

Using diffusion MRI information in the Maximum Entropy on Mean framework to solve MEG/EEG inverse problem

Brahim Belaoucha, Jean-Marc Lina, Maureen Clerc, Anne-Charlotte Philippe, Christophe Grova, Théodore Papadopoulo

To cite this version:

Brahim Belaoucha, Jean-Marc Lina, Maureen Clerc, Anne-Charlotte Philippe, Christophe Grova, et al.. Using diffusion MRI information in the Maximum Entropy on Mean framework to solve MEG/EEG inverse problem. The 19th International Conference on Biomagnetism, Aug 2014, Halifax, Canada. $hal-01095785$

HAL Id: hal-01095785 <https://inria.hal.science/hal-01095785v1>

Submitted on 16 Dec 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Using diffusion MRI information in the Maximum Entropy on Mean framework to solve MEG/EEG inverse problem B. Belaoucha⁽¹⁾, JM. Lina⁽²⁾, M. Clerc⁽¹⁾, AC. Philippe⁽¹⁾, C. Grova⁽²⁾, T. Papadopoulo⁽¹⁾ (1) Project Team Athena, INRIA, Sophia Antipolis - Méditerranée, France

r norm, ...,. Physiologically, the brain is organized in run
EG data [1][6]. The parcels information can be computed Magnetoencephalography (MEG) and Electroencephalography (EEG) inverse problem is well-known to require regularization to avoid ill-posedness. Usually, regularization is based on mathematical criteria (minum norm, ...). Physiologically, the brain is organized in functional parcels and imposing a certain homogeneity of the activity within these parcels was proven to be an efficient way to analyze the MEG/EEG data [1][6]. The parcels information can be computed from diffusion Magnetic Resonances Imaging (dMRI) by grouping together source positions shared the same connectivity profile (computed as tractograms from diffusion images). In this work, three parcel-based inverse problem approaches have been tested. The first two approaches are based on minimum norm with added regularization terms to account for the parcel information. They differ by the use of a hard/soft constraint in the way they impose that the activity is constant within each parcel [4]. The third approach is based on the Maximum Entropy on Mean (MEM) framework [2]. The dMRI-base and random cortex parcellation, we test also the use of Multivariate Source Pre-localization (MSP) [5] in the source reconstruction.

Contact: brahim.belaoucha@inria.fr, url: http://www-sop.inria.fr/athena (2) Centre de Recherches Mathématiques,Monréal, Canada

ies of the distribution and

$3/$ Soft constraint $(PC):$

1Methods

Let the magnetic field, b , be the measured by the MEG sensors during a large number of repetitions of a given task, and m be the sample statistics of the mean value of the instantaneous magnetic field on the sensors with additive noise ϵ , and G is the leadfield matrix.

$$
m = E[b]
$$

The source space of size N is parcelized into K regions. R is
mentioned continuous random variable that describes the intensity
tributed sources. We denote by $d\mu$ the reference probability dist
can be computed as:

$$
d\mu(r) = \sum_{S} \prod_{k=1}^{K} d\mu(r_k|S_k)\pi(S_k)
$$

where $\pi(S_k)$ is the probability that the patch k is active or not, and S is the set of state variable.

$1/$ MEM framework:[2]

R has the following probability law: $dp(r) = f(r)d\mu(r)$ The μ – entropy is defined as:

$$
S_{\mu}(dp) = -\int log \frac{dp}{d\mu}dp
$$

The source intensities are obtained by minimizing the following functional:

$$
L(p, \lambda, \lambda_0) = -S_{\mu}(dp) + \lambda^{\tau}(m - Gr) + \lambda_0(1 - \int dp(r))
$$

The solution is:

 $dp(r) = exp(\lambda^t Gr - F^*(G^t \lambda)) d\mu(r)$ $F^*(\zeta) = log \int exp(\zeta^t r) d\mu(r)$

Assuming K uncorrelated cortical regions, the reference probability distribution and the source intensity in every patch P_l can be computed as:

$$
d\mu(r) = \sum_{S} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi(S_k) d\mu(r_k|S_k)
$$

$$
r_{i,l} = \sum_{S} \tilde{\pi}(S) \nabla_i F^*_{S_l}(\xi)|_{\xi = G^t \lambda^t} \qquad i \in P_l, l = 1,..,l
$$

where:

$$
\tilde{\pi}(S) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi(S) F_{S_k}^*(A^t \lambda_k)}{\sum_{S} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi(S) F_{S_k}^*(A^t \lambda_k)} \qquad F_{S_k}^*(\xi_k) = \log \int exp(\xi_k^t r_k) d\mu(r_k|S_k)
$$

We used the $CMEM$ implementation [5].

2/ Hard constraint (PSS):[4]

In this approach we assume a constant amplitude in each cortical region, which allows us to reduce the source space from N to K ($K \leq N$). The intensities is obtained by minimizing the following:

$$
U(r) = ||m - G_k r_K||_2^2 + \lambda ||r_K||_2^2
$$

 G_k is the reduced leadfield matrix.

2.2 Real data

mum intensity. The error was computed as follows: