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ABSTRACT
ECSELR is an ecologically-inspired approach to software evolution that enables environmentally driven evolution at runtime in extant software systems without relying on any offline components or management. ECSELR embeds adaptation and evolution inside the target software system enabling the system to transform itself via darwinian evolutionary mechanisms and adapt in a self contained manner. This allows the software system to benefit autonomously from the useful emergent byproducts of evolution like adaptivity and bio-diversity, avoiding the problems involved in engineering and maintaining such properties. ECSELR enables software systems to address changing environments at runtime, ensuring benefits like mitigation of attacks and memory-optimization among others while avoiding time consuming and costly maintenance and downtime. ECSELR differs from existing work in that, 1) adaptation is embedded in the target system, 2) evolution and adaptation happens online (i.e. in-situ at runtime) and 3) ECSELR is able to embed adaptation inside systems that have already been started and are in the midst of execution. We demonstrate the use of ECSELR and present results on using the ECSELR framework to slim a software system.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
D.2 [Software Engineering]: Management—Life cycle, Software configuration management; D.2 [Software Engineering]: Search-based Software Engineering—software evolution

General Terms
Theory, Software Engineering, Self-Adaptive Systems, Genetic Improvement

Keywords
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1. INTRODUCTION
The field of software engineering has been straining to deal with the exponential growth of software systems. As computer systems get more and more complex, maintaining them requires a huge amount of human effort. Software Engineering may soon hit a complexity wall where our efforts will not be able to scale up to highly complex software systems [2, 6, 14]. This has led to a rise in the amount of research being done in automating software design and maintenance, with software engineers increasingly seeking to design software systems that autonomously evolve and adapt. Designing such self-optimizing adaptive systems has been described as one of the great challenges of the software engineering field [4]. The holy grail sought at the end of this challenge by software engineers would be a system that is self-contained and is be able to self-modify, evolving itself autonomously at runtime with minimal or no human input thereby allowing it to self-optimize and self-adapt to its environment. One of the most promising approaches to this challenge has been focused around the agenda of Dynamic Adaptive Search Based Software Engineering (DASBSE). Research in DASBSE focuses on embedding adaptivity inside software systems to allow self-contained evolution and adaptation in these software systems. This is referred to as dynamic adaptivity [4, 10]. Implementation of dynamic adaptivity in a software system will result in the system being able to autonomously evolve and adapt in-vivo and in-situ, (i.e. in place and online at runtime) without relying on external aid from the programmer [5]. The mechanisms for evolution and adaptation would be in essence, self-contained inside the application. Such systems would be able to autonomously perform actions like mitigation of virii attacks and resource optimization among others while avoiding time-consuming and costly maintenance and downtime and also reducing the manpower needed to supervise such activities as compared to current systems.

Currently, one of the main focuses of DASBSE has been Genetic Improvement (GI). Under Genetic Improvement (GI), heuristic search based evolutionary algorithms/systems are applied to extant software programs directly transforming them [4]. The instructions making up the software systems are treated as the donor genetic material to be evolved thereby genetically improving the software system. Research
into different GI methodologies is currently very young with most work in the field currently falling short of full embedded adaptivity. Current research in DASBSE in general falls short of the fully conquering "dynamic adaptivity". Most approaches so far still rely on offline adaptation and offline evolution components and steps. Adaptation is not fully embedded inside the software system; it happens outside the software system being evolved. Adaptation also usually tackles either the non-functional or the functional properties of the system and but rarely both. There exists a need for new solutions.

