

Ecological Assessment of Autonomy in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Dementia Patients by the Means of an Automatic Video Monitoring System

Alexandra König, Carlos Fernando Crispim-Junior, Alvaro Gomez Uria Covella, Francois Bremond, Alexandre Derreumaux, Gregory Bensadoun, Renaud David, Frans Verhey, Pauline Aalten, Philippe Robert

► To cite this version:

Alexandra König, Carlos Fernando Crispim-Junior, Alvaro Gomez Uria Covella, Francois Bremond, Alexandre Derreumaux, et al.. Ecological Assessment of Autonomy in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Dementia Patients by the Means of an Automatic Video Monitoring System. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 2015, 7, pp.30. 10.3389/fnagi.2015.00098 . hal-01160022

HAL Id: hal-01160022 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01160022v1

Submitted on 17 Jun 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

frontiers in AGING NEUROSCIENCE

Ecological Assessment of Autonomy in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Dementia Patients by the means of an Automatic Video Monitoring System

Alexandra König, Carlos Crispim-Junior, Alvaro Gomez_Uria_Covella, Francois Bremond, Alexandre Derreumaux, Gregory Bensadoun, Renaud David, Frans Verhey, Pauline Aalten and Philippe Robert

Journal Name:	Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience
ISSN:	1663-4365
Article type:	Original Research Article
First received on:	06 Feb 2015
Frontiers website link:	www.frontiersin.org

1 *i. Introduction*

One of the key features of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is impairment in daily functioning as
well as executive dysfunction due to global pathological changes in frontal and posterior areas
(Marshall et al., 2006).

Recent studies show that in dementia patients, loss of functioning in Instrumental Activities of
Daily Living (IADL) is strongly associated with faster cognitive decline (Arrighi et al., 2013)
and in particular with poorer performances on executive function tasks (Karzmark et al.,
2012; Razani et al., 2007) such as the Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) (Dubois et al., 2000)
or the Trail Making Test (version B) (Tombaugh, 2004). Hence, it represents an early
predictor for cognitive deterioration and possibly even for conversion from Mild Cognitive
Impairment (MCI) to AD (Reppermund et al., 2013).

The assessment of functioning in IADL attracts gradually more attention in clinical research and should be included not only as a part of diagnostic evaluation in dementia but it would also be essential to evaluate efficacy in rehabilitation settings (Clare et al., 2003; Cotelli et al., 2006).

16 Characterizing impairment in IADL is controversial because no standard exists so far as to the 17 practical or theoretical definition (DeBettignies et al., 1990). Furthermore, until now, the 18 assessment of IADL is mostly limited to questionnaires and rely often on informants reports, 19 such as the Disability Assessment for Dementia scale (DAD), or the IADL scale of Lawton 20 and Brody (Lawton et al., 1969) which suffer from biases and inaccuracies in informants' 21 perceptions as well as the possibility that some older adults do not have an individual who can 22 comment on their impact of cognitive impairment on routine activities. In general, existing 23 functional assessments lack sufficient sensitivity to detect subtle functional changes or 24 differences in behavior and therefore treatment effects (Gold, 2012). This leads to an urgent need for better measures of functional changes in people with the earliest changes associated
with AD in clinicial trials (Snyder, 2014)

Besides, just a few of the named tools capture the earliest functional deficits seen inpreclinical AD.

29

Growing recognition of the need for an more objective and direct measurement has led to some attempts to improve the assessments of IADL in clinical practice by developing new extensive informant-based computerized IADL questionnaire (Sikkes et al., 2012) or performance-based measures (Moore et al., 2007) which involve observing an individual enact an IADL, such as making a phone call or preparing his/her medications in either his natural or a clinical environment.

Farina et al. (2010) developed a new direct performance measure for patients with dementia,
e.g. the functional living skills assessment (FLSA) (Farina et al., 2010). This tool was
conceived to detect functional impairment targeting high-order social abilities in everyday-life
and IADL by direct observation of the patient carrying out practical tasks or being verbally
stimulated.

Nevertheless, those methods can be critized as well first, for being still dependent on a human observer; secondly for removing the individual 's chosen routine and environmental cues that typically facilitate IADL. Finally, performance-based assessment can be often timetimeconsuming (Sikkes et al., 2009) and represents a single evaluation data point compared with the multiple observations afforded by a questionnaire that comments on an individuals overall behavior through the last past weeks.

ICT and in particular automatic video analyses of patients carrying out various IADL
could be an innovative assessment method (Robert et al., 2013) to help overcome those
limitations in reducing the inter/intra-rater variability due to human interpretation and

50 increase ecological value by removing completely the human observer from the assessment 51 site. Such techniques enable the patients' performances and actions in real time and real life 52 situations to be captured and accurately evaluated and could provide the clinician with 53 objective performance measures and a « second opinion » regarding the overall state of 54 functionality of the observed subject.

In previous work, the use of such video sensor technology has been already demonstrated by König et al by showing significant correlations between manually as automatically extracted parameters and neuropsychological test scores as well as high accuracy rates for the detected activities (up to 89.47 %)(Konig, 2014). In a next step, we would like to investigate the use of video analyses for a completely automatized autonomy assessment based on the extracted video features.

In this line, the objective of this study is to investigate the use of ICT and in particular video analyses in clinical practice for the assessment of autonomy in IADL in healthy elderly MCI and AD patients by demonstrating an accurate automatized autonomy assessment based simply on automatically extracted video features from gait and IADL performances.

65

66 *ii. Materials and Methods*

67 It is a non-randomized study involving 3 diagnosis groups of participants.

Several parameters will be obtained for each participant undergoing a so-called 'ecological assessment' consisting of the task to carry out physical tasks and a list of IADL. Those parameters reflect behavioral motion patterns during the assessment, such as trajectories and frequency of room zones that are possibly influenced by a patient's cognitive status. Furthermore, the amount of activities carried out completely and correctly, repetitions, omissions and execution time for certain complex activities such as managing medication will serve as an additional indicator for IADL functionality

75 Study participants and clinical assessment

Participants aged 65 or older were recruited within the Dem@care protocole at the Nice
Memory Research Center located at the Geriatric department of the University Hospital.

78 The study was approved by the local Nice ethics committee and only participants with the 79 capacity to consent to the study were included. Each participant gave informed consent before 80 the first assessment.

The video data of 49 participants was exploitable from which 12 patients were diagnosed with AD, 23 patients diagnosed with MCI and 14 healthy controls (HC).

