρ only differ by the signs of their fixed points. If π is a signed involution, then, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the filling π^+ has at most one nonzero entry in the union of the i -th row and i -th column; conversely, any filling π^+ of appropriate shape with these properties can be extended into a signed involution π , which is determined uniquely up to the sign of its fixed points.

For a signed permutation σ , let σ' denote the involution $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ \sigma^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where σ^t is the transpose of σ . We are now ready to state our first result on I-Wilf equivalence.

Theorem 2.2 *If σ and τ are two NE-shape Wilf equivalent signed permutation matrices, then $\sigma' \stackrel{I}{\sim} \tau'$. Moreover, the bijection between $SI_n(\sigma')$ and $SI_n(\tau')$ preserves fixed points.*

Proof: Let $\pi \in SI_n$ be an involution. We claim that π avoids σ' if and only if π^+ avoids σ . To see this, notice that any occurrence of σ' in π can be restricted either to an occurrence of σ in π^+ or an occurrence of σ^t in π^- ; however, since π^+ is the transpose of π^- , we know that π^- contains σ^t if and only if π^+ contains σ . The converse is even easier to see.

Let us choose $\pi \in SI_n(\sigma')$. Since π^+ is a sparse σ -avoiding filling, we may apply the bijection from Proposition 2.1 (adapted for NE-shapes) to π^+ , to obtain a τ -avoiding sparse filling of the same shape, which has a nonzero entry in a row i (or column i) whenever π^+ has a nonzero entry in the same row (or column, respectively). Hence this filling also corresponds to an involution, more exactly, to ρ^+ for an involution $\rho \in SI_n$, and furthermore, the fixed points of ρ are in the same position as the fixed points of π , because the position of the fixed points is determined by the zero rows and columns, which are preserved

by the bijection from Proposition 2.1. By defining the signs of the fixed points of ρ to be the same as the signs of the fixed points of π , the involution ρ is determined uniquely. Clearly, since ρ^+ avoids τ , we know that ρ avoids τ' . Each step of this construction can be inverted which proves the bijectivity. Furthermore, the bijection preserves fixed points by construction. \square

By a similar reasoning, we obtain an analogous result for patterns of odd size. For a signed permutation σ , let σ'' denote the involution matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sigma^t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let σ^* denote the signed permutation $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 2.3 *If σ and τ are NE-shape Wilf equivalent, then $\sigma'' \stackrel{I}{\sim} \tau''$. Moreover, the bijection between $SI_n(\sigma'')$ and $SI_n(\tau'')$ preserves fixed points.*

Let us apply these two theorems to some special cases of shape Wilf equivalent patterns. For an integer $k \geq 0$ and a signed permutation χ , let us define

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_k \\ \chi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_k \\ \chi & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we know, the two patterns θ and ω are NE-shape Wilf equivalent. From our results, we then obtain the following classes of I-Wilf equivalent patterns.

Corollary 2.4 *We have*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_k \\ 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_k \\ 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The special cases $\chi = \emptyset$ and $\chi = (1)$ show both of Jaggard's conjectures to be correct.

Corollary 2.5 *We have $54321 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 45312$ and $654321 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 456123 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 564312$.*

3 Barring of blocks

In (5) it was shown that the barring of τ in $12 \dots k\tau$ and $k(k-1) \dots 1\tau$ preserves both the Wilf class and the I-Wilf class. Furthermore it was proved that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_k \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_k \end{pmatrix}$$

for every signed permutation matrix χ and $k \geq 0$. Basically, the assertion follows from $123 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 1\bar{2}3$. By a similar reasoning, we can show the I-Wilf equivalence of the reversed patterns because $321 \stackrel{I}{\sim} 3\bar{2}1$ as well. Now we turn our attention to the general block pattern

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the χ_i are signed permutation matrices. First we prove the following crucial statement.

Theorem 3.1 Let χ_1 and χ_2 be signed permutation matrices and set

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any Young shape λ , there is a bijection between θ -avoiding and ω -avoiding sparse fillings of λ . The bijection preserves the position of all nonzero entries, i.e., it transforms the filling only by changing the signs of some of the entries. In particular, the patterns θ and ω are shape Wilf equivalent. Moreover, if λ is self-conjugate and at least one of the matrices χ_1 and χ_2 is symmetric, then the bijection maps symmetric fillings to symmetric fillings.

