

Development of Manufacture Support System Using Taguchi Methods

Ikuo Tanabe

To cite this version:

Ikuo Tanabe. Development of Manufacture Support System Using Taguchi Methods. International Conference on Advances in Production and Management Systems (APMS), Sep 2009, Paris, France. pp.522-529, 10.1007/978-3-642-16358-6_65. hal-01055814

HAL Id: hal-01055814 <https://inria.hal.science/hal-01055814>

Submitted on 13 Aug 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Development of Manufacture Support System Using Taguchi Methods

Ikuo TANABE $¹$,</sup>

¹ Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nagaoka University of Technology, {1603-1 Kamitomioka-machi, Nagaoka, Niigata, 940-2188 Japan} tanabe@mech.nagaokaut.ac.jp

Abstract. A Manufacture Support System using Taguchi Methods was developed and evaluated. This system consists of a Taguchi methods part, a CAE simulation part and a management part of productivity. The Taguchi methods part was firstly used for calculating the average and the standard deviation regarding all combinations using all parameters. The CAE part was then used for shortening the total time of evaluation. The management part of productivity was finally used to select the optimum combination of all parameters for success percentage, accuracy, manufacturing time and total cost. The spring back of warm press forming on magnesium alloy plate was investigated for evaluating this system. It is concluded from the result that (1) This method effectively predicted optimum process conditions in each priority and (2) The predicted results conformed to the results of the spring back test.

Keywords: Taguchi methods, Computer Integrated Manufacturing, CAE, Finite Element Method, Productivity, Management.

1 Introduction

Recently a developments with short-term and lower cost are strongly required for shorten products life cycle. Therefore FEM simulation is used for predicting the result of design process instead of doing experiments. On the other hand, Taguchi methods [1], [2], [3] is also used for deciding optimum process conditions. However these methods are not enough to develop a new product with high quality and accuracy.

In this study, a manufacture support system using Taguchi methods was developed and evaluated. Spring back of warm press forming on magnesium alloy plate was investigated for evaluating this system in the experiment.

2 Explanation of Manufacture Support System

Flow chart of the manufacture support system using Taguchi methods for products was shown in Fig.1. This system consists of a Taguchi methods part, a CAE simulation part and a management part of productivity.

Control and noise factors are shown in Table 1. These factors are used in the later experiment and are important factors in the Taguchi methods. Each factor has several levels. Control factors are equal to the design factors. Noise factors are occurred for the error of function on the product. Here, when the distribution data or many values for noise factors were used, SN ratios and sensitivity of the desired property or averages and standard deviations for all combinations of the control factors were calculated with very high accuracy. Then calculation accuracy of this system became very good. All combinations using all control factors are $4374 (=6 \times 3^6)$ kinds for Table 1, however these combinations were compressed to 18 kinds of orthogonal table in Table 2. Influence of the nose factors was investigated regarding $2⁵$ times (=2: maximum and minimum vales of each noise factor, 5: number of noise factor) for each combination of the control factors. Therefore number of the CAE calculations in this case was 576 times (=18 kinds $\times 2^5$ times). SN ratio and Sensitivity were calculated by equations of (1) and (2) .

Fig.1. Flow chart of the manufacture support system using Taguchi methods

Control factors	Levels		1	2	3	4	5	6
	a: Tension (MPa)		10	50	90	130	170	210
	Levels				2		3	
	b: Punch speed (mm/min)		150		300		500	
	c: Temperature of die $(^{\circ}C)$		150		200		250	
	d: Lublicant		Teflon		Lub. C		Lub. D	
	e: Test piece		Mat. M		Mat. O Mat. Ob			
	f : Die radious (mm)			6	8		10	
	g: Clearance (mm)			5	10		15	
	Levels		1		$\overline{2}$			
Noise factors	Blank holding force (%)		-10		+ 10			
	Temperature of die (%)		-5		+ 5			
	k value $\divideontimes 1$	Mat. M (%)	-1.5		$+1.5$			
		Mat. O (%)	-3			$+3$		
		Mat. Ob (%)	-10			+ 10		

Table 1. Control and noise factors

$\frac{1}{2}$ k: Work hardening coefficient

Table 2. Orthogonal table

SN ratio (db) = 10 log (
$$
\mu^2 / \sigma^2
$$
) (1)

Sensitivity (db) = 10 log
$$
\mu^2
$$
 (2)

Where μ is average of the evaluation value, and σ is standard deviation of the evaluation value in the results of CAE. The evaluation vale is the spring back in the later CAE simulation. Then most of users write the effective figure of the control factors and zealously search the combination of the control factors for little SN ratio. A product using the combination isn't influenced by noise factors.

