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#### Abstract

We study two principle minimizing problems, subject of different constraints. Our open sets are assumed bounded, except mentioning otherwise; precisely $\Omega=] 0,1\left[{ }^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, n=1\right.$ or $n=2$.
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## 1 Introduction

Theorem 1.1 ( Rellich-Kondrachov theorem). Suppose $\Omega$ is bounded and of class $C^{1}$ then, $W^{1, p} \subset L^{p}$ with compact injection for all $p$ (and all $n$ ).

Let $p \geq 2$ and $W^{1, p}(] 0,1\left[; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) ; u_{1} \in W^{1, p}(] 0,1[; \mathbb{R}), u_{2} \in W^{1, p}(] 0,1[; \mathbb{R})\right\}$
Define the functionals

1. $W^{1, p}(] 0,1\left[; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$

$$
u \rightarrow F(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|_{2}^{p}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

2. $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$

$$
u \rightarrow K(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|_{2}^{p}=\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{1}(x)\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{2}(x)\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

Mainly, our goals are:

- show if that there exists $u_{0} \in A_{i}$ unique such that, $G\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf \left\{G(u) ; u \in A_{i}\right\}$
- write the Euler-Lagrange equation satisfied by a 'smooth' $u_{0}$

Let us define the constraint sets:

1. $A_{1}=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}(] 0,1\left[; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right.$ :
$|u|_{2}^{2}=\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}\right)=1$ a.e. so $\left|u_{i}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1, u_{1}^{2}=1-u_{2}^{2} ; u(0)=(0,1), u(1)=$ $(1,0)\}$
2. $A_{2}=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ; u_{1}=0 \& u_{2}=1\right.$ on $\partial \Omega ; u_{1} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega),|u|_{2}^{2}=\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}\right)$ $=1$ a.e. so $\left.\left|u_{i}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1, u_{1}^{2}=1-u_{2}^{2}\right\}$

Note that the condition a.e. is implicitly important. One can notice that it could be written directly into equation $u_{2}=\sqrt{1-\left(u_{1}\right)^{2}}$; without loss of generality we didn't do so. Clearly, boundary condition does not define a vector space, if $u_{1}(0)=0, u_{1}(1)=1$, we write $u_{1}=g$ and $u_{2}=1-g$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $g$ may be a function defined on the open set $\Omega$ as well.

## 2 Solutions

Lemma 2.1. $A_{i} \neq \phi$ for all $i$.
Proof. For $i=1$ consider the bounded smooth functionals
$x \rightarrow u_{1}= \begin{cases}\exp \left(\frac{x}{x^{p}-1}\right) & \text { for any } \mathrm{p}>0 \text { if } x \in[0.1[ \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}$
For $i=2$, similarly but more explicitly we use the following proposition about partition of unity which lead to the result after a regularization process.

Proposition 2.1. let $\Omega$ be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $K$ a compact $\subset \Omega$.
Then $\exists \Phi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, such that

$$
0 \leq \Phi \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \subset \Omega
$$

Definition 2.1. The p-norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined as:

$$
\left.x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, p \in\right] 0 ;+\infty\left[: x \rightarrow|x|_{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right.
$$

and it is denoted by $|\cdot| p$.
Lemma 2.2. If $u_{1} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, and $u_{2} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ then $\left(\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$
Proof. Write $|u|_{2} \leq C|u|_{p}$ for some $C>0$.

### 2.1 Existence and uniqueness

Note that product spaces such $V \times V$ are equipped with the sum norm that is $\|u\|+\|v\|$. Usually we will study $K(u)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and $F(u)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ as the norm $L^{p}$ will appear explicitly. Before we state the main theorem, we have:

Proposition 2.2. $\left.|v(\partial \Omega)| \leq C\|v\|_{W^{1, p}} \quad \forall v \in W^{1, p}\right] 0,1[$
where $\partial \Omega:=\{0,1\}$
Remark 2.1. A minimizer of a positive valued function $f$ is also a minimizer of $f^{p}$ and conversely, $\forall p>0$.