An immediate consequence of the previous theorem is the following:

Corollary 3.2 For any signed permutation matrices χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because of the symmetry property of the bijection we can prove an analogous result for pattern avoiding involutions.

Corollary 3.3 Let χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 be signed permutation matrices, at least two of which are symmetric. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_3 \end{pmatrix} \overset{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: By Theorem 3.1, the signed pattern $\text{diag}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ is I-Wilf equivalent with the signed pattern $\text{diag}(\chi_1, \chi_2, -\chi_3)$ (note that at least one of the two matrices $\text{diag}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ and χ_3 is symmetric). By the same argument, the pattern $\text{diag}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ is I-Wilf equivalent with $\text{diag}(\chi_1, -\chi_2, -\chi_3)$. Combining these facts with the observation that changing the signs of all the three blocks clearly preserves the I-Wilf class, we may even conclude that any matrix obtained by changing the signs of any of the three blocks is I-Wilf equivalent with the original matrix. \square

Combining Theorem 3.1 with Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain more classes of I-Wilf equivalent patterns. The following corollary gives an example.

Corollary 3.4 Let χ_1 and χ_2 be signed permutation matrices. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overset{I}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\chi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi_2^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where ε is empty or $\varepsilon = (1)$.

4 Classification

The proof of Jaggar's conjecture provides the complete classification of the I-Wilf equivalences among the patterns from S_5 . It turns out that there are 36 different classes (in comparison with 45 symmetry classes). In (5) it was shown that B_5 has at most 405 I-Wilf equivalence classes. Applying the new

equivalences in this paper, we obtain 402 classes which are definitively different. (By the symmetries of an involutive permutation, the patterns are divided into 566 classes.) A list of these can be found in (8). There are 36 such I-Wilf equivalence when we restrict our attention to S_5 .

The classification of the patterns of B_5 by Wilf equivalence becomes complete by Corollary 3.2. The relations given in (5) did not cover seven pairs of patterns whose Wilf equivalence was indicated by numerical results. All these cases are proved now by the corollary. Consequently, B_5 falls into 130 Wilf classes (in comparison with 284 symmetry classes). See (5, Table 7) for the complete list.

The bijections of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 also provide the complete classification of S_6 and S_7 with respect to the I-Wilf equivalence. There are 203 such classes of S_6 obtained by all equivalences, already known or proven here. As the enumeration of involutions in I_{12} avoiding the patterns shows, they are different. In a similar way, we obtain 1291 Wilf classes for S_7 . (See (8) for a table of these.)

It is very possible that the results given here and in (5) suffice to solve the I-Wilf classification of signed patterns up to length 7. However, the numerical proof that two classes are really different for a rapidly increasing number of classes is the challenge we (and computers) have to master.

Remark 4.1 After finishing this paper, Aaron Jaggard mentioned that he and Joseph Marincel have shown that the patterns $(k-1)k(k-2)\dots 312$ and $k(k-1)\dots 21$ are I-Wilf equivalent for any $k \geq 5$ by using generating tree techniques (7).

References

- [1] J. Backelin, J. West, and G. Xin, Wilf-equivalence for singleton classes, in *Proceedings of the 13th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics*, Arizona State University, 2001, 29-38.
- [2] M. Bousquet-Mélou and E. Steingrímsson, Decreasing subsequences in permutations and Wilf equivalence for involutions, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **22** (2005), 383-409.
- [3] Mark Dukes, Vít Jelínek, Toufik Mansour, Astrid Reifegerste, New equivalences for pattern avoiding involutions, *arXiv:0708.1357*.
- [4] W. M. B. Dukes, Vít Jelínek, Toufik Mansour and Astrid Reifegerste, New equivalences for pattern avoiding involutions, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2008).
- [5] W. M. B. Dukes, T. Mansour, and A. Reifegerste, Wilf classification of three and four letter signed patterns, to appear in *Discrete Math.* (2008).
- [6] A. D. Jaggard, Prefix exchanging and pattern avoidance by involutions, *Electron. J. Combin.* **9** (2003), R16.
- [7] A. D. Jaggard and Joseph J. Marincel, Generating tree isomorphisms for pattern-avoiding involutions, http://www.ams.org/amsmtg/2098_abstracts/1023-05-1618.pdf, 2007.
- [8] T. Mansour, <http://www.math.haifa.ac.il/toufik/enum2005.html>, 2007.

