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# Hamilton-Jacobi equations on networks as limits of singularly perturbed problems 

Yves Achdou<br>joint work with N. Tchou

Laboratoire J-L Lions, Université Paris Diderot

## Setting: a thick version of a network

Consider a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

- star-shaped w.r.t. the origin $O$
- $\partial \Omega$ is smooth
- Far enough from the origin $O, \Omega$ coincides with the union of $N$ non-intersecting semi-infinite strips directed by the vectors $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$

The domain $\Omega$


## Setting: a more precise definition

- for some $r_{0}>0$, let $W$ be the polygonal set

$$
W=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \quad x \cdot e_{i} \leq r_{0}, \forall i=1, \ldots, N\right\}
$$

- Then $\Omega \backslash W=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}$, where $S_{i}$ is the half-strip

$$
S_{i}=\left\{x_{i} e_{i}+x_{i}^{\perp} e_{i}^{\perp}, \quad x_{i}>r_{0},\left|x_{i}^{\perp}\right|<1\right\}
$$


$\Omega_{\epsilon}=\epsilon \Omega$ "tends" to a network $\mathcal{G}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G} & =\{O\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}, \\
J_{i} & =\left\{x_{i} e_{i}, x_{i}>0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



## State constrained control problems in $\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon}}$

$$
u_{\epsilon}(x)=\inf _{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \ell_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}(t ; x, \alpha), \alpha(t)\right) e^{-\lambda t} d t
$$

subject to

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}_{\epsilon}(t ; x, \alpha) & =\alpha(t), \quad \text { a.a. } t>0, \\
y_{\epsilon}(0 ; x, \alpha) & =x,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with $\alpha: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow A$ measurable, under the state constraint

$$
y_{\epsilon}(t) \in \bar{\Omega}_{\epsilon}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

Controlability : $A$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $B(0, r) \subset A$ for some $r>0$.

## Assumptions on the running costs

- The function $\ell_{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and continuous

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{\epsilon}(x, a)= \begin{cases}\ell_{i}\left(x_{i}-\epsilon r_{0}, \frac{x_{i}^{\perp}}{\epsilon}, a\right) & \text { in } \epsilon S_{i} \\
\ell_{0}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, a\right) & \text { in } \epsilon W \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}\end{cases} \\
& \ell_{i}:[0,+\infty) \times[-1,1] \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
& \ell_{0}:(W \cap \Omega) \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ell_{i}$ and $\ell_{0}$ match properly in order to ensure the continuity of $\ell_{\epsilon}$

## Assumptions on the running costs

- The function $\ell_{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and continuous
- 

$$
\ell_{\epsilon}(x, a)= \begin{cases}\ell_{i}\left(x_{i}-\epsilon r_{0}, \frac{x_{i}^{\perp}}{\epsilon}, a\right) & \text { in } \epsilon S_{i} \\ \ell_{0}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, a\right) & \text { in } \epsilon W \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}\end{cases}
$$

## Remark

In $\epsilon S_{i}, \ell_{\epsilon}$ varies slowly w.r.t. $x_{i}$ and fast w.r.t. $x_{i}^{\perp}$.

- It is not restrictive to assume that $\ell_{0} \geq 0$.


## The value function $u_{\epsilon}$

$u_{\epsilon}$ is bounded uniformly with respect to $\epsilon$, continuous, and is the unique viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda u_{\epsilon}(x)+H_{\epsilon}\left(x, D u_{\epsilon}\right) \geq 0 & \text { in } \bar{\Omega}_{\epsilon} \\
\lambda u_{\epsilon}(x)+H_{\epsilon}\left(x, D u_{\epsilon}\right) \leq 0 & \text { in } \Omega_{\epsilon}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
H_{\epsilon}(x, p)=\max _{a \in A}\left(-p \cdot a-\ell_{\epsilon}(x, a)\right)
$$

## Questions

- Asymptotic behavior of $u_{\epsilon}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ?
- Can we define an effective problem in $\mathcal{G}$ ?


