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## Note well

- we, authors, didn't try to patent any of the material included in this presentation
- if you believe some aspects may infringe IPR you are aware of, then fill in an IPR disclosure and please, let us know
http://irtf.org/ipr


## Motivations and goals

## Motivations

- RLC are naturally random

Oencoding vectors on a given Finite Field (FF) are random Oit's easy, efficient, and enables coding inside the net

- but there are incentives to have "structured" codes
osparse codes are faster to encode/decode
Oan order of magnitude difference, because:
- fewer XOR and/or FF symbol operations
- fast ITerative (IT) decoding works better

Ocertain structures are extremely efficient

- e.g., LDPC-Staircase [RFC5170] [WiMob13]
- e.g., irregular LDPC codes perform the best with IT decoding

[^0]
## Goals of this work

- design codes that:

Ocan be used as sliding/elastic encoding window
(A.K.A. convolutional) and block codes Othere are use-cases for each approach

Ocan be used with encoding window/block sizes in $2-10,000$ s symbols range

Overy large sizes are beneficial to bulk file transfers while small values are useful for real-time contents

Ocan be used as small-rate codes
Ocan generate a large number of repair symbols

- even if it's rarely useful (e.g. it was not a selection criteria for 3GPP-MBMS [WiMob13]), it also simplifies performance evaluations ©


## Goals of this work... (cont')

Ohave excellent erasure recovery performance Ooften a complexity versus performance tradeoff Oit's good to be able to adjust it on a per use-case basis

Oenable fast encoding and decoding
Osender and/or receiver can be an embedded device

Oenable compact and robust signaling
Otransmitting the full encoding vector does not scale
Oprefer the use of a function that, as a function of a key lists the symbols that are considered

- can be a PRNG + seed
- can be a table + index
- the function is known to both ends and the (e.g., 32-bit) key is carried in the packet header


## Goals of this work... (cont')

- focus only on use-cases that require end-to-end encoding
"end" means either "host" or "middlebox", it's the same Othere's a single point for AL-FEC encoding/decoding
because it simplifies signaling and code design
Ointermediate node re-encoding requires having the symbols encoding vectors which does not scale

Osure, it's a subset of NWCRG candidate use-cases
Oe.g., it's well suited to Tetrys http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/86/slides/slides-86-nwcrg-1.pdf
Obut also to FLUTE/ALC, FCAST/ALC, FCAST/NORM, FECFRAME protocols

## Our proposal

## Experimental results of this presentation...

- ...use our http://openfec.org open-source project Ouses a mixture of CeCILL(-C) (GPL and LGPL like), "BSD like" licenses


## OpenFEC.org

because open, free AL-FEC codes and codecs matter

- for the moment we've integrated Kodo RLC lib...
O...but we'll get rid of it ASAP
- because STEINWURF research license is not compatible with our goal of free, reusable software in any context, commercial or not
- all measurements are made in block mode Obecause it's the way our http://openfec.org tools work...
- ... but we'll update it


## Idea 1: mix binary and non-binary

- mix binary and non binary
omost equations are sparse and coefficients binary
Oa limited number of columns are heavy
Odense binary columns
not considered in the remaining
Odense non-binary columns (e.g., with coeff. on $\operatorname{GF}\left(\mathbf{2}^{8}\right)$ )
- there are good reasons for that:
osparseness is a key for high encoding/decoding speeds
Odensity/non binary are good for recovery performances
Ogathering dense coefficients in columns (i.e. to certain symbols) is a key for high speed decoding [WiMob13]


## Idea 1: mix bin and non-bin... (cont')

- block code example
(sparse + non-bin. columns) only



## Idea 1: mix bin and non-bin... (cont')

- convolutional code example
(sparse + non-bin. columns) only

```
/* r points to repair symbol to build */
memset(r, 0, pkt sz);
for (each source symbol s in current encoding window)
    if (s identifier %(1/D_nonbin) == 0)
        /* non binary column */
        choose a non-bin coefficient, c;
        r ^= c * S;
    else
        /* binary part */
        do r ^= s with probability D_bin
```

NB:

- it's the same except that the encoding windows moves over the source symbol flow (convolutional mode) instead of being fixed (block mode)


## On the usefulness of non-bin columns

Test 1: no dense non-binary column binary density $1 / 2$ (RLC), $1 / 5,1 / 10,1 / 20$


## On the usefulness of non-bin cols... (cont')

Test 2: with dense non-binary column (1 every 40 cols) binary density $1 / 2,1 / 5,1 / 10,1 / 20$


## Idea 2: add a structure

- technique 2: add a structure to the right part of H Owe know that a "staircase" (A.K.A. double diagonal) is highly beneficial...


