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#### Abstract

We are interested in determining the positions and the velocities of moving rigid solids in a bounded cavity filled with a perfect fluid. We assume that the solids are small disks and that they move slowly. Using an integral formulation, we first derive the asymptotic expansion of the DtN map of the problem as the diameters of the disks tend to zero. Then, combining a suitable choice of exponential type data and the DORT technique (which is usually used in inverse scattering for the detection of point-like scatterers), we propose a reconstruction method for the unknown positions and velocities.


We are interested in determining the positions and velocities of moving rigid solids immersed in a bounded cavity filled with a perfect fluid. We assume that the solids are small disks and that they move slowly.

## 1 Introduction

The geometric inverse problem that we consider in this paper is to determine the positions and the velocities of rigid solids moving in a perfect fluid. More precisely, let $\Omega$ be an open and simply connected bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma:=\partial \Omega$. The domain $\Omega$ is supposed to be filled with a perfect fluid and it contains $M$ rigid solids $D_{m}, m=1, \ldots, M$, where $D_{m} \subset \Omega$ is a closed disk with boundary $\gamma_{m}$. The domain occupied by the fluid is denoted by $\mathcal{F}:=\Omega \backslash\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} D_{m}\right)$. We also introduce the unit normal $n$ to $\partial \mathcal{F}$ directed towards the exterior of the fluid and $\tau$ the unit tangent vector to $\partial \mathcal{F}$ such that $\tau=n^{\perp}$ (throughout the paper, we set $x^{\perp}:=\left(-x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ for all $\left.x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. We assume that every solid moves with a velocity $V_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. At every given time, we can consider the Eulerian velocity field $\mathbf{U}(x), x \in \mathcal{F}$, of the fluid. We assume that the flow is irrotational

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rot}(\mathbf{U})=0 \operatorname{in} \mathcal{F} \tag{1.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and circulation free, so that for every rectifiable Jordan curve $\gamma \subset \mathcal{F}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma} \mathbf{U}(s) \cdot \tau(s) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{1.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The slip boundary conditions on $\partial \mathcal{F}$ read

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{U} \cdot n=V_{m} \cdot n & \text { on } \gamma_{m}, m=1, \ldots, M \\
\mathbf{U} \cdot n=0 & \text { on } \Gamma . \tag{1.2b}
\end{array}
$$

We shall address the inverse problem of determining the positions and the velocities of the solids by using actuators and sensors located on the outer boundary $\Gamma$. We assume that we can prescribe the normal velocity of the fluid on $\Gamma$, leading to the modification of equation (1.2b) into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U} \cdot n=F \quad \text { on } \Gamma \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is given. Regarding the available output, we assume that we can measure the tangential velocity $\mathrm{U} \cdot \tau$ on $\Gamma$. According to conditions (1.1a) and (1.1b), we classically introduce the stream function $\psi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{U}=-\nabla^{\perp} \psi$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Then, equations (1.1a) and (1.2) read

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta \psi & =0 & & \text { in } \mathcal{F}  \tag{1.4a}\\
\psi & =V_{m}^{\perp} \cdot x+c_{m} & & \text { on } \gamma_{m}, m=1, \ldots, M  \tag{1.4b}\\
\psi & =f & & \text { on } \Gamma \tag{1.4c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{\tau} f=F$ on $\Gamma$ and the constants $c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}, k=1, \ldots, M$, are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{m}} \partial_{n} \psi(s) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{1.4~d}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these settings, the measurement reads $\mathbf{U} \cdot \tau=-\partial_{n} \psi$. The detection problem under consideration is then to recover the centers, the diameters and the velocities of the solids from the DtN map $\Lambda: f \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma) \longmapsto \partial_{n} \psi \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$.

Although such geometric inverse problems of detecting moving solids in a fluid appear in many applications, the associated literature is quite limited, as most contributions deal with the case of motionless solids (i.e. obstacles). In particular, the case of a fluid described by the Stokes equations has been investigated using optimization methods by Caubet et al. in [12, [5, 11, 10] and more recently by Bourgeois et al. in [6] using the quasi-reversibility method combined to a level set method. The case of small obstacles has been studied in Caubet and Dambrine [9]. Regarding moving obstacles, Conca et al. show in [15, 14] that the position and the velocity for a single disk moving in a perfect fluid can be recovered from one measurement of the velocity on part of the boundary. Linear stability estimates are also provided. In Conca et al. [16], the authors consider a moving rigid solid immersed in a potential fluid and provide examples of detectable (ellipses for instance) and undetectable shapes. Conca et al. obtained in [17] an identifiability result in the case of a rigid solid immersed in a viscous fluid.

In this work, we restrict the analysis to the case where the solids are small disks (see Figure 1) of typical size $\varepsilon$, so that rotation plays no role. For such configurations, we show an identifiability result and we provide a reconstruction method. More precisely, we denote each closed disk $D_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m=1, \ldots, M$ and we denote by $\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}$ its boundary. We assume that $D_{m}^{\varepsilon}$ is centered at $r_{m}$ and is of radius $\varepsilon R_{m}$, where the parameter $\varepsilon$ is meant to tend to 0 . Denoting by $\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}=\Omega \backslash\left(\cup_{m=1}^{M} D_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ the domain occupied by the fluid and letting $\psi^{\varepsilon}$ be the corresponding solution of (1.4), our inverse problem can be formulated as follows: Knowing the DtN map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{\varepsilon}: f \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma) \longmapsto \partial_{n} \psi^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: The domain $\Omega$ containing $M$ small rigid disks $D_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m=1, \ldots, M$ and filled with a perfect fluid occupying the domain $\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}=\Omega \backslash\left(\cup_{m=1}^{M} D_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)$.
is it possible, and if so how, to recover the number $M$ of disks, their positions $r_{m}, m=1, \ldots, M$, their (rescaled) radii $R_{m}$ and their velocities $V_{m}$ ?

We answer this question in several steps. In Section 2 we first derive the asymptotic expansion of the $\operatorname{DtN}$ operator $\Lambda^{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$(Theorem (1) using a boundary integral formulation of the forward problem. In Section 3, we combine this expansion with the DORT method to recover the number of disks and their positions, provided they are distant enough. Initially introduced by Fink and Prada [18], this method has been justified mathematically and used in the framework of wave systems for the detection of distant point-like scatterers in acoustics [21, 24, 7, and electromagnetics [4. Once the positions have been determined, the velocities and rescaled radii can be easily recovered using suitable data. Finally, we collect in Section 4 some numerical examples to illustrate the efficiency of the proposed reconstruction method.