83 For the AD group, the diagnosis was determined using the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria 84 (McKhann et al., 1984). For the MCI group, patients with a mini-mental state examination 85 (MMSE) (Folstein, 1975) score higher than 24 were included using the Petersen clinical 86 criteria (Petersen et al., 1999). Subjects were not included if they had a history of head trauma 87 with loss of consciousness, psychotic or aberrant motor activity (tremor, rigidity, 88 Parkinsonism) as defined by the Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson Disease 89 Rating Scale (Fahn, 1987) in order to control for any possible motor disorders influencing the 90 ability to carry out IADLs.

91

92 Each participant underwent a standardized neuropsychological assessment with a 93 psychologist. In addition, clinical and demographical information were collected. In order to 94 accurately stage the participants cognitive status, global cognitive functioning was assessed 95 using the MMSE (Mini Mental State Examination) (Folstein, 1975). Other cognitive functions 96 were assessed with the Frontal assessment battery (FAB) (Dubois, 2000) and the Free and 97 Cued Selective Reminding Test (Buschke, 1984; Grober, 1987). Neuropsychiatric symptoms 98 were assed using the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (Cummings, 1997) and functional abilities 99 were assessed using the IADL scale (IADL-E) (Lawton & Brody, 1969) during a clinical100 interview with the caregiver if there was one available.

101

102 Clinical protocol

103 One of the main goals of the Dem@care project is to develop a method to objectively assess 104 decline in autonomy and in particular impairment of daily functioning in elderly people using 105 Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) such as a video monitoring system and 106 actigraphy. This could further lead to potential autonomy performance prediction.

107 The clinical protocol asked the participants to undertake first a set of physical tasks (Scenario 108 1) and secondly a set of typical IADLs (Scenario 2) followed by a free discussion period 109 while being recorded by a set of sensors. Scenario 1 consisted of a single walking task and a 110 dual task. The dual task involves walking while counting backwards from '305'. These tasks 111 intend to assess kinematic parameters of the participant via gait analysis (e.g., duration, 112 number of steps, cadence, stride length). Scenario 2, also called the 'ecological assessment of 113 IADLs', consisted of carrying out a set of daily living activities such as preparing a pillbox or 114 writing a check within a timeframe of 15 minutes (see Table 1.) followed by a short 115 discussion. The defined activities were based on commonly used IADL questionnaires and 116 represent at once activities with high or low cognitive demand (in accordance with the Bayer 117 Activities of Daily Living scale) (Erzigkeit et al., 2001; Hindmarch et al., 1998). The protocol 118 was conducted in an observation room located in the Nice Research Memory Center, which 119 was equipped with everyday objects for use in ADLs and IADLs, eg, an armchair, a table, a 120 tea corner, a television, a personal computer, and a library. RGBD sensors (Kinect ®, 121 Microsoft [©]) were installed to capture the activity of the participants during the assessment. 122 The aim of this protocol is an ecological assessment based on a 'real time' performance, that 123 determines to which extent the participant could undertake independently a list of daily

activities within a timeframe of 15 minutes. All assessments were performed at the same timeof the day, between 2 pm and 3 pm.

A clinician verified the performance of each participant in terms of the amount of initiated activities, correctly carried out activities as well as repetitions and omissions in order to define the quality of each task execution. Accordingly to this performance verification and based on previous work (Konig, 2014; Romdhane et al., 2012; Sacco et al., 2012) participants were grouped (independently from their diagnosis group) into either 'good', 'mediocre' or 'poor' performer.

- 132 All information were manually annotated and entered into the database via a tablet.
- 133 The rating of the videos was made by engineers specialized in video signal analysis working
- 134 at the Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique (INRIA).
- 135

136	Table 1	Design	of Ecol	logical	assessment
100	1 4010 1	. 2001811	OI LCOI	10 Bieai	

	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3
	Guided Activities	Semi Guided Activities	Discussion
	(5 min)	(30 min)	(5 min)
TASK TO PERFORM	 Mono/Dual directed tasks Walking Counting backwards Both walking and counting backwards Vocal directed tasks Sentence repeating task Articulation control task 	List of ADLs/IADLs to organize and perform within 15mn - Watering Plant - Preparing tea - Medication preparation - Managing finance (establishing account balance, writing a check) - Watching TV - Using Phone (answering, calling) - Reading Article and answering to questions	Directed expression Questions about tasks/progress of Scenario2 Free expression and discussion Verbal description of a picture Free discussion from the picture about the interest of the participant

<u>Motor abilities</u>: balance disorders <u>Cognitive abilities</u>: flexibility, shared attention, psychomotricity coordination, answer time to a stimulus, working memory <u>Cognitive abilities</u>: flexibility, planification, shared attention, psychomotricity coordination, work memory, time estimation, answer time to a stimulus <u>ADL/IADL performance</u>

•

Cognitive abilities: working memory, short term memory, denomination ability (language), verbal fluency <u>Mood disorders (lack</u> of motivation)

•

137

.

138 Data collection & Processing

Participants had their activity recorded using a RGBD sensor, placed closest to the ceiling of the ecological room to maximize scene coverage. Sensor data was posteriorly analyzed to automatically extract gait data (e.g., stride length, number of steps, distance travelled) and derive information from the automatically recognized instrumental activities of daily living (IADL, duration and frequency, missed activities). The extracted data were then used as input-features for Naïve Bayes classifiers trained for the classification of patient into autonomy and dementia classes, separately.

146

An Event Monitoring System (see Figure 1.) using a RGBD sensor as input takes and
processes patient recordings and outputs gait parameters and the instrumental activities of
daily living (IADL) performed by the protocol participant.

150

151 Figure 1. System Architecture

152

153 Event Monitoring System

The event monitoring system is composed of four main modules: people detection, people tracking, gait analysis and event modeling. People detection step is performed by the background-subtraction algorithm proposed by Nghiem and Bremond (Nghiem, 2014). The

157 set of people detected by this module is then tracked over the scene by the algorithm of Chau 158 et al. (Chau, 2011). The output of these two modules is then used as input for gait analysis 159 and event recognition. The latter module is based on the work of Crispim-Junior et al. 160 (Crispim-Junior, 2013), where an constraint-based ontology language is employed to model 161 daily living activities in terms of posture, motion and location of the participant in the scene. 162 Figure 1 presents an example of event model for the recognition of Preparing Drink event. 163 Briefly, an IADL event model is conditioned on the recognition of a set of event models that 164 model one activity-related aspect each. For instance, the event model for "Prepare Drink" 165 activity is based on the recognition of two sub-events (components): the person is where 166 drinking objects are placed (named Person in zone Drink) and the person exhibiting the 167 posture "bending" (named Person bending). Both components intervals also need to be 168 recognized (happen) at the same time (c1->Interval AND c2->Interval). For more details on 169 IADL event modeling, please refer to Crispim-Junior et al (Crispim-Junior, 2013). Figure 2 170 presents the definition of the explained event model following the ontology language.

