

# The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems with State Constraints

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

# ► To cite this version:

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter. The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems with State Constraints. NETCO 2014 - New Trends in Optimal Control, Jun 2014, Tours, France. hal-01024757

# HAL Id: hal-01024757 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01024757

Submitted on 18 Jul2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems with State Constraints

#### Helmut Maurer<sup>1</sup>, Laurenz Göllmann<sup>2</sup>, Richard Vinter <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Computational and Applied Mathematics, University of Münster, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Münster University of Applied Sciences, Steinfurt, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Imperial College London, Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

New Trends in Optimal Control, Tours, June 23-27, 2014

Theory and Numerics for non-delayed optimal control problems with control and state constraints are in a mature state-of-art:

- 1 Necessary and sufficient conditions,
- 2 Stability and sensitivity analysis,
- Numerical methods: Boundary value methods, Discretization and NLP, Semismooth Newton methods,
- 4 Real-time control techniques for perturbed extremals.

CHALLENGE: Establish similar theoretical and numerical methods for delayed (retarded) optimal control problems.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

- Example: Two-stage Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR)
- 2 Formulation of Optimal Control Problems with Delays in State and Control Variables
- **3** Minimum Principle
- **4** Numerical Treatment: Discretize and Optimize
- **5** Example: Optimal Control of the Innate Immune Response

伺 と く き と く き と

## Two-Stage Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR)



Time delays are caused by transport between the two tanks.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Delayed Optimal Control Problem with State Constraints

State  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , Control  $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , Delays  $d_x$ ,  $d_u \ge 0$ .

Dynamics and Boundary Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), x(t - d_x), u(t), u(t - d_u)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, t_f], \\ x(t) &= x_0(t), \quad t \in [-d_x, 0], \\ u(t) &= u_0(t), \quad t \in [-d_u, 0), \\ \psi(x(t_f)) &= 0 \in \mathbb{R}^q, \quad (0 < q < n). \end{aligned}$$

#### Control and State Constraints

$$u(t) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, \ S(x(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, t_f] \quad (S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k).$$

#### Minimize

$$J(u,x) = g(x(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} f_0(x(t), x(t - d_x), u(t), u(t - d_u)) dt$$

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

### Literature on optimal control with time-delays

#### Time delays in state variables and pure control constraints:

Kharatishvili (1961), Oguztöreli (1966), Banks (1968), Halanay (1968), Soliman, Ray (1970, chemical engineering), Warga (1972, abstract theory, optimization in Banach spaces), Guinn (1976, transform delayed problems to standard problems), Colonius, Hinrichsen (1978), Clarke, Wolenski (1991), Dadebo, Luus (1992), Mordukhovich, Wang (2003–).

Time delays in state variables and pure state constraints:

Angell, Kirsch (1990).

State and control delays and mixed control-state constraints:

single delay : Göllmann, Kern, Maurer (OCAM 2009) multiple delays : Göllmann, Maurer (JIMO 2014)

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

## Optimal control problems with state constraints

Use the transformation method of Guinn (1976) and transform an optimal control problem with delays and state constraints to a standard non-delayed optimal control problem with state constraints. Then apply the necessary conditions for non-delayed problems:

- Jacobson, Lele, Speyer (1975): KKT conditions in Banach spaces.
- Maurer (1979) : Regularity of multipliers for state constraints.
- Hartl, Sethi, Thomsen (SIAM Review 1995): Survey on Maximum Principles.
- Vinter (2000): (Nonsmooth) Optimal Control

Use methodology for mixed control-state constraints in Göllmann, Kern, Maurer (OCAM 2009), Göllmann, Maurer (JIMO 2014)

## Optimal control problems with state constraints

Use the transformation method of Guinn (1976) and transform an optimal control problem with delays and state constraints to a standard non-delayed optimal control problem with state constraints. Then apply the necessary conditions for non-delayed problems:

- Jacobson, Lele, Speyer (1975): KKT conditions in Banach spaces.
- Maurer (1979) : Regularity of multipliers for state constraints.
- Hartl, Sethi, Thomsen (SIAM Review 1995): Survey on Maximum Principles.
- Vinter (2000): (Nonsmooth) Optimal Control