## Background and additional new difficulties

- Existing results:
- Singularly perturbed control problems in thin domains around smooth manifolds: Bensoussan, Alvarez-Bardi, Arztein-Gaitsgory, Gaitsgory-Leisarowitz, Terrone,...
- Comparison for viscosity solutions on networks: YA-Oudet-Tchou and Imbert-Monneau
- Very recent results of Lions-Souganidis on homogenization of HJB equations with defects
- Several additional new difficuties:
- Identification of the effective problem
- Will the effective problem keep track of $\ell_{0}$ near $O$ ? How?
- In the perturbed test-function method of Evans, we need to construct correctors in unbounded domains.


## Main result

## Theorem

Under a further technical assumption, $u_{\epsilon}$ converges locally uniformly to the bounded viscosity solution $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \frac{d u}{d x_{i}}(x)\right) & =0 \quad x \in J_{i}, \\
\lambda u(O)+\max \left(E, \bar{H}\left(O, \frac{d u}{d x_{1}}(0), \ldots, \frac{d u}{d x_{N}}(0)\right)\right) & =0,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Main result

## Theorem

Under a further technical assumption, $u_{\epsilon}$ converges locally uniformly to the bounded viscosity solution $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \frac{d u}{d x_{i}}(x)\right) & =0 \quad x \in J_{i}, \\
\lambda u(O)+\max \left(E, \bar{H}\left(O, \frac{d u}{d x_{1}}(0), \ldots, \frac{d u}{d x_{N}}(0)\right)\right) & =0,
\end{aligned}\right. \\
& \text { with }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, p_{i}\right)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i}}\left(\int_{[-1,1] \times A}\left(-p_{i} a_{i}-\ell_{i}\left(x_{i}, y, a\right)\right) d \mu(y, a)\right)
$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ is a compact and convex set of Radon probability measures on $[-1,1] \times A$
$\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ : limiting relaxed controls.

## Main result

## Theorem

Under a further technical assumption, $u_{\epsilon}$ converges locally uniformly to the bounded viscosity solution $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \frac{d u}{d x_{i}}(x)\right) & =0 \\
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with
$-E:$ an effective cost at the junction

## Main result

## Theorem

Under a further technical assumption, $u_{\epsilon}$ converges locally uniformly to the bounded viscosity solution $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \frac{d u}{d x_{i}}(x)\right) & =0 \quad x \in J_{i}, \\
\lambda u(O)+\max \left(E, \bar{H}\left(O, \frac{d u}{d x_{1}}(0), \ldots, \frac{d u}{d x_{N}}(0)\right)\right) & =0,
\end{aligned}\right. \\
& \text { with } \bar{H}\left(O, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right):=\max _{i=1, \ldots, N} \bar{H}_{i}^{+}\left(0, p_{i}\right), \\
& \bar{H}_{i}^{+}\left(0, p_{i}\right)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{+}}\left(\int_{[-1,1] \times A}\left(-p_{i} a_{i}-\ell_{i}(0, y, a)\right) d \mu(y, a)\right) \\
& \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{+}=\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i} \text { s.t. } \int_{[-1,1] \times A} a_{i} d \mu(y, a) \geq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hamilton-Jacobi on the network

What is the meaning of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \frac{d u}{d x_{i}}(x)\right)=0 \quad x \in J_{i},  \tag{1}\\
\lambda u(O)+\max \left(E, \bar{H}\left(O, \frac{d u}{d x_{1}}(0), \ldots, \frac{d u}{d x_{N}}(0)\right)\right)=0 \quad ?
\end{array}\right.
$$

See YA-Camilli-Cutrí-Tchou(2013), Imbert-Monneau--Zidani(2013), YA-Oudet-Tchou(2014), and Imbert--Monneau(2014).

