Projection onto the k-Cosparse Set is NP-Hard

ANDREAS M. TILLMANN Research Group Optimization Technical University Darmstadt 64293 Darmstadt, Germany

Email: tillmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

RÉMI GRIBONVAL PANAMA Project Team Inria Rennes-Bretagne Atlantique 35042 Rennes, France Email: remi.gribonval@inria.fr MARC E. PFETSCH
Research Group Optimization
Technical University Darmstadt
64293 Darmstadt, Germany
Email: pfetsch@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Abstract—We investigate the computational complexity of a problem arising in the context of sparse optimization, namely, the projection onto the set of k-cosparse vectors w.r.t. some given matrix Ω . We show that this projection problem is (strongly) NP-hard, even in the special cases where the matrix Ω contains only ternary or bipolar coefficients. Interestingly, this is in stark contrast to the projection onto the set of k-sparse vectors, which is trivially solved by keeping only the k largest coefficients.

Index Terms—Compressed Sensing, Computational Complexity, Cosparsity, Projection

I. INTRODUCTION

A central problem in compressed sensing (CS) is the task of finding a sparsest solution to an underdetermined linear system, i.e.,

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}, \tag{P_0}$$

for a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with m < n, where $\|x\|_0$ denotes the number of nonzero entries in x. This problem is known to be strongly NP-hard; the same is true for the variant with Ax = b replaced by $\|Ax - b\|_2 \le \varepsilon$.

Two related problems arise in signal and image processing, where the unknown signal x to be estimated from a low-dimensional observation b = Ax cannot directly be modeled as being sparse. In the most standard approach, x is assumed to be built from the superposition of few building blocks or *atoms* from an overcomplete dictionary D, i.e., x = Dz where the representation vector z is sparse. Minimizing $\|z\|_0$ s.t. ADz = b is obviously also NP-hard.

The alternative cosparse analysis model [1] assumes that Ωx has many zeros, where Ω is an analysis operator. Typical examples include finite difference operators; they are closely connected to total variation minimization and defined as computing the difference between adjacent sample values (for a signal) or pixel values (for an image). The cosparse optimization problem of interest reads

$$\min \|\mathbf{\Omega} \boldsymbol{x}\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b} \tag{1}$$

and was also shown to be NP-hard [1, Section 4.1].

A popular approach to solve (P_0) is the Iterative Hard Thresholding (IHT) algorithm, which iterates a gradient descent step to decrease the error $\|A\tilde{x} - b\|_2$ and a hard-thresholding step. In recent adaptations of IHT and related algorithms to the cosparse analysis setting (e.g., [2]), a key step is the projection onto the set of k-cosparse vectors, as a replacement for hard-thresholding (which is the projection onto the set of k-sparse vectors).

We show that *k*-cosparse projection is strongly NP-hard in general, which contrasts with the synthesis case where the corresponding operation (hard-thresholding) is extremely simple and fast.

II. COMPLEXITY OF COSPARSE PROJECTION PROBLEMS

Given an $r \times n$ matrix Ω (with r > n), an n-vector ω , and a positive integer k, the (Euclidean) projection of ω onto the set of

vectors that are k-cosparse w.r.t. Ω is formally given by

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{\Omega},k}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \coloneqq \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \big\{ \|\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_2 : \|\mathbf{\Omega}\boldsymbol{z}\|_0 \le k \big\}.$$
 (k-CoSP)

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1: Given $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ (r > n), $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, p > 1, it is NP-hard in the strong sense to solve the k-cosparse ℓ_p -norm projection problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \|\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_p^q : \|\boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{z}\|_0 \le k \right\}, \tag{k-CoSP}_p)$$

where q=p if $p<\infty$ and q=1 if $p=\infty$. The problem remains strongly NP-hard even if ω has only binary coefficients in $\{0,1\}$ (with exactly one entry nonzero) and Ω has only ternary or bipolar coefficients in $\{-1,0,+1\}$ or $\{-1,1\}$, respectively.

Our proof (see [3] for the details) works with the MIN-ULR $_0^=(A,K)$ problem: Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ and a positive integer $K \in \mathbb{N}$, decide whether there exists a vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$ and at most K of the m equalities in the system $A\mathbf{z} = 0$ are violated. This problem was proven to be (strongly) NP-complete even for ternary or bipolar matrices A in [4]. We show that one can answer MINULR $_0^-(A,K)$ by at most n calls to an algorithm solving $(k\text{-CoSP}_p)$, implying that such a projection algorithm cannot be polynomial in general unless P=NP.

Clearly, if a minimizer was known, we would also know the optimal value of $(k\text{-CoSP}_p)$. Hence, computing a minimizer is at least as hard as solving $(k\text{-CoSP}_p)$, and the complexity results of Theorem 1 carry over directly. In particular, we obtain the following result for the usual Euclidean projection:

Corollary 1: It is strongly NP-hard to compute $\Pi_{\Omega,k}(\omega)$. In theoretical algorithmic applications of (k-CoSP), it had so far been assumed that the Euclidean projection problem $(k\text{-CoSP}_2)$ can be approximated efficiently, see, e.g., [2]. Our results refute this assumption to a certain degree, since NP-hardness in the strong sense implies that no *fully polynomial-time approximation scheme* (FPTAS) can exists unless P=NP. Thus, it remains a challenge to find (practically) efficient *approximation* algorithms for the k-cosparse projection problem $(k\text{-CoSP}_p)$, or to establish further (perhaps negative) results concerning its approximability.

REFERENCES

- S. Nam and M. E. Davies and M. Elad and R. Gribonval, "The cosparse analysis model and algorithms", *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, vol. 34, pp. 30–56, 2013.
- [2] R. Giryes and S. Nam and R. Gribonval and M. E. Davies, "Iterative Cosparse Projection Algorithms for the Recovery of Cosparse Vectors," in *Proc. EUSIPCO 2011*, Barcelona, Spain, 2011.
- [3] A. M. Tillmann and R. Gribonval and M. E. Pfetsch, "Projection Onto the k-Cosparse Set is NP-hard", preprint, arXiv:1303.5305[cs.CC], 2013.
- [4] E. Amaldi and V. Kann, "The complexity and approximability of finding maximum feasible subsystems of linear relations," *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 147, pp. 181–210, 1995.