Based on the data of previously described modules the gait analysis algorithm extracts finegrained features (like stride length, distance travelled, and cadence) about gait patterns during specific events (*e.g.*, Mono and Dual tasks). The gait analysis data is then combined with information derived from the set of IADLs recognized by the Event Monitoring System (EMS) (e.g., frequency and duration of performed activity, missed activities). The ensemble of data automatically extracted and derived by the system from the participant activities composed the behavioral data about the participant performance.

178

179 Figure 2. Event model for Preparing Drink Activity

180

Figure 3. Event recognition based on Activity zones. The left image presents the contextual
zones used to describe the scene semantics. The right image presents an example of output of
the EMS system.

- 185
- 186
- 187

189

188 Autonomy Assessment and Dementia Diagnosis Classification

190 Using the behavioral data extracted by the EMS we trained two Naïve Bayes models to 191 classify participant performance in the clinical protocol according to a Dementia and an 192 Autonomy class. To learn and validate the classification Models we employed a 20-fold 193 cross-validation scheme, where we partitioned the data set into k equal parts and then iterate 194 20 times where at each iteration one of the k folds were kept for parameter validation and the 195 remaining k-1 were used for model learning. Model performance results correspond to the 196 average of performance of the k validation folds. All classification experiments were 197 performed using WEKA platform (Hall, 2009). The Naïve Bayes implementation used in 198 WEKA is described in John and Langley (John, 1995). Although Naïve Bayes classifier 199 assumes conditionally independence among input-parameters, an assumption that prove to be 200 unrealistic most of the times in practical application, this classifiers tend to perform 201 reasonably well compared to more sophisticate methods (e.g., support vector machines) 202 (Huang, 2003; John, 1995) but it with a much smaller running time (Matwin, 2012).

A wrapper feature selection scheme was carried out a priori for feature subset selection based on best first search and Naïve Bayes classifier, which aimed at finding the optimal feature set for each classification task (Hall, 2003; Kohavi, 1997). The feature set with highest performance in this step was selected to compose the participant behavioral profile. Although these classifiers have been learned selecting the most relevant features from a common pool of features obtained using the AVMS, they were learned and operated independently.

209 Autonomy and Diagnosis Classes

210 The recorded data set was explored to evaluate the system performance on event monitoring 211 and to classify the patients according to their Autonomy performance on the IADL scenario 212 (Good, Mediocre and Poor) and their Diagnosis (Healthy, MCI and Alzheimer). Physical 213 tasks and IADL monitoring: 49 participants of 65 years and over were recruited by the 214 Memory Centre (MC) of a CHUN. The clinical protocol asks the participants to undertake a 215 set of physical tasks and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in a Hospital observation 216 room furnished with home appliances Experimental recordings used a RGBD camera (Kinect 217 ®, Microsoft ©). Autonomy classes are: Good, Mediocre or Bad; and Dementia classes are 218 Healthy, MCI or Alzheimer.

219

220 *Statistical analyses*

221 Comparison between the two groups (e.g. HC subjects, MCI patients and AD group good 222 performer, mediocre and poor performer) was performed with Mann-Whitney tests for each 223 outcome variable of the automatic video analyses. Differences were reported as significant if 224 p < 0.05. Spearman's correlations were further performed to determine the association 225 between the extracted video parameters and established assessment tools in particular for 226 executive functioning, e.g. the FAB.

227

228 *iii. Results*

229 Population

14 HC subjects (age = 74.1 ± 6.62), 23 MCI (age = 77.6 ± 6.17) and 12 AD subjects (age = 82. ± 8) were included. Table 2 shows the clinical and demographic data of the participants. Significant intergroup differences in demographic factors were found for age between MCI and AD subjects as well as between HC and AD subjects (p < .05). Further, significant

234	differences were found between all groups for the MMSE score, with a mean of 28.4 (\pm 1.1)
235	for the HC group, 25.5 (\pm 2.1) for the MCI group and 22.67 \pm 3.6 for the AD group ($p < .05$).
236	Significant differences were found for FAB results between HC subjects with 16.3 (\pm 1.1) and
237	MCI subjects with 14 (\pm 2.4), as well as between HC subjects and AD subjects with 12.33 (\pm
238	3.1) ($p < .05$). The mean IADL scores did not differ between groups, with a mean IADL
239	score of 7 (\pm 1.2) for the HC group, 6.33 (\pm 1.7) for the MCI group and (6 \pm 1.8) for the AD
240	group.

Table 2. Characteristics and group comparisons for HC, MCI and AD subjects. Group

243	comparisons v	were made	using N	/lann-Wh	itney U	test (p<0).05)
044							

Characteristics	All subject	Healthy Control group	MCI group	AD group
	N = 49	N= 14	N= 23	N= 12
Female, n (%)	26 (53.1%)	9 (64.3%)	10 (43.5%)	7 (58.33%)
Age, years mean ST	77.7 ± 7.3 † ‡	74.1 ± 6.6	77.6 ± 6.2	82. ± 8
Level of Education, n (%)				
Unknown	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
No formal education	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Elementary school	16 (32.6%)	2 (14.3%)	5 (21.7%)	9 (75%)
Middle school	9 (18.4%)	2 (14.3%)	6 (26.1%)	1 (8.3%)
High school	8 (16.3%)	4 (28.6%)	4 (17.4%)	0 (0%)
Post-secondary education	16 (32.6%)	6 (42.9%)	8 (34.8%)	2 (16.7%)
MMSE, mean ± SD	25.6 ± 3.1*†‡	28.4 ± 1.1	25.5 ± 2.1	22.67 ± 3.6
FAB, mean ± SD	14.25 ± 2.7*‡	16.3 ± 1.1	14 ± 2.4	12.33 ± 3.1
FCSR Test	39.2 ± 9.9*‡	46.27 ± 1.9	38.19 ± 7.2	29.50 ± 16.7
IADL-E, mean ± SD	6.4 ± 1.3	7 ± 1.2	6.33 ± 1.7	6 ± 1.8
NPI total, mean ± SD	6.89 ± 8.1†‡	3.54 ± 2.8	5.77 ± 7.1	12.6 ± 11

Ecological assessment results

248 The participants performed differently on the IADL scenario in terms of initiated and

successfully completed activities in accordance with their cognitive status.