The CAE part was then used for shortening the total time of evaluation regarding the design factors of the development. The CAE part is a sub program for the part of Taguchi methods. This simulation can calculate much behavior; those are static, dynamic and thermal behaviors, vibration, flow of a fluid, large deformation such as cutting, press forming, crash or explosion. The control factors in the Taguchi methods can directly input to the CAE simulation. With regard to the noise factors in the Taguchi methods, it also can input directly or the properties of dependence on temperature, time or boundary conditions.

At last, the average and the standard deviation regarding all combinations using all parameters are calculated by the SN ratio and Sensitivity with 18 kinds. The addition theorem in the Taguchi methods was used for calculating the results for all combinations. For example, when *m* is a control factor and *n* is the level for the factor, the SN ratio SN_{mn} and Sensitivity S_{mn} for the control factor m and the level *n* are calculated by the addition theorem. Moreover the SN ratio SN_{a4} . $b2 \cdot c1 \cdot d3 \cdot e2 \cdot f1 \cdot g2$ and the Sensitivity $S_{a4 \cdot b2 \cdot c1 \cdot d3 \cdot e2 \cdot f1 \cdot g2}$ for a4, b2, c1, d3, e2, f1, g2 using control factors (a, b, c, d, e, f, and g) and levels $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 4)$ were calculated by the equations (3) and (4), respectively.

$$
SN_{a4 \cdot b2 \cdot c1 \cdot d3 \cdot e2 \cdot f1 \cdot g2} = SN_{a4} + SN_{b2} + SN_{c1} + SN_{d3} + SN_{e2} + SN_{f1} + SN_{g2} - (7 - 1)SN_{ave}
$$
\n(3)

$$
S_{a4 \cdot b2 \cdot c1 \cdot d3 \cdot e2 \cdot f1 \cdot g2} = S_{a4} + S_{b2} + S_{c1} + S_{d3} + S_{e2} + S_{f1} + S_{g2} - (7-1) S_{ave}
$$
\n(4)

Where SN_{ave} and S_{ave} are each average of the all SN ratio and the all Sensitivity, respectively.

This method has several features; it can reduce the trial numbers in the CAE simulation, it can show the influence of the error factors and it can quickly calculate all of the results regarding all combinations of all parameters.

The management part of productivity was finally used for selecting the optimum value regarding all parameters. This part received both the average and the standard deviation for all combinations of all parameters on the Taguchi methods part, the all frequencies of occurrence are then calculated by the cumulative distribution function with the average and the standard deviation. A cumulative distribution function is shown in Fig.2. If the tolerance n_{tol} is decided, non-defective unit rate *G* is calculated by the cumulative distribution function [4] such as equation (5).

G $=$ f $(\eta_{\text{tol}}, \mu, \sigma)$ (5)

$$
N = N_{\text{ord}} \ / \ G \tag{6}
$$

$$
T_{\rm m} = \Sigma^{\text{(from 1 to final machine number)}} \qquad T_{\rm V} \tag{7}
$$

$$
C_{\text{all}} \begin{cases} T_{\text{all}} = N & T_{\text{m}} \\ C_{\text{all}} \begin{cases} C_{\text{mat}} + C_{\text{was}} + C_{\text{dis}}(1 - G) + C_{\text{m-pri}} \end{cases} & N + T_{\text{all}} C_{\text{m}} \end{cases} \tag{8}
$$

When number N of all products is calculated by using the order entry N_{ord} and the non-defective unit rate G such as equation (6), the working time T_V for the machine tool *V* is calculated by each machine tool, the number of all products *N*, machining condition, the arrangement time and the progress of the work. The working time T_m for the product is calculated by the equation (7), total working time T_{all} is calculated by the working time T_m and number N of all products such as equation (8), and unit cost of material is C_{mat} , unit cost of consumption article is C_{was} , waste cost for inferior goods is C_{dis} , amortize cost of the used machine tools for the product is C_{m-pri} and labor cost for one hour is C_m , Total production cost is calculated by the equation (9).

The order condition and the cost table are shown in Table 3. This is the data for the later evaluation on the press. Then the optimum combination of the control factor for the priority item was decided. The priority items are success percentage, accuracy, manufacturing time or total cost. At that time, the designer can select the priority in the productivity factors on the design stage.