Use methodology for mixed control-state constraints in Göllmann, Kern, Maurer (OCAM 2009), Göllmann, Maurer (JIMO 2014)

## The smooth case: all functions are diffeentiable

#### Hamiltonian (Pontryagin) Function

 $H(x, y, \lambda, u, v) := \lambda_0 f_0(t, x, y, u, v) + \lambda f(t, x, y, u, v)$ 

- y variable with  $y(t) = x(t d_x)$
- v variable with  $v(t) = u(t d_u)$
- $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  adjoint (costate) variable

Let  $(u, x) \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{W}^{1,\infty}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a locally optimal pair of functions. Then there exist

- an adjoint function  $\lambda \in \mathcal{BV}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda_0 \ge 0$ ,
- a multiplier  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^q$  (associated with terminal conditions),
- a multiplier function (measure)  $\mu \in \mathcal{BV}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^k)$ ,

such that the following conditions are satisfied for, a.e.  $t \in [Q, t_f] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [Q_n, t$ 

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

## The smooth case: all functions are diffeentiable

#### Hamiltonian (Pontryagin) Function

 $H(x, y, \lambda, u, v) := \lambda_0 f_0(t, x, y, u, v) + \lambda f(t, x, y, u, v)$ 

- y variable with  $y(t) = x(t d_x)$
- v variable with  $v(t) = u(t d_u)$
- $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  adjoint (costate) variable

Let  $(u, x) \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{W}^{1,\infty}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a locally optimal pair of functions. Then there exist

- an adjoint function  $\lambda \in \mathcal{BV}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda_0 \ge 0$ ,
- a multiplier  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^q$  (associated with terminal conditions),
- a multiplier function (measure)  $\mu \in \mathcal{BV}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^k)$ ,

such that the following conditions are satisfied for, a.e.  $t \in [Q, t_f] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [Q_n, t$ 

## The smooth case: all functions are diffeentiable

#### Hamiltonian (Pontryagin) Function

 $H(x, y, \lambda, u, v) := \lambda_0 f_0(t, x, y, u, v) + \lambda f(t, x, y, u, v)$ 

- y variable with  $y(t) = x(t d_x)$
- v variable with  $v(t) = u(t d_u)$
- $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  adjoint (costate) variable

Let  $(u, x) \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{W}^{1,\infty}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a locally optimal pair of functions. Then there exist

- an adjoint function  $\lambda \in \mathcal{BV}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda_0 \ge 0$ ,
- a multiplier  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^q$  (associated with terminal conditions),
- a multiplier function (measure)  $\mu \in \mathcal{BV}([0, t_f], \mathbb{R}^k)$ ,

such that the following conditions are satisfied for a.e.  $t \in [0, t_f]$ :

## Minimum Principle

(i) Advanced adjoint equation and transversality condition:

$$\begin{split} \lambda(t) &= \int_{t}^{t_{f}} \left( H_{x}(s) + \chi_{[0, t_{f} - d_{x}]}(s) H_{y}(s + d_{x}) \right) ds + \int_{t}^{t_{f}} S_{x}(x(s)) d\mu(s) \\ &+ (\lambda_{0}g + \rho\psi)_{x}(x(t_{f})), \qquad (\text{ if } S(x(t_{f})) < 0) \end{split}$$

where  $H_x(t)$  and  $H_y(t + d_x)$  denote evaluations along the optimal trajectory and  $\chi_{[0,t_f-d_x]}$  is the characteristic function.

(ii) Minimum Condition:

 $H(t) + \chi_{[0,t_f-d_u]}(t) H(t+d_u)$ = min\_{w \in U} [ H(x(t), y(t), \lambda(t), w, v(t))

 $+\chi_{[0,t_f-d_u]}(t)H(t+d_u)H(x(t+d_u),y(t),\lambda(t+d_u),u(t+d_u),w)]$ 

(iii) Multiplier condition and complementarity condition:

$$\mathrm{d}\mu(t) \geq 0, \quad \int S(x(t)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(t) = 0$$

The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

## Minimum Principle

(i) Advanced adjoint equation and transversality condition:

$$\begin{split} \lambda(t) &= \int_{t}^{t_{f}} \left( H_{x}(s) + \chi_{[0,t_{f}-d_{x}]}(s) H_{y}(s+d_{x}) \right) ds + \int_{t}^{t_{f}} S_{x}(x(s)) d\mu(s) \\ &+ (\lambda_{0}g + \rho\psi)_{x}(x(t_{f})), \qquad (\text{ if } S(x(t_{f})) < 0) \end{split}$$

where  $H_x(t)$  and  $H_y(t + d_x)$  denote evaluations along the optimal trajectory and  $\chi_{[0,t_f-d_x]}$  is the characteristic function.

