



HAL
open science

Fast separation in a graph with an excluded minor

Bruce Reed, David R. Wood

► **To cite this version:**

Bruce Reed, David R. Wood. Fast separation in a graph with an excluded minor. 2005 European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications (EuroComb '05), 2005, Berlin, Germany. pp.45-50, 10.46298/dmtcs.3419 . hal-01184376

HAL Id: hal-01184376

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01184376v1>

Submitted on 14 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fast separation in a graph with an excluded minor[†]

Bruce Reed¹ and David R. Wood²

¹*School of Computer Science, McGill University, Montréal, Canada* (breed@cs.mcgill.ca)

²*Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada II, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain*
(david.wood@upc.edu)

Let G be an n -vertex m -edge graph with weighted vertices. A pair of vertex sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ is a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of order $|A \cap B|$ if $A \cup B = V(G)$, there is no edge between $A \setminus B$ and $B \setminus A$, and both $A \setminus B$ and $B \setminus A$ have weight at most $\frac{2}{3}$ the total weight of G . Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be fixed. Alon, Seymour and Thomas [*J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1990] presented an algorithm that in $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2}m)$ time, either outputs a K_ℓ -minor of G , or a separation of G of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2})$. Whether there is a $\mathcal{O}(n + m)$ time algorithm for this theorem was left as open problem. In this paper, we obtain a $\mathcal{O}(n + m)$ time algorithm at the expense of $\mathcal{O}(n^{2/3})$ separator. Moreover, our algorithm exhibits a tradeoff between running time and the order of the separator. In particular, for any given $\epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, our algorithm either outputs a K_ℓ -minor of G , or a separation of G with order $\mathcal{O}(n^{(2-\epsilon)/3})$ in $\mathcal{O}(n^{1+\epsilon} + m)$ time.

Keywords: graph algorithm, separator, minor

1 Introduction

We consider graphs G that are simple, finite, and undirected. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex and edge sets of G . Let $|G| := |V(G)|$ and $\|G\| := |E(G)|$. A *separation* of G is a pair $\{A, B\}$ of vertex sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ such that $A \cup B = V(G)$, and there is no edge with one endpoint in $A \setminus B$ and the other endpoint in $B \setminus A$. The *order* of $\{A, B\}$ is $|A \cap B|$. The set $A \cap B$ is called a *separator* of G . A *weighting* of G is a function $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $w(S) := \sum_{v \in S} w(v)$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, and $w(G) := w(V(G))$. We say (G, w) is a *weighted graph*. A separation $\{A, B\}$ of a weighted graph (G, w) is an α -separation if $w(A \setminus B) \leq \alpha \cdot w(G)$ and $w(B \setminus A) \leq \alpha \cdot w(G)$.

A ‘separator theorem’ is of the format: for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, every graph G from a certain family has an α -separation of order $\mathcal{O}(|G|^{1-\epsilon})$. Applications of separator theorems are numerous, and include VLSI circuit layout, approximation algorithms using the divide-and-conquer paradigm, solving sparse systems of linear equations, pebbling games, and graph drawing. See the recent monograph by Rosenberg and Heath [9] for more details.

A seminal theorem due to Lipton and Tarjan [5] states that every weighted planar graph G has a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of order $\mathcal{O}(|G|^{1/2})$ that can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(|G| + \|G\|)$ time. This result was generalised

[†]Research of B.R. is supported by NSERC. Research of D.W. is supported by the Government of Spain grant MEC SB2003-0270.

for graphs with an excluded minor by Alon *et al.* [1] (see [2, 3, 7] for related results). A graph H is a *minor* of a graph G if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting edges, in which case we say that G has an H -*minor*. The Kuratowski-Wagner Theorem states that a graph is planar if and only if it has no K_5 -minor and no $K_{3,3}$ -minor. An H -*model* in G is a set of disjoint connected subgraphs $\{X_v : v \in V(H)\}$ indexed by the vertices of H , such that for every edge $vw \in E(H)$, there is an edge $xy \in E(G)$ with $x \in X_v$ and $y \in X_w$. Clearly G has an H -minor if and only if G has an H -model. We choose to work with H -models rather than H -minors.

