

### Regularization of chattering phenomena via bounded variation controls

Roberta Ghezzi, Marco Caponigro, Benedetto Piccoli, Emmanuel Trélat

### ▶ To cite this version:

Roberta Ghezzi, Marco Caponigro, Benedetto Piccoli, Emmanuel Trélat. Regularization of chattering phenomena via bounded variation controls. NETCO 2014, 2014, Tours, France. hal-01024604

### HAL Id: hal-01024604 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01024604

Submitted on 16 Jul 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Regularization of chattering phenomena via bounded variation controls

### Roberta Ghezzi

#### Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne University of Burgundy

joint work with M. Caponigro, B. Piccoli, E. Trélat

NetCo 2014 Tours, June  $23^{rd}$  2014

### Outline

#### Motivation

- what is chattering?
- how often does it occur?
- Convergence results
  - convergence for the perturbed problem
  - rate of convergence and switching times
- Open problems
  - rate of convergence for the perturbed problem
  - generic rate of convergence

WHAT IS CHATTERING?

REGULARIZATION METHOD

Open problems 00

### Fuller's Problem [Fuller 1961]

#### **Control System**

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = u, \end{cases} \quad |u| \le 1$$

## Cost

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x(0) = \bar{x}}{\text{Minimize}} & \int_{0}^{t_{f}} x_{1}^{2}(s) \, ds \\ x(t_{f}) = 0 \end{array}$$

oto

### Fuller's Problem [Fuller 1961]

Control System

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = u, \end{cases} \quad |u| \le 1$$





- optimal controls are bang-bang with *infinitely many* switchings
- switchings take place on the curve {x1 + C|x2|x2 = 0}, C ∼ 0.44
- time intervals between consecutive switches decrease in a geometric progression

What is chattering? 000 REGULARIZATION METHOD

Open problems

### Examples of chattering



What is chattering? 000 REGULARIZATION METHOD

OPEN PROBLEMS

### Examples of chattering: state constraints



accumulation of contact points with the constraint's boundary



What is chattering? 000 REGULARIZATION METHOD

Open problems

### Examples of chattering



WHAT IS CHATTERING?

REGULARIZATION METHOD

OPEN PROBLEMS

### Examples of chattering: switching systems



accumulation of switchings between different locations

### Occurring of chattering

#### Optimal control problem

$$\dot{x} = f_0(x) + u f_1(x) \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \qquad \qquad \underset{x(0) = \bar{x}}{\text{Minimize}} \quad \int_0^{t_f} L_0(x) + u L_1(x) \, ds$$
$$x(t_f) = 0$$

- $f_0, f_1 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$
- $\mathcal{U} = \{v(\cdot) \text{ measurable } v(t) \in [-1, 1]\}$
- $L_0, L_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

#### Ubiquity of Fuller's phenomenon [Kupka 1990]

In sufficiently high state dimension, for single-input control affine problems with control affine cost solutions are *generically* chattering.

### Regularization method

#### OCP

$$\begin{cases} \begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & \int L(s, x, u) \, ds \\ x(0) = \bar{x} & \\ x(t_f) = 0 & \\ \dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

#### $\mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x(0) = \bar{x}}{\text{Minimize}} & \int L(s, x, u) ds + \varepsilon \ TV(u) \\ & \underset{x(t_{f}) = 0}{\text{i} x} = f(x, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

$$-\varepsilon > 0$$
  
-  $TV(u) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u(t_{i+1}) - u(t_i)|$ 

- problem OCP<sub>ε</sub> admits a solution u<sub>ε</sub> which is "regular" (*TV*(u<sub>ε</sub>) < ∞)</li>
- convergence  $\int L(s, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \int L(s, x^*, u^*)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , where  $u^*$  is a chattering solution of OCP

### Regularization method

#### OCP

$$\begin{cases} \begin{array}{l} \underset{x(0)=\bar{x}}{\text{Minimize}} \quad \int L(s,x,u) \, ds \\ \underset{x(t_f)=0}{x = f(x,u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \end{array} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \underset{x(0) = \bar{x} \\ x(t_f) = 0 \\ \dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \end{cases} \\ \end{cases}$$

- 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
  
-  $TV(u) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u(t_{i+1}) - u(t_i)|$ 

- problem OCP<sub>ε</sub> admits a solution u<sub>ε</sub> which is "regular" (*TV*(u<sub>ε</sub>) < ∞)</li>
- convergence  $\int L(s, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \int L(s, x^*, u^*)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , where  $u^*$  is a chattering solution of OCP

### Regularization method

#### OCP

$$\begin{cases} \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \quad \int L(s, x, u) \, ds \\ x(0) = \bar{x} \\ x(t_f) = 0 \\ \dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \underset{x(0) = \bar{x} \\ x(t_f) = 0 \\ \dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \end{cases} \\ \end{cases}$$

- 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
  
-  $TV(u) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u(t_{i+1}) - u(t_i)|$ 

- problem OCP<sub>ε</sub> admits a solution u<sub>ε</sub> which is "regular" (*TV*(u<sub>ε</sub>) < ∞)</li>
- convergence  $\int L(s, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \int L(s, x^*, u^*)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , where  $u^*$  is a chattering solution of OCP

WHAT IS CHATTERING?

