

Smartphones: Privacy Standpoint

Jagdish Prasad Achara, Claude Castelluccia, James-Douglass Lefruit, Vincent Roca

▶ To cite this version:

Jagdish Prasad Achara, Claude Castelluccia, James-Douglass Lefruit, Vincent Roca. Smartphones: Privacy Standpoint. Workshop on security and privacy for location-based services - EIT ICT Labs, Dec 2013, Saarbrücken, Germany. 30 p. hal-00915756

HAL Id: hal-00915756 https://inria.hal.science/hal-00915756

Submitted on 9 Dec 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Smartphones: Privacy Standpoint as part of Mobilitics (Inria-CNIL project)

Jagdish Prasad Achara^{Speaker},
Claude Castelluccia, James-Douglass Lefruit, Vincent Roca
(Privatics team, Inria Rhone-Alpes)

Workshop on Location-Related Services, EIT ICT Labs, Saarbrücken, Dec 5th, 2013



Outline of the talk

Motivations & Goals


- Our "Tracking the trackers" methodology
- Results obtained

Conclusions and Future work




Presence of a large number of actors

- Due to revolutionary arrival of AppStore model
 - No more the presence of merely GSM/CDMA provider
 - OBoth first-party (App provider) & third-party(Advertisers, Analytics companies etc.)



More possibilities for PI leakage to various parties

- Not only limited to web browsers as is the case in desktops/laptops
 - Apps for dedicated services (FB, LeMonde, SNCF etc.)



Difficult to trust all these parties

- various scandals in the past
 - For example, Twitter and Path uploading users all contacts to their servers [1] [2]
- WSJ: What they know Mobile [3]



- [1] http://mclov.in/2012/02/08/path-uploads-your-entire-address-book-to-their-servers.html
- [2] http://www.theregister.co.uk/2012/02/15/twitter_stores_address_books/
- [3] http://blogs.wsj.com/wtk-mobile/

Smartphones are well suited to marketers/ trackers

contain a lot of info on user interests and

behaviors because

ovarious sensors (GPS, camera etc) and comm technologies (WiFi, GSM etc.) generate PI

Smartphones are at the center of our cyber activities and very personal (not shared)

- smartphones have almost all-time Internet connectivity
- they're barely turned off



→ leads to accurate and detailed user profiling

A direct consequence is a large presence of online advertisers/trackers











and many others...

→→→This necessitates scrutinizing smartphones for privacy risks

"tracking the trackers"

Mobilities project and its goals

started in January 2012



- focuses on Android and iOS
 - Othe leading Smartphone OS



 Goals: investigate smartphone Apps and OS for potential privacy risks...

Outline of the talk

Motivations & Goals

- Our "tracking the trackers" methodology
- Results obtained

Conclusions and Future work



General approach (iOS & Android)

 Run Apps on instrumented versions of Android and iOS

 Collect and store data related to the access to user PI along with inputs to data modification APIs and all the network traffic (plain-text or SSL) in a local SQLite database

3. Post-analysis of data collected

iOS (1): Some background

- Enforcement of user privacy by Apple in two steps
 - Apple vetting process when Apps are submitted to AppStore
 - 2. Users are asked before iOS gives access to user PI to an App
- Closed source and only code signed from Apple can be executed
 - Oenforced by secure boot chain
- Also, no App source available

 only binary rewriting is possible

iOS (2): Some background

- iOS Apps are written in
 - Objective-C, C, C++
- User PI can only be accessed through Apple's frameworks written in Objective-C/C/C++
 - ... even if there are some exceptions (e.g. sysctl)
- Instrumenting iOS requires "Jailbreaking"
 - Oessentially a way to bypass Apple's secure boot chain

iOS (3): Realizing our general approach...


- As source code is not available, binary patching?
 - It's a nightmare, I think!

- Dynamically, at runtime?
 - Fortunately, yes!
 - OUse Objective-C runtime method "method_setImplementation"
 - OReplace the C/C++ functions at assembly level.

^{*}NB: we use a third-party framework (MobileSubstrate) which makes it lot simpler... http://iphonedevwiki.net/index.php/MobileSubstrate

iOS (4): Realizing our general approach...

- Whole code (modified implementation of the methods) is compiled in a dylib
 - and loaded at launch time in a process of interest



 We capture relevant info (method args, return values) and store it in a local SQlite DB

Android (1): Some background

 Apps are written mostly in Java but C/C++ can also be used with the help of JNI

 Java code is compiled to byte-code and then, converted from JVM-compatible .class files to Dalvik compatible .dex files

 These .dex files are executed in Dalvik Virtual Machine

Android (2): Realizing our general approach

- Change the source code itself
- Our custom code is added to APIs of interest to store the relevant data in a local SQLite DB

 We changed Android 4.1.1_r6 source code in our study

Post-Analysis of data (iOS & Android)

- Identify private data accessed by Apps
- 2. Search for private data in the **network traffic** to see if it's sent, and where
- 3. Search for private data in the input to cryptographic / hash functions, and if there's some, search the output in the network traffic
- 4. iOS Specific: find out if Apps use cross-App tracking techniques by using the "UIPasteBoard" class

Limitations of our Approach

- Are private data manipulations (hash, encryption etc.) done with custom functions...
 - ...rather than using standard iOS API?
 - if yes, we cannot detect it as we don't know what to search in the network traffic ☺
 - Oe.g., a simple XOR with a static key is sufficient
- a fundamental limitation of our approach
 - Ohard to evaluate if this is current practice or not
 - OBut this means...results obtained using our technique would be lower-bound

Outline of the talk

Motivations & Goals

- Our "tracking the trackers" methodology
- Results obtained

Conclusions and Future work



Some facts before presenting Results

- We tested 140 free Apps available on both Android and iOS using our « tracking the trackers » methodology
- Experiments were carried out on iOS 6.1.2 and Android 4.1.1

- In our study, we consider user PI
 - 1. Stable Identifiers: that can be uniquely attached to users for tracking purposes
 - 2. Any info revealing users' interests and behavior

A glimpse of collection of unique identifiers by various parties: iOS

Server/Comm. type	AdIdentifier	UDID	DeviceName	WiFiMACAddress	WiFiMACAddressModified
facebook.com(SSL)	Yes				
testflightapp.com(SSL)	Yes				
amazonaws.com(plain-text)	Yes	Yes		Yes	
adjust.io(SSL)	Yes				
gameloft.com(plain-text)	Yes			Yes	
gameloft.com(SSL)	Yes			Yes	
amazonaws.com(SSL)	Yes			Yes	
paypal.com(SSL)	Yes		Yes		
boxcar.io(SSL)			Yes		
flurry.com(SSL)					Yes
tapjoy.com(SSL)	Yes				
jumptap.com	Yes				
mobile-adbox.com(SSL)	Yes				
fiksu.com(SSL)	Yes				
tapad.com(SSL)	Yes				
tapjoyads.com(SSL)	Yes			Yes	
tapjoyads.com(plain-text)		Yes			
appads.com(plain-text)	Yes				
adcolony.com(plain-text)	Yes				
sophiacom.fr(plain-text)	Yes				
smartadserver.com(plain-text)	Yes				
mopub.com(plain-text)	Yes				
swelen.com(plain-text)	Yes				
adtilt.com(plain-text)	Yes				
adtilt.com(SSL)	Yes				
booking.com(SSL)	Yes				
trademob.net(SSL)	Yes			Yes	
nanigans.com(plain-text)	Yes				
nanigans.com(SSL)	Yes				
ad-x.co.uk(SSL)	Yes				
eamobile.com(SSL)	Yes				
igstudios.in(plain-text)				Yes	
crittercism.com(SSL)			Yes		

A glimpse of collection of unique identifiers by various parties: Android

Server/Comm. type	Android ID	Phone No	IMEI	Serial No	IMSI	IMEI Modified	AndroidID Modified
engine.mobileapptracking.com(SSL)	Yes						
74.217.75.7(plain-text)	Yes						
iphone-mobilesite.airfrance.com(SSL)	Yes						
www.klm.com(SSL)	Yes						
mdotm.com(plain-text)	Yes		Yes				
mobage.com(plain-text)	Yes		Yes				
kochava.com(plain-text)	Yes		Yes				
msh.amazon.com(SSL)	Yes			Yes			
72.21.194.112(plain-text)	Yes			Yes			
google.com(SSL)	Yes						
smartadserver.com(plain-text)	Yes						
xiti.com(plain-text)	Yes						
badoo.com(SSL)	Yes		Yes		Yes		
ws.tapjoyads.com(SSL)	Yes		Yes			Yes	
playhaven.com(plain-text)	Yes						
adtilt.com(plain-text)	Yes		Yes				
yoz.io(plain-text)	Yes						
airbnb.com(SSL)	Yes						
groupon.com(SSL)	Yes						
fiksu.com(SSL)	Yes		Yes				
crittercism.com(SSL)	Yes		Yes				
googleapis.com(SSL)		Yes			Yes		
sstats.adobe.com(SSL)					Yes		
linode.com(plain-text)						Yes	
93.184.219.20(plain-text)							Yes
107.6.111.137(plain-text)							Yes
seattleclouds.com(plain-text)	Yes						
startappexchange.com(plain-text)	Yes						
91.103.140.6(plain-text)	Yes						
appwiz.com(SSL)	Yes	Yes	Yes				
airpush.com(SSL)	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes
69.28.52.39(plain-text)	Yes		Yes				
209.177.95.171(plain-text)	Yes						
ad-market.mobi(plain-text)	Yes						
fastly.net(SSL)	Yes						

