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#### Abstract

We investigate the computational complexity of a problem arising in the context of sparse optimization, namely, the projection onto the set of $k$-cosparse vectors w.r.t. some given matrix $\Omega$. We show that this projection problem is (strongly) NP-hard, even in the special cases where the matrix $\Omega$ contains only ternary or bipolar coefficients. Interestingly, this is in stark contrast to the projection onto the set of $k$-sparse vectors, which is trivially solved by keeping only the $k$ largest coefficients.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

A central problem in compressed sensing (CS) is the task of finding a sparsest solution to an underdetermined linear system, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{0} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b} \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a given matrix $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m<n$, where $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{0}$ denotes the number of nonzero entries in $\boldsymbol{x}$. This problem is known to be strongly NP-hard; the same is true for the variant with $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ replaced by $\|\boldsymbol{A x}-\boldsymbol{b}\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$.

Two related problems arise in signal and image processing, where the unknown signal $\boldsymbol{x}$ to be estimated from a low-dimensional observation $\boldsymbol{b}=\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}$ cannot directly be modeled as being sparse. In the most standard approach, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is assumed to be built from the superposition of few building blocks or atoms from an overcomplete dictionary $\boldsymbol{D}$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{z}$ where the representation vector $\boldsymbol{z}$ is sparse. Minimizing $\|\boldsymbol{z}\|_{0}$ s.t. $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{b}$ is obviously also NP-hard.

The alternative cosparse analysis model [1] assumes that $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{x}$ has many zeros, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is an analysis operator. Typical examples include finite difference operators; they are closely connected to total variation minimization and defined as computing the difference between adjacent sample values (for a signal) or pixel values (for an image). The cosparse optimization problem of interest reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \|\boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{x}\|_{0} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and was also shown to be NP-hard [1, Section 4.1].
A popular approach to solve $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ is the Iterative Hard Thresholding (IHT) algorithm, which iterates a gradient descent step to decrease the error $\|\boldsymbol{A} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}-\boldsymbol{b}\|_{2}$ and a hard-thresholding step. In recent adaptations of IHT and related algorithms to the cosparse analysis setting (e.g., [2]), a key step is the projection onto the set of $k$-cosparse vectors, as a replacement for hard-thresholding (which is the projection onto the set of $k$-sparse vectors).

We show that $k$-cosparse projection is strongly NP-hard in general, which contrasts with the synthesis case where the corresponding operation (hard-thresholding) is extremely simple and fast.

## II. Complexity of Cosparse Projection Problems

Given an $r \times n$ matrix $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ (with $r>n$ ), an $n$-vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, and a positive integer $k$, the (Euclidean) projection of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ onto the set of
vectors that are $k$-cosparse w.r.t. $\Omega$ is formally given by

$$
\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}, k}(\boldsymbol{\omega}):=\arg \min _{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}-\boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}:\|\boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{z}\|_{0} \leq k\right\}
$$

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1: Given $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}(r>n)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}, p>1$, it is NP-hard in the strong sense to solve the $k$-cosparse $\ell_{p}$-norm projection problem

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}-\boldsymbol{z}\|_{p}^{q}:\|\boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{z}\|_{0} \leq k\right\}, \quad\left(k-\operatorname{CoSP}_{p}\right)
$$

where $q=p$ if $p<\infty$ and $q=1$ if $p=\infty$. The problem remains strongly NP-hard even if $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ has only binary coefficients in $\{0,1\}$ (with exactly one entry nonzero) and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ has only ternary or bipolar coefficients in $\{-1,0,+1\}$ or $\{-1,1\}$, respectively.

Our proof (see [3] for the details) works with the Min$\operatorname{ULR}_{0}^{=}(\boldsymbol{A}, K)$ problem: Given a matrix $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ and a positive integer $K \in \mathbb{N}$, decide whether there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\boldsymbol{z} \neq 0$ and at most $K$ of the $m$ equalities in the system $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{z}=0$ are violated. This problem was proven to be (strongly) NP-complete even for ternary or bipolar matrices $\boldsymbol{A}$ in [4]. We show that one can answer $\operatorname{MinULR}_{0}^{=}(\boldsymbol{A}, K)$ by at most $n$ calls to an algorithm solving $\left(k-\operatorname{CoSP}_{p}\right)$, implying that such a projection algorithm cannot be polynomial in general unless $P=N P$.

Clearly, if a minimizer was known, we would also know the optimal value of $\left(k-\operatorname{CoSP}_{p}\right)$. Hence, computing a minimizer is at least as hard as solving $\left(k-\operatorname{CoSP}_{p}\right)$, and the complexity results of Theorem 1 carry over directly. In particular, we obtain the following result for the usual Euclidean projection:

Corollary 1: It is strongly NP-hard to compute $\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}, k}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$.
In theoretical algorithmic applications of $(k-\operatorname{CoSP})$, it had so far been assumed that the Euclidean projection problem $\left(k-\operatorname{CoSP}_{2}\right)$ can be approximated efficiently, see, e.g., [2]. Our results refute this assumption to a certain degree, since NP-hardness in the strong sense implies that no fully polynomial-time approximation scheme (FPTAS) can exists unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$. Thus, it remains a challenge to find (practically) efficient approximation algorithms for the $k$-cosparse projection problem $\left(k-\operatorname{CoSP}_{p}\right)$, or to establish further (perhaps negative) results concerning its approximability.
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