## Definition (Test functions)

- $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an admissible test-function if
- $\phi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{G}$
- for any $j \in 1, \ldots, N,\left.\phi\right|_{\bar{J}_{j}} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{J}_{j}\right)$
- $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G})$ : set of the admissible test-functions


## Hamilton-Jacobi on the network

- An usc function $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sub-solution of (1) if for any $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G})$ s.t. $u-\phi$ has a local maximum in $x$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x, \frac{d \phi}{d x_{i}}(x)\right) \leq 0 & \text { if } x \in J_{i}, \\
\lambda u(O)+\max \left(E, \bar{H}\left(\frac{d \phi}{d x_{1}}(O), \ldots, \frac{d \phi}{d x_{N}}(O)\right)\right) \leq 0 . &
\end{array}
$$

- A lsc function $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a super-solution of (1) if for any $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G})$ s.t. $u-\phi$ has a local minimum in $x$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda u(x)+\bar{H}_{i}\left(x, \frac{d \phi}{d x_{i}}(x)\right) \geq 0 & \text { if } x \in J_{i}, \\
\lambda u(O)+\max \left(E, \bar{H}\left(\frac{d \phi}{d x_{1}}(O), \ldots, \frac{d \phi}{d x_{N}}(O)\right)\right) \geq 0 . &
\end{array}
$$

## The effective Hamiltonians away from the junctions

Notation: $\quad$ for $x \geq 0, y \in[-1,1], p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
H_{i}(x, y, p)=\max _{a \in A}\left(-p \cdot a-\ell_{i}(x, y, a)\right)
$$

## Theorem (Alvarez-Bardi 2000)

$\forall x_{i} \geq 0, \forall p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique $\bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, p_{i}\right)$ s.t. the cell problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{i}\left(x_{i}, y, p_{i} e_{i}+D_{y} \chi_{i}(y) e_{i}^{\perp}\right) \geq \bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, p_{i}\right) & y \in[-1,1], \\
H_{i}\left(x_{i}, y, p_{i} e_{i}+D_{y} \chi_{i}(y)\right) e_{i}^{\perp} \leq \bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, p_{i}\right) & y \in(-1,1)
\end{array}
$$

has a viscosity solution $\chi_{i} \in \operatorname{Lip}([-1,1])$.

## Limiting relaxed controls and limit control problem

For $s>0$, the occupational measure $\mu_{s}$ generated by $(y(t), \alpha(t))$ is the Radon probability measure defined on $[-1,1] \times A$ by

$$
\mu_{s}=\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} \delta_{(y(t), \alpha(t))} d t
$$

where $\delta_{(y(t), \alpha(t))}$ is the Dirac mass concentrated at $(y(t), \alpha(t))$.
$\mathcal{Z}\left(s ; i, y_{0}\right)$ : set of the occupational measures generated by the trajectories $(y(t), \alpha(t))$ up to time $s$.

## Limiting relaxed controls and limit control problem

For $s>0$, the occupational measure $\mu_{s}$ generated by $(y(t), \alpha(t))$ is the Radon probability measure defined on $[-1,1] \times A$ by

$$
\mu_{s}=\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} \delta_{(y(t), \alpha(t))} d t
$$

where $\delta_{(y(t), \alpha(t))}$ is the Dirac mass concentrated at $(y(t), \alpha(t))$.
$\mathcal{Z}\left(s ; i, y_{0}\right)$ : set of the occupational measures generated by the trajectories $(y(t), \alpha(t))$ up to time $s$.

Theorem (Gaitsgory and Leisarowitz (1999))
There exists a set $\mathcal{Z}_{i} \subset \mathcal{P}([-1,1] \times A)$ s.t

$$
\forall y_{0} \in[-1,1], \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{H}\left(\mathcal{Z}\left(s ; i, y_{0}\right), \mathcal{Z}_{i}\right)=0
$$

( $\pi_{H}$ : Prokhoroff distance).
$\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ is convex and compact for the weak-* topology.