Theorem 1 (Alon *et al.* [1]) *There is an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}((\ell \cdot |G|)^{1/2} \cdot (|G| + \|G\|))$ that, given $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a weighted graph (G, w) , either outputs:*

- (a) a K_ℓ -model of G , or
- (b) a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of (G, w) of order at most $\ell^{3/2} \cdot |G|^{1/2}$.

Suppose that ℓ is fixed. It follows from a result of Mader [6] (see Theorem 3) that Theorem 1 can be implemented in $\mathcal{O}(|G|^{3/2} + \|G\|)$ time. Alon *et al.* [1] left as an open problem whether linear time is possible. The main result of this paper is the following partial answer to this question. We obtain a linear running time at the expense of a slightly larger separator (and a larger dependence on ℓ). Moreover, our algorithm exhibits a tradeoff between running time (ranging from $\mathcal{O}(n)$ to $\mathcal{O}(n^{3/2})$) and the order of the separator (ranging from $\mathcal{O}(n^{2/3})$ to $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2})$).

Theorem 2 *There is an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(2^{(3\ell^2+7\ell-3)/2} \cdot |G|^{1+\epsilon} + \ell \cdot \|G\|)$ that, given $\epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and a weighted graph (G, w) , either outputs:*

- (a) a K_ℓ -model of G , or
- (b) a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of (G, w) of order at most $2^{(\ell^2+3\ell+1)/2} \cdot |G|^{(2-\epsilon)/3}$.

Note that for applications to divide-and-conquer algorithms a separation of order $\mathcal{O}(|G|^{1-\epsilon})$, for some constant $\epsilon > 0$, is all that is needed.

The idea behind the proof of Theorem 2 is simple. We now outline the proof for fixed ℓ and with $\epsilon = 0$. Suppose that in $\mathcal{O}(|G| + \|G\|)$ time, we can find a partition of $V(G)$ into $|G|^{2/3}$ connected subgraphs $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{|G|^{2/3}}\}$, each containing $\mathcal{O}(|G|^{1/3})$ vertices. Let H be the weighted graph obtained from G by contracting each S_i to a vertex v_i with weight $w(v_i) = w(S_i)$. Then apply Theorem 1 to H to either obtain a K_ℓ -model in H which defines a K_ℓ -model in G , or a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation $\{A, B\}$ of H with order $\mathcal{O}(|H|^{1/2}) = \mathcal{O}(|G|^{1/3})$, in which case $\{\bigcup\{S_i : v_i \in A\}, \bigcup\{S_i : v_i \in B\}\}$ is a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of G with order $\mathcal{O}(|G|^{2/3})$. The running time is $\mathcal{O}(|H|^{3/2} + \|H\|) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(|G| + \|G\|)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is actually a little different from this outline. In particular, the subgraphs S_i will not necessarily be connected, but we will still be able to convert the output from Theorem 1 applied to H to the desired output for G . By relaxing the connectivity condition, we are able to prove that an appropriate partition exists.

We will use the following notation for a graph G . For $x \in V(G)$, let $N(x) := \{y \in V(G) : xy \in E(G)\}$. For a subgraph X of G , let $N(X) := \bigcup\{N(x) \setminus X : x \in X\}$. Where there is no confusion, a set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ will also refer to the subgraph of G induced by S .

2 Mader's Theorem

This section contains a number of easily proved results—see the full version of the paper for details. We start with an algorithmic version of a theorem of Mader [6] (cf. [8]).

Theorem 3 Given a graph G with $\|G\| \geq 2^{\ell-3} \cdot |G|$ (for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), a K_ℓ -model of G can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(\ell(|G| + \|G\|))$ time.

Note that if we ignore the running time, Theorem 3 is far from best possible. Kostochka [4] and Thomason [10] independently proved that if $\|G\| \in \Omega(\ell\sqrt{\log \ell} \cdot |G|)$ then G has a K_ℓ -model. Theorem 3 implies the following slightly faster version of Theorem 1 (for fixed ℓ)

Theorem 4 There is an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(2^{2\ell} \cdot |G|^{3/2} + \ell \cdot \|G\|)$ that, given $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a weighted graph (G, w) , either outputs:

- (a) a K_ℓ -model of G , or
- (b) a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of (G, w) of order at most $\ell^{3/2} \cdot |G|^{1/2}$.