We present ECSELR, a new ecologically-inspired dynamic software evolution framework model that aims to help bridge the gap in methodologies that enable true dynamic adaptivity. ECSELR is a java based platform that allows extant software systems to evolve themselves by autonomously self-modifying their code at runtime. It transforms and evolves the target software system in-vivo and in-situ via darwinian evolutionary mechanisms. ECSELR takes as input, the system process of the running program and inserts itself into the process, imbuing the software system with the ability to monitor its actions and its environment during the program lifecycle. Based on these observations, the system stochastically effects actions on its basic computational units (i.e. jvm bytecode), transforming the structure and function of the software system and thereby evolving it and enabling it to dynamically self-adapt to its environment. The environment of a software system is defined as the state of the software system and the interactions between the components of the software system. Evolution and self-adaptation is embedded in the system, with everything happening online (in-vivo and in-situ) without any external dependencies and/or any human input. This makes the whole adaptation process self-contained and fully dynamic/autonomous. A software system running the ECSELR framework is able to evolve and adapt online autonomously without any pauses or breaks in system execution, thereby maintaining expected system availability through the software system’s lifecycle. ECSELR can also be easily coupled with other frameworks to endow it with more capabilities among others.

We demonstrate the ease of use of the ECSELR platform by using it to reduce the resource footprint of an existing software system. We show that no domain knowledge is needed to operate ECSELR and that, it can be used by both software programmers and software end users.

We believe that ECSELR is a major novel contribution to the DASBSE and GI communities and that it provides another avenue for future research into the uses of dynamic adaptivity.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we present ECSELR, describing its components and its mode of utility. In Section 2.1, we present one(1) use scenario for ECSELR. In Section 3, we describe our experiment in utilizing ECSELR to evolve a software system to optimize process resources and change its attack surface, demonstrating the use of ECSELR and presenting and discussing the results. In Section 4, we present previous work and distinguish between ECSELR and the methodologies and frameworks from these previous work.

In Section 5, we reiterate our contribution and expand on possible avenues of future work.

2. ECSELR

To implement dynamic adaptivity in a target complex software system, the software system is first given the ability to monitor and observe itself, its actions and environment at runtime. This allows the system to be self aware [8] about its functioning and its environment and the effects of the environment on itself. Subsequently, the system is given the mechanism to self-effect stochastic transformations. The software system applies the transformation to itself based on its relationship to its environment and also its understanding of its own state, garnered from the ability to monitor itself and the environment. Just like in natural evolution, these transformatory changes are based on environmental pressure and the stochasticity of the environment.

The ECSELR framework provides all the necessary components needed for this to happen. The framework is comprised of four main components; an evolution driver called EvoAgent, an evolution utility called EvoDaemon, transformation utilities called Evolution Operators and transformation guides called Evolution Strategies.

Given a running target software system, EvoAgent attaches itself to the process, living in the same native OS memory address space as the process. The attachment can be done natively through OS level hooks or via java language mechanisms. EvoAgent is comprised of a series of native system functions and data structures that enable it to monitor and keep track of the state of the software system and its environment at any point in time. Upon attachment, EvoAgent probes and sets up its set of functions to profile the basic computational blocks of the software system and record relevant information about the blocks. These blocks refer to the lowest level of instructions that comprise the system (i.e. a set of bytecode instructions in the JVM). This gives the ECSELR platform the ability to monitor and observe the system and its actions and interactions with its environments. ECSELR observes when blocks are called/executed, what inputs the blocks are given and what they act on and when they complete execution/exit. Since blocks correspond to methods and fields in the JVM, ECSELR is thusly able to observe the execution flow of the software system. ECSELR partitions the software system execution lifecycle into discrete time periods called epochs. Each epoch represents a generation in an evolutionary timeline. At the end of each epoch, EvoAgent appraises itself of the state changes in the lifecycle of the software system and its environment and then invokes EvoDaemon to evolve the system based on the changes.

EvoDaemon contains an engine for rewriting java bytecode according to a set of given instructions/strategies. EvoDaemon evolves the software system according to a set of evolutionary strategies that are supplied by EvoAgent.

The evolutionary strategies are stochastic conditions that are created based on the history of observed system interaction. They decide which parts of the system are transformed and how they’re transformed. An evolutionary strategy probabilistically selects which parts of the software system
it wants to evolve and an evolutionary operator is then used to effect this evolution. An example evolutionary strategy is one which probabilistically decides a method to remove based on observed frequency of method usage.