## 2 Asymptotic expansion for small disks

The following result provides the asymptotic expansion of the $\operatorname{DtN}$ map $\Lambda^{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Although the literature dealing with small inhomogeneities or inclusions is very rich (see for instance the review paper [2] and the book [3 by Ammari and Kang, or the article by Friedman and Vogelius (19), and as far we know, the needed asymptotics is not available in the framework of our problem.

Theorem 1 For every $f \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$, we denote by $U^{f} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ the solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
-\Delta U^{f} & =0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\
U^{f} & =f \text { on } \Gamma
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\Lambda^{0} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma), H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$ the DtN map $\Lambda^{0}: f \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma) \longmapsto \partial_{n} U^{f} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. Then, the DtN map $\Lambda^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma), H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$ defined by (1.5) admits the following expansion as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$:

$$
\Lambda^{\varepsilon}=\Lambda^{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \Lambda^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right),
$$

[^1]where for every $f, g \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ :
$$
\left\langle\Lambda^{2} f, g\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma), H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)}=2 \pi \sum_{m=1}^{M} R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla U^{g}\left(r_{m}\right)-2 \pi \sum_{m=1}^{M} R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{g}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot V_{m}^{\perp}
$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this result. The first ingredient is a superposition result, usually referred to as Kirchhoff principle in the context of fluid dynamics. By linearity, the stream function $\psi^{\varepsilon}$ can be decomposed into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}+\sum_{m=1}^{M} V_{m}^{\perp} \cdot\binom{v_{m}^{\varepsilon}}{w_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u^{\varepsilon} & =0 & \text { in } \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon} \\
u^{\varepsilon} & =a_{m}^{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon},(m=1, \ldots, M)  \tag{2.3}\\
u^{\varepsilon} & =f & \text { on } \Gamma,
\end{align*}
$$

and $v_{m}^{\varepsilon}$ and $w_{m}^{\varepsilon}$ solve for every $m=1, \ldots, M$ the following boundary value problems

$$
\begin{array}{|cl|rl}
-\Delta v_{m}^{\varepsilon}=0 & & \text { in } \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon} & -\Delta w_{m}^{\varepsilon}=0 \\
w_{m}^{\varepsilon}=x_{2}+c_{m, m}^{\varepsilon} & \text { in } \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon} \\
v_{m}^{\varepsilon}=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{m, m}^{\varepsilon} & & \text { on } \gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon} & \\
v_{m}^{\varepsilon}=b_{m, k}^{\varepsilon} & & \text { on } \gamma_{k}^{\varepsilon}, k \neq m & w_{m}^{\varepsilon}=c_{m, k}^{\varepsilon}
\end{array} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { on } \gamma_{k}^{\varepsilon}, k \neq m \\
v_{m}^{\varepsilon}=0 & \\
w_{m}^{\varepsilon}=0, & \\
\text { on } \Gamma, & \text { on } \Gamma,
\end{array}
$$

where the constants $a_{m}^{\varepsilon}, b_{m, k}^{\varepsilon}$ and $c_{m, k}^{\varepsilon}$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} v^{\varepsilon}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} w^{\varepsilon}(s) \mathrm{d} s=0, \quad m=1, \ldots, M \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1 With a slight abuse of notation, the boundary integrals above should be understood in the sense of duality between the spaces $H^{-1 / 2}$ and $H^{1 / 2}$, e.g.

$$
\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\left\langle\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}, 1\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right), H^{1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)}
$$

The DtN operator is then given by the relation

$$
\Lambda^{\varepsilon} f=\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}+\sum_{m=1}^{M} V_{m}^{\perp} \cdot\binom{\partial_{n} v_{m}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial_{n} w_{m}^{\varepsilon}} .
$$

We first detail in 42.1 the derivation of the asymptotics of $u^{\varepsilon}$, based on a boundary integral formulation of problem (2.3)-(2.4). Then, and since the proofs are quite similar, we only sketch in $\$ 2.2$ the proofs of the asymptotics of $v_{m}^{\varepsilon}$ and $w_{m}^{\varepsilon}$. For the sake of clarity and the reader's convenience, we preferred to give a constructive proof of these expansions.

### 2.1 Asymptotic expansion for the function $u^{\varepsilon}$

The next result collects some well-known properties of the single layer potential that will be used in the sequel (see e.g. Mc Lean [23], Rjasanow and Steinbach [25] or Steinbach [26, Chapter 6]).

Proposition 1 For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$, let $G(x):=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log |x|$ denote the Green function of the operator $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $\gamma$ denote the smooth boundary of a bounded (possibly multiply connected) domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We introduce the single layer potential associated to a given density $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p\right)(x):=\int_{\gamma} G(x-y) p(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \gamma \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the following assertions hold true:

1. $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma}$ defines a continuous operator from $H^{-1 / 2}(\gamma)$ onto $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
2. The trace of $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma}$ p on $\gamma$ is given by the boundary integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\gamma} p\right)(x):=\int_{\gamma} G(x-y) p(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}, \quad x \in \gamma . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{S}_{\gamma}$ defines an isomorphism from $H^{-1 / 2}(\gamma)$ to $H^{1 / 2}(\gamma)$ and the quantity $\|\cdot\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}:=$ $\left\langle\cdot, \mathrm{S}_{\gamma} \cdot\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}(\gamma), H^{1 / 2}(\gamma)}^{1 / 2}$ defines on $H^{-1 / 2}(\gamma)$ a norm equivalent to the classical norm.
3. The normal derivative of $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p$ on $\gamma$ is given by the so-called jump condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\left[\frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p\right)}{\partial n}\right]:=\left.\frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p\right)}{\partial n}\right|_{-}-\left.\frac{\partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p\right)}{\partial n}\right|_{+} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $n$ denotes the unit outgoing normal to $\gamma$ and the + and - signs refer respectively to the normal derivatives coming from the exterior or the interior of $\gamma$.
4. Assume that $\gamma$ has only one connected component. Then, there exists a unique density $\psi^{\mathrm{eq}} \in$ $H^{-1 / 2}(\gamma)$, called the equilibrium density of $\gamma$, such that $\mathrm{S}_{\gamma} \psi^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is constant on $\gamma$ and satisfies the normalization condition $\int_{\gamma} \psi^{\text {eq }}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=1$.

We seek $u^{\varepsilon}$ as a single layer potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\varepsilon}^{m}$ and $q^{\varepsilon}$ are densities in $H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ to be determined.
Remark 2 According to (2.7), $u^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies for all $m=1, \ldots, M$ :

$$
\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=-\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0
$$

since by Green formula $\left.\int_{\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{-}=0$.