User PI collection: iOS

 Again, on iOS, different kinds of user PI is sent to both first and third-parties (out of a total of 140 free iOS Apps tested)

SIM Network name	Location	DeviceName	AddressBook	Accounts	SIM Number
testflightapp.com(SSL), clara.net(plain-text), capptain.com(SSL), groupon.de(plain-text), groupon.de(SSL), groupon.com(SSL), ebay.com(SSL), ec2-54-244-3-130.us-west- 2.compute.amazonaws.com(plain-text)	bkt.mobi(plain-text), foursquare.com(SSL), groupon.de(SSL), voyages- sncf.com(plain-text), capptain.com(SSL)	paypal.com(SSL), crittercism.com(SSL), boxcar.io(SSL)	mobilevoip.com(plain- text)	twitter.com(SSL)	fring.com(SSL)

User PI collection: Android

 Different kinds of user PI are sent to both first and third-parties (out of a total of 140 free Android Apps tested)

Contacts	Location	Network Code	Operator Name	SIM Network code	WiFi AP Scan Info	Account Names
google.com(SSL)	seventynine.mobi(plain-text), plat- form.chekmein.com(SSL), airpush.com(SSL), google.com(SSL), google.com(SSL), google.com(plain-text), 3g.cn(plain-text)	google.com(SSL), badoo.com(SSL), doubleclick.net(plain- text), appwiz.com(SSL), goforandroid.com(plain- text)	seventynine.mobi(plain-text), crittercism.com(SSL), msh.amazon.com(SSL), kiip.me(plain-text), 72.21.194.112(plain-text), badoo.com(SSL), ws.tapjoyads.com(SSL), adtilt.com(plain-text), groupon.com(SSL), groupon.de(SSL), 207.net(plain-text), m6replay.fr(SSL), appsflyer.com(SSL), airpush.com(SSL)	google.com(SSL), badoo.com(SSL), ad- market.mobi(plain- text), goforandroid.com(plain- text), startappexchange.com(p text), appwiz.com(SSL)		google.com(SSL), airpush.com(SSL), googleapis.com(SSL)

Leakage of App usage info: iOS

- Various third-parties know what Apps a particular user is using
 - It's like browsing history in case of web browsing

Third-party with type of Comm	Process Names			
google-analytics.com(SSL)	InstantBeautyProduction, Evernote, LILIGO, Transilien, Viadeo, VDM, comuto, easyjet, VintedFR, Volkswagen			
crashlytics.com(SSL)	dailymotion, TopEleven, AmazonFR, Path, RunKeeper, foodspotting, babbelSpanish, Deezer			
urbanairship.com(SSL)	Wimbledon, RATP, HootSuite, DuplexA86, Appygraph, foodspotting, Volkswagen			
flurry.com(plain-text)	TopEleven, Bible, RATP, Transilien, TripIt, DespicableMe, FlyAirIndia, Viadeo, Bankin', VDM, OCB, DuplexA86, SleepBot, Snapchat, Appygraph, Booking.com, foodspotting, Badoo, EDF-Releve, WorldCup2011, Quora, UrbanDictionary, babbelSpanish, MyLittleParis, Volkswagen			
tapjoy.com(SSL)	TopEleven, Bible, DespicableMe, OCB, MCT			
capptain.com(plain-text)	Viadeo, myTF1, rtl-fr-radios, 20minv3, iDTGV			
xiti.com(plain-text)	laposte, ARTE, myTF1, lequipe, SoundCloud, 20minv3, Leboncoin			
admob.com(plain-text)	VSC, BBCNews, WorldCup2011, RF12, UrbanDictionary			

Leakage of App usage info: Android

Third-party with type of Comm	Process Names			
google-analytics.com(SSL) com.anydo, com.rechild.advancedtaskkiller, com.spotify.mobile.android.ui, com.google.android.googlequic com.dailymotion.dailymotion, com.aa.android, com.comuto, com.airbnb.android				
doubleclick.net(plain-text)	com.tagdroid.android, com.rechild.advancedtaskkiller, bbc.mobile.news.ww, ua.in.android_wallpapers.spring_nature			
trademob.com(SSL), google.com(SSL)	All the processes running on the phone			
crashlytics.com(SSL)	com.evernote, com.path, com.lslk.sleepbot, com.twitter.android, com.dailymotion.dailymotion			

Outline of the talk

Motivations & Goals

- Our "tracking the trackers" methodology
- Results obtained

Conclusions and Future work



Conclusions

- Private data is sent to various parties
 - As shown in the Tables before
- There is a clear need of better regulations to stop this practice.
- A real problem today
 - A user giving access to its PI to a particular App doesn't necessarily imply that he is ready to share it with other third-parties!

Future work

 We need to increase the number of Apps being tested to have a better idea of the phenomenon

- We must test paid Apps too to verify if some difference exist W.R.T. free Apps
 - OHow do free and paid versions of the same App differ from each other?

Questions/Remarks?

Thanks