REGULARIZATION METHOD

Open problems 00

### General setting and assumptions I

#### Optimal control problem

$$x = f(x, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{U}$$
  
 $x(0) = \bar{x}$   
 $x(t_f) = 0$   
 $\int_0^{t_f} L(s, x(s), u(s)) ds$ 

#### where

x

- $f\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n imes\mathbb{R}^m;\mathbb{R}^n)$ , f(0,0)=0
- $\mathcal{U} = \{u(\cdot) \text{ measurable}, u(t) \in \mathbf{U}\}$ , for a given subset  $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $0 \in \mathring{\mathbf{U}}$
- $L \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$

### General setting and assumptions II

#### Assumptions

Controllability

1. Lie<sub>0</sub>{
$$f(u, \cdot) \mid u \in \mathbf{U}$$
} =  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

2. 0 is small time locally controllable

Weak convexity

3. for every  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  the set

 $\{(f(x,u), L(t,x,u) + \gamma) \mid u \in \mathbf{U}, \gamma \ge 0\}$  is convex

#### Compactness

- 4. U is compact
- 5. trajectories associated with admissible controls are uniformly bounded

### Convergence

#### Theorem

Assume conditions 1-5 and assume that OCP has a solution  $(u^*, x^*)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , OCP $_{\varepsilon}$  has a solution  $(u_{\varepsilon}, x_{\varepsilon})$  and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^{t_\varepsilon} L(s, x_\varepsilon(s), u_\varepsilon(s)) ds = \int_0^{t^*} L(s, x^*(s), u^*(s)) ds$$

Moreover,

- $t_{arepsilon} o t^*$  ,
- $x_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow x^*$  uniformly.

#### Step 1.

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{controllability} \\ \text{compactness of } \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid TV(u) \leq 1\} \\ \text{lower s.c. of } TV(\cdot) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ existence for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon}$ 

#### Step 2.

convexity compactness  $\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{existence of } w \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \gamma \in L^1, \gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ (f(x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}), L(\cdot, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})) \xrightarrow[w^* - L^{\infty}]{} (f(x_w, w), L(\cdot, x_w, w) + \gamma) \end{array}$ 

#### Step 3.

#### Step 4.

*w* is optimal for OCP density of by controls

convergence

 $L(s,x_{arepsilon},u_{arepsilon})$  to  $\int L(s,x^{*},u^{*})$ 

#### Step 1.

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{controllability} \\ \text{compactness of } \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid TV(u) \leq 1\} \\ \text{lower s.c. of } TV(\cdot) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ existence for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon} \end{array}$ 

#### Step 2.

convexity compactness  $\}$   $\Rightarrow$  existence of  $w \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\gamma \in L^1, \gamma \ge 0$  such that  $(f(x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}), L(\cdot, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})) \xrightarrow[w^* - L^{\infty}]{} (f(x_w, w), L(\cdot, x_w, w) + \gamma)$ 

#### Step 3.

 $\left.\begin{array}{l} u_{\varepsilon} \text{ is optimal for OCP}_{\varepsilon} \\ \text{density of bv controls} \end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} w \text{ is optimal for OCP,} \\ \text{i.e., } \int L(s, x^*, u^*) = \int L(s, x_w, w) \\ \end{array}$ 

#### Step 4.

*w* is optimal for OCP density of by controls

convergence

#### Step 1.

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{controllability} \\ \text{compactness of } \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid TV(u) \leq 1\} \\ \text{lower s.c. of } TV(\cdot) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ existence for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon} \end{array}$ 

#### Step 2.

convexity )	existence of $w \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\gamma \in L^1, \gamma \geq 0$ such that
compactness $\} \Rightarrow$	$(f(x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}), L(\cdot, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})) \underset{w^* - L^{\infty}}{\longrightarrow} (f(x_w, w), L(\cdot, x_w, w) + \gamma)$

#### Step 3.

#### Step 4.

*w* is optimal for OCP density of by controls

#### Step 1.

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{controllability} \\ \text{compactness of } \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid TV(u) \leq 1\} \\ \text{lower s.c. of } TV(\cdot) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ existence for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon} \end{array}$ 

#### Step 2.

convexity	existence of $w \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\gamma \in L^1, \gamma \geq 0$ such that
compactness $\} \Rightarrow$	$(f(x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}), L(\cdot, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})) \xrightarrow[w^* - L^{\infty}]{} (f(x_w, w), L(\cdot, x_w, w) + \gamma)$