250	The parameter 'activities iniated' correlated significantly with neuropsychological test results
251	namely the MMSE (p < 0.01), FAB score (p < 0.01), FCSR (p < 0.05) and the IADL-E score
252	($p < 0.05$). In the same line, the parameter 'activity completed' correlated significantly with
253	the test results, MMSE (p < 0.01), FAB score (p < 0.01), FCSR (p < 0.05) and the IADL-E
254	score ($p < 0.05$). The obtained correlation analyses results are presented in Table 3. None of
255	the extracted parameters correlated with the NPI total scores.
256	
257	
258	Table 3. Correlation between IADL scenario performance and conventional cognitive
259	assessments (Spearman's correlation coefficient)

leo analyses data earman correlation coefficient (r) / p-values	MMSE	FAB	FCSR	NPI	IADL-E	
tivities initiated	0.650** p=0.000	0.519** p=0.000	0.380* p=0.019	-0.177 p=0.234	0.324* p=0.030	
tivities completed	0.685** p=0.000	0.620** p=0.000	0.356* p=0.028	-0.266 p=0.071	0.334* p=0.025	

After the performance analyses, the participants were classified based on their IADL
performance. The cut-off scores between the classes have been based on the observation of
the analyses of the participant's performances in terms of completely carried out activities,
and on the cumulative frequencies of the completely carried out activities. These were
divided in equal parts, as homogeneously as possible in terms of data coverage following the
frequency curve as presented in Figure 2.
Figure 4. Cumulative frequency curve of completed carried out activities

272 This division into three equal classes resulted in the following cut-off scores:

273 From 13 to 8 completed activities was a good performance, meaning highly independent; 274 from 7 to 4 completed activities was a mediocre performance; and below 4 completed 275 activities was a poor performance, representing highly dependent in daily living activities. 276 The grouping of the participants was done blinded from their diagnosis group in order to 277 avoid classification baises, i.e. more likely to classify a HC as a 'good' performer. A HC 278 subject could sometimes show a mediocre IADL performance on the assessment and in turn a 279 MCI subject could show a good IADL performance. Taking into consideration that the 280 objective of the assessment was to stage autonomy levels and not necessarily disease 281 progression, even though they are associated, it was important to make that differentiation. 282 Table 4 shows the classification results based on the participants IADL scenario performances 283 with their diagnosis group, as well as their average amount of completely carried out 284 activities. Twenty-two participants from which 13 HC and 9 MCI subjects with an average of 285 10.04 correctly carried out activities were classified as good performer, 16 participants from 286 which 1 HC, 10 MCI and 5 AD subjects with an average of 5.5 correctly carried out activities 287 were classified as mediocre performer and 11 participants from which 4 MCI and 7 AD 288 patients with an average of 1.5 correctly carried out activities were classified as poor 289 performer.

290

	Ν	НС	MCI	AD	Activites completed (in mean ±SD)
Good performance	22	13	9	-	10.04 ± 1.4
Mediocre performance	16	1	10	5	5.5 ± 1.2
Poor performance	11	-	4	7	1.54 ± 1.4

291 Table 4. Ecological Assessment results

294 Automatic video monitoring results

Table 5 presents the results of the evaluation of the Automatic Video Monitoring System (AVMS) with respect to its precision at detecting correctly the events of the clinical protocol (scenario 1: Single and Dual task and scenario 2: the number of activities of daily living) annotated by domain experts while watching the experiment video.

- 299
- 300

```
301
```

Table 5. Activity/Event Detection Performance

Events	Recall	Precision
Scenario 01		
Mono Task	1	0.88
Dual Task	1	0.98
Scenario 02		
Searching Bus line	0.58	0.625
Medication preparation	0.87	0.93
Watering Plant	0.8	0.63
Reading Article	0.6	0.88
Preparing Drink	0.90	0.68
Talk on Phone	0.89	0.89

302

303 Scenario 1, the single and dual task obtained the precision rates of 88% and 98%.

304 From all proposed activities, 'Medication preparation' was detected with the highest precision

305 of 93 % followed by 'Using the phone' with 89% and 'Reading an article with 88%.

- 306
- 307

308 *Automatized classification of participant cognitive status*

We compared the results for the percentage of patients correctly classified. For the three classifiers the data set is the same and contains 49 patients in total. The overall activities were correctly automatically detected with high sensitivity and precision results as previously described. Based on the automatically extracted behavioral data (see the list below), two different classifiers were learned: one for dementia diagnosis and the other for autonomyassessment (see Table 6).

The classification procedure was intrinsically based on the features automatically extracted from the physical tasks and IADLs performed by the participant during the clinical protocol. For comparison purposes we have also learned the same classifier but only with behavioral data from the physical task and only with IADL derived data. We hypothesized that combining the two scenarios of the protocol could increase the accuracy of the classification since they would provide complementary information about a participant performance at daily living activities, e.g., motor and cognitive performances.

322 In the Autonomy classification task the following features were employed:

323 • Single Task Total Duration, Single Task Gap Duration, 324 Single Task Standard Deviation Steps, 325 Dual Task Gap Duration. 326 327 • Dual Task Max Steps, 328 Person using PharmacyBasket Frequency of Event (frequency), Person using PharmacyBasket Duration of Event (seconds). 329 • 330 For the Diagnosis classification, a different set of features has been identified: 331 332 • Age, Single Task Average Steps, 333 334 Single Task Speed Average from Centroid Information, 335 Dual Task Max Steps, • 336 ٠ Dual Task Min Steps, Person reading inChairReadingTable Duration of Event (Frames), 337 • 338 339 The classifier for Dementia Diagnosis task obtained an accuracy of 61.22% when using only 340 features based on IADL (Scenario 2), and of 75.51% when just extracting features from Scenario 1, the physical tasks. The accuracy rate increased up to 73.46% when combining 341 342 features from both scenarios. However, the higher recognition rates were found for the 343 Classifier learned from the group of patients sorted by autonomy class; based on simply the

- automatically extracted video features from scenario 2, 77.55% accuracy was obtained and
- 345 75% accuracy for scenario 1. The highest accuracy rate of 83.67% was obtained when
- 346 combining directed tasks and IADLs.
- 347

348 Table 6. Classification results

Autonomy assessment	Input Data					
Performance	Scenario 01	Scenario 02	Both Scenarios			
Correctly Classified Instances	37 (75.5102 %)	38 (77.551%)	41 (83.6735%)			
Incorrectly Classified Instances	12 (24.4898 %)	11 (22.449%)	8 (16.3265%)			

349

Diagnosis assessment	Input Data					
Performance	Scenario 01	Scenario 02	Both Scenarios			
Correctly Classified Instances	36 (73.4694%)	30 (61.2245%)	36 (73.4694%)			
Incorrectly Classified Instances	13 (26.5306%)	19 (38.7755%)	13 (26.5306%)			

- 350
- 351
- 352

353 iv. Discussion

The present study suggests that it is possible to assess autonomy in IADL functioning with the help of an automatic video monitoring system and that simply based on the extracted video features different autonomy levels can be classified highly accurately. The results obtained are significantly high for a correct assessment of autonomy but also cognitive status in terms of diagnosis. This means, that 'the proposed system' may become a very useful tool providing clinicians with diagnostic relevant information and improve autonomy assessment in AD or MCI patients in real time decreasing observer biases.

