HAL
open science

Pairwise Intersections and Forbidden Configurations

Richard P. Anstee, Peter Keevash

To cite this version:

Richard P. Anstee, Peter Keevash. Pairwise Intersections and Forbidden Configurations. 2005 European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications (EuroComb '05), 2005, Berlin, Germany. pp.17-20, 10.46298/dmtcs.3426 . hal-01184383

HAL Id: hal-01184383

https://inria.hal.science/hal-01184383v1

Submitted on 14 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EuroComb 2005 DMTCS proc. **AE**, 2005, 17–20

Pairwise Intersections and Forbidden Configurations

R. P. Anstee[1] † and Peter Keevash[2]‡

[1]*Mathematics Department, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 1Z2*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California, USA*

Let $f_m(a,b,c,d)$ denote the maximum size of a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of an m-element set for which there is no pair of subsets $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$$|A \cap B| \geq a, \quad |\bar{A} \cap B| \geq b, \quad |A \cap \bar{B}| \geq c, \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}| \geq d.$$

By symmetry we can assume $a \geq d$ and $b \geq c$. We show that $f_m(a,b,c,d)$ is $\Theta(m^{a+b-1})$ if either $b > c$ or $a, b \geq 1$. We also show that $f_m(0,b,b,0)$ is $\Theta(m^b)$ and $f_m(a,0,0,d)$ is $\Theta(m^a)$. This can be viewed as a result concerning forbidden configurations and is further evidence for a conjecture of Anstee and Sali. Our key tool is a strong stability version of the Complete Intersection Theorem of Ahlswede and Khachatrian, which is of independent interest.

Keywords: forbidden configurations, extremal set theory, intersecting set systems, uniform set systems, (0,1)-matrices

Let $f_m(a,b,c,d)$ denote the maximum size of a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of an m-element set for which there is no pair of subsets $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$$|A \cap B| \geq a, \quad |\bar{A} \cap B| \geq b, \quad |A \cap \bar{B}| \geq c, \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}| \geq d.$$

By symmetry we can assume $a \geq d$ and $b \geq c$.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $a \geq d$ and $b \geq c$. Then $f_m(a,b,c,d)$ is $\Theta(m^{a+b-1})$ if either $b > c$ or $a, b \geq 1$. Also $f_m(a,0,0,d)$ is $\Theta(m^a)$ and $f_m(0,b,b,0)$ is $\Theta(m^b)$.*

Some motivation for studying this function comes from the forbidden configuration problem for matrices popularised by the first author. We can identify a family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \cdots, A_n\}$ of subsets of $[m]$ with an $m \times n$ $(0,1)$-matrix A determined by incidence, i.e. A_{ij} is 1 if $i \in A_j$, otherwise 0. Such a matrix is *simple*, by which we mean it has no repeated columns. Let F be a $(0,1)$-matrix (not necessarily simple). We define forb(m,F) to be the largest n for which there is a simple $m \times n$ $(0,1)$-matrix A that does not contain an F configuration, i.e. a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F. If we interpret

†Research is supported in part by NSERC
‡Research was done partly while visiting the first author with the support of the first author's NSERC grant

1365–8050 © 2005 Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DMTCS), Nancy, France

A, F as incidence matrices of systems $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}$ (the latter possibly having sets with multiplicity) then A has an F configuration exactly when $\mathcal{A}$ has $\mathcal{F}$ as a *trace*, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \subset \{A \cap X : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ for some $X \subset [m]$.

The first forbidden configuration result was obtained independently by Sauer [6], Perles, Shelah [7], Vapnik and Chervonenkis [8]. When F is the $k \times 2^k$ $(0,1)$-matrix with all possible distinct columns they showed that forb$(m, F) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{m}{i}$. For a general k-row matrix F, Füredi obtained an $O(m^k)$ upper bound on forb(m, F), but it seems hard to determine the order of magnitude of forb(m, F) for each F. This was achieved when F has 2 rows by Anstee, Griggs and Sali [2] and for 3 rows by Anstee and Sali [3], but is open in general.

