



**HAL**  
open science

## Impact of Cognitive And Personality Profiles On Motor-Imagery Based Brain-Computer Interface-Controlling Performance

Camille Jeunet, Bernard N’Kaoua, Martin Hachet, Fabien Lotte

► **To cite this version:**

Camille Jeunet, Bernard N’Kaoua, Martin Hachet, Fabien Lotte. Impact of Cognitive And Personality Profiles On Motor-Imagery Based Brain-Computer Interface-Controlling Performance. 17th World Congress of Psychophysiology (IOP2014), Sep 2014, Hiroshima, Japan. hal-01088811v1

**HAL Id: hal-01088811**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01088811v1>**

Submitted on 28 Nov 2014 (v1), last revised 1 Dec 2014 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Impact Of Cognitive And Personality Profiles On Motor-Imagery Based Brain-Computer Interface-Controlling Performance

Camille Jeunet<sup>1</sup>, Bernard N'Kaoua<sup>1</sup>, Martin Hachet<sup>2</sup>, Fabien Lotte<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Bordeaux

<sup>2</sup> Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest / LaBRI

## **Background**

People suffering from severe motor disabilities are victims of social isolation and they lack autonomy. Thus, developing tools such as Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) appears very promising for improving their living standards.

BCIs are control and communication tools allowing users to interact with their environment, using only their brain-activity (Wolpaw 2002). More particularly, motor-Imagery-based-BCIs (MI-BCI) allow users to control a device (i.e. a wheelchair) by doing different mental tasks, which are then translated into commands. MI-BCIs, although very promising, remain barely used outside laboratories because they are not reliable enough. Indeed, around 20% of people cannot control a MI-BCI at all (so-called “BCI-illiteracy”), while most of the remaining 80% achieve relatively modest performances (Allison & Neuper 2010).

Two main reasons for this have been identified. The first one, extensively investigated, concerns brain signal processing, with current classification algorithms being still imperfect (Alison & Neuper 2010); while the second one, much less investigated, concerns both the mental tasks used and the user’s characteristics (cognitive and personality profiles).

In this context, the aims of our study are 1) to determine if some mental tasks are more efficient than others to optimise performance and 2) to determine a relationship between users’ cognitive and personality profiles and their ability to perform different mental tasks.

## **Method**

18 participants (9 males and 9 females, aged  $21.5 \pm 1.2$ ) have been trained to perform three mental tasks, using an existing MI-BCI training protocol including 3 mental tasks (Friedrich et al. 2013): “imagination of a left-hand movement”, “mental-subtraction” and “mental-rotation”. Participants were also asked to complete psycho-technical tests to determine their cognitive and personality profiles.

## **Results & Discussion**

Preliminary results suggest that some mental tasks are better performed by the participants, and that these performances seem to be related to some aspects of the participants’ cognitive and personality profiles such as locus of control, learning style or anxiety.

These results suggest that performance at MI-BCI control does not only depend on classification algorithms efficiency, but also on the user’s cognitive and personality profiles.

## **Conclusion**

In line with our preliminary results, we will develop training protocols that will be adapted to different cognitive and personality profiles to optimise users’ performance at MI-BCI control. Another promising approach we are interested in is to make these training protocols adaptable in real-time to the cognitive, motivational and emotional states of the user in order to make BCI training faster, easier and more pleasant.