A k -clique of G is a (not necessarily maximal) set of k pairwise adjacent vertices of G . If every subgraph of G has a vertex of degree at most d , then G is d -degenerate. For example, Theorem 3 implies that a graph with no K_ℓ -minor is $2^{\ell-2}$ -degenerate. It is easily proved that a d -degenerate graph G with no k -clique has less than $d^{k-1} \cdot |G|$ cliques. Hence a graph G with no K_ℓ -minor has less than $2^{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)} \cdot |G|$ cliques. For an algorithm, we have the following result.

Lemma 1 Given a graph G with no k -clique and at least $2^{(\ell-2)(k-1)} \cdot |G|$ cliques (for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), a K_ℓ -minor of G can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(\ell(|G| + \|G\|))$ time.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be a graph. Let \mathcal{A} be a partition of $V(G)$. Let H be the graph obtained from G by collapsing each part $S \in \mathcal{A}$ to a single vertex v , and replacing parallel edges by a single edge. Denote $H_v := S$. We say $\{H_v : v \in V(H)\}$ is an H -partition of G . Furthermore, $\{H_v : v \in V(H)\}$ is a connected H -partition of G if $vw \in E(H)$ if and only if there is an edge of G between every component of H_v and every component of H_w . We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2 There is an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(2^{2\ell} \cdot |G| + \|G\|)$ that, given $\ell, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a graph G , outputs a connected H -partition of G such that either:

- (a) H has a K_ℓ -model (which is also output), or
- (b) $|H| \leq 2^{\ell^2+\ell-1} \cdot |G| \cdot k^{-1}$, and $|H_x| < 2k$ for all $x \in V(H)$.

Proof of Theorem 2 assuming Lemma 2: Apply Lemma 2 with $k = \lfloor |G|^{(1-2\epsilon)/3} \rfloor$. First suppose that Lemma 2 outputs a K_ℓ -model $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_\ell\}$ of H . Thus each S_i is a connected subgraph of H . Choose a connected component Z_v of H_v for each $v \in V(H)$. Let $T_i := \bigcup \{Z_v : v \in S_i\}$. Then $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\ell\}$ is a K_ℓ -model of G .

Otherwise $|H| \leq 2^{\ell^2+\ell-1} \cdot |G|^{2(1+\epsilon)/3}$, and $|H_x| < 2|G|^{(1-2\epsilon)/3}$ for all $x \in V(H)$. Let $w(v) := w(H_v)$ for all $v \in V(H)$. Apply Theorem 4 to (H, w) . The running time is

$$\mathcal{O}(2^{2\ell} \cdot |H|^{3/2} + \ell \cdot \|H\|) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2^{2\ell} \cdot (2^{\ell^2+\ell-1} \cdot |G|^{2(1+\epsilon)/3})^{3/2} + \ell \cdot \|G\|) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2^{(3\ell^2+7\ell-3)/2} \cdot |G|^{1+\epsilon} + \ell \cdot \|G\|).$$

We either obtain a K_ℓ -model of H , or a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation of H with order at most $\ell^{3/2} \cdot |H|^{1/2}$. In the first case, G has a K_ℓ -model as proved above.

Now suppose that we obtain a $\frac{2}{3}$ -separation $\{A, B\}$ of (H, w) with order

$$|A \cap B| \leq \ell^{3/2} \cdot |H|^{1/2} \leq \ell^{3/2} \cdot (2^{\ell^2+\ell-1} |G|^{2(1+\epsilon)/3})^{1/2} \leq 2^{(\ell^2+3\ell-1)/2} \cdot |G|^{(1+\epsilon)/3}.$$

Let $X := \bigcup\{H_v : v \in A\}$ and $Y := \bigcup\{H_v : v \in B\}$. Then $\{X, Y\}$ is a separation of G with order

$$|X \cap Y| = |\bigcup\{H_v : v \in A \cap B\}| \leq 2^{(\ell^2 + 3\ell - 1)/2} \cdot |G|^{(1+\epsilon)/3} \cdot 2|G|^{(1-2\epsilon)/3} \leq 2^{(\ell^2 + 3\ell + 1)/2} \cdot |G|^{(2-\epsilon)/3}.$$

We have $w(X \setminus Y) = w(A \setminus B) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(H) = \frac{2}{3}w(G)$. Similarly $w(B \setminus A) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(G)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 2:

Step 1: Using a breadth-first search algorithm, compute a maximal set \mathcal{A} of connected subgraphs of G such that $|S| = k$ for all $S \in \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of connected components of $G \setminus \bigcup\{S \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is a partition of $V(G)$, and there is no edge of G between distinct $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that $|T| < k$ for all $T \in \mathcal{B}$, as otherwise T would contain a connected subgraph X with $|X| = k$, which could be added to \mathcal{A} .

