

From Habitat to Retina: Neural Population Coding using Natural Movies

Rubén Herzog, Joaquin Araya, Michael Pizarro, Bruno Cessac, Cesar Ravello, Maria-Jose Escobar, Adrian Palacios

▶ To cite this version:

Rubén Herzog, Joaquin Araya, Michael Pizarro, Bruno Cessac, Cesar Ravello, et al.. From Habitat to Retina: Neural Population Coding using Natural Movies. Bernstein Conference 2015, Sep 2014, Goettingen, Germany. hal-01095781

HAL Id: hal-01095781 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01095781v1

Submitted on 22 Dec 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





From Habitat to Retina: Neural Population Coding using Natural Movies





UNIVERSIDAD TECNICA

FEDERICO SANTA MARIA

Rubén Herzog^{1,5}, Joaquin Araya¹, Michael Pizarro¹, Bruno Cessac⁴, Cesar Ravello¹, Maria-Jose Escobar³, Adrian G Palacios^{1,2}.

INSTITUTO DE Sistemas Complejos **DE VALPARAÍSO**

1 Centro Interdisciplinario de Neurociencia de Valparaíso, Univ de Valparaíso, 2360102 Valparaíso, Chile. 2 Instituto de Sistemas Complejos de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile. 3 Univ Técnica Federico Santa María, Departamento de Electrónica, 2390123 Valparaíso, Chile. 4 NeuroMathComp team (INRIA, UNSA LJAD), 06902 Sophia-Antipolis, France. 5 Biological Sciences Master Degree Program, Universidad de Chile, Chile.

Background Goals Results Population retinal responses to natural stimuli is still an open Classify and select a RGC population > Distribution of Gibbs Coefficients (λ) research field, nevertheless retinal ganglion cells (RGC) spatioaccording to their temporal filter profile. in Different Conditions temporal correlations may be related to population coding (1). Here, Value we use a diurnal rodent retina (O. degus), which has the advantage Coefficient of present a 3:1 proportion of rods and cones (2), respectively, to Study the spatio-temporal pairwise > study the RGC population responses to *habitat-based natural stimuli*. correlations of the selected RGC population In order to do this, we have developed a mobile robot that is capable modeling their activity during WN and NM Condition to record movies in the natural habitat of this rodent, simulating both his movements and the eye-ground distance, which allows us to using Gibbs potential. stimulate and record an in vitro retina patch using MEA (multi Temporal Correlati emporal Correlat Spatial Correlatio electrode array) with a sequence of images taken from the animal

natural habitat. The analysis of spike statistics has been done using Enas software (http://enas.gforge.inria.fr/v3/) allowing to the characterize spatio-temporal pairwise correlations, in contrast to e.g. Ising models, that analyze only instantaneous spatial correlations.



Using our robot we obtained a movie of the natural habitat composed of trees, grass, mountains, blue sky, optic flow in several directions and different simulations of the head movement. Natural movies (NI) presents high spatial and temporal correlation (Figure 1c, 1d)(3) whereas white noise (WN) presents no spatial or temporal correlation (by construction).

a)

White Noise Stimuli Sequence (WN)

RGC Population Raster Plot During WN

Look for statistical > differences/equivalences between the model for NI and WN.

Methods

Three retina patch were extracted from 2 different O.degus eyes and then recorded using MEA-256 (MultiChannel Systems) during i) spontaneous activity (SA) (5-10 min), ii) WN (30 min, 60 fps, 50µm) and iii) NM (30 or 60 s sequence, 30 trials, 60 fps). Spike sorting were carried out off line using OfflineSorter (Plexon inc.), detecting spikes on each channel with a threshold of -5 S.D. of the signal mean. Then, semi-automatic sorting were performed using ValleySeek or T-Dist E-M algorithm, fitting each class manually. We detect ~ 200 units per patch, whose STA was calculated, obtaining the temporal and 2D spatial filter. RGC were classified according their temporal filter, choosing a group of 14-16 units sharing the same time-to-peak and a monophasic OFF temporal profile.