Taking into account Remark 2, system (2.3)-(2.4) satisfied by $u^{\varepsilon}$ is equivalent to the following system of $(M+1)$ coupled integral equations on $\gamma_{1}^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, \gamma_{M}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}} p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}+\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
m \neq \ell}}^{M}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}}+\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}} & =a_{\ell}^{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned} \quad \text { on } \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, \ell=1, \ldots, M, ~ \begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \Gamma}+\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon} & =f,  \tag{2.9a}\\
\int_{\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}} p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} & =0, \tag{2.9b}
\end{align*} \quad \ell=1, \ldots, M .
$$

Defining the curve $\gamma_{\ell}=r_{\ell}+\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}-r_{\ell}\right)$ and setting $r_{\ell m}=r_{\ell}-r_{m}$, a simple rescaling leads us to rewrite (2.9a)-(2.9b) in the equivalent form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(\varepsilon) \int_{\gamma_{\ell}} \varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}+\int_{\gamma_{\ell}} G(x-y) \varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
m \neq \ell}}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{m}} G\left(r_{\ell m}+\varepsilon\left(x-y-r_{\ell m}\right)\right) \varepsilon p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
&  \tag{2.10a}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}-y+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}\right)\right) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=a_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, \quad x \in \gamma_{\ell}, \\
& \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{m}} G\left(x-r_{m}-\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \varepsilon p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}  \tag{2.10b}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Gamma} G(x-y) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=f(x), \quad x \in \Gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

The circulation-free condition (2.9c), once the moving disks are rescaled, becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{m}} \varepsilon p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0 \quad(m=1, \ldots, M) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\varepsilon>0$, we introduce the following a priori decomposition of the densities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) & =p_{\ell, 0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{\ell, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \gamma_{\ell},  \tag{2.12a}\\
q^{\varepsilon}(y) & =q_{0}(y)+\varepsilon q_{1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}(y)+\varepsilon^{3} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \Gamma . \tag{2.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise, we write the constants $a_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}=a_{\ell, 0}+\varepsilon a_{\ell, 1}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{A}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all of the terms arising in the right hand sides of (2.12) and (2.13) have to be determined. Classically, this can be achieved by plugging the above a priori expansions in the system of integral
equations (2.10) and identifying the terms of same order in the expansion. More precisely, equations (2.10) read

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(\varepsilon) \int_{\gamma_{\ell}}\left[p_{\ell, 0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{\ell, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& \quad+\int_{\gamma_{\ell}} G(x-y)\left[p_{\ell, 0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{\ell, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
m \neq \ell}}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{m}} G\left(r_{\ell m}+\varepsilon\left(x-y-r_{\ell m}\right)\right)\left[p_{m, 0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{m, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& \\
& +\int_{\Gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}-y+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}\right)\right)\left[q_{0}(y)+\varepsilon q_{1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}(y)+\varepsilon^{3} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}  \tag{2.14a}\\
& \\
& \quad=a_{\ell, 0}+\varepsilon a_{\ell, 1}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{A}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, \quad x \in \gamma_{\ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, M
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{m}} G\left(x-r_{m}-\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right)\left[p_{m, 0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{m, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}  \tag{2.14b}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Gamma} G(x-y)\left[q_{0}(y)+\varepsilon q_{1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}(y)+\varepsilon^{3} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=f(x), \quad x \in \Gamma,
\end{align*}
$$

while the circulation free condition (2.11) implies the following conditions on the different terms of the asymptotic expansion (2.12) of $p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 0}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=\int_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=\int_{\gamma_{\ell}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0, \quad \ell=1, \ldots, M \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, X \neq 0$, we have:

$$
G(X+\varepsilon Y)=G(X)+\varepsilon \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}(X, Y)=G(X)+\varepsilon \nabla G(X) \cdot Y+\varepsilon^{2} \eta_{2}^{\varepsilon}(X, Y)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}(X, Y)=\int_{0}^{1} \nabla G(X+\varepsilon s Y) \cdot Y \mathrm{~d} s, \\
& \eta_{2}^{\varepsilon}(X, Y)=\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)\left\langle D^{2} G(X+\varepsilon s Y), Y, Y\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above Green's function asymptotics in (2.14) and identifying the terms of order 0 in $\varepsilon$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 0}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{0}\left(r_{\ell}\right) & =a_{\ell, 0}, & & x \in \gamma_{\ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, M  \tag{2.16a}\\
\mathrm{~S}_{\Gamma} q_{0}(x) & =f(x), & & x \in \Gamma . \tag{2.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equation uniquely determines $q_{0}=\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma}^{-1} f \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. In particular, recalling the notation $U^{f}$ introduced in (2.1), we have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{0}(x)=U^{f}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega
$$

Choosing $p_{\ell, 0}=0$ and $a_{\ell, 0}=U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right)$ for every $\ell=1, \ldots, M$, the first equation in (2.16) is then fulfilled.
We move now to identify the terms of order 1 in $\varepsilon$ of System (2.10), which yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{1}\left(r_{\ell}\right)+\nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(x-r_{\ell}\right) & =a_{\ell, 1}, & & x \in \gamma_{\ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, M  \tag{2.17a}\\
\mathrm{~S}_{\Gamma} q_{1}(x) & =0, & & x \in \Gamma . \tag{2.17b}
\end{align*}
$$

From the last equation, $q_{1}=0$. Taking the scalar product of the first equation of (2.17) with the equilibrium density $\psi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ of $\gamma_{\ell}$ (see Proposition (1)), we get

$$
\nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(\int_{\gamma_{\ell}}\left(x-r_{\ell}\right) \psi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{eq}}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{x}\right)=a_{\ell, 1} .
$$

But since the moment of order 1 of the equilibrium density of a circle coincides with its center (this follows in particular from relation (5.4) in Lemma 3, with $V=0$ and $\left.c=\left(2 \pi R_{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right)$, the last integral is zero and thus $a_{\ell, 1}=0$. According to the first equation in (2.17), the density $p_{\ell, 1} \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{\ell}\right)$ is thus uniquely determined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 1}(x)=\nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(r_{\ell}-x\right), \quad x \in \gamma_{\ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, M . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider now the terms of order 2 in (2.10b) (and this will be enough to derive the expected asymptotic expansion of $\Lambda^{\varepsilon}$ ). We easily obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \nabla G\left(x-r_{m}\right) \cdot\left(\int_{\gamma_{m}}\left(y-r_{m}\right) p_{m, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}\right), \quad x \in \Gamma . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma_{m}$ is a circle, the integrals in the right hand side can be explicitly computed by applying Lemma 3 of the Appendix 5.2, with $V=-\nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right)$ and $\left.c=\nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \cdot r_{\ell}\right)$. More precisely, it follows easily from identity (5.4) that for every $m \in\{1, \ldots, M\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{m}}\left(y-r_{\ell}\right) p_{m, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=-2 \pi R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (2.19) shows that $q_{2}$ solves the boundary integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)=-\sum_{m=1}^{M} 2 \pi R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla G\left(x-r_{m}\right), \quad x \in \Gamma . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us focus now on the remainders $\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{\ell}\right), \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$ appearing in (2.12) and (2.13), and prove that they are bounded. This will provide a justification of the formal a priori expansion of the densities $p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, q^{\varepsilon}$ and the constants $a_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$. We will need the following general result, proved in the Appendix.