Step 2: Let H be the graph obtained from G by contracting each set $S \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ into a single vertex v with $H_v := S$, and replacing parallel edges by a single edge. Since each $S \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is connected in G , $\{H_v : v \in V(H)\}$ is a connected H -partition of G . Let $A := \{v \in V(H) : H_v \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $B := \{v \in V(H) : H_v \in \mathcal{B}\}$. A vertex v of H is *big* if $|H_v| \geq k$. A vertex v of H is *small* if $|H_v| < k$. Observe that every vertex in A is big, B is an independent set of H , and every vertex in B is small. Partition $B = C \cup D \cup E$, where $C := \{v \in B : \deg_H(v) \geq 2^{\ell-2}\}$, $D := \{v \in B : \ell - 1 \leq \deg_H(v) < 2^{\ell-2}\}$, and $E := \{v \in B : \deg_H(v) \leq \ell - 2\}$.

Step 3: Suppose that $|C| \geq |A|$. Then the subgraph $C \cup A$ of H has at least $2^{\ell-2} \cdot |C|$ edges and at most $2|C|$ vertices. By Theorem 3, a K_ℓ -model of $C \cup A$ can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(\ell \cdot |G|)$ time. We now assume that $|C| < |A|$.

Step 4: For each vertex $v \in D \cup E$, if there is a pair $x, y \in A$ of distinct neighbours of v , such that $\{x, y\}$ has not been assigned any vertex in $D \cup E$, then assign v to $\{x, y\}$. This step can be implemented in $\mathcal{O}(2^{2\ell} \cdot |G|)$ time, since each vertex in $D \cup E$ has degree at most $2^{\ell-2}$.

Suppose that there is a vertex $v \in D$ that is not assigned. Let the neighbourhood of v be $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$. Then $d \geq \ell - 1$. Thus for all $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, there is a distinct vertex $v_{i,j}$ that is assigned to the pair $\{x_i, x_j\}$, and $v_{i,j}$ is adjacent to both x_i and x_j . In the graph obtained from H by contracting each edge $x_i v_{i,j}$, the subgraph $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, v\}$ is a clique on at least ℓ vertices. Thus H has a K_ℓ -model. We now assume that every vertex in D is assigned.

Let E^* be the set of assigned vertices in E . Consider the graph obtained from $A \cup D \cup E^*$ by contracting the edge vx for each $v \in D \cup E^*$ assigned to the pair $\{x, y\}$. This graph has $|A|$ vertices and at least $|D| + |E^*|$ edges. Thus if $|D| + |E^*| \geq 2^{\ell-3} \cdot |A|$, then by Theorem 3, H has a K_ℓ -model that can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(\ell \cdot |G|)$ time. We now assume that $|D| + |E^*| < 2^{\ell-3} \cdot |A|$.

Step 5: Partition $E \setminus E^* = \bigcup\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s\}$ such that for all $u, v \in E \setminus E^*$, we have $N(u) = N(v)$ if and only if both $u, v \in P_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. For all $1 \leq i \leq s$, partition $P_i = \bigcup(P_{i,1}, P_{i,2}, \dots, P_{i,t_i})$ such that for all $1 \leq j \leq t_i - 1$, $k \leq |\bigcup\{H_v : v \in P_{i,j}\}| < 2k$, and $|\bigcup\{H_v : v \in P_{i,t_i}\}| < k$. This is possible since $|H_v| < k$ for all $v \in P_i$. Collapse each set $P_{i,j}$ into a single vertex $p_{i,j}$ in H , whose associated subgraph in G is $H_{p_{i,j}} := \bigcup\{H_v : v \in P_{i,j}\}$. Since the vertices in $P_{i,j}$ have the same neighbourhood, $\{H_v : v \in V(H)\}$ remains a connected partition of G . Let $E_{\text{big}} = \{p_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t_i - 1\}$ and $E_{\text{small}} = \{p_{i,t_i} : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. Then every vertex in E_{big} is big and every vertex in E_{small} is small.

