



HAL
open science

A Note on Set Systems with no Union of Cardinality 0 modulo m

Vince Grolmusz

► **To cite this version:**

Vince Grolmusz. A Note on Set Systems with no Union of Cardinality 0 modulo m . *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 2003, Vol. 6 no. 1 (1), pp.41-44. 10.46298/dmtcs.341 . hal-00958986

HAL Id: hal-00958986

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-00958986>

Submitted on 13 Mar 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Note on Set Systems with no Union of Cardinality 0 Modulo m

Vince Grolmusz

Department of Computer Science, Eötvös University, H-1117 Budapest, HUNGARY.
e-mail: grolmusz@cs.elte.hu

received September, 2001, revised October, 2002, accepted March, 2003.

Alon, Kleitman, Lipton, Meshulam, Rabin and Spencer (Graphs. Combin. 7 (1991), no. 2, 97-99) proved that for any hypergraph $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{d(q-1)+1}\}$, where q is a prime-power, and d denotes the maximum degree of the hypergraph, there exists an $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, such that $|\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} F| \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. The main tool of the proof was a one-to-one correspondence between hypergraphs and polynomials. We give a direct, alternative proof to this correspondence, and also review its implications for set-systems following from the result of Barrington, Beigel and Rudich (Comput. Complexity, 4 (1994), 367-382) for certain mod 6 polynomials.

Keywords: Set systems, composite modulus, polynomials over rings

1 Introduction

Alon, Kleitman, Lipton, Meshulam, Rabin and Spencer [1] gave the following definition:

Definition 1 ([1]) For integers $d, m \geq 1$, let $f_d(m)$ denote the smallest t such that for any hypergraph $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t\}$ with maximum degree d there exists a non-empty $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, such that $|\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} F| \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$

Baker and Schmidt [2] defined the following quantity:

Definition 2 For integers $d, m \geq 1$, let $g_d(m)$ denote the smallest t such that for any polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t]$ of degree d , satisfying $h(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, there exists an $\mathbf{0} \neq \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}^n$, such that $h(\varepsilon) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

The following theorem was proven in [1]:

Theorem 3 ([1])

$$f_d(m) = g_d(m)$$

In the next section we give a natural one-to-one correspondence between polynomials and hypergraphs, proving Theorem 3.

For p prime, and α positive integer it is known ([1], [2], [4]) that $g_d(p^\alpha) = d(p^\alpha - 1) + 1$, so we obtain

Corollary 4 ([1]) For $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{d(q-1)+1}\}$, where q is a prime-power, and d denotes the maximum degree of the hypergraph, there exists an $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, such that $|\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} F| \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

This corollary is a generalization of the undergraduate exercise that from arbitrary m integers, one can choose a non-empty subset, which adds up to 0 modulo m (the $d = 1$ case).

In 1991, *Barrington, Beigel and Rudich* [3] gave an explicit construction for polynomials modulo $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, showing that

$$g_d(m) = \Omega(d^r).$$

Since the proof of Theorem 3 (both the original and ours in the next section) gives explicit constructions for hypergraphs from polynomials, the following corollary holds:

Corollary 5 Let $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$. Then there exists an explicitly constructible hypergraph \mathcal{F} of maximum degree d , such that $|\mathcal{F}| = \Omega(d^r)$ and for each $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ it is satisfied that $|\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} F| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

The authors of [1] gave a doubly-exponential upper bound on $f_d(m)$, which was based on a Ramsey-theoretic bound of [2]. More recently, *Tardos and Barrington* [4] showed that

$$f_d(m) = \exp(O(d^{r-1})).$$

2 Correspondence between polynomials and hypergraphs

We give here a short and direct proof for Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{Q} denote the set of rationals. It is well known that the set of functions $\{f : \{0, 1\}^t \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\}$ forms a 2^t -dimensional vector space over the rationals. One useful basis of this vector space is the set of OR-functions $\{\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i : I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, t\}\}$, where

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = 1 - \prod_{i \in I} (1 - x_i).$$

It is easy to see that any integer-valued function on the hypercube can be written as the integer-coefficient linear combination of these OR-functions. Moreover, if the function is a degree- d polynomial, then it is enough to use OR functions with $|I| \leq d$. If we consider modulo m polynomials, then the coefficients can be restricted to the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$. It will be convenient to view modulo m polynomials as the sum of several OR functions with coefficient 1; instead of multiplying an OR function with a coefficient a we will add it up exactly a times.

Consequently, our degree- d modulo m polynomial has the following form:

$$h = S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_\ell, \tag{1}$$

where S_i is an OR-function of degree at most d .

Now we are ready to define the one-to-one correspondence between degree- d modulo m polynomials without non-trivial zeroes on the hypercube and hypergraphs, without non-empty subhypergraphs of modulo- m union-size 0. Let h be a degree- d polynomial written in form (1), and define hypergraph $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_\ell\}$, where $F_i = \{S_j : x_i \text{ appears as a variable in } S_j\}$. Clearly, the degree of this hypergraph is at most the degree of h that is, d .

On the other hand, for a hypergraph $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t\}$ on the ground-set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell\}$, let us define $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) = S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_t$, where

$$S_j = \bigvee_{i: v_j \in F_i} x_i.$$

Obviously, the degree of h is at most the degree of \mathcal{F} .

Now we state that \mathcal{F} has a non-empty subhypergraph with union-size 0 modulo m if and only if there exists a $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} : h(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. The proof is as follows: For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ let us denote $I(\mathbf{x}) = \{i : x_i = 1\}$. Then $S_j(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ if $S_j \in \bigcup_{i \in I(\mathbf{x})} F_i$, and $S_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ otherwise. Thus $h(\mathbf{x}) = |\bigcup_{i \in I(\mathbf{x})} F_i|$ holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$. In particular, evaluations of h and union-sizes of subhypergraphs in \mathcal{F} become divisible by m simultaneously. \square

Acknowledgment.

The author is grateful for the anonymous referee for his/her suggestions clarifying the correspondence between polynomials and hypergraphs. The author also acknowledges the partial support of research grants EU FP5 IST FET No. IST-2001-32012, OTKA T030059.

References

- [1] N. Alon, D. Kleitman, R. Lipton, R. Meshulam, M. Rabin, and J. Spencer. Set systems with no union of cardinality 0 modulo m . *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 7:97–99, 1991.
- [2] R. Baker and W. Schmidt. Diophantine problems in variables restricted to the values 0 and 1. *Journal of Number Theory*, 12:460–486, 1980.
- [3] D. A. M. Barrington, R. Beigel, and S. Rudich. Representing Boolean functions as polynomials modulo composite numbers. *Comput. Complexity*, 4:367–382, 1994. Appeared also in *Proc. 24th Ann. ACM Symp. Theor. Comput.*, 1992.
- [4] G. Tardos and D. A. M. Barrington. A lower bound on the MOD 6 degree of the OR function. *Comput. Complexity*, 7:99–108, 1998.