Lemma 1 Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be two rectifiable Jordan curves contained in two open, bounded and non intersecting sets $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$. Let $K:(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}_{1} \times \mathcal{V}_{2} \longmapsto K(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that:

$$
\left|\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{\Gamma_{2}} K(x, y) p(x) q(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x}\right| \leqslant C\|p\|_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)}\|q\|_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)},
$$

for every $p \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $q \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$. Moreover, $C$ depends only on $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}$ and $\|K\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \times \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)}$.

We are now in position to prove the following result.
Lemma 2 The remainders $\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{\ell}\right), \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$ are uniformly bounded in $\varepsilon$.
Proof. On $\Gamma$, we have:

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(x)=-\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{m}} \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(x-r_{m}, r_{m}-y\right) \mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}+\int_{\gamma_{m}} \eta_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(x-r_{m}, r_{m}-y\right) p_{m, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}$, we get (recall that $\left.\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=\left\langle\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}, \mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma), H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=-\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\gamma_{m}} \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(x-r_{m}, r_{m}-y\right) \mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x} \\
&+\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\gamma_{m}} \eta_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(x-r_{m}, r_{m}-y\right) p_{m, 1}(y) \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1 implies the existence of a constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{M}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $\gamma_{\ell}$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(x)+ & \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
m \neq \ell}}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{m}} \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell m}, x-y-r_{\ell m}\right) p_{m, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\gamma_{m}} \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell m}, x-y-r_{\ell m}\right) \mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}+\int_{\Gamma} \eta_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}-y, x-r_{\ell}\right) q_{0}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}-y+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}\right)\right) q_{2}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}+\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}-y+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}\right)\right) \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=\mathcal{A}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying by the equilibrium density $\psi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ of $\gamma_{\ell}$, integrating on $\gamma_{\ell}$ and applying Lemma 1 we get, since $\int_{\gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{A}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\right| \leqslant C\left(1+\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq \ell}}^{M}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}+\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C>0$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$. Multiplying now (2.23) by $\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$, integrating on $\gamma_{\ell}$ and using once again Lemma 1, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C\left(1+\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq \ell}}^{M}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}+\varepsilon\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the estimates (2.22) and (2.25), we immediately obtain that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C\left(1+\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq \ell}}^{M}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

which clearly implies that $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is uniformly bounded, for every $\ell=1, \ldots, M$. The conclusion of the Lemma follows then from (2.22), (2.24).

Summing up, we have proved the following result:
Proposition 2 With the above notation, we have for every $\ell=1, \ldots, M$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) & =\varepsilon p_{\ell, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \gamma_{\ell},  \tag{2.26a}\\
q^{\varepsilon}(y) & =q_{0}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}(y)+\varepsilon^{3} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \Gamma,  \tag{2.26b}\\
a_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} & =a_{\ell, 0}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{A}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, & & \tag{2.26c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{\ell, 0}=U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) & p_{\ell, 1}=\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}}^{-1}\left(\nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(r_{\ell}-\cdot\right)\right) \\
q_{0}=\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma}^{-1} f & q_{2}=-\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} 2 \pi R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla G\left(\cdot-r_{m}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

and where $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{\ell}\right)},\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ are uniformly bounded in $\varepsilon$.
We are now able to derive the asymptotics of $\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}$ on the outer boundary $\Gamma$. To achieve this, we first compute the normal derivative on $\Gamma$ of $u^{\varepsilon}$ from formula (2.8) and get

$$
\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{2} q^{\varepsilon}(x)+\int_{\Gamma} \nabla G(x-y) \cdot n(x) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}} \nabla G(x-y) \cdot n(x) p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} .
$$

A change of variables in the integrals on $\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{2} q^{\varepsilon}(x) & +\int_{\Gamma} \nabla G(x-y) \cdot n(x) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{\ell}} \nabla G\left(x-r_{\ell}-\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot n(x) \varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}, \quad x \in \Gamma . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expansions (2.26), we get the following asymptotic expansion for $\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}=\partial_{n} U^{f}+\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{n} u_{2}+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{n} \mathcal{U}^{\varepsilon} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{2}(x)=\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)-\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \nabla G\left(x-r_{\ell}\right) \cdot \int_{\gamma_{\ell}}\left(y-r_{\ell}\right) p_{\ell, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
\mathcal{U}^{\varepsilon}(x):=\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(x)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{\ell}} \eta_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(x-r_{\ell}, r_{\ell}-y\right) \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
\quad+\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \int_{\gamma_{\ell}} \eta_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(x-r_{\ell}, r_{\ell}-y\right) p_{\ell, 1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thanks to (2.20), we can rewrite $u_{2}(x)$ as:

$$
u_{2}(x)=\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} 2 \pi R_{\ell}^{2} \nabla G\left(x-r_{\ell}\right) \cdot \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right)
$$

Therefore, we have : $\Delta u_{2}=-\sum_{\ell=1}^{M} 2 \pi R_{\ell}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{\ell}\right) \cdot \nabla \delta_{r_{\ell}}$ in $\Omega$. On the other hand, equation (2.21) shows that $u_{2}=0$ on $\Gamma$. We note that for every $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$, since $\Delta U^{g}=0$ in $\Omega$ and since $u_{2}=0$ on $\Gamma$, Green's formula yields (a rigorous justification of the formal derivation below is classical, and follows from the introduction of small balls around the singularities $r_{m}$ and letting their diameters go to 0 ):

$$
\left\langle\partial_{n} u_{2}, g\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma), H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)}=\int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{2}(x) U^{g}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{m=1}^{M} 2 \pi R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla U^{g}\left(r_{m}\right)
$$

Plugging the last relation in (2.28), and noting that $\mathcal{U}^{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly (in $\varepsilon$ ) bounded in $H^{1}(\mathcal{V})$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is any open bounded set containing $\Gamma$ and far enough from the $r_{m}(m=1, \ldots, M)$ (and thus its normal trace on $\Gamma$ is uniformly bounded in $H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ ), we have proved the following result:

Theorem 2 Let $u^{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ be the solution of (2.3)-(2.4). Then, with the notation of Theorem (1), we have for every $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ :

$$
\left\langle\partial_{n} u^{\varepsilon}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial_{n} U^{f}, g\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} 2 \pi R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla U^{g}\left(r_{m}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

### 2.2 Asymptotic expansion for the functions $v_{m}^{\varepsilon}$ and $w_{m}^{\varepsilon}$

Let us deal with the function $v_{1}^{\varepsilon}$, as the other cases $\left(v_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m \geqslant 2\right.$ and $\left.w_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m=1, \ldots, M\right)$ can be treated in a similar way. For the sake of simplicity, we drop the subscript referring to this disk's number in the proof and we denote merely this function by $v^{\varepsilon}$. Similarly, we rename $D^{\varepsilon}$ the disk $D_{1}^{\varepsilon}$, and $\gamma^{\varepsilon}$ its boundary, while the other disks are renamed $D_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m=1, \ldots, N:=M-1$, with boundaries $\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}$. With this notation, the function $v^{\varepsilon}$ solves:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta v^{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { in } \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}  \tag{2.29a}\\
v^{\varepsilon} & =x_{1}+b^{\varepsilon} & & \text { on } \gamma^{\varepsilon}  \tag{2.29b}\\
v^{\varepsilon} & =b_{m}^{\varepsilon} & & \text { on } \gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m=1, \ldots, N  \tag{2.29c}\\
v^{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma, \tag{2.29~d}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants $b^{\varepsilon}$ and $b_{m}^{\varepsilon}, m=1, \ldots, N$, are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} v^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0, \quad \int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{n} v^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0 \tag{2.29e}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek the solution as a single layer potential:

$$
v^{\varepsilon}(x)=\mathcal{S}_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} p^{\varepsilon}(x)+\sum_{m=1}^{N} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}
$$

where the densities $p^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma^{\varepsilon}\right), p_{m}^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $q^{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ are to be determined. Problem (2.29) is equivalent to the following system of integral equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} p^{\varepsilon}(x)+\sum_{m=1}^{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma^{\varepsilon}}(x)+\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma^{\varepsilon}}(x)=x_{1}+b^{\varepsilon}, & x \in \gamma^{\varepsilon}, \\
\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} p^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}}(x)+\mathrm{S}_{\gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}} p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(x)+\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
m \neq \ell}}^{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}}(x)+\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}}(x)=b_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, & x \in \gamma_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, \\
\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} p^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \Gamma}(x)+\sum_{m=1}^{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid \Gamma}(x)+\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} q^{\varepsilon}(x)=0, & x \in \Gamma,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the constraints (see Remark (2)):

$$
\int_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} p^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=\int_{\gamma_{m}^{\varepsilon}} p_{m}^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0, \quad m=1, \ldots, N .
$$

Rescaling the above equations, we obtain with obvious notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\gamma} G(x-y) \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{\gamma_{m}} G\left(r-r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(x-r-y+r_{m}\right)\right) \varepsilon p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma} G(r+\varepsilon(x-r)-y) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=\varepsilon x_{1}+b^{\varepsilon}, \quad x \in \gamma,  \tag{2.30a}\\
& \int_{\gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}-r+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}-y+r\right)\right) \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}+\int_{\gamma_{\ell}} G(x-y) \varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{\ell}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
m \neq \ell}}^{N} \int_{\gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}-r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}-y+r_{m}\right)\right) \varepsilon p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma} G\left(r_{\ell}+\varepsilon\left(x-r_{\ell}\right)-y\right) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=b_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}, \quad x \in \gamma_{\ell},  \tag{2.30b}\\
& \int_{\gamma} G(x-r-\varepsilon(y-r)) \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{\gamma_{m}} G\left(x-r_{m}-\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma} G(x-y) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0, \quad x \in \Gamma, \tag{2.30c}
\end{align*}
$$

with the constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma} p_{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=\int_{\gamma_{m}} p_{\varepsilon}^{m}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=0, \quad m=1, \ldots, N . \tag{2.30d}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $\ell=1, \ldots, N$, we introduce the formal asymptotic expansions of the quantities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) & =p_{0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \gamma,  \tag{2.31a}\\
\varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) & =p_{\ell, 0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{\ell, 1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \gamma \ell,  \tag{2.31b}\\
q^{\varepsilon}(y) & =q_{0}(y)+\varepsilon q_{1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}(y)+\varepsilon^{3} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \Gamma,  \tag{2.31c}\\
b^{\varepsilon} & =b_{0}+\varepsilon b_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{B}^{\varepsilon}, & &  \tag{2.31d}\\
b_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} & =b_{\ell, 0}+\varepsilon b_{\ell, 1}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} . & & \tag{2.31e}
\end{align*}
$$

Using these expressions in System (2.30), the order 0 (in $\varepsilon$ ) reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma} p_{0}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{0}(r) & =b_{0}, & & x \in \gamma, \\
\mathrm{~S}_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 0}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{0}\left(r_{\ell}\right) & =b_{\ell, 0}, & & x \in \gamma_{\ell}, \ell=1, \ldots, N, \\
\mathrm{~S}_{\Gamma} q_{0}(x) & =0, & & x \in \Gamma,
\end{aligned}
$$

which, thanks to (2.30d), leads to $q_{0}=p_{0}=p_{\ell, 0}=0$ and $b_{0}=b_{\ell, 0}=0$. Identifying the terms of order 1 in $\varepsilon$ of System (2.30) leads to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma} p_{1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{1}(r) & =x_{1}+b_{1} & & x \in \gamma, \\
\mathrm{~S}_{\gamma_{\ell}} p_{\ell, 1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{1}\left(r_{\ell}\right) & =b_{\ell, 1} & & x \in \gamma \ell, \ell=1, \ldots, N, \\
\mathrm{~S}_{\Gamma} q_{1}(x) & =0 & & x \in \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying the first equation by the equilibrium density $\psi_{\gamma}^{\text {eq }}$ of $\gamma$ and integrating in $x$ over $\gamma$, we find that

$$
b_{1}=-\int_{\gamma} \psi_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{eq}}(x) x_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x}=-r \cdot e_{1},
$$

since the first momentum of the equilibrium density of a circle is its center. From the other equations we deduce that $q_{1}=0, p_{\ell, 1}=0$ and $b_{\ell, 1}=0$. Finally, $p_{1}$ solves

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma} p_{1}(x)=x_{1}-r \cdot e_{1}, \quad x \in \gamma
$$