The evolutionary operators are defined as the set of generic transformatory mechanisms that modify the software blocks, transforming them from one state to another and in essence evolving the system over the course of the program lifecycle. They are analogous to the evolutionary mechanisms/operators that act on the genome of biological organisms and drive/effect evolution. They are distinguished by their effect on the system blocks and structure of the system as a whole. The operators are grouped into four basic types:

**Amorphic Operators** which act by removing blocks from the system.

**Geomorphic Operators** which act by combining blocks in numerous ways.

**Translocation Operators** which change the structure and execution flow of the program by moving blocks around.

**Hypomorphic Operators** which change the availability of blocks, suppressing and inciting different functionalities of the system.

Listed below is the set of evolutionary operators that are currently implemented in ECSELR.

1. **Merge Operator**: Selects two software blocks/methods A and B, and naively appends block B to block A and subsequently replaces the original block A with this new version of Block A. Block B is then deleted from the software system.

2. **Deletion Operator**: Selects a method, and deletes the byte code of the method from the software system, thereby removing the method from the system.

3. **Inversion Operator**: Selects two locations corresponding to two different software blocks (eg. blocks in a method) and inverts their positions, placing the first block in the second’s position and the second in the first’s position. This changes the execution flow of the software system.

4. **Duplication Operator**: Selects a software block and a location. It duplicates the software block and inserts the generated copy of block at the specified location.

5. **Loop Perforation Operator**: Selects a block of code that runs in a loop and perforates the loop, reducing the number of times the block is iterated.

6. **PassThrough Operator**: This operator returns an unmodified clone of a given block.

Platforms that enhance software systems by providing a measure of adaptability can be described and taxonomized according to 4 facets/properties. These properties characterize the level of adaptivity and evolvability in the enhanced software systems, mapping adaptation to evolution and describing the degree to which adaptation is implemented, governed and reasoned about via evolution. These properties are based on similar properties widely used by the self-adaptive systems community [11]. The properties are presented as follows, emphasizing how specifically they can be used to describe self-adaptation:

- **Temporality**: Describes the temporal property of the adaptivity, specifying when the adaptation changes are applied. The temporality of self-adaptivity is either Online (i.e. the changes happen at runtime) or Offline (i.e. changes happen before runtime).

The ECSELR platform has an online temporality. Evolution and adaptation happens online at runtime.

- **Locality**: Describes the locality of software evolution and adaptation, showing where in the system evolution happens to lead to adaptation. The options encompass localities like source code, binary or an AST/model of the system.

The ECSELR platform acts directly upon the binary. It modifies and transforms the execution instructions in the binary.

- **Artifacity**: Describes the scope of evolution, showing what about/in the system is being evolved and benefitting from adaptation. (i.e. Functional Properties vs Non-Functional Properties).

ECSELR is able to evolve and adapt both functional and non-functional properties of the target system. It is able to change system functionality in addition to changing the non-functional aspects of system design.

- **Dynamicity**: Describes how dynamic evolution is; showing how automated evolution is. It quantifies the degree of human intervention in evolution. A system with full dynamicity evolves and adapts with no human input while a system with no dynamicity has its evolution and adaptation managed by a human programmer (i.e. the hand of god).

ECSELR is able to autonomously evolve and adapt the target application with minimal human intervention needed or required. All though the framework supports input from the human programmer, its does not require this and is able to function without any help. ECSELR can be said to therefore have full dynamicity.

### 2.1 ECSELR Use Case

The ECSELR platform can be used to achieve tackle many problems that benefit from dynamic adaptivity. In this section, we present one(1) such use case.

#### 2.1.1 Software Slimming

Software Slimming refers to the removal of unused and/or underutilized parts of software systems at runtime for various purposes.