361

The results demonstrate that all extracted elements of the clinical protocol, the kinetic parameters from the single and dual task, as well as the selected features from the IADL task, are important to take into consideration in the automatized analyses in order to assess and further predict accurately autonomy performance of patients. In fact, adding features from the very standardized directed tasks to the classification analyses even increased the accuracy 367 rates for diagnosis but even more for the autonomy groups. This means that in extractable gait 368 features such as 'Single Task Standard Deviation Steps' and 'Dual Task Gap Duration' lies 369 relevant information about a patient's capacity to perform IADLs and therefore his or her 370 autonomy level. These features added up to the automatically detected lengths and 371 frequencies of the to carry out activities result in a highly accurate autonomy classification 372 rate of almost 84%, allowing soon an almost fully automatized functional assessment in 373 clinical practice. The work of Gillain et al. illustrates in the same manner that it may be 374 possible to determine different cognitive profiles, and hence autonomy levels, by the 375 measurement of gait parameters (Gillain, 2009). This confirms previous research findings that 376 gait ability and cognitive functions are interrelated, and in particular executive functions and 377 gait speed (Beauchet et al., 2013; Doi et al., 2013; Doi et al., 2014; Montero-Odasso et al., 378 2009). Gait impairment is already known to be a common characteristic of patients with MCI 379 (Allan et al., 2005) and represents a risk factor for conversion to AD (Buracchio et al., 2010; 380 Verghese et al., 2007). Therefore, changes in these motor function may be useful in the early 381 detection of dementia during preclinical stages and easily measurable by sensor technologies.

Furthermore, significant correlations were found between the parameters of initiated and completed activities and most neuropsychological test results, particularly with MMSE and FAB scores showing that group differences even with just a small sample size could be detected when using such techniques, and this when regular assessment tools such as the IADL-E questionnaire lacked sensitivity to detect these group differences.

Finally, high activity detection rates, up to 93% for the 'Medication preparation' activity, could be achieved validating further the use of AVMS for evaluation and monitoring purposes.

The study's results were consistent with previous work where with a sensitivity of 85.31 % and a precision of 75.90% the overall activities were correctly automatically detected (Konig

et al., 2015) although the present study was with a larger cohort and included as well ADpatients.

Similar work, hence quantitative assessments of IADL performance, has been done using a different technique by Wadley et al. with the results that across timed IADL domains, MCI participants demonstrated accuracy comparable with cognitively normal participants but took significantly longer to complete the functional activities (Wadley et al., 2008).

This suggests that slower speed of task execution could be an explanation for the differences found in the extracted features and thus, represent an important component and early marker of functional change already in MCI patient. A component that would not be detected by using traditional measurements of daily function but easily by the AVMS.

Likewise, Stucki et al. proved feasibility and reliability of a non-intrusive web-based sensor system for the recognition of Activities of Daily Living (ADL) and the estimation of a patient's self-dependency with high classification precision rates (up to 90%) (Stucki et al., 2014). Bang et al. used multiple sensor fusion (pressure sensors, passive infrared sensors and worn accelerometers) for automatized ADL detection with achieved accuracy rates of up to 90% (Bang et al., 2008). Nevertheless, these studies were carried out with a very small group sample of healthy and in average younger participants.

409

Until now, the clinical assessment of functional changes in AD and MCI patients has traditionally relied on scales and questionnaires that are not always sensitive to the earliest functional changes. This leads to an important need to develop improved methods/techniques to measures these changes, ideally at the earliest stages. Therefore, recently research efforts have been placed on studies finding new innovative and more objective ways to measure functional and cognitive changes associated with AD (Goldberg et al., 2010; López-de-Ipiña,

416 2012; Vestal et al., 2006; Yakhia et al., 2014; Zola et al., 2013).

417

The main interest of the present study was to demonstrate the practical application of the use of such a video monitoring system in clinical practice. Now, once the system's use has been validated by significant correlation with neuropsychological test scores, particularly for executive functioning, and highly accurate detection rates, it can be employed as a supportive assessment tool within clinical routine check-ups and even move on to more naturalistic environments such as nursing homes.

424 The systems' extracted information can provide the clinician with direct measurements 425 (see the list of features) indicating, once interpreted, a certain level of autonomy performance, 426 as well as with information about possible underlying mechanisms caused by decline in 427 cognitive functioning. namely executive functions which certain are highly 428 associated (Marshall et al., 2011). This technique has the advantage of leaving out the 429 clinician, who represents often in assessments a potential stress factor, completely from the 430 evaluation site, and thus increasing ecological validity by leaving the patient alone in a more 431 naturalistic 'living-room alike' setting. The use of sensors for the measurement of behavioral 432 patterns reduces important assessment biaises often present in clinical practice and adds 433 objective value to the assessment procedure.

The objective on a long term is to provide a stable system that allows to monitor patients and their autonomy at home over a longer period. The within this study validated parameters can serve as indicators for illness progression, decline in IADL performance and hence, executive functions detectable with the help of new technologies much earlier, before somebody in the family would notice and send the patient to a specialist.

The limitation of this study resides firstly in the recruitment process; the AD population was older than the other groups, because in our clinical practice it was quite difficult to recruit young AD patients but the age difference might have had an impact on their motor behavior.

Therefore, in future studies it would be important to also focus on recruiting younger AD patients in order to control for this variability. Secondly, the HC subjects were recruited through the Memory Center which means that most of the HC participants came to the centre with a memory complaint even though in their neuropsychological tests they performed within normal ranges. It has to be taken into consideration that those participants may not be completely healthy and suffer from a higher risk to convert to MCI than people that do not consult the center for a memory complaint (Jacinto et al., 2014).

It has to be further underlined that even if participants were alone during the IADL
assessment, the simple fact of knowing that they were recorded could have had an impact on
their stresslevel and thus, their performance.