It is not hard to see that if F consists of a single column with s 0's and t 1's then forb(m, F) is $\Theta(m^{\max\{s-1,t-1\}})$. In this paper we solve the problem when F has two columns. Let F_{abcd} be the $(a+b+c+d) \times 2$ $(0,1)$-matrix which has a rows of $[11]$, b rows of $[10]$, c rows of $[01]$, d rows of $[00]$. Then forb$(m, F_{abcd}) = f_m(a, b, c, d)$ as defined above.

In [3] a conjecture was made for the asymptotic behaviour of forb(m, F) as a function of m and F. In particular, a restricted set of constructions of simple matrices were described in [3] that were conjectured to predict the asymptotics of forb(m, F). These were used in this paper to predict the asymptotics in Theorem 1 as well as to provide construction. This is further evidence for the conjecture in [3].

Our key tool is a strong stability version of the Complete Intersection Theorem of Ahlswede and Khachatrian [1], which is of independent interest. Strong stability results have been employed with success by the second author, for example in [4],[5]. First we recall some notation. Let numbers k, r_1, r_2 be given and suppose G and H are disjoint sets with $|G| = k - r_1 + r_2$. We define $\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2}$ on the pair (H, G) to be the family consisting of all sets of size k in $G \cup H$ that intersect G in at least $k - r_1 = |G| - r_2$ points. Note that any two sets in $\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2}$ have at least $|G| - 2r_2 = k - r_1 - r_2$ points in common, i.e. $\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2}$ is $(k-r)$-intersecting, where $r = r_1 + r_2$.

We also define $\mathcal{F}^k_{r_1,r_2}$ on the pair (H, G) to be the family consisting of all sets of size k in $G \cup H$ that intersect G in exactly $k - r_1 = |G| - r_2$ points. Clearly this is a subsystem of $\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2}$ and $|\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2} \backslash \mathcal{F}^k_{r_1,r_2}|$ is of a lower order of magnitude than $|\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2}|$ and $|\mathcal{F}^k_{r_1,r_2}|$. In particular, if the systems are defined on the ground set $[m]$ with $k = \Theta(m)$ then $|\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2}|$ and $|\mathcal{F}^k_{r_1,r_2}|$ are $\Theta(m^r)$, whereas $|\mathcal{I}^k_{r_1,r_2} \backslash \mathcal{F}^k_{r_1,r_2}| < m^{r-2}$. The Complete Intersection Theorem, conjectured by Frankl, and proved by Ahlswede and Khachatrian [1], is that any k-uniform, $(k-r)$-intersecting family of maximum size on a given ground set is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^k_{r-p,p}$, for some $0 \le p \le r$, which depends on the size of the ground set. We prove the following result.

Theorem 2 *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a k-uniform $(k-r)$-intersecting set system on $[m]$ of size at least $(5r)^{5r} m^{r-1}$. Then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}^k_{r-p,p}$ for some $0 \le p \le r$.*

We use this theorem in our proofs of the upper bounds in Theorem 1 in cases where $\mathcal{A}$ is a k-uniform $(k-r)$-intersecting set system satisfying some additional properties. If $|\mathcal{A}|$ is small, we can ignore it for the purposes of upper bounds. If $|\mathcal{A}|$ is large enough to matter for the upper bounds, we can use the fact that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}^k_{r-p,p}$ to deduce structure in $\mathcal{A}$ (e.g. the partition G, H above) which we can exploit in our proofs.

References

[1] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, The complete intersection theorem for systems of finite sets, *European J. Combin.* **18** (1997), 125–136.

[2] R.P. Anstee, J.R. Griggs and A. Sali, Small Forbidden Configurations, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **13** (1997), 97–118.

[3] R.P. Anstee and A. Sali, Small Forbidden Configurations IV: The 3-rowed case, *Combinatorica*, to appear.

[4] P. Keevash and D. Mubayi, Stability theorems for cancellative hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **92** (2004), 163–175.

[5] P. Keevash and B. Sudakov, The Turán number of the Fano plane, *Combinatorica*, to appear.

[6] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, *J. Combin. Th. Ser A* **13**(1973), 145-147.

[7] S. Shelah, A combinatorial problem: Stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages, *Pac. J. Math.* **4**(1972), 247-261.

[8] V.N. Vapnik, A.Ya. Chervonenkis, On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities, *Th. Prob. and Applics.* **16**(1971), 264-280.