By using results from Terrone-2011 and Alvarez-Bardi-2000
-

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{i} \subset\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}([-1,1] \times A), \int_{[-1,1] \times A} a_{i}^{\perp} d \mu(y, a)=0\right\}
$$

- $\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ coincides with the set of limiting relaxed controls, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{H}_{i}\left(x_{i}, p_{i}\right) \\
= & \sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i}}\left(-p_{i} \int_{[-1,1] \times A} a_{i} d \mu(y, a)-\int_{[-1,1] \times A} \ell_{i}\left(x_{i}, y, a\right) d \mu(y, a)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interpretation

Away from the junction, the effective Hamiltonian corresponds to a control problem with controls in $\mathcal{Z}_{i}$.

## The effective Hamiltonian at the junction

## Definition

The effective Hamiltonian at the junction is $\max (E, \bar{H}(O, \cdot))$ where $E$ is a suitable constant to be defined and

$$
\bar{H}\left(O, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right):=\max _{i=1, \ldots, N} \bar{H}_{i}^{+}\left(0, p_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{H}_{i}^{+}\left(0, p_{i}\right) \\
= & \sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{+}}\left(-p_{i} \int_{[-1,1] \times A} a_{i} d \mu(y, a)-\int_{[-1,1] \times A} \ell_{i}(0, y, a) d \mu(y, a)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{i}^{+}=\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i} \text { s.t. } \int_{[-1,1] \times A} a_{i} d \mu(y, a) \geq 0\right\}
$$

## Lemma: links between $\bar{H}_{i}(0, p)$ and $\bar{H}_{i}^{+}(0, p)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{0}:=\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i} \text { s.t. } \int_{[-1,1] \times A} a_{i} d \mu(y, a)=0\right\} \\
& \operatorname{argmin} \bar{H}_{i}(0, \cdot)=\left[\underline{p}_{i}, \bar{p}_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



The graphs of $p \mapsto \bar{H}_{i}(0, p)$ and of $p \mapsto \bar{H}_{i}^{+}(0, p)$

- $p<\underline{p}_{i} \Rightarrow \bar{H}_{i}(0, p)=\bar{H}_{i}^{+}(0, p)$ is achieved for $\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{+} \backslash \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{0}$
- $\underline{p}_{i}<p<\bar{p}_{i} \Rightarrow \bar{H}_{i}(0, p)=\bar{H}_{i}^{+}(0, p)$ is achieved for $\mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{i}^{0}$
- $p>\bar{p}_{i} \Rightarrow \bar{H}_{i}(0, p)>\bar{H}_{i}^{+}(0, p)=\min \bar{H}_{i}(0, \cdot)$


## The constant $E$

Zoom near the junction point $O$
We extend the function $\ell_{0}$ to the whole domain $\bar{\Omega}$ by setting

$$
\ell_{0}(z, a)=\ell_{i}\left(0, z_{i}^{\perp}, a\right), \quad \text { if } z_{i} \geq r_{0},\left|z_{i}^{\perp}\right| \leq 1
$$

Ergodic constants in bounded subdomains
Define $\Omega^{R}:=\Omega \cap W_{R}$ and the ergodic constant $E^{R}$, which is the unique number s.t.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}\left(z, D w^{R}(z)\right) \geq E^{R} & \text { in } \bar{\Omega}^{R} \\
H_{0}\left(z, D w^{R}(z)\right) \leq E^{R} & \text { in } \Omega^{R}
\end{array}
$$

has a viscosity solution $w^{R}$.


## The constant $E$

Lemma
$\exists C>0$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E^{R}\right| \leq C & \forall R, \\
\left\|D w^{R}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C & \forall R, \\
R \leq R^{\prime} \quad & \Rightarrow \quad E^{R} \leq E^{R^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition

$$
E:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} E^{R} .
$$

## The constant $E$



## Theorem

$\exists C>0$ s.t. $\forall z_{0} \in \widetilde{K}_{0}, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{z_{0}}, \forall T>0$, if $z(s):=z_{0}+\int_{0}^{s} \alpha(\theta) d \theta$ satisfies $z(T) \in \widetilde{K}_{0}$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \ell_{0}(z(s), \alpha(s)) d s \geq-E T-C
$$

Lemma
Under the assumptions, $\forall i=1, \ldots, N, \quad \min _{p_{i}} \bar{H}_{i}\left(0, p_{i}\right) \leq E$.

