Graph-based inferences *in a Semantic* Web Server for the Cartography of **Competencies in a Telecom Valley**

Fabien Gandon, Olivier Corby, Alain Giboin, Nicolas Gronnier, Cecile Guigard http://www-sop.inria.fr/acacia/







Context of this work: KmP



- Knowledge management solution at scale of Telecom Valley Sophia Antipolis (industrial & scientific park) Thtp://www-sop.inria.fr/acacia/soft/kmp.html
- Competency management for Telecom Valley to:
 - 1. provide an up-to-date snapshot of the park;
 - 2. facilitate partnerships between firms of the park;
 - 3. facilitate collaboration firms \leftrightarrow research institutes.
- Public semantic web portal & annotation of firms
 - common language to describe and compare needs and resources of firms;
 - steering committee = 11 firms working on ontologies: Amadeus, Philips Semiconductors, France Telecom, HP, IBM, Atos Origin, Transiciel, Elan IT, Qwam System Cross Systems

Some uses of semantics

- Corese conceptual graph ↔ RDF/S search engine http://www-sop.inria.fr/acacia/soft/corese/
- Views of the Telecom Valley on-the-fly:
 - Combine models of the economists and data from users
 - Rules to enrich contributions & bridge different viewpoints
 - Semantic query constructors to find partners, build consortiums, extract indicators, build statistics, sort and group results, etc. [Corby et al.]

Ontology-based metrics based on graph structure SW

- Approximate query: semantic query relaxation [Corby et al.]
- Interface inferences: visualization and navigation
- Cartography: clustering algorithms to produce SVG views of similar competences in the Telecom Valley

3 • 5 · 🗷 2 🐔 🔎 🖈 🛛 🗇 🍇 🖻 • 🖵 📖 🚳



Bonjour Admin

Se Décrire

liste des organismes

liste des entreprises

Explorer Telecom Valley

<u>Rechercher un Partenaire</u>

Liens directs

- Chaîne de valeur.
- L'ontologie

Autres liens

- Plan du site
- <u>Contacts</u>
- Présentation
- Forum
- <u>Déconnexion</u>

Page d'accueil du site

Bienvenue sur KmP "Knowledge Management Platform"

PlugIn SVG installé, Version détectée : v.3 User loggé !

> Découvrez les compétences de la communauté Télécom Valley de Sophia Antipolis (Alpes-Maritimes FRANCE)

Le cluster Télécoms sophipolitain



_

- 8 ×

~

3 • 🕤 - 🖪 🗟 🏠 🔎 🛧 🤣 🗇 头 🚍 • 🖵 🚉 🥥 🚳



Bonjour Admin

Se Décrire

liste des organismes

liste des entreprises

Explorer Telecom Valley

Naviquer

<u>Clusters et Pôles</u>
Connaitre les facilitateurs
Requête type

Rechercher un Partenaire

Liens directs

- Chaîne de valeur
- L'ontologie

Autres liens

- Plan du site
- <u>Contacts</u>
- Présentation
- Forum
- Déconnexion



<u>Retour</u>

Quelle est la répartition des acteurs dans le cluster Telecom ?

Acteurs : entreprises et/ou équipes de recherche membres de Telecom Valley (T.V.), cartographiées dans KmP

- 11 entreprises cartographiées dans la base représentant un effectif de 3220 personne(s)
- 26 équipes de recherche cartographiées dans la base représentant un effectif de 228 personne(s)

soit un total au sein de la Telecom Valley : 3448 personne(s)

Acteur(s) sur ce segment :



Infos sur ce segment :

_ 8 ×



Bonjour Admin

Se Décrire

<u>liste des organismes</u>

liste des entreprises

Explorer Telecom Valley

Naviquer

<u>•Clusters et Pôles</u> •Connaitre les facilitateurs •Requête <u>type</u>

Rechercher un Partenaire

Liens directs

- Chaîne de valeur
- L'ontologie

Autres liens

- Plan du site
- <u>Contacts</u>
- Présentation
- Forum
- Déconnexion

KmP >> Explorer Telecom Valley >> Naviguer dans le Cluster Telecom

Quels sont les échanges dans le cluster Telecom?