Lemma 3 shows that in the local coordinates of $\gamma: p_{1}(x)=-\left(r \cdot e_{1}\right) / R+2 \cos \theta$. Finally, the identification of the second order terms in $\varepsilon$ in equation (2.30c) yields:

$$
\nabla G(x-r) \cdot\left(\int_{\gamma}(r-y) p_{1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}\right)+\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)=0, \quad x \in \Gamma .
$$

Applying identity (5.4) of Lemma 3 with $V=e_{1}$, we get that $\int_{\gamma}(r-y) p_{1}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}=-2 \pi R^{2} e_{1}$, and therefore, $q_{2}$ is the unique solution of the integral equation

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)=2 \pi R^{2} \nabla G(x-r) \cdot e_{1}, \quad x \in \Gamma .
$$

The remainders in (2.31) can be shown to be bounded using the same arguments as in section 2.1. Summing up, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 3 With the above notation, we have for every $\ell=1, \ldots, N$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) & =\varepsilon p_{1}(y)+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \gamma,  \tag{2.32a}\\
\varepsilon p_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) & =\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \gamma,  \tag{2.32b}\\
q^{\varepsilon}(y) & =\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}(y)+\varepsilon^{3} \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}(y), & & y \in \Gamma  \tag{2.32c}\\
b^{\varepsilon} & =\varepsilon b_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{B}^{\varepsilon}, & &  \tag{2.32~d}\\
b_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} & =\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon} . & & \tag{2.32e}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
b_{1}=-r \cdot e_{1} \quad p_{1}=\mathrm{S}_{\gamma}^{-1}\left((\cdot-r) \cdot e_{1}\right) \quad q_{2}=\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(2 \pi R^{2} \nabla G(\cdot-r) \cdot e_{1}\right)
$$

and where the quantities $\left\|\mathcal{P}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\gamma)},\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{\ell}\right)},\left\|\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)}, \mathcal{B}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ are uniformly bounded in $\varepsilon$.

Finally, to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the normal derivative of $v^{\varepsilon}$ on $\Gamma$, we use a formula similar to (2.27), namely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n} v^{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{2} q^{\varepsilon}(x)+ & \int_{\Gamma} \nabla G(x-y) \cdot n(x) q^{\varepsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\int_{\gamma} \nabla G(x-r-\varepsilon(y-r)) \cdot n(x) \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}(r+\varepsilon(y-r)) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{\gamma_{m}} \nabla G\left(x-r_{m}-\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \cdot n(x) \varepsilon p_{m}^{\varepsilon}\left(r_{m}+\varepsilon\left(y-r_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y}, \quad x \in \Gamma,
\end{aligned}
$$

to get, on $\Gamma$ :

$$
\partial_{n} v^{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{n} v_{2}+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{n} \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon}
$$

where $v_{2}(x)=\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} q_{2}(x)-2 \pi R^{2} \nabla G(x-r) \cdot e_{1}$ and $\partial_{n} \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon}$ is a uniformly (in $\varepsilon$ ) bounded function in $H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. As $\left\langle\partial_{n} v_{2}, g\right\rangle=2 \pi R^{2} \nabla U^{g}(r) \cdot e_{1}$ for every $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$, we have proved the following result:

Theorem 3 Denote by $v^{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ the solution of (2.29). Then, for every $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\partial_{n} v^{\varepsilon}, g\right\rangle=-\varepsilon^{2}\left(2 \pi R^{2} \nabla U^{g}(r) \cdot e_{1}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

According to the splitting (2.2), Theorem 1 follows now from Theorems 2 and 3 and the proof is now complete.

## 3 Target identification using exterior sources

Our goal is to determine the number $M$, the positions $r_{m}$, the rescaled radii $R_{m}$ and the velocities $V_{m}$ of the small moving disks from the knowledge of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(f, g):=\sum_{m=1}^{M} R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla U^{g}\left(r_{m}\right)-\sum_{m=1}^{M} R_{m}^{2} \nabla U^{g}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot V_{m}^{\perp} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f, g \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. Among all the unknowns, the positions are the hardest one to determine. To achieve this, we first notice that we can easily isolate the first sum in the right hand side of (3.1) by setting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(f, g):=a(f, g)-a(0, g)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} R_{m}^{2} \nabla u^{f}\left(r_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla u^{g}\left(r_{m}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence get a measurement in which the unknown velocities $V_{m}$ do not appear anymore (actually, $a_{0}(f, g)$ coincides with $a(f, g)$ in case the targets are motionless). The next step is to relate the Laplace type inverse problem under consideration to a Helmholtz type inverse problem. We use for this purpose a suitably chosen family of excitations $f$ and test functions $g$ of exponential type, following an idea due to Calderón [8]. Next, we make use of the so-called DORT Method to recover the unknown positions $r_{m}$. Based on time reversal techniques, this method has been successively used for the detection of well separated point like scatterers from far field measurements, for many wave type systems in acoustics [21, 24, , 7] and electromagnetics [4]. The underlying idea of this method is that the eigenfunctions of some finite rank integral operator (the so-called time-reversal operator, that can be computed from the measurements) generate waves that selectively focus on each target. Of course, one can also use other reconstruction methods which are classically used for the detection of point-like targets in scattering theory, like the MUSIC algorithm [13, 22, 20.

### 3.1 Motionless targets

Here, we exclusively use the quantity $a_{0}(f, g)$ defined by (3.2), which corresponds to the zero velocity case. Following an idea introduced by Calderón [8], let us choose for a every given $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the excitation data $f$ and the test functions $g$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=e^{i\left(\eta+i \eta^{\perp}\right) \cdot x}, \quad g(x)=e^{i\left(\eta-i \eta^{\perp}\right) \cdot x}, \quad x \in \Gamma \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that these expressions define in fact harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have $U^{f}(x)=f(x)$ and $U^{g}(x)=g(x)$ and hence

$$
a_{0}(f, g)=-\sum_{m=1}^{M} 2|\eta|^{2} R_{m}^{2} e^{2 i \eta \cdot r_{m}}
$$

Choosing $k>0$ and $\eta=\frac{1}{2} k(\alpha-\beta)$ where $\alpha, \beta$ are two given vectors of the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (so that $\left.|\eta|^{2}=\frac{1}{2} k^{2}(1-\alpha \cdot \beta)\right)$, we can compute from the measurements

$$
A(\alpha, \beta):=-\frac{1}{k^{2}} a_{0}(f, g)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} R_{m}^{2}(1-\alpha \cdot \beta) e^{i k(\alpha-\beta) \cdot r_{m}}
$$

Define the selfadjoint finite rank (and thus compact) integral operator $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S})$ associated with the degenerate kernel $A(\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$
(\mathcal{A} \varphi)(\beta):=\int_{\mathbf{S}} A(\alpha, \beta) \varphi(\alpha) \mathrm{d} \alpha
$$