The selected populations were then analyzed using ENAS software, which fits a Gibbs distribution with spatio-temporal constraints (4) to the empirical data using the maximum-entropy principle. It uses as constrains the empirical spike rate, the pairwise correlations in a 20ms time window (spatial pairwise correlation) and the pairwise correlations with a delay of one time window (temporal pairwise correlation). The free terms fitted are the Gibbs coefficients, which are multipliers (λ) for every observable event (ω) of the Gibbs potential:



D is the successive temporal windows in which an observable, ω , occurs. In this case, we use D = 2. *i* and *j* are the neuron indexes. Then, we computed a symmetric variant of the Kullback-Leibler divergence (5) and took only the real part

Natural Movie Coefficient Value White Noise Coefficient Value

a) Scatter Plots of the Gibbs Coefficients. Blue diagonal line is identity. The two panels show WN and NM vs IN, where we found that the Spike rates coefficients are bigger for the two visual stimulation conditions. It also shows clustering above and below the identity Since **IC** is an scotopic/mesopic condition, the inputs for the RGC are different, so it becomes difficult to compare with the photopic conditions. However, those scatter plots are useful to easily detect changes in the probability of the events between 2 conditions.

<u>Higher Probability of Spatio-Temporal</u>

Pairwise Correlation in NM b)





Habitat-Based Natural **b)** Same RGC Population Raster Movie Stimuli (NM) **Plot During NM**

C

Joint-Pixel Intensity Distribution of Natural Movie Intensity Temporal **Intensity Spatial** Correlation Correlation d)



correlation coefficients are Temporal distributed mostly under the Correlatior identity, which means that there is higher probability to Coefficients find spatio-temporal correlated Spatial Correlation events in NM condition than in WN. So, we suggest that the statistical properties of the closeness WN vs NMWN vs IC NM vs IC distributions.

(Bits)

eD

Õ

.≥ 0.75

0.5

0.25

etric

Symme -L Dive

.25

natural stimuli are increasing correlated events in the studied population and this is in agreement with K-Pairwise models for both conditions (6). The inset panel shows the between the distribution of observables types coefficients. We use a symmetric variant of Kullback-Leibler divergence to compute the closeness between two

c) Closeness Between Gibbs Coefficients Distributions and its Event Types. The two photopic conditions (WN and NM) has closer distributions, in contrast with IC, which is farthest from the other two. Even though All Coefficients of IC distribution deviates from the photopic ones, they are closer in Spike rates coefficients. In contrary, correlated coefficients are farther. There is big closeness between WN and NM, being the Spatial correlation coefficients which are farthest (inset panel in b).

Conclusions

Gibbs potential constitutes a useful tool > comparing pairwise spatio-temporal for correlations between different conditions for the same RGC population. Here, ENAS is a powerful software for modeling the spiking patterns of neuronal populations.



Figure 1. a) White noise stimuli sequence and the response of a RGC population to 120s of the ~1800s complete sequence. b) One frame of the ~1900 frames of the natural movie and the responses of the same RGC population during 120s, when the movie was repeated 4 times. Spatio-temporal correlated firing patterns are visible. c) Joint-pixel intensity distribution of all pixels and the neighbor ones in one frame of the NM. d) Joint-pixel intensity distribution of one pixel and the same one along successive frames of the **NM**.

References

Acknowledgment

1. Averbeck, B. B. et al. (2006). Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 7(5), 358–66. doi:10.1038/nrn1888. 2. Jacobs, G. H., et al. (2003). Journal of Comparative Physiology. A, 189(5), 347-61. doi:10.1007/s00359-003-0408-0. 3. Simoncelli E. P., Olshausen (2001). Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 2001. 24:1193–216. B. A. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.1193.

4. Nasser, H., & Cessac, B. (2014). Entropy, 16(4), 2244–2277. doi:10.3390/e16042244.

5. Johnson D. H., Sinanovic S.(2000) IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. Doi:10.1.1.26.2327. 6. Tkačik, G., et al. (2014). PLoS Computational Biology, 10(1), e1003408. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003408

Thanks to Prof. Patricio Orio for providing the computational cluster. Thanks to Selim Kraria for the assistance and support while running ENAS on cluster. Thanks to Monica Otero and Carlos Sepulveda for the help on STA analysis.

Financial support: FONDECYT 1110292, 1140403, ANR-47 CONICYT and Millennium Institute ICM-P09-022-F. CONICYT Fellowship to RH.

Statistical properties of visual stimuli modulates the pairwise correlations of a population of RGC which shares common characteristics, such as their spatial and temporal receptive field filters.

Spatial correlated spiking patterns represents the mayor deviation between WN and NM conditions. Thus, we purpose that population coding for this monophasic OFF **RGC** population is mostly (but not only) based on spatial correlation when stimulated with Natural Movies.