

# **Statistical inference for Sobol pick freeze Monte Carlo method**

Fabrice Gamboa, Alexandre Janon, Thierry Klein, Agnes Lagnoux-Renaudie,

Clémentine Prieur

# **To cite this version:**

Fabrice Gamboa, Alexandre Janon, Thierry Klein, Agnes Lagnoux-Renaudie, Clémentine Prieur. Statistical inference for Sobol pick freeze Monte Carlo method. Statistics, 2016, 50 (4), pp.881-902.  $10.1080/02331888.2015.1105803$ . hal-00804668

# **HAL Id: hal-00804668 <https://inria.hal.science/hal-00804668v1>**

Submitted on 26 Mar 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Statistical inference for Sobol pick freeze Monte Carlo method

F. Gamboa, A. Janon, T. Klein, A. Lagnoux, C. Prieur<sup>‡</sup>

March 26, 2013

#### Abstract

Many mathematical models involve input parameters, which are not precisely known. Global sensitivity analysis aims to identify the parameters whose uncertainty has the largest impact on the variability of a quantity of interest (output of the model). One of the statistical tools used to quantify the influence of each input variable on the output is the Sobol sensitivity index. We consider the statistical estimation of this index from a finite sample of model outputs. We study asymptotic and non-asymptotic properties of two estimators of Sobol indices. These properties are applied to significance tests and estimation by confidence intervals.

## Contents



<sup>∗</sup> Institut de mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Toulouse 3

<sup>†</sup>Laboratoire de Sciences Actuarielle et Financière, ISFA, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

<sup>‡</sup>Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann, MOISE/INRIA, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>1 Introduction

Many mathematical models encountered in applied sciences involve a large number of poorly-known parameters as inputs. It is important for the practitioner to assess the impact of this uncertainty on the model output. An aspect of this assessment is sensitivity analysis, which aims to identify the most sensitive parameters, that is, parameters having the largest influence on the output. In global stochastic sensitivity analysis (see for example [\[14](#page-18-0)] and references therein) the input variables are assumed to be independent random variables. Their probability distributions account for the practitioner's belief about the input uncertainty. This turns the model output into a random variable, whose total variance can be split down into different partial variances (this is the so-called Hoeffding decomposition, see [\[17\]](#page-18-1)). Each of these partial variances measures the uncertainty on the output induced by each input variable uncertainty. By considering the ratio of each partial variance to the total variance, we obtain a measure of importance for each input variable that is called the Sobol index or sensitivity index of the variable [\[15](#page-18-2)]; the most sensitive parameters can then be identified and ranked as the parameters with the largest Sobol indices.

Once the Sobol indices have been defined, the question of their effective computation or estimation remains open. In practice, one has to estimate (in a statistical sense) those indices using a finite sample (of size typically in the order of hundreds of thousands) of evaluations of model outputs [\[3\]](#page-18-3). Indeed, many Monte Carlo or quasi Monte Carlo approaches have been developed by the experimental sciences and engineering communities. This includes the Sobol pick-freeze (SPF) scheme (see [\[15,](#page-18-2) [16\]](#page-18-4)). In SPF a Sobol index is viewed as the regression coefficient between the output of the model and its pick-freezed replication. This replication is obtained by holding the value of the variable of interest (frozen variable) and by sampling the other variables (picked variables). The sampled replications are then combined to produce an estimator of the Sobol index. In this paper we study very deeply this Monte Carlo method in the general framework where one or more variables can be frozen. This allows to define sensitivity indices with respect to a general random input living in a probability space (groups of variables, random vectors, random processes...).

In [\[7\]](#page-18-5), the authors have studied the asymptotic behavior of two pick-freeze estimators of a single Sobol index. The results in this paper can be continued in two directions. The first direction is motivated by the fact that in general, so as to rank input variables according to their importance, the pratictioners jointly estimate the collection of all the first-order as well as the total Sobol indices. As these different estimators are dependent, the asymptotic marginal distributions are not fully informative, and one has to characterize the joint law of the estimators. This joint law allows, for example, to perform significance tests and comparisons between different indices, so as to rigorously rank the input variables, taking into account indices estimation errors. The second direction is motivated by the fact that asymptotic distributions are unattainable in practice, hence, non-asymptotic tools (such as concentration inequalities, and Berry-Esseen-like theorems) about the distribution of the Sobol indices estimators should be investigated. Such results will allow conservative certification for the index estimates.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section [2,](#page-2-1) we review the Sobol pick-freeze method and give the estimators that are studied in the paper. In Section [3,](#page-4-0) we prove a central limit theorem which gives the joint asymptotic distribution of any closed Sobol index [\[14\]](#page-18-0), which in particular can be used to explicit the asymptotic distribution of all first-order and total index estimators. We then apply this central limit theorem to significance and comparison tests on Sobol indices. Sections [4](#page-11-0) and [5](#page-14-0) are dedicated to non-asymptotic studies of the distribution of a single Sobol index estimator. These two sections, respectively, give concentration inequalities and Berry-Esseen bounds. All our theoretical results are numerically illustrated on model examples.

### <span id="page-2-1"></span>2 Sobol pick freeze Monte Carlo method

#### <span id="page-2-2"></span>2.1 Black box model and Sobol indices

In the whole paper, we consider a non necessarily linear regression model connecting an output  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ to independent random input vectors  $X_1, \ldots X_p$  with for  $i = 1, \ldots p$ ,  $X_i$  belongs to some probability space  $\mathcal{X}_i$ . We denote

$$
Y = f(X) := f(X_1, \dots, X_p)
$$
\n<sup>(1)</sup>

.

where f is a deterministic real valued measurable function defined on  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \times \ldots \mathcal{X}_p$ . We assume that Y is square integrable and non deterministic (Var $Y \neq 0$ ).

Let  $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  be k subsets of  $I_p := \{1, \ldots, p\}$ . The vector of closed Sobol indices (see [\[14\]](#page-18-0)) is then

$$
S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} := \left( \frac{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X_i, i \in u_1))}{\text{Var}(Y)}, \dots, \frac{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X_i, i \in u_k))}{\text{Var}(Y)} \right)
$$

As pointed out and discussed in the Introduction, Sobol indices are useful quantities widely used in engineering and applied sciences in the context of prioritisation of influent input variables of a complicated computer simulation code (see for example [\[14](#page-18-0)], [\[2](#page-18-6)]) and our paper gives a rigourous statistical analysis of these quantities. Notice that considering the whole vector  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{u}}$  allows estimation of asymptotic confidence regions and tests for joint significance (see Section [3\)](#page-4-0).

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>2.2 Monte Carlo estimation of S: Sobol pick freeze method

For X and for any subset v of  $I_p$  we define  $X^v$  by the vector such that  $X_i^v = X_i$  if  $i \in v$  and  $X_i^v = X_i^t$ if  $i \notin v$  where  $X'_i$  is an independent copy of  $X_i$ . We then set

$$
Y^v := f(X^v).
$$

The next lemma [\[7](#page-18-5), Lemma 1.2] shows how to express  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  in terms of covariances. This will lead to a natural estimator:

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** For any  $u \subset I_p$ , one has

$$
Var(\mathbb{E}(Y|X_i, i \in u)) = Cov(Y, Y^{\mathbf{u}}).
$$
\n(2)

An estimator with a close expression has been considered in [\[5\]](#page-18-7).

#### Notation

From now on, we will denote  $\text{Var}(Y)$  by V,  $\text{Cov}(Y, Y^{\mathbf{u}})$  by  $C_u$  and  $\overline{Z}_N$  the empirical mean of any N-sample  $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_N)$  of Z.

A first estimation for  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{u}}$ . In view of Lemma [2.1,](#page-3-1) we are now able to define a first natural estimator of  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  (all sums are taken for i from 1 to N):

$$
S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i Y_i^{u_1} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i\right)\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i^{u_1}\right)}{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i\right)^2}, \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i Y_i^{u_k} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i\right)\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i^{u_k}\right)}{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i\right)^2}\right).
$$
 (3)

These estimators have been considered in [\[5](#page-18-7)], where it has been showed to be practically efficient estimators.

A second estimation for  $S_{\text{Cl}}^u$ . Since the observations consist in  $(Y_i, Y_i^{u_1}, \ldots, Y_i^{u_k})_{(1 \le i \le N)}$ , a more precise estimation of the first and second moments can be done and we are able to define a second estimator of  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  taking into account all the available information. Define

$$
Z_i^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^k Y_i^{u_j} \right), \quad M_i^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( Y_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (Y_i^{u_j})^2 \right).
$$

The second estimator is then defined as

$$
T_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_{i}Y_{i}^{u_{1}} - \left(\frac{1}{2N}\sum(Y_{i} + Y_{i}^{u_{1}})\right)^{2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum M_{i}^{\mathbf