(ii) Minimum Condition:

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &+ \chi_{[0,t_f - d_u]}(t) H(t + d_u) \\ &= \min_{w \in U} \left[ H(x(t), y(t), \lambda(t), w, v(t)) \\ &+ \chi_{[0,t_f - d_u]}(t) H(t + d_u) H(x(t + d_u), y(t), \lambda(t + d_u), u(t + d_u), w) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Multiplier condition and complementarity condition:

$$\mu(t) \ge 0, \quad \int S(x(t)) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(t) = 0$$

The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

伺 と く き と く き と

## Minimum Principle

(i) Advanced adjoint equation and transversality condition:

$$\begin{split} \lambda(t) &= \int_{t}^{t_{f}} \left( H_{x}(s) + \chi_{[0, t_{f} - d_{x}]}(s) H_{y}(s + d_{x}) \right) ds + \int_{t}^{t_{f}} S_{x}(x(s)) d\mu(s) \\ &+ (\lambda_{0}g + \rho\psi)_{x}(x(t_{f})), \qquad (\text{ if } S(x(t_{f})) < 0) \end{split}$$

where  $H_x(t)$  and  $H_y(t + d_x)$  denote evaluations along the optimal trajectory and  $\chi_{[0,t_f-d_x]}$  is the characteristic function.

(ii) Minimum Condition:

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &+ \chi_{[0,t_f - d_u]}(t) H(t + d_u) \\ &= \min_{w \in U} \left[ H(x(t), y(t), \lambda(t), w, v(t)) \right. \\ &+ \chi_{[0,t_f - d_u]}(t) H(t + d_u) H(x(t + d_u), y(t), \lambda(t + d_u), u(t + d_u), w) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Multiplier condition and complementarity condition:

$$\mathsf{d}\mu(t) \geq 0, \quad \int_{0}^{t_{t}} S(x(t)) \, \mathsf{d}\mu(t) = 0$$

# Regularity conditions for $d\mu(t) = \eta(t)dt$ if $d_u = 0$

Boundary arc : S(x(t)) = 0 for  $t_1 \le t \le t_2$ . Assumption :  $u(t) \in int(U)$  for  $t_1 < t < t_2$ .

Under certain regularity conditions we have  $d\mu(t) = \eta(t) dt$ with a multiplier  $\eta(t)$  for all  $t_1 < t < t_2$ .

Adjoint equation and jump conditions

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -H_x(t) - \chi_{[0,t_f-d_x]}(t) H_y(t+d_x) - \eta(t)S_x(x(t))$$

$$\lambda(t_k+) = \lambda(t_k-) - \nu_k S_x(x(t_k)), \quad \nu_k \ge 0$$

at each contact or junction time  $t_k$ ,  $u_k = \mu(t_k+) - \mu(t_k-)$ 

#### Minimum condition on the boundary

$$H_u(t)=0.$$

This condition allows to compute the multiplier  $\eta = \eta(x, \lambda)$ .

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

#### Numerical Treatment: Discretize and Optimize

1 Choose a suitable stepsize  $h = t_f/N$ ,  $N \in N$ , adapted to the delays  $d_x, d_y$  (commensurability). Use the implicit or explicit Euler integration scheme for the dynamic equations and define the associated NLP having

 $u(t_i) \approx u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad x(t_i) \approx x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad i = 0, \dots, N$ 

#### as decision variables

- 2 Apply NLP-Solvers (eg. IPOPT, LOQO embedded in AMPL source code) to solve the NLP
- **3** The associated Lagrange multipliers  $(\hat{\lambda}_i)$  for the discretized dynamic equations and state constraint  $(\hat{\mu}_i)$  give a consistent approximation of the costate and the multiplier function

$$\hat{\lambda}(t_i) pprox \hat{\lambda}_i, \quad \hat{\eta}(t_i) pprox rac{1}{h} \hat{\eta}_i \quad (i=0,..,N), \quad \hat{
u} pprox \hat{
u}_N \,.$$

Göllmann, Kern, Maurer (2009) for two single state/control delays, Göllmann, Maurer (2014) for multiple delays.