○... but when used in convolutional mode, signaling turns out to be prohibitively complex

- the problem lies in the reliable description of what symbols are part of all the previous repair packets, in case they are lost, when the encoding window moves in a non predictive way (e.g., Tetrys/elastic encoding window)


## Idea 2: add a structure... (cont')

Oso we add a single heavy row and make all repair symbols depend on it
Oit's now quite simple, even when used in convolutional mode

- several sums will be transmitted (e.g., periodically), and it is sufficient to identify the last symbol of the sum in the signaling header
Oit's efficient (see later), at the price of extra XOR operations


ONB: other ways to define heavy rows are feasible (e.g., with random coefficients over GF(28)...

## On the usefulness of heavy repair symbols



## On the usefulness of heavy repair... (cont')

- decoding failure probability = $f(\#$ symbols received) Oenables in-depth analysis, catching rare events



## Let's put ideas 1 and 2 together

- 3 key parameters

Ok: source block or current encoding window size OD_nonbin: controls number of heavy non-binary columns

- D_nonbin = nb_non-binary_coeffs / k

OD_bin: controls the density of the sparse sub-matrices

- D_bin = nb_1_coeffs / total_nb_coeffs_in_binary_submatrix

O\{D_nonbin, D_bin\} depend on $k$ and target max. overhead


## Finding the right (D_nonbin, D_bin) values

- set a target average overhead (e.g., $10^{-3}$ )
- then:

```
for (k in [2, 10 000])
    carry out experiments with fixed D_bin=1/2,
    increasing D_nonbin until we achieve an average
    overhead below }\mp@subsup{\alpha}{}{*}1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-3}\mathrm{ , where }\alpha<1\mathrm{ is a
    "security margin";
    for this D_nonbin, carry out experiments by
    reducing D_bin as much as possible while
    remaining below target overhead 10-3
```

- store all results in a table
- basically:

Oonly non-bin columns for very small $k$ Oonly bin columns for very high $k$ Oa mixture of both in between...

## Preliminary results



NB: results are presented here as the concatenation of small curves...
In practice it will be a single curve for a single code

## Two close-ups

- decoding failure probability curves for $\mathrm{k}=200,500$

Ono visilble error floor at $10^{-6}$ failure probability, which is excellent ;



## Conclusions and Future Works

## Conclusions

- our proposal tries to take the best of RLC

Ouse the right technique (bin vs. non-bin) at the right time, in the right way

- find balance between erasure recovery perf. and complexity
- our proposal tries to fill in the gap between sliding/ elastic encoding window and block codes

Oside question: what about ALC and FECFRAME versions capable of using convolutional codes

- instead of being stuck to block AL-FEC?
- our proposal has a more limited scope than RLC

Obut it is suited to concrete use-cases

- in IRTF/NWCRG (e.g., Tetrys)
- in IETF/RMT and FECFRAME


## Conclusions... (cont')

- many key questions remain
owhat are the performances when used in sliding or elastic encoding window?
- e.g. with Tetrys

Ohow fast is it?

- e.g., compared to our optimized LDPC-Staircase/RS codecs

Ohow does it scale with $k$ ?

- e.g., compared to our optimized LDPC-Staircase codec
define signaling aspects
- FEC Payload ID (in each packet sent)
- FEC Object Transmission Information (per object/session)


[^0]:    [WiMob13] V. Roca, M. Cunche, C. Thienot, J. Detchart, J. Lacan, "RS + LDPC-Staircase Codes
    for the Erasure Channel: Standards, Usage and Performance", IEEE 9th Int. Conf. on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications (WiMob), October 2013.