## Theorem 2.1.

1. There exists at least one function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in A_{1}$ solving $F(u)=\min _{w \in A_{1}} F(w)$.
2. There exists at least one function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in A_{2}$ solving $K(u)=\min _{w \in A_{2}} K(w)$.

Proof. First $F(u)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $K(u)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ are both continuous convex functions thus weakly lower semi continuous. Also the constraints sets are weakly closed, in the sense that, if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, and $u_{n}$ is satisfying any of the constraint, $u$ will be as well. For the boundary condition that is $u=g$ on the boundary, choose any $h$ satisfying same constraints, $u_{n}-h$ is a sequence $\in W_{0}^{1, p} \times W_{0}^{1, p}$, a convex closed subspace of $W^{1, p} \times W^{1, p}$, hence weakly closed. For the condition of $\left|u_{i}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1$ a.e. it suffices to show that $\left|u_{1}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1$ a.e. Take a sequence weakly convergent to $u$ in $W^{1, p}$ by Rellich-K. Theorem we have a strong convergence at least in one of the $L^{p}$ 's. Thus we can extract a subsequence that converges a.e. to $u$. Giving that $|\Omega|<\infty$, by Egoroff theorem the a.e convergence is equivalent to uniform convergence, up to arbitrarily negligible sets. Since the set is closed for the uniform convergence, we conclude that $\left|u_{i}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1, i=1,2$ a.e

It could be said directly after the extraction of a subsequence a.e. convergent, that we have

$$
\left|u_{k_{j}}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{k_{j}}\right|^{2} \rightarrow\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}=1 \text { a.e }
$$

Remaining to show that the functionals verify a coercivity condition over the product space.

1. Set $f:=\inf _{u \in A_{1}} F(u)$. If $f=+\infty$ we are done, suppose $f$ is finite. Select a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$, then $F\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow f$ because we are in $\mathbb{R}$

$$
F(u) \geq C \cdot\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}^{p}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}^{p}\right) \geq C C^{\prime}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}\right)^{p}
$$

One can verify because of boundary conditions (on $A_{1}$ ) that we have equivalence between the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1, p}}$ i.e.

$$
F\left(u_{k}\right) \geq \alpha\left\|u_{k}\right\|:=\alpha\left(\left\|u_{k_{1}}\right\|_{W^{1, p}}+\left\|u_{k_{2}}\right\|_{W^{1, p}}\right)^{p} .
$$

This estimate implies that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p} \times W^{1, p}$. Consequently there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{k_{j}}\right\}$ and a function $u \in W^{1, p} \times W^{1, p}$ such that; $u_{k_{j}} \rightharpoonup u$
weakly in $W^{1, p} \times W^{1, p}$, thus $F(u)$ is weakly lower semi continuous. $F(u) \leq \lim$ $\inf _{j \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{k_{j}}\right)=f$, since $u \in A_{1}$ it follows that

$$
F(u)=f=\min _{u \in A_{1}} F(u) .
$$

2. Similarly, set $m:=\inf _{u \in A_{2}} K(u)$. If $m=+\infty$ we are done, suppose $m$ is finite, select a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$, then $K\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow m$ because we are in $\mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
K(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|_{2}^{p} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{1}(x)\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{2}(x)\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{1}(x)\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{2}(x)\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \geq C \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{p}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{p}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{p}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{p}\right) \\
& \geq C C^{\prime}\left(\left\|u_{k_{1}}\right\|_{W^{1, p}}^{p}\right)+C\left(\left\|\nabla \sqrt{1-u_{k_{1}}^{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(u_{k}\right) \geq \min \left(C C^{\prime}, C\right)\left(\left\|u_{k_{1}}\right\|_{W^{1, p}}^{p}+\left\|\nabla \sqrt{1-u_{k_{1}}^{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But if $u_{1}$ is bounded so is $u_{2}$ and conversely for:

$$
1-\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left|1-\left\|u_{1}^{2}\right\|\right| \leq\left\|1-u_{1}^{2}\right\|=\left\|u_{2}^{2}\right\| \leq\left\|u_{2}\right\|^{2}
$$

thus we conclude that the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p} \times W^{1, p}$ and the proof is similar to that of $F(u)$.