#### Step 3.

#### Step 4.

*w* is optimal for OCP density of by controls

#### Step 1.

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{controllability} \\ \text{compactness of } \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid TV(u) \leq 1\} \\ \text{lower s.c. of } TV(\cdot) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ existence for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon} \end{array}$ 

#### Step 2.

convexity	existence of $w \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\gamma \in L^1, \gamma \ge 0$ such that
compactness } ⇒	$(f(x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}), L(\cdot, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})) \xrightarrow[w^* - L^{\infty}]{} (f(x_w, w), L(\cdot, x_w, w) + \gamma)$

#### Step 3.

 $\begin{array}{l} u_{\varepsilon} \text{ is optimal for OCP}_{\varepsilon} \\ \text{density of bv controls} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} w \text{ is optimal for OCP,} \\ \text{i.e., } \int L(s, x^*, u^*) = \int L(s, x_w, w) \end{array}$ 

#### Step 4.

*w* is optimal for OCP density of by controls

convergence

#### Step 1.

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{controllability} \\ \text{compactness of } \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid TV(u) \leq 1\} \\ \text{lower s.c. of } TV(\cdot) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ existence for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon} \end{array}$ 

#### Step 2.

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \text{convexity} \\ \text{compactness} \end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{existence of } w \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \gamma \in L^1, \gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ \left(f(x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}), L(\cdot, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})\right) \underset{w^* - L^{\infty}}{\longrightarrow} \left(f(x_w, w), L(\cdot, x_w, w) + \gamma\right) \end{array}\right.$$

#### Step 3.

 $\begin{array}{l} u_{\varepsilon} \text{ is optimal for } \mathsf{OCP}_{\varepsilon} \\ \mathsf{density of } \mathsf{bv \ controls} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} w \text{ is optimal for } \mathsf{OCP,} \\ \mathsf{i.e., } \int L(s, x^*, u^*) = \int L(s, x_w, w) \end{array}$ 

#### Step 4.

w is optimal for OCP density of by controls  $\Rightarrow \int L(s, x_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon})$  to  $\int L(s, x^*, u^*)$ 

### Rate of convergence

#### Proposition

#### Assume that

- conditions 1, 2 (controllability), and 4,5 (compactness) are satisfied;
- OCP has a solution  $(u^*, x^*)$  and there exists  $t_n \uparrow T^*$  such that  $TV(u^*|_{[0,t_n]}) < \infty$ ;
- the time-optimal map is  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  on a neighborhood of 0.

Then there exist a sequence  $v_n : [0, T_n] \to \mathbf{U}$  of admissible controls such that

- $TV(v_n) < \infty$ ,
- $v_n \rightarrow u^*$  strongly in  $L^1$ , and

$$\int_0^{T_n} L(t, x_n, v_n) \, dt - \int_0^{T^*} L(t, x^*, u^*) \, dt \le C (T^* - t_n)^{\alpha}.$$

	00
What is chattering? Regularization method	OPEN PROI

Theorem	Proposition
<ul> <li>▶ general setting</li> <li>▶ computable controls u<sub>ε</sub></li> <li>▶ convergence only</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>general setting</li> <li>controls v<sub>n</sub> given by truncations of</li> <li>convergence + rate in terms of switching times</li> </ul>

 $u^*$ 

#### [Zelikin 1999] - [Manita 1996]

- specific OCP (small perturbation of Fuller's dynamics in higher dimension with Fuller's cost
- controls  $v_n$  given by truncations of  $u^*$
- convergence + rate in terms of switching times (exponential in this case)

What is chattering?	Regularization method	Open problems
000	000000●	OO
Remarks		

Theorem	Proposition
<ul> <li>general setting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>general setting</li> </ul>
• computable controls $u_{\varepsilon}$	<ul> <li>controls v<sub>n</sub> given by truncations of u<sup>*</sup></li> </ul>
<ul> <li>convergence only</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>convergence + rate in terms of</li> </ul>
	switching times

[Zelikin 1999] - [Manita 1996]

- specific OCP (small perturbation of Fuller's dynamics in higher dimension with Fuller's cost
- controls  $v_n$  given by truncations of  $u^*$
- convergence + rate in terms of switching times (exponential in this case)

Open problems I

### 1. Rate of convergence for the regularized problem OCP

 $\Rightarrow$  uniform small time normal reachability property



Controllability assumptions  $\Rightarrow$ 

- wy is piecewise constant with at most q switchings,
- the time  $\tau_y$  to steer y to 0 satisfies  $\tau_y \leq C|y|$ ,

where q, C do not depend on y?

### **Open problems II**

#### 2. Generic rate of convergence

- Fuller's problem: switching times converge geometrically
- Kupka 1990  $\Rightarrow$  exponential rate of convergence is "stable"

Can we expect lower rates of convergence? What rates are stable?