Suppose that $|E_{\text{small}}| \geq 2^{\ell^2} \cdot |A|$. Let X be the graph obtained from A by adding a clique on $N(v)$ for each vertex $v \in E_{\text{small}}$. Since distinct vertices in E_{small} have distinct neighbourhoods, this process adds at least $|E_{\text{small}}| \geq 2^{\ell^2} \cdot |A|$ cliques. Thus by Lemma 1, a K_ℓ -model of X can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(|G|)$ time. For every edge $x_i x_j$ in this K_ℓ -model that is in X but not in A , we have $x_i, x_j \in N(v)$ for some $v \in E_{\text{small}}$. Since v is not assigned, there is a vertex $u \in D \cup E^*$ assigned to $\{x_i, x_j\}$, and u is adjacent to both x_i and x_j . Since u is not in the K_ℓ -model, we can include u in the connected subgraph of the K_ℓ -model that contains x_i or x_j , and we obtain a K_ℓ -model in $A \cup D \cup E^*$ (in particular, without the edge $x_i x_j$). Now assume that $|E_{\text{small}}| < 2^{\ell^2} \cdot |A|$.

Step 6: We have now partitioned $V(H)$ into sets $A \cup E_{\text{big}}$ of big vertices, and sets $C \cup D \cup E^* \cup E_{\text{small}}$ of small vertices. We have proved that $|C| < |A|$, $|D| + |E^*| < 2^{\ell-3} \cdot |A|$, and $|E_{\text{small}}| < 2^{\ell^2} \cdot |A|$. Thus the number of small vertices is less than $(1 + 2^{\ell-3} + 2^{\ell^2} + 1) \cdot |A| \leq 2^{\ell^2 + \ell - 2} \cdot |A|$. By definition, the number of big vertices in H is at most $|G| \cdot k^{-1}$. In particular, $|A| \leq |G| \cdot k^{-1}$. Thus $|H| \leq 2^{\ell^2 + \ell - 1} \cdot |G| \cdot k^{-1}$. Moreover, every $|H_v| < 2k$ for every vertex $v \in V(H)$. \square

References

- [1] NOGA ALON, PAUL SEYMOUR, AND ROBIN THOMAS. A separator theorem for nonplanar graphs. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(4):801–808, 1990.
- [2] ERIC D. DEMAINE AND MOHAMMADTAGHI HAJIAGHAYI. Graphs excluding a fixed minor have grids as large as treewidth, with combinatorial and algorithmic applications through bidimensionality. In *Proc. 16th Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms (SODA '05)*. ACM, 2005.
- [3] MARTIN GROHE. Local tree-width, excluded minors, and approximation algorithms. *Combinatorica*, 23(4):613–632, 2003.
- [4] ALEXANDR V. KOSTOCHKA. Lower bound of the Hadwiger number of graphs by their average degree. *Combinatorica*, 4(4):307–316, 1984.
- [5] RICHARD J. LIPTON AND ROBERT E. TARJAN. A separator theorem for planar graphs. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 36(2):177–189, 1979.
- [6] WOLFGANG MADER. Homomorphieeigenschaften und mittlere Kantendichte von Graphen. *Math. Ann.*, 174:265–268, 1967.
- [7] SERGE PLOTKIN, SATISH RAO, AND WARREN D. SMITH. Shallow excluded minors and improved graph decompositions. In *Proc. 5th Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms (SODA '94)*, pp. 462–470. ACM, 1994.
- [8] NEIL ROBERTSON AND PAUL D. SEYMOUR. Graph minors. XIII. The disjoint paths problem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 63(1):65–110, 1995.
- [9] ARNOLD L. ROSENBERG AND LENWOOD S. HEATH. *Graph separators, with applications*. Frontiers of Computer Science. Kluwer, 2001.
- [10] ANDREW THOMASON. An extremal function for contractions of graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 95(2):261–265, 1984.