## Proof of the convergence result

The main step is to prove the following theorem

## Theorem

Consider the relaxed semilimits, of $u_{\epsilon}$ : for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$
\underline{u}(x)=\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+, x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, x^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right) ; \quad \bar{u}(x)=\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+, x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, x^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then, under the assumptions, $\underline{u}$ is a bounded supersolution of

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda \underline{u}(x)+\bar{H}(x, D \underline{u}(x)) & \geq 0, & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{G} \backslash\{O\}, \\
\lambda \underline{u}(O)+\max (E, \bar{H}(O, D \underline{u}(O))) & \geq 0, & \text { if } x=O
\end{array}
$$

and $\bar{u}$ is a bounded subsolution of

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda \bar{u}(x)+\bar{H}(x, D \bar{u}(x)) & \leq 0, & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{G} \backslash\{O\}, \\
\lambda \bar{u}(O)+\max (E, \bar{H}(O, D \bar{u}(O))) & \leq 0, & \text { if } x=O .
\end{array}
$$

then use comparison results on $\mathcal{G}$, [AOT2014] or [IM2014]

## Some ideas of the proof

- Not standard only at the junction
- Try to use Evans' method of perturbed test-functions
- The test-functions $\phi$ have $N$ slopes at $O: p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$
- Evans' method requires the construction of bounded correctors in unbounded domains, (ideally $\Omega$ )
- Bounded correctors may not exist in the full domain $\Omega$, because, given a set of slopes at $O$, i.e. $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$,

$$
\bar{H}\left(O, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=\max _{i=1, \ldots, N} \bar{H}_{i}^{+}\left(0, p_{i}\right)
$$

is achieved by $i \in \mathcal{I}(p) \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$. If $j \notin \mathcal{I}(p)$, then the optimal trajectories starting from $z \in S_{j}$ should leave $S_{j}$ : this leads to the fact that the corrector should not be bounded when $|z| \rightarrow \infty, z \in S_{j}$.

- Hence, we construct the correctors in subdomain obtained by truncating the half-strips $S_{j}$ if $j \notin \mathcal{I}(p)$.

The corrector (when $\left.\bar{H}\left(O, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)>E\right)$

The truncated domain $\Omega_{p}$


## Theorem

For $p \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \bar{H}(O, p)>E$. Let $\psi_{p}$ be a smooth function such that $D \psi_{p}=p_{i} e_{i}$ in $S_{i}$. Under the assumptions, $\exists \chi_{p}$, a bounded and Lipschitz viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
H_{0}\left(z, D \psi_{p}+D \chi_{p}\right)-\bar{H}(O, p) \geq 0 & \text { in } \bar{\Omega}_{p} \\
H_{0}\left(z, D \psi_{p}+D \chi_{p}\right)-\bar{H}(O, p) \leq 0 & \text { in } \Omega_{p}
\end{array}
$$

## The further assumption

## Assumption

1) For any real number $p_{i}$ such that $p_{i}<\underline{p}_{i}$,
there exist two constants $L_{i} \geq 0$ and $C_{i}>0$ such that
$\forall y_{0} \in[-1,1], \forall t>0$, there exists a control law $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{i, y_{0}}$ with

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{s} \tilde{\alpha}_{i}(\tau) d \tau \geq-L_{i}, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \\
\int_{0}^{t}\left(p_{i} \tilde{\alpha}_{i}(s)+\ell_{i}(0, y(s), \tilde{\alpha}(s))\right) d s \leq v_{i}\left(0, p_{i}, y_{0}, t\right)+C_{i}
\end{array}
$$

where $y(t)=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{\perp}(s) d s$.
Recall that

$$
v_{i}\left(x_{i}, p_{i}, y_{0}, t\right)=\inf _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{i, y_{0}}}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} p_{i} \alpha_{i}(s)+\ell_{i}\left(x_{i}, y(s), \alpha(s)\right) d s\right\}
$$