3 Evaluation Using Spring Back on Press of Magnesium Alloy

CAE and an experiment using the press of magnesium alloy were performed for evaluation of this system. Experimental set-up of press with AZ31 magnesium alloy was shown in Fig.3 [5]. This evaluation was used a spring back such as Fig. 4. This value became the desired value in our system. Simulation model for the CAE was

shown in Fig.5. This is a quarter model of the experimental set-up. The control factor and the noise factor in Table 1 were input data of the CAE. Data of the management for productivity was shown in Table 3. This is important data for deciding the optimum condition of the design.

Results of evaluation for this system are shown in Table 4. These results are the each priority for accuracy, total cost, manufacturing time or non-defective unit rate. The optimum combination using the control factors and its levels for each priority are also shown in Table 4. These were each best combination for the priority item. The experiment for evaluation of the calculation accuracy was performed by using the spring-back. Spring-backs in the experiment are between 0.1 and 0.5. Therefore the combinations of the control factors for 0.1 spring-back (=Best condition) for 0.5 spring back (=Worst condition) were experimented. The SN ratio and the Sensitivity for both the best and worst conditions are shown in Table 5. And gain deference between the prediction using our system and the experimental results are shown in the Table 6. The predictions using our system are equal to the results of the experiment. Therefore our system will be used for calculating the optimum conditions at the design stage.

Twice examples using this system were also shown in Table 7. These are the best condition for the cost or the time both before and after change of the test piece. When you must use the only Test piece: 3 (=Mat O_b with large dispersion of the property),

Fig. 5 Simulation model for the CAE (A quarter model)

Priority item		Spring back n_{ave}	Total cost C_{all}	Total time T_{all}	Non defective unit rate G
Conditions	a: Tension	5	5	5	5
	b: Punch speed	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$
	c: Temp. of die	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	2	$\overline{2}$
	d: Lublication	1	3	1	1
	e: Test piece	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$
	f: Die-radius	3	3	3	3
	g: Clearance			3	3
Results	Spring-back	0.0039	0.0091	0.0071	0.0042
	Non defective unit rate $(\%)$	100	97	99	100
	Number of processing (unit)	1000	1029	1013	1000
	Total time (hour)	7.8	8.0	4.7	7.8
	Total cost 10000yen)	24.6	20.2	24.2	24.6

Table 4. Result of evaluation for this system

Best result

Table 5. Machining conditions for confirmation experiment

Г

Table 6.Comparison results between prediction and experiment

you should change the conditions in Table 4. At that time, this system can swiftly calculate the optimum condition in several condition changes. Therefore this system was very useful for manufacture.

Priority item		Total cost C_{all}		Total time T_{all}			
Improvement		Before	After	Before	After		
Conditions	a: Tension	5	5	5	5		
	b: Punch speed	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$		
	c: Temp. of die	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$		
	d: Lublication	3		1	1		
	e: Test piece	3					
	f: Die-radius	3	3	3	3		
	q: Clearance		3	3	3		
Results	Spring-back	0.0145	0.0067	0.0113	0.0067		
	Non defective unit rate (%)	0.02	96	36	96		
	Number of processing (unit)	6087694	1045	2806	1045		
	Forming time (sec/parts)	28.0	28.0	16.8	28.0		
	Total time (hour)	47348.0	8.1	13.1	8.1		
	Total cost (10000yen)	120548.0	25.7	67.5	25.7		

Table 7. Change of condition by improvement

4 Conclusion

It is concluded from the result that;

- (1) The manufacture support system using Taguchi methods was manufactured.
- (2) The proposed method predicted optimum processing conditions effectively in each priority.
- (3) The predicted results conformed to the results of the actual spring back test.

References

- 1. Makino, T., Optimization of Exhaust Port using Computer Simulation, *Proceedings of the 13th Quality Engineering Society Conference*, pp. 6-9 (2005)
- 2. Fujikawa, S., Optimum Parameter Design using the Taguchi methods for Finite-Element Analysis of 3D Forging Deformation, *Journal of the Japan Society of Technology, for Plasticity*, Vol. 40, No. 466, pp. 1061-1065 (1999-11)
- 3. Tatebayashi, K., Computer Aided Engineering Combined with Taguchi methods, *Proceeding of the 2005 Annual Meeting of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineering*, No. 05-1 Vol. 8, pp. 224-225 (2005-9)
- 4. Nagakura, S., et al., *Iwanami Physics and Chemistry Dictionary* (in Japanese), p. 1234 (1988), Iwanami Shoten
- 5. Sugai, H., et al., Prediction of Optimum Machining Condition in Press Forming Using Taguchi methods and FEM Simulation, Transactions of the JSME (in Japanese), Vol.72 No.721 , pp. 3044-3051 (2006)