In order to determine its spectrum and its eigenfunctions, we introduce the following functions of $L^{2}(\mathbf{S})$ for every $m=1, \ldots, M$ :

$$
\varphi_{m, 0}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-i k \alpha \cdot r_{m}} \quad \varphi_{m, 1}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \alpha_{1} e^{-i k \alpha \cdot r_{m}} \quad \varphi_{m, 2}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \alpha_{2} e^{-i k \alpha \cdot r_{m}}
$$

Then, setting $\lambda_{m, 0}=2 \pi R_{m}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{m, \ell}=-\pi R_{m}^{2}$ for $\ell=1,2$, we can write $A(\alpha, \beta)$ in the more compact form $A(\alpha, \beta)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \lambda_{m, \ell} \overline{\varphi_{m, \ell}(\alpha)} \varphi_{m, \ell}(\beta)$, which yields

$$
\mathcal{A} \varphi=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \lambda_{m, \ell}\left(\varphi, \varphi_{m, \ell}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbf{S})} \varphi_{m, \ell}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is at most of rank $3 M$, it has $3 M$ non zero eigenvalues (and $M$ positive eigenvalues). More precisely, we can easily check that for every $m=1, \ldots, M$, the three functions $\varphi_{m, 0}, \varphi_{m, 1}$ and $\varphi_{m, 2}$ are orthonormal in $L^{2}(\mathbf{S})$. Moreover

$$
\left(\varphi_{m, \ell}, \varphi_{n, \ell^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbf{S})}=\int_{\mathbf{S}} \psi_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}(\alpha) e^{i k \alpha \cdot\left(r_{n}-r_{m}\right)} \mathrm{d} \alpha, \quad 1 \leqslant m \neq n \leqslant M
$$

where $\psi_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}(\alpha)$, for $\ell, \ell^{\prime} \in\{0,1,2\}$, are given in Table 1 (with $\left.\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right)$.

| $\left(\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ | $\ell^{\prime}=0$ | $\ell^{\prime}=1$ | $\ell^{\prime}=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell=0$ | 1 | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ |
| $\ell=1$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ |
| $\ell=2$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ | $\left(\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}$ |

Table 1: The values of $\psi_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}(\alpha)$.

One can easily check that (see for instance [1, p. 360])

$$
\left(\varphi_{m, 0}, \varphi_{n, 1}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbf{S})}=2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \theta e^{i k\left|r_{m}-r_{n}\right| \cos \theta} \mathrm{d} \theta=-2 i \pi J_{1}\left(k\left|r_{m}-r_{n}\right|\right),
$$

where $J_{n}$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of order $n$, while

$$
\left(\varphi_{m, 0}, \varphi_{n, 2}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbf{S})}=\left(\varphi_{m, 1}, \varphi_{n, 2}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbf{S})}=0
$$

This shows that for $1 \leqslant m \neq n \leqslant M$, we have (see [1, p. 364])

$$
\left(\varphi_{m, \ell}, \varphi_{n, \ell^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbf{S})}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k\left|r_{m}-r_{n}\right|}}\right), \quad k \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Summing up, this proves that

$$
\mathcal{A} \varphi_{m, \ell}=\lambda_{m, \ell} \varphi_{m, \ell}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k r_{\min }}}\right), \quad k \rightarrow+\infty
$$

where $r_{\text {min }}:=\min _{m \neq n}\left|r_{m}-r_{n}\right|$ is the minimal distance between the solids. In other words, for every $m=1, \ldots, M, \varphi_{m, 0}, \varphi_{m, 1}$ and $\varphi_{m, 2}$ constitute approximate eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{A}$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$ (for high frequency and distant disks), the corresponding eigenvalues being respectively $2 \pi R_{m}^{2}$ (simple eigenvalue) and $-\pi R_{m}^{2}$ (with multiplicity two). These (approximate) eigenfunctions can be used to recover the positions of the disks. Indeed, by associating to each eigenfunction $\varphi_{m, \ell}$ the corresponding Herglotz wave, i.e. the superposition of plane waves defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m, \ell}(x):=\int_{\mathbf{S}} \varphi_{m, \ell}(\alpha) e^{i k \alpha \cdot x} \mathrm{~d} \alpha, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that

$$
u_{m, \ell}(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k\left|x-r_{m}\right|}}\right), \quad k \rightarrow+\infty, x \neq r_{m}
$$

Hence, the function $u_{m, \ell}$ generates a wave that selectively focuses on the target $m$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and allows us to recover its location. We note that for the eigenfunction associated with the largest eigenvalue (in modulus) of $\mathcal{A}$, the focusing profile is described by the function

$$
u_{m, 0}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbf{S}} e^{i k \alpha \cdot\left(x-r_{m}\right)} \mathrm{d} \alpha=2 \pi J_{0}\left(k\left|x-r_{m}\right|\right)
$$

In particular, $u_{m, 0}$ reaches its maximum exactly at the point $x=r_{m}$.

### 3.2 Moving targets

Now that we have determined the positions $r_{m}(m=1, \ldots, M)$ of the solids, its remains to compute their rescaled radii $R_{m}$ and their velocities $V_{m}$. To this purpose, we introduce the complex polynomials:

$$
P_{m}(z)=\left(z-r_{1}\right)^{2}\left(z-r_{2}\right)^{2} \ldots\left(z-r_{m-1}\right)^{2}\left(z-r_{m+1}\right)^{2}\left(z-r_{M}\right)^{2}, \quad m=1, \ldots, M,
$$

and the following harmonic functions (we identify $x$ and $r_{j}$ with their complex representations):

$$
g_{m}^{1}(x):=\Re \mathrm{e}\left(P_{m}(x) / P_{m}^{\prime}\left(r_{m}\right)\right), \quad g_{m}^{2}(x):=\Im \mathrm{m}\left(P_{m}(x) / P_{m}^{\prime}\left(r_{m}\right)\right) .
$$

These functions, which are well defined since $P_{m}^{\prime}\left(r_{m}\right) \neq 0$, enjoy the properties ( $\delta_{m, \ell}$ denotes here Kronecker's symbol):

$$
U^{g_{m}^{j}}=g_{m}^{j}, \quad \nabla U^{g_{m}^{1}}\left(r_{\ell}\right)=\binom{\delta_{m, \ell}}{0}, \quad \nabla U^{g_{m}^{2}}\left(r_{\ell}\right)=\binom{0}{\delta_{m, \ell}}
$$

for every $m, \ell=1, \ldots, M$ and $j=1,2$. Subtituting these last two relations in (3.1) and (3.2), the expressions of the radii and the velocities follow then straightforwardly, as for every $m=1, \ldots, M$ :

$$
R_{m}=\sqrt{a_{0}\left(g_{m}^{1}, g_{m}^{1}\right)}, \quad V_{m} \cdot e_{1}=-\frac{a\left(0, g_{m}^{2}\right)}{R_{m}^{2}}, \quad V_{m} \cdot e_{2}=\frac{a\left(0, g_{m}^{1}\right)}{R_{m}^{2}}
$$