<u>Retour</u>

Lecture du tableau :

 une ligne: les "routes" partant d'un segment (NB: le segment 9 correspond aux accords avec le: de recherche)

- 8 ×

• une colonne: les "routes" arrivant à un segment (NB: le segment 9 correspond aux accords avec équipes de recherche)

 une valeur: le nombre de compétences pour une "route", cliquez sur une valeur pour voir les type coopérations

	4	1	7b	2b	2a	8b	7a	5a	9	3	8a	6ŝj	5b	6b
7b			3								3			
8b	<u>14</u>	1	Z	5	<u>6</u>	<u>19</u>	Z	Z	3	<u>6</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>18</u>	11
9						1		1	<u>26</u>			1		
8a									1		3	1		
6a						4			1			<u>16</u>		
5b									1		1			



G ▼ Image: Scénarios 2 et 3: Rechercher un partenaire

- 8 ×



× 2 6 * 0 🔊 • ዿ 🕞 🔻 📙 👯 🚳 🦓 G Ð KmP >> Scénarios 2 et 3: Rechercher un partenaire Compétence 1 Compétence 2 Partenaire Class+ ¥ Chercher

- 8 ×

Bonjour Admin Admin Admin Se Décrire De liste des organismes liste des entreprises

Explorer Telecom Valley

Rechercher un Partenaire

Liens directs

- Chaîne de valeur
- L'ontologie

Autres liens

- Plan du site
- <u>Contacts</u>
- <u>Présentation</u>
 <u>Forum</u>
- <u>Déconnexion</u>

Définition de la PREMIERE compétence recherchée Action Concevoir Delivrable Choisir Système d'offre Radio-frequences * Bénéficiaire Beneficiaires hors CDV Hors de la CDV Beneficiaires sur CDV Choisir Ressource(s) mobilisee(s) Manageriales Wifi Technologiques R Modèles et theories Degré stratégique Degré Stratégique Choisir Type de coopération envisagé Cooperations en RD Choisir Cooperations Industrielles 📃 Forfait

Modalité (Cas de coop Indus seulement)

Forfait
Assitance technique
Tierce Maintenance Applicative
Autre(s)

Clustering competencies

- Dynamic up-to-date cartography of competencies in technological park and grouped in clusters.
 - built from visual representations the users are used to;
 - they came up with a "readable representation of the clusters of competencies in the technological pole":



1: Readability implies an expressivity

- Motivating scenario: requirement on the ontology
- Models from management & economics [Lazaric & Thomas]
- Four facets to describe a competence
 - E.g. "designing microchips for the 3G mobile market using GSM, GPRS and UMTS"
 - an action (design);
 - a deliverable (microchip);
 - a market (3G mobile technology);
 - ^a a set of resources (GSM, GPRS, UMTS)

Similarity of competence ≡ similar actions and resources

Each facet is formalized in a module of the ontology. ~1400 concept types and 90 relation types

P2: Readability implies inferences

- Monothetic clustering algorithm [Jain, Murty & Flynn]
 - 1. chose a market to limit analysis: sub-types are considered, ancestors/siblings are discarded. → closure of subtype;
 - 2. group competences by *similarity* of resources (cluster);
 - 3. in each cluster, group competences by *similarity* of action (bubbles).