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

## Numerical Treatment: Discretize and Optimize

1 Choose a suitable stepsize  $h = t_f/N$ ,  $N \in N$ , adapted to the delays  $d_x, d_y$  (commensurability). Use the implicit or explicit Euler integration scheme for the dynamic equations and define the associated NLP having

 $u(t_i) \approx u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad x(t_i) \approx x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad i = 0, \dots, N$ 

as decision variables

- 2 Apply NLP-Solvers (eg. IPOPT, LOQO embedded in AMPL source code) to solve the NLP
- **3** The associated Lagrange multipliers  $(\hat{\lambda}_i)$  for the discretized dynamic equations and state constraint  $(\hat{\mu}_i)$  give a consistent approximation of the costate and the multiplier function

$$\hat{\lambda}(t_i) pprox \hat{\lambda}_i, \quad \hat{\eta}(t_i) pprox rac{1}{h} \hat{\eta}_i \quad (i = 0, .., N), \quad \hat{\nu} pprox \hat{\nu}_N \,.$$

Göllmann, Kern, Maurer (2009) for two single state/control delays, Göllmann, Maurer (2014) for multiple delays.

#### Dynamic model of the immune response:

Asachenko A, Marchuk G, Mohler R, Zuev S, *Disease Dynamics*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.

#### Optimal control:

**Stengel RF, Ghigliazza R, Kulkarni N, Laplace O**, Optimal control of innate immune response, *Optimal Control Applications and Methods* **23**, 91–104 (2002),

Simultaneous optimization of all control variables and delays:

**L. Göllmann, H. Maurer**: Optimal control problems with multiple time-delays, JIMO 2014.

Here we consider a more realistic modified optimal control problem.

(4月) (4日) (4日)

## Innate Immune Response: state and control variables

#### State variables:

- $x_1(t)$  : concentration of **pathogen** (=concentration of associated **antigen**)
- $x_2(t)$  : concentration of **plasma cells**, which are carriers and producers of antibodies
- $x_3(t)$  : concentration of **antibodies**, which kill the pathogen (=concentration of **immunoglobulins**)
- $x_4(t)$  : relative characteristic of a **damaged organ** ( **0** = healthy, **1** = dead )

## Control variables:

- $r_1(t)$  : pathogen killer
- $p_2(t)$  : plasma cell enhancer
- $u_3(t)$  : antibody enhancer

 $u_4(t)$  : organ healing factor

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

ৰ া চ ৰ ঐচ হ ইচ হ ট তি ৭০০ The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

## Innate Immune Response: state and control variables

#### State variables:

- $x_1(t)$  : concentration of **pathogen** (=concentration of associated **antigen**)
- $x_2(t)$  : concentration of **plasma cells**, which are carriers and producers of antibodies
- $x_3(t)$  : concentration of **antibodies**, which kill the pathogen (=concentration of **immunoglobulins**)
- $x_4(t)$  : relative characteristic of a damaged organ ( 0 =healthy, 1 =dead )

## Control variables:

- $u_1(t)$  : pathogen killer
- $u_2(t)$  : plasma cell enhancer
- $u_3(t)$  : antibody enhancer
- $u_4(t)$  : organ healing factor

・吊り ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

## Generic dynamical model of the immune response

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1(t) &= (1 - x_3(t))x_1(t) - u_1(t - d_u)x_1(t), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) &= 3A(x_4(t))x_1(t - d_x)x_3(t - d_x) - (x_2(t) - 2) + u_2(t)x_2(t), \\ \dot{x}_3(t) &= x_2(t) - (1.5 + 0.5x_1(t))x_3(t) + u_3(t)x_3(t), \\ \dot{x}_4(t) &= x_1(t) - x_4(t) - u_4(t)x_4(t). \end{aligned}$$

Immune deficiency function triggered by target organ damage

$$A(x_4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos(\pi x_4) \,, & 0 \le x_4 \le 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 \le x_4 \end{array} \right\}.$$

For  $0.5 \le x_4(t)$  the production of plasma cells stops.

State delay  $d_x \ge 0$  in variables  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ . Control delay  $d_u \ge 0$  in variable  $u_1$ . Initial conditions  $(d = 0) : x_2(0) = 2, x_3(0) = 4/3, x_4(0) = 0$ 

Case 1 :  $x_1(0) = 1.5$ , decay, requires no therapy (control) Case 2 :  $x_1(0) = 2.0$ , slower decay, requires no therapy Case 3 :  $x_1(0) = 3.0$ , diverges without control (lethal case)

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

## Generic dynamical model of the immune response

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1(t) &= (1 - x_3(t))x_1(t) - u_1(t - d_u)x_1(t), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) &= 3A(x_4(t))x_1(t - d_x)x_3(t - d_x) - (x_2(t) - 2) + u_2(t)x_2(t), \\ \dot{x}_3(t) &= x_2(t) - (1.5 + 0.5x_1(t))x_3(t) + u_3(t)x_3(t), \\ \dot{x}_4(t) &= x_1(t) - x_4(t) - u_4(t)x_4(t). \end{aligned}$$

Immune deficiency function triggered by target organ damage

$$A(x_4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos(\pi x_4) \,, & 0 \le x_4 \le 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 \le x_4 \end{array} \right\}$$

For  $0.5 \le x_4(t)$  the production of plasma cells stops.

State delay  $d_x \ge 0$  in variables  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ . Control delay  $d_u \ge 0$  in variable  $u_1$ .

Initial conditions (d = 0) :  $x_2(0) = 2$ ,  $x_3(0) = 4/3$ ,  $x_4(0) = 0$ 

Case 1 :  $x_1(0) = 1.5$ , decay, requires no therapy (control) Case 2 :  $x_1(0) = 2.0$ , slower decay, requires no therapy Case 3 :  $x_1(0) = 3.0$ , diverges without control (lethal case)

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

## Generic dynamical model of the immune response

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1(t) &= (1 - x_3(t))x_1(t) - u_1(t - d_u)x_1(t), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) &= 3A(x_4(t))x_1(t - d_x)x_3(t - d_x) - (x_2(t) - 2) + u_2(t)x_2(t), \\ \dot{x}_3(t) &= x_2(t) - (1.5 + 0.5x_1(t))x_3(t) + u_3(t)x_3(t), \\ \dot{x}_4(t) &= x_1(t) - x_4(t) - u_4(t)x_4(t). \end{split}$$

Immune deficiency function triggered by target organ damage

$$A(x_4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos(\pi x_4) \,, & 0 \le x_4 \le 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 \le x_4 \end{array} \right\}$$

For  $0.5 \le x_4(t)$  the production of plasma cells stops.

State delay  $d_x \ge 0$  in variables  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ . Control delay  $d_u \ge 0$  in variable  $u_1$ .

Initial conditions (d = 0) :  $x_2(0) = 2$ ,  $x_3(0) = 4/3$ ,  $x_4(0) = 0$ 

Case 1 :  $x_1(0) = 1.5$ , decay, requires no therapy (control) Case 2 :  $x_1(0) = 2.0$ , slower decay, requires no therapy Case 3 :  $x_1(0) = 3.0$ , diverges without control (lethal case)

## Optimal control model: cost functional

#### L<sup>2</sup>-functional quadratic in control: Stengel et al.

Minimize 
$$J_2(x, u) = x_1(t_f)^2 + x_4(t_f)^2$$
  
+  $\int_0^{t_f} (x_1^2 + x_4^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + u_4^2) dt$ 

Control constraints:  $0 \le u_i(t) \le u_{\max} = 1, i = 1, ..., 4$ 

#### L<sup>1</sup>-functional linear in contro

Minimize 
$$J_1(x, u) = x_1(t_f)^2 + x_4(t_f)^2$$
  
+  $\int_0^{t_f} (x_1^2 + x_4^2 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4) dt$ 

Control constraints:  $0 \le u_i(t) \le u_{\max} = 1, i = 1, ..., 4$ 

#### Final time: $t_f = 10$

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

< □ → < 🗇 > < ≧ > < ≧ > > ≧ → ৃ় ়ে The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

## Optimal control model: cost functional

#### $L^2$ -functional quadratic in control: Stengel et al.

Minimize 
$$J_2(x, u) = x_1(t_f)^2 + x_4(t_f)^2$$
  
+  $\int_0^{t_f} (x_1^2 + x_4^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + u_4^2) dt$ 

Control constraints:  $0 \le u_i(t) \le u_{\max} = 1, i = 1, ..., 4$ 

#### $L^1$ -functional linear in control

Minimize 
$$J_1(x, u) = x_1(t_f)^2 + x_4(t_f)^2$$
  
+  $\int_0^{t_f} (x_1^2 + x_4^2 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4) dt$ 

Control constraints:  $0 \le u_i(t) \le u_{\max} = 1, i = 1, ..., 4$ 

Final time:  $t_f = 10$ 

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

< □ → < 🗇 > < ≧ > < ≧ > > ≧ → ৃ় ়ে The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems As result of organ damage triggered lmmune deficiency function  $A(x_4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos(\pi x_4) \,, & 0 \le x_4 \le 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 \le x_4 \end{array} \right\}$ 

with ceasing plasma cells production for  $0.5 \le x_4(t)$ , we impose

#### State Constraint

 $x_4(t) \leq lpha$  with threshold lpha < 0.5 i.e. $S(x_4(t)) := x_4(t) - lpha \leq 0$ 

As result of organ damage triggered lmmune deficiency function  $A(x_4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos(\pi x_4) \,, & 0 \le x_4 \le 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 \le x_4 \end{array} \right\}$ 

with ceasing plasma cells production for  $0.5 \le x_4(t)$ , we impose

#### State Constraint

 $x_4(t) \leq \alpha$  with threshold  $\alpha < 0.5$  i.e.

$$S(x_4(t)) := x_4(t) - \alpha \le 0$$

・吊り ・ヨン ・ヨン ・ヨ

#### Optimal state variables:



pathogen concentration organ damage

plasma cell concentration immunoglobulins

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

#### Optimal control variables:



plasma cell enhancer u<sub>2</sub>, antibody enhancer u<sub>3</sub>



pathogen killer organ healing factor plasma cell enhancer antibody enhancer

・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

3



Zoom: Optimal state  $x_4$ : Boundary arc observed

organ healing factor multiplier First order necessary conditions, in particular  $H_{u_4} = 0$ , yield the multiplier on boundary arc  $x_4(t) = \alpha$ :

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}_1, \lambda) = -2\alpha + 3\pi\lambda_2 \sin(\pi\alpha)\mathbf{y}_1\mathbf{y}_2 + \lambda_4 \frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{\alpha} \\ -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}((1-\mathbf{x}_3)\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{v}_1\mathbf{x}_1)$$

The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

Zoom into boundary arc  $x_4(t) = 4.8$ :



#### Optimal state variables:





plasma cells x2 and antibodies x3

# pathogen concentration organ damage

# plasma cell concentration immunoglobulins

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

3

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

#### Optimal control variables:



plasma cell enhancer u<sub>2</sub>, antibody enhancer u<sub>3</sub>



pathogen killer organ healing factor plasma cell enhancer antibody enhancer

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→

3

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

Zoom into boundary arc  $x_4(t) = 4.8$ :



Zoom into boundary arc  $x_4(t) = 4.8$ :



switching function  $\sigma 4$  and healing factor u4

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter

## Further applications and future work

- 1 Optimal investment and dividend decisions of a firm
- Expediting the transition from non-renewable to renewable energy (Maurer, Semmler)
- Biomedical applications: optimal protocols in cancer treatment and immunology, optimal tapering of steroids
- 4 Verifiable sufficient conditions
- **5** Time and state dependent delays

伺 と く き と く き と

## Conclusion



## Thank you for your attention !

Helmut Maurer, Laurenz Göllmann, Richard Vinter The Minimum Principle for Delayed Optimal Control Problems

- ∢ ⊒ ⊳