Theorem 2.2. The minimizing problem: $F(u)=\min _{w \in A_{1}} F(w)$ has a unique solution
Proof. Suppose not, if $u$ is a minimizer and a distinct minimizer $v$ exists, $v:=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ write $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}, \frac{u_{2}+v_{2}}{2}\right)$ now recall that the Euclidean norm $|.|_{2}$ is strictly convex, which means that as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime} \neq \alpha . u^{\prime} \text { a.e. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have this strict inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
G(w)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|w^{\prime}(x)\right|_{2}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left(\frac{u_{1}^{\prime}+v_{1}^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{u_{2}^{\prime}+v_{2}^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{4}\\
& <\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{2}+\left|v^{\prime}\right|_{2}\right)^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{5}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} G(u)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{2} G(v)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts the minimum property. This contradiction completes the proof if we showed that $v^{\prime} \neq \alpha . u^{\prime}$ a.e, suppose the converse and let $u=\beta v+$ cte, if $u_{1}=$ $\beta_{1} v_{1}+c t e_{1}$, applying boundary constraints and using Proposition 2.2 we conclude that $u_{1} \neq \beta_{1} v_{1}+c t e_{1}$ a.e. for any $\beta_{1}$ and any constant $c t e_{1}$

## 3 Euler-Lagrange

Lemma 3.1. $F(u)$ and $K(u)$ are both differentiable $\left(C^{1}\right)$ on the product space except at $(0,0)$

Proof. This follows by the regularity of the $|\cdot|_{2}$ norm and derivation under integral sign.

From this, we can compute the Euler-Lagrange equations giving the existence of a minimizer $\left(u_{0_{1}}, u_{0_{2}}\right) \neq 0$. Bearing in mind that $C^{1}$ Gateaux differentiable is the same as $C^{1}$ Frechet -differentiable. We will give the 'equation' satisfied by the 'minimizer' of $K(u)$ as it is the most general case.
Fix $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Since $|u|_{2}=1$ a.e, we have

$$
|u+\tau v|_{2} \neq 0 \text { a.e. }
$$

for each sufficiently small $\tau$ by continuity. Consequently

$$
v(\tau):=\frac{u+\tau v}{|u+\tau v|_{2}} \in A_{2}
$$

Thus

$$
k(\tau):=K(v(\tau)
$$

has a minimum at $\tau=0$, and so

$$
k^{\prime}(0)=0 .
$$

Norms on product spaces are of course Euclidien norms, that is $|.|_{2}$. Matrices such the gradient matrix (here it's a $2 \times 2$ matrix) can be identified to a vector $\in \mathbb{R}^{4}$, and let (.) denotes the usual scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, by a direct computation we have:
Proposition 3.1. $v^{\prime}(\tau)=\frac{v}{|u+\tau v|}-\frac{[(u+\tau v) \cdot v](u+\tau v)}{|u+\tau v|^{3}}$
Theorem 3.1. Let $u \in A_{2}$ satisfy

$$
K(u)=\min _{w \in A_{2}} K(w)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} p|D u|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left[(D u . D v)-|D u|^{2}(u . v)\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Proof. In fact

$$
K(u)=\int_{\Omega}|D u|^{p}
$$

where $D u$ is the gradient matrix associated to $u$ and the norm as said is the one associated to the scalar product $:<A, B>=\operatorname{Tr}\left(B^{t} . A\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{\prime}(0)=0=\int_{\Omega} p|D u|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} D u \cdot D v^{\prime}(0)  \tag{8}\\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} p|D u|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} D u \cdot D(v-(u \cdot v) u)
\end{align*}
$$

Upon differentiating $|u|^{2}=1$ a.e., we have

$$
(D u)^{T} u=0
$$

Using this fact, we then verify

$$
D u .(D(u . v) u)=|D u|^{2}(u . v) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega
$$

This identity employed in (7) gives (6). We leave details to the interested reader. [2]
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