452

To conclude, according to the recently published review of Snyder et al, research efforts have launched large prevention trials in AD and these efforts have further clearly demonstrated a need for better and more accurate measures of cognitive and functional changes in people already in the earliest stages of AD (Snyder et al., 2014). In the same line, the US Food and Drug Administration elevated the importance of cognitive and functional assessments in early stage clinical trials by proposing that even in the pre-symptomatic stages of the disease, approval will be contingent on demonstrating clinical meaningfulness.

Similiarly, Laske et al. argued that there is an increasing need for additional noninvasive and/or cost-effective tools, allowing identification of subjects in the preclinical or early clinical stages of AD who could be suitable for further cognitive evaluation and dementia diagnostics (Laske et al., 2014). Once examined in ongoing large trials, the implementation of such tools may facilitate early and potentially more effective therapeutic and preventative strategies for AD.

466 All this poins out, the need for improved cognitive and functional outcome measures for

467 clinical of participants with preclinical AD and those diagnosed with MCI due to AD. With 468 our study, we propose a new method of measuring objectively and accurately functional 469 decline in patients from the earliest stages on with the support of the vision sensor 470 technologies; a reliable method that could potentially, once validated through larger scale 471 cohort studies, serve within clinical trial of new drug interventions as an endpoint measure to 472 prove their effects on ADL function. Finally, the use of such systems could facilitate and 473 support aging-in-place and improve medical care in general for these patients.

- 474
- 475

476 Conflict of Interest Statement

477 Authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

478 financial relationships that could be constructed as a potential conflict of interest.

479

480

481 Acknowledgments and sources of support

This study was supported by grants from the FP7 Dem@care project, by the Innovation Alzheimer associations, by the STARS Team from the French Institute for Research in Computer Science and Automation (INRIA – Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique, INRIA) in Sophia Antipolis, France, by the CoBTek (Cognition – Behaviour – Technology) Research Unit from the Nice Sophia-Antipolis University (UNS), the CMRR Nice team and by the platform patients of the Nice CHU member of the CIU-S.

- 489
- 490
- 491

492 **References** :

- Allan, LM, Ballard, CG, Burn, DJ, & Kenny, RA. (2005). Prevalence and severity of gait
 disorders in Alzheimer's and non-Alzheimer's dementias. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 53(10),
 1681-1687. doi: JGS53552 [pii]10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53552.x
- Arrighi, HM, Gelinas, I, McLaughlin, TP, Buchanan, J, & Gauthier, S. (2013). Longitudinal
 changes in functional disability in Alzheimer's disease patients. *Int Psychogeriatr*, 1-9.
 doi: 10.1017/S1041610212002360
- Bang, S, Kim, M, Song, SK, & Park, SJ. (2008). Toward real time detection of the basic
 living activity in home using a wearable sensor and smart home sensors. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc, 2008*, 5200-5203. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2008.4650386
- Beauchet, O, Allali, G, Launay, C, Herrmann, FR, & Annweiler, C. (2013). Gait variability at
 fast-pace walking speed: a biomarker of mild cognitive impairment? *J Nutr Health Aging*, 17(3), 235-239. doi: 10.1007/s12603-012-0394-4
- Buracchio, T, Dodge, HH, Howieson, D, Wasserman, D, & Kaye, J. (2010). The trajectory of
 gait speed preceding mild cognitive impairment. *Arch Neurol*, 67(8), 980-986. doi:
 10.1001/archneurol.2010.15967/8/980 [pii]
- 508 Buschke, H. (1984). Cued recall in amnesia. J Clin Neuropsychol, 6(4), 433-440.
- 509 Chau, DP, Bremond, F., Thonnat, M. (2011). A multi-feature tracking algorithm enabling
 510 adaptation to context variations. Paper presented at the International Conference on
 511 Imaging for Crime Detection and Prevention, ICDP London, UK.
- 512 Clare, L, Woods, RT, Moniz Cook, ED, Orrell, M, & Spector, A. (2003). Cognitive rehabilitation and cognitive training for early-stage Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. [Review]. Cochrane Database Syst Rev(4), CD003260. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003260
- 516 Cotelli, M, Calabria, M, & Zanetti, O. (2006). Cognitive rehabilitation in Alzheimer's
 517 Disease. [Review]. Aging Clin Exp Res, 18(2), 141-143.
- 518 Crispim-Junior, C, Bathrinarayanan, V., Fosty, B., Konig, A., Romdhane, R., Thonnat, M.,
 519 Bremond, F. (2013). *Evaluation of a Monitoring System for Event Recognition of*520 *Older People*. Paper presented at the 10th IEEE International Conference on
 521 Advanced Video and Signal-Based Surveillance, AVSS, Krakow, Poland
- 522 Cummings, J. (1997). The neuropsychiatric inventory: assessing psychopathology in dementia
 523 patients. *Neurology*, 48, 10–16.
- DeBettignies, BH, Mahurin, RK, & Pirozzolo, FJ. (1990). Insight for impairment in independent living skills in Alzheimer's disease and multi-infarct dementia. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol, 12(2), 355-363. doi: 10.1080/01688639008400980
- Doi, T, Makizako, H, Shimada, H, Park, H, Tsutsumimoto, K, Uemura, K, & Suzuki, T.
 (2013). Brain activation during dual-task walking and executive function among older adults with mild cognitive impairment: a fNIRS study. *Aging Clin Exp Res, 25*(5), 531 539-544. doi: 10.1007/s40520-013-0119-5
- Doi, T, Shimada, H, Makizako, H, Tsutsumimoto, K, Uemura, K, Anan, Y, & Suzuki, T.
 (2014). Cognitive function and gait speed under normal and dual-task walking among
 older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *BMC Neurol, 14*, 67. doi: 10.1186/14712377-14-671471-2377-14-67 [pii]
- Dubois, B, Slachevsky, A, Litvan, I, & Pillon, B. (2000). The FAB: a Frontal Assessment
 Battery at bedside. [Comment Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Neurology*, 55(11),
 1621-1626.
- Dubois, B, Slachevsky, A, Litvan, I, Pillon, B. (2000). The FAB: a Frontal Assessment
 Battery at bedside. *Neurology*, 55(11), 1621-1626.

- 541 Erzigkeit, H, Lehfeld, H, Pena-Casanova, J, Bieber, F, Yekrangi-Hartmann, C, Rupp, M, ...
 542 Hindmarch, I. (2001). The Bayer-Activities of Daily Living Scale (B-ADL): results
 543 from a validation study in three European countries. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*,
 544 *12*(5), 348-358. doi: 51280 [pii]51280
- Fahn, S, Elton, RL (1987). UPDRS program members. Unified Parkinsons Disease Rating
 Scale. In N. M. H. I. Florham Park (Ed.), *Recent developments in Parkinsons disease*(pp. 153–163): M.C. Fahn S, Goldstein M, Calne DB.
- Farina, E, Fioravanti, R, Pignatti, R, Alberoni, M, Mantovani, F, Manzoni, G, ... Nemni, R.
 (2010). Functional living skills assessment: a standardized measure of high-order activities of daily living in patients with dementia. [Validation Studies]. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*, 46(1), 73-80.
- Folstein, M, Folstein, SE, McHugh, PR. (1975). "Mini-Mental State": A practical method for
 grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res 121*, 189–198.
- Gillain, S, Warzee, E., Lekeu, F., Wojtasik , V., Maquet, D. Croisier , J.-L., Salmon , E.,
 Petermans, J. (2009). The value of instrumental gait analysis in elderly healthy, MCI
 or Alzheimer's disease subjects and a comparison with other clinical tests used in
 single and dual-task conditions *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, *52*(6),
 453-474.
- Gold, DA. (2012). An examination of instrumental activities of daily living assessment in
 older adults and mild cognitive impairment. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't
- 561 Review]. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol, 34(1), 11-34. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2011.614598
- Goldberg, TE, Koppel, J, Keehlisen, L, Christen, E, Dreses-Werringloer, U, ConejeroGoldberg, C, ... Davies, P. (2010). Performance-based measures of everyday function
 in mild cognitive impairment. Am J Psychiatry, 167(7), 845-853. doi:
 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09050692 appi.ajp.2010.09050692 [pii]
- Grober, E, Buschke, H. (1987). Genuine memory deficits in dementia. *Developmental Neuropsycholy*, *3*, 13-36.
- Hall, M, Frank, E., Holmes, G., Pfahringer, B., Reutemann, P., Witten, I. (2009). The
 WEKA Data Mining Software: An Update. *SIGKDD Explorations*, 11(1).
- Hall, MA, Holmes, G., . (2003). Benchmarking attribute selection techniques for discrete
 class data mining. Paper presented at the IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data
 Engineering.
- 573 Hindmarch, I, Lehfeld, H, de Jongh, P, & Erzigkeit, H. (1998). The Bayer Activities of Daily
 574 Living Scale (B-ADL). *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, 9 Suppl 2, 20-26. doi:
 575 dem9b020 [pii]
- Huang, J, Lu, J., Ling, C.X. (2003). Comparing naive Bayes, decision trees, and SVM with
 AUC and accuracy. Paper presented at the Third IEEE International Conference on
 Data Mining (ICDM).
- Jacinto, AF, Brucki, SM, Porto, CS, Arruda Martins, M, & Nitrini, R. (2014). Subjective memory complaints in the elderly: a sign of cognitive impairment? *Clinics (Sao Paulo), 69*(3), 194-197. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2014(03)09S1807-59322014000300194
 [pii]
- John, GH, Langley, P. . (1995). *Estimating continuous distributions in bayesian classifiers*.
 Paper presented at the Eleventh Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence,
 San Mateo.
- Karzmark, P, Llanes, S, Tan, S, Deutsch, G, & Zeifert, P. (2012). Comparison of the frontal
 systems behavior scale and neuropsychological tests of executive functioning in
 predicting instrumental activities of daily living. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult, 19*(2), 8185. doi: 10.1080/09084282.2011.643942

- Kohavi, R, John, G.H. (1997). Wrappers for feature subset selection. Silicon Graphics, Inc.,
 2011 N. Shoreline Boulevard, Mountain view, CA 94043, USA
- Konig, A, Crispim Junior, CF, Derreumaux, A, Bensadoun, G, Petit, PD, Bremond, F, Robert,
 P. (2015). Validation of an automatic video monitoring system for the detection of
 instrumental activities of daily living in dementia patients. *J Alzheimers Dis, 44*(2),
 675-685. doi: 10.3233/JAD-141767L1281744781Q0748 [pii]
- Konig, A, Crispim Junior, C. F., Derreumaux, A., Bensadoun, G., Petit, P. D., Bremond, F.,
 David, R., Verhey, F., Aalten, P., Robert, P. (2014). Validation of an Automatic Video
 Monitoring System for the Detection of Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in
 Dementia Patients. J Alzheimers Dis. doi: L1281744781Q0748 [pii]10.3233/JAD141767
- Laske, C, Sohrabi, HR, Frost, SM, Lopez-de-Ipina, K, Garrard, P, Buscema, M, . . . O'Bryant,
 SE. (2014). Innovative diagnostic tools for early detection of Alzheimer's disease.
 Alzheimers Dement. doi: S1552-5260(14)02463-7 [pii]10.1016/j.jalz.2014.06.004
- Lawton, MP, & Brody, EM. (1969). Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist*, 9(3), 179-186.
- López-de-Ipiña, K, Alonso, J.B., Barroso, N., Faundez-Zanuy, M., Ecay, M., Solé-Casals, J.,
 Travieso, C.M., Estanga, A., Ezeiza, A. . (2012). New Approaches for Alzheimer's
 Disease Diagnosis Based on Automatic Spontaneous Speech Analysis and Emotional
 Temperature. *Ambient Assisted Living and Home Care Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 7657, 407-414
- Marshall, GA, Fairbanks, LA, Tekin, S, Vinters, HV, & Cummings, JL. (2006).
 Neuropathologic correlates of activities of daily living in Alzheimer disease.
 [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural]. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 20*(1), 56-59.
 doi: 10.1097/01.wad.0000201852.60330.16
- Marshall, GA, Rentz, DM, Frey, MT, Locascio, JJ, Johnson, KA, Sperling, RA, &
 Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I. (2011). Executive function and instrumental
 activities of daily living in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*, 7(3), 300-308. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2010.04.005S15525260(10)00106-8 [pii]
- Matwin, S, Sazonova, V. (2012). Direct Comparison Between Support Vector Machine and
 Multinomial Naive Bayes Algorithms for Medical Abstract Classification. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA 19*(5).
- McKhann, G, Drachman, D, Folstein, M, Katzman, R, Price, D, & Stadlan, EM. (1984).
 Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work
 Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on
 Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*, 34(7), 939-944.
- Montero-Odasso, M, Bergman, H, Phillips, NA, Wong, CH, Sourial, N, & Chertkow, H.
 (2009). Dual-tasking and gait in people with mild cognitive impairment. The effect of
 working memory. *BMC Geriatr*, 9, 41. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-9-411471-2318-9-41
 [pii]
- Moore, DJ, Palmer, BW, Patterson, TL, & Jeste, DV. (2007). A review of performance-based
 measures of functional living skills. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research
 Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.Review]. J Psychiatr Res, 41(1-2), 97-118. doi:
 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2005.10.008
- Nghiem, AT, Bremond, F. . (2014). Background subtraction in people detection framework
 for RGB-D cameras. Paper presented at the 11th IEEE International Conference on
 Advanced Video and Signal-Based Surveillance, AVSS Seoul, Korea.

- Petersen, RC, Smith, GE, Waring, SC, Ivnik, RJ, Tangalos, EG, & Kokmen, E. (1999). Mild
 cognitive impairment: clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol*, 56(3), 303308.
- Razani, J, Casas, R, Wong, JT, Lu, P, Alessi, C, & Josephson, K. (2007). Relationship
 between executive functioning and activities of daily living in patients with relatively
 mild dementia. [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural]. *Appl Neuropsychol*, 14(3),
 208-214. doi: 10.1080/09084280701509125
- Reppermund, S, Brodaty, H, Crawford, JD, Kochan, NA, Draper, B, Slavin, MJ, . . . Sachdev,
 PS. (2013). Impairment in instrumental activities of daily living with high cognitive
 demand is an early marker of mild cognitive impairment: the Sydney Memory and
 Ageing Study. *Psychol Med*, 1-9. doi: 10.1017/S003329171200308X
- Robert, PH, Konig, A, Andrieu, S, Bremond, F, Chemin, I, Chung, PC, ... Mallea, P. (2013).
 Recommendations for ICT Use in Alzheimer's Disease Assessment: Monaco CTAD
 Expert Meeting. *J Nutr Health Aging*, *17*(8), 653-660. doi: 10.1007/s12603-013-00463
- Romdhane, R, Mulin, E, Derreumeaux, A, Zouba, N, Piano, J, Lee, L, . . . Robert, PH. (2012).
 Automatic video monitoring system for assessment of Alzheimer's disease symptoms. *J Nutr Health Aging*, 16(3), 213-218.
- Sacco, G, Joumier, V, Darmon, N, Dechamps, A, Derreumaux, A, Lee, JH, . . . Robert, P. (2012). Detection of activities of daily living impairment in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment using information and communication technology. *Clin Interv Aging*, 7, 539-549. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S36297cia-7-539 [pii]
- Sikkes, SA, de Lange-de Klerk, ES, Pijnenburg, YA, Gillissen, F, Romkes, R, Knol, DL, ...
 Scheltens, P. (2012). A new informant-based questionnaire for instrumental activities
 of daily living in dementia. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Alzheimers Dement*,
 8(6), 536-543. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2011.08.006
- 664 Sikkes, SA, de Lange-de Klerk, ES, Pijnenburg, YA, Scheltens, P, & Uitdehaag, BM. (2009).
 665 A systematic review of Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scales in dementia: 666 room for improvement. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't
- 667 Review]. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry, 80(1), 7-12. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2008.155838
- Snyder, PJ, Kahle-Wrobleski, K, Brannan, S, Miller, DS, Schindler, RJ, DeSanti, S, ...
 Carrillo, MC. (2014). Assessing cognition and function in Alzheimer's disease clinical trials: do we have the right tools? *Alzheimers Dement, 10*(6), 853-860. doi:
 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.07.158S1552-5260(14)02822-2 [pii]
- Snyder, PJ, Kahle-Wrobleski, K., Brannan, S., Miller, D. S., Schindler, R. J., DeSanti, S.,
 Ryan, J. M., Morrison, G., Grundman, M., Chandler, J., Caselli, R. J., Isaac, M., Bain,
 L., Carrillo, M. C. (2014). Assessing cognition and function in Alzheimer's disease
 clinical trials: Do we have the right tools? *Alzheimers Dement*, *10*(6), 853-860. doi:
 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.07.158
- 677 S1552-5260(14)02822-2 [pii]
- Stucki, RA, Urwyler, P, Rampa, L, Muri, R, Mosimann, UP, & Nef, T. (2014). A web-based
 non-intrusive ambient system to measure and classify activities of daily living. *J Med Internet Res, 16*(7), e175. doi: 10.2196/jmir.3465v16i7e175 [pii]
- Tombaugh, TN. (2004). Trail Making Test A and B: normative data stratified by age and
 education. Arch Clin Neuropsychol, 19(2), 203-214. doi: 10.1016/S08876177(03)00039-8
- Verghese, J, Wang, C, Lipton, RB, Holtzer, R, & Xue, X. (2007). Quantitative gait
 dysfunction and risk of cognitive decline and dementia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 78(9), 929-935. doi: jnnp.2006.106914 [pii]10.1136/jnnp.2006.106914

- Vestal, L, Smith-Olinde, L, Hicks, G, Hutton, T, & Hart, J, Jr. (2006). Efficacy of language
 assessment in Alzheimer's disease: comparing in-person examination and
 telemedicine. *Clin Interv Aging*, 1(4), 467-471.
- Wadley, VG, Okonkwo, O, Crowe, M, & Ross-Meadows, LA. (2008). Mild cognitive
 impairment and everyday function: evidence of reduced speed in performing
 instrumental activities of daily living. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*, *16*(5), 416-424. doi:
 10.1097/JGP.0b013e31816b730316/5/416 [pii]
- Yakhia, M, Konig, A, van der Flier, WM, Friedman, L, Robert, PH, & David, R. (2014).
 Actigraphic motor activity in mild cognitive impairment patients carrying out short
 functional activity tasks: comparison between mild cognitive impairment with and
 without depressive symptoms. *J Alzheimers Dis*, 40(4), 869-875. doi: 10.3233/JAD131691E5358Q543585G086 [pii]
- Zola, SM, Manzanares, CM, Clopton, P, Lah, JJ, & Levey, AI. (2013). A behavioral task predicts conversion to mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Am J *Alzheimers Dis Other Demen, 28*(2), 179-184. doi: 10.1177/15333175124704841533317512470484 [pii]
- 703
- 704

_





```
Composite Event (Prepare Drink),

Physical Objects (Person: p1) (Zone: zDrink) )

Components (

(c1 : PrimitiveState Person_in_zone_Drink(p1,zDrink)

(c2 : PrimitiveState Person_bending (p1) )

)

Constraints( (c1->Interval AND c2->Interval

(duration (c1) > 5 )

Alarm (URGENT )
```



Figure 3. Event recognition based on Activity zones. The left image presents the contextual zones used to describe the scene semantics. The right image presents an example of output of the EMS system.