A software library is always built to serve a large number of use cases and therefore contains a wide range of compo-
ments for each of these various use cases. The engineers of the library can rarely fully anticipate in which combinations the different components will be used and therefore ship the library with its full complement of components. Since the rate of utilization of any of the components depend on the application that is built on top of it, each software engineer is responsible for narrowing down the sets of components that are necessary for their application and discarding the rest. Most software engineers usually just bundle the whole library with their application. For any given application, a number of these components from the library will never be used at runtime and some of these components can be detected statically. However, it is not always possible or efficient to detect before runtime, all components that will never be used due to a number of reasons that include system structure (the language it’s written in and its form), uncertainty about the projected use profile, lack of access to the source of the system, lack of familiarity with the system, lack of time, among others. This results in software systems that are distributed with superfluous components of libraries that are rarely or never used. These components take up space, use up resources and also represent unknown possible attack vectors.

Since ECSELRL is able to observe the interactions of the components in the software system, it is able to identify unused and superfluous packages and instructions and remove them, thereby reducing the space and memory resources needed for the application and also removing possible attack entry points in the software system. These software systems are subsequently considered to be slimmed based on the use profile. ECSELRL is also able to slim software services according to other different criteria such as power consumption, etc.

Another target example of software slimming is a software system that is going to be used in a low-resource environment where the system engineer has no pre-conceived knowledge about which part of the library is going to be more utilized. When the software system is loaded and is being executed with the ECSELRL framework embedded in it, ECSELRL is able to remove unused components reducing the system’s resource usage and optimizing the software system.

Other concrete examples of targets for software slimming can be found in the literature here in [9] and in [7].

3. EXPERIMENTS

In order to test how capable ECSELRL is at Software Slimming, we designed a test platform, jEvoTester, to simulate a large java software system, and run ECSELRL on the jEvoTester platform. We subsequently present this platform in the next section.

3.1 Experimental Platform

jEvoTester was designed to mimic and reproduce the interdependent relations and interactions present in software systems. It simulates a software system platform that offers services to a set of applications. It can also be considered as a large library or framework that offers a wide range of services to applications that need it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator Name</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merge Methods Operator</td>
<td>Merges two given methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Deletion Operator</td>
<td>Deletes a method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passthrough Operator</td>
<td>Return an unmodified clone of the object</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

jEvoTester is composed of 360 classes each offering 1000 methods. jEvoTester provides these methods and classes as services to applications.

To simulate the interactions between applications and the software system/library and also in between the components of the system, we sampled the WorldCup ’98 website requests dataset 1 [1]. This dataset captures the interactions between users of the WorldCup ’98 website. We equate the visits by users in the dataset to interactions between the classes and methods and the apps that request them, with each visit being comprised of requests to objects that in turn depend on other objects. Each user’s visit in the dataset consists of a request for a combination of multiple objects. Each unique user is equated to an application and the objects requested are equated to methods.

An application therefore requests a combination of methods that it requires, with the methods considered to be independent on each other since they all need to be available for the request to succeed. If a method is unavailable, the application’s request fails. The applications were implemented in jEvoTester as agents in a multi-agent system, each with a non-static collection of methods required over the course of its lifetime. All application requests are performed asynchronously and independently of other applications.

During each run of jEvoTester, ECSELRL is inserted into jEvoTester in order to evolve and adapt it. jEvoTester is unaware of the applications and also works asynchronously and independent of system functioning (i.e. ECSELRL does not pause or half any system instructions whilst evolving the system).

Table 1 lists the subset of operators used by ECSELRL.

3.2 Results

We chose to utilise the jEvoTester platform to test ECSELRL on the Software Slimming use case detailed in Section 2.1.1. We tested whether ECSELRL would be able to slim jEvoTester during system execution. Our test harness was run 200 times with the same interaction data in order to verify the significance of our results.