## 4 Numerical tests

In this section, we present some numerical results to illustrate our reconstruction method. The solutions of the boundary value problems involved, in particular for the data generation, are computed using a Matlab boundary integral equation solver $\mathbb{2}^{2}$. As a typical configuration, we consider three small disks located in a (smoothened) rectangular domain $\Omega$. The radii of the three small disks are $(m+1) \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon=10^{-3}$ and $m=1,2,3$. As a preliminary step, we first generate numerically the data that will be used for the reconstruction. Given a wavenumber $k$ and a uniform discretization of $[0,2 \pi]$ with mesh size $h=1 /(N+1)$, we compute the matrix $\mathbb{A}=\left(A_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N}$ corresponding to the discretization of the kernel $A(\alpha, \beta)$. More precisely, we have $A_{i, j}=-1 / k^{2}\left(\Lambda^{\varepsilon} f_{i, j}, g_{i, j}\right)$, where $f_{i, j}, g_{i, j}$ are the functions obtained in (3.3) for $\eta=\frac{1}{2} k\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$ belong to the chosen


Figure 2: Dependence of the positive eigenvalues $\lambda_{m, 0}$ (in log scale) on the source's wavelength $\lambda=$ $2 \pi / k$.
discretization of $[0,2 \pi]$. This requires to solve the forward problem (1.4) for $N^{2}$ different right-hand sides $f_{i, j}, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N$.

Once these data available, we can apply our DORT reconstruction procedure. We compute the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the discretized integral operator $\mathcal{A}_{h}$ associated to the kernel $\mathbb{A}$. As expected from the theoretical analysis of Section 3, it turns out that this integral operator has indeed three significant eigenvalues for a wide range of values of $k$. We show on Fig. 2 the dependence of the positive eigenvalues with respect to the wavenumber $\lambda=2 \pi / k$ of the oscillating source. According to this figure, we can recover the number of disks as soon as $k$ is larger than $\pi$ (i.e. for $\lambda \geqslant 2$ ). Fixing such a value of $k$, the centers of the disks can be obtained as the points where the Herglotz waves (3.4) generated by the eigenfunctions associated to the largest eigenvalue reach their maximum (in modulus), as shown on Fig. 3. Finally, let us emphasize that although our reconstruction method is theoretically justified only for small disks $(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$, it turns out to be still efficient numerically for "extended" disks.

## 5 Appendix

### 5.1 Appendix A : Proof of Lemma 1

Define the maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}: p \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \longmapsto \int_{\Gamma_{1}} K(\cdot, y) p(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{L}: u \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \longmapsto \int_{\mathcal{V}_{2}} \nabla_{x} K(x, \cdot) \cdot \nabla u(x)+K(x, \cdot) u(x) \mathrm{d} x \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]and $\mathcal{L}^{\dagger}:=\gamma_{\Gamma_{1}} \circ \mathcal{L}$, where $\gamma_{\Gamma_{1}}$ is the trace operator from $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right)$ into $H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Let us verify that $\mathcal{L}$ is continuous. For smooth functions $u$, we have:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{L} u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\mathcal{V}_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{V}_{2}} \nabla_{x} K(x, y) \cdot \nabla u(x)+K(x, y) u(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} y+ \\
& \qquad \int_{\mathcal{V}_{1}}\left|\int_{\mathcal{V}_{2}} D_{x y}^{2} K(x, y) \nabla u(x)+\nabla_{y} K(x, y) u(x) \mathrm{d} x\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y,
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $D_{x y}^{2} K:=\left(\partial_{x_{i} y_{j}}^{2} K\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2}$. Applying Jensen then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get:

$$
\|\mathcal{L} u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right)} \leqslant C\|K\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \times \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)},
$$

where the constant $C$ depends on $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ only. By density, this estimate remains true for every $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$. Assuming now that $p$ and $u$ are smooth, one can easily verify that:

$$
(\mathcal{K} p, u)_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)}=\left\langle p, \mathcal{L}^{\dagger} u\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \times H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)} .
$$

We deduce from the continuity of the trace operator $\gamma_{\Gamma_{1}}: H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$, that:

$$
\left|(\mathcal{K} p, u)_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)}\right| \leqslant C\|K\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \times \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)}\|p\|_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)},
$$

where the constant $C>0$ does not depend on $p, u$ and $K$. This last inequality ensures the continuity of $\mathcal{K}$. We get the conclusion of the lemma by writing that:

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} K(x, y) p(y) q(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x}=\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\gamma_{\Gamma_{2}} \circ \mathcal{K} p\right)(x) q(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{x},
$$

where $\gamma_{\Gamma_{2}}: H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ is the continuous trace operator.

### 5.2 Appendix B : Single layer integral equation for a circle

Lemma 3 Denote by $\gamma$ the circle of radius $R$ centered at the origin and let be given $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V=\binom{V_{1}}{V_{2}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then, the solution of the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\gamma} p(x)=c+V \cdot x, \quad \forall x \in \gamma \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given in polar coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\frac{c}{R}+2\left(V_{1} \cos \theta+V_{2} \sin \theta\right), \quad \forall x=\binom{R \cos \theta}{R \sin \theta} \in \gamma \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding simple layer potential is

$$
\left(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p\right)(x)= \begin{cases}c+V \cdot x & \text { for }|x|<R  \tag{5.3}\\ c \log \left(\frac{R e}{|x|}\right)+\left(\frac{R}{|x|}\right)^{2} V \cdot x & \text { for }|x|>R\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma} x p(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{x}=2 \pi R^{2} V . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One can easily check that the function defined by (5.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \varphi & =0 & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \gamma \\
\varphi & =c+V \cdot x & & \text { on } \gamma \\
{[\varphi] } & =0 & & \text { on } \gamma \\
\varphi(x) & =\mathcal{O}(\log |x|) & & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\varphi=\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} p$ where $p$ solves (5.1). Equation (5.2) follows then immediately from the formula $p=\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}\right]$ (see Proposition (1). Finally, using (5.2), equation (5.4) follows from a straightforward computation.
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Figure 3: 3D (left) and 2D (right) visualization of the focusing properties of the eigenfunctions corresponding to the three largest positive eigenvalues for $k=10.5$. The focusing property leads to an accurate recovery of the centers of the disks.
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