Evaluating conceptual relatedness [Collins & Loftus] [Rada *et al.*] [Resnik][Jiang & Conrath][Wu & Palmer]

- early works on humans' semantic memory [Quillian]
- many forms of relatedness e.g. complementarity, similarity
- here similarities based on shared definitional features
- concept type hierarchy supporting similarity reasoning
- apply spreading algorithm to simulate distance



When the targeted model details that the theorem is a tree: $dist(t, father(t)) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor$ $dist(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{2^{depth(LCST(t_1, t_2))-2}} - \frac{1}{2^{depth(t_1)-1}} - \frac{1}{2^{depth(t_2)-1}}$

From distance to clusters

- Cluster competencies and control level of detail
 - a dendrogram provides a clustering with chosen granularity



Transform hierarchy into dendrogram First transformation, maximal distance to the least

common supertype: $dist_{MH}(t_1, t_2) = \max_{\forall t < LCST(t_1, t_2)} (dist(t, ST(t))) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]^{depth(LCST(t_1, t_2))}$

But no added value compared to the direct use of the ontology depth to control the level of detail



Refine transformation

Take into account depth of hierarchy below least common supertype: a measure of the loss of details $dist_{CH}(t_1, t_2) = \max_{\forall st \leq LCST(t_1, t_2)} (dist(st, LCST(t_1, t_2)))$ when $t_1 \neq t_2$

 $dist_{CH}(t_1, t_2) = 0$ when $t_1 = t_2$



Characteristics of this distance

- *dist_{CH}* is an ultrametric (see paper)
 - $dist_{CH}(t,t) = 0$
 - $dist_{CH}(t_1, t_2) = dist_{CH}(t_2, t_1)$
 - $dist_{CH}(t_1, t_2) = 0 \Longrightarrow t_1 = t$
 - $\forall t' \operatorname{dist}_{CH}(t_1, t_2) \leq \max(\operatorname{dist}_{CH}(t_1, t'), \operatorname{dist}_{CH}(t_2, t'))$
- Thus $dist_{CH}$ generates more levels than $dist_{MH}$ and the proportionally by the square of maximal depth • One bound per depth *i.e.* $dist_{Max}(d+1) < dist_{Min}(d)$ • the clustering respects the ontology hierarchy children of a shallow class will be grouped first A name can be given to every cluster *Cl* Name(Cl) = Name (LCST($\{t; type \ t \in Cl\}$))

Ready for cartography

2 dendrograms from 2 ontologies (resources, actions) to control the vocabulary used for descriptions





- bottom-up favours the detailed branches
- here: top-down division to divide equally between domains



Technologie

 \triangleright

G • O · 🗷 🖻 🐔 🔎 🛠 🕙 🙆 • 😓 🖬 • 🖵 🚉 🥥 🦄



_ ∂ ×

Evaluation (1/2)

- Usage & ergonomics
 - simple widgets & real-time display for levels of detail
 - cluttered centre while it contains important information
 - customize graphical elements (colors, angles, labels)
 - ability to post-process the results
 - ability to capture the dynamics (history, previsions)
 - provide predicted time to compute a clustering
- Complexity & response time (n, m number resources, actions)
 - Parsing the schema to build the tree: O(n+m)
 - Initializing depth and angular distribution: O(n+m)
 - Sorting the dendrogram: $O(n \times log(n) + m \times log(m))$
 - n' and m' levels of detail in dendrograms of resources and actions :
 n'×m' queries for clustering
 1≤n'≤596
 1≤m'≤118
 1≤ n'×m' ≤ 70 328

Evaluation (2/2)

Pentium 4 M / 1.7GHz / 512 Mb Windows XP Pro

- average minimum time (1 query) is 86 milliseconds.
- average maximum time (70 328 queries) is 11 minutes.
- average typical time (981 queries) is 9 seconds.



linear regression $\sim y = x \times 8.42 + 89.24$

- rapid way to foresee the duration of a clustering
- I level above which it is better to rely on reporting in batch mode rather than real-time calculation; typically above 15 seconds of response time *i.e.* above $n' \times m' = 2000$

Conclusion

KmP: industrialization phase

- [•] from 20 pilot companies to 70 user companies
- more and more public research centers
- taken over by a start-up
- Graph structure of semantic web formalisms and inferences in retrieval, clustering, visualization
 - proved the characteristics of the metrics and inferences
 - inferences: domain-independent and reusable
 - other inferences on these graph structures
- Experiments to evaluate and compare simulated metrics with the ones humans naturally use.



