For each test, the jEvoTester platform was executed. During the course of execution, the ECSELRL platform was inserted into jEvoTester. Each application recorded the response from jEvoTester to its requests, noting if jEvoTester was able to provide a method being requested or not. In jEvoTester, when a deleted method is called, a runtime exception is generated which is caught and returns a negative

1WorldCup ’98 dataset can be found here: http://ita.ee.lbl.gov/html/contrib/WorldCup.html
answer to the application. In order to test how well ECSELRL performs at software slimming, the jEvoTester platform was designed to have a whole complement of methods, some of which are utilised by applications and some of which aren’t. The goal of the experiment was to test if ECSELRL would be able to remove a percentage of the unused methods while ignoring methods that were being utilised. The rate of usage of the methods were not static with some methods used more frequently than others during different points in jEvoTester’s lifetime.

For each test, there were 27,500 applications calling 4,907 methods. These methods were called a total of 1,522,111 times. The applications called these methods in 68,084 different configurations. The most popular method combination was called 15,517 times. It was called by 10,071 unique applications.

355,093 out of 360,000 methods were never called during the duration of our experiments. ECSELRL was able to remove an average of 140,206 methods in the jEvoTester software system during the runs.

Our results confirmed that, yes, ECSELRL is able to successfully slim jEvoTester.

ECSELRL was able to remove an average of 140206 methods in the jEvoTester software system during the runs.

• RQ2: How successful is ECSELRL at slimming a software system?

Our result show that ECSELRL was able to remove approximately 39% of all unused methods. This shrunk the application reducing its footprint (ie. amount of memory needed by the JVM to store the application byte code, class data, etc).

• RQ3: Does software slimming through ECSELRL negatively affect the availability and usage of the software system?

Analysis of our data showed that, during each run, approximately 57 methods that were required by applications were slimmed and thus made unavailable to the applications requiring them. A maximum of 4 applications were affected by this problem. This represents an application request failure rate of 0.01% due to ECSELRL.

Our results show and confirm that, ECSELRL is able to autonomously slim software systems with slimming controlled and mediated by the state of the software system during its lifecycle.

4. PREVIOUS WORK


Unlike ECSELRL, these approaches do not embed evolution and adaption in the software systems being evolved. Therefore evolution and adaptation doesn’t happen online. Also, unlike ECSELRL, these approaches are unable to evolve a software system that already running.

Harman et al in [5] presented an outline of an approach called Online Genetic Improvement(OGI), a methodology in which an existing program is improved online by modifying its existing code using evolutionary search mechanisms. Their approach consists of an online and offline phase where program execution is monitored online, this data is sent to an offline component to learn from and expose implicit parameters that are sensitive to operational properties of interest. These parameters are optimised and then deployed online. Swan et al in [15], presented Gen-O-Fix, a framework which consists of an agent that resides outside the binary and proxies the instructions to the binaries serving as the evolution agent. These two approaches differ from ECSELRL in that, adaptivity isn’t not embedded inside the application. OGI also relies on an offline phase unlike ECSELRL. Although these frameworks are able to evolve running soft-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methods per class</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Methods</td>
<td>3600000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Applications</td>
<td>27500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods Called</td>
<td>4907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of method calls</td>
<td>1522111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total method combinations called</td>
<td>68084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncalled Methods</td>
<td>355093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of methods never called</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Number of Methods slimmed</td>
<td>140206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of uncalled methods slimmed</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of total methods slimmed</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods called after removal</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of methods removed that were called after removal</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of experiments</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ware systems, they require the target software system to be started with the framework. ECSELR on the other hand is able to target software systems that have already started execution.

5. CONCLUSION
In this paper, we presented the ECSELR platform, platform for achieving dynamic adaptivity, i.e., embedding adaptivity in a software system. We presented Software Slimming as a use case for such a system and showed that, ECSELR is able to perform admirably well in this use case. ECSELR is demonstrated to be able to evolve and adapt already executing extant software systems with no human input. ECSELR shows that it is in fact possible to embed adaptivity in software systems.

Although we describe the ECSELR framework in this paper, we chose not to fully expand on all aspects of the framework’s design. We aim to present a more detailed exposition and study about the design decisions behind ECSELR in a subsequent paper.

We hope that, with this paper, ECSELR will be seen as a platform for more experiments and research into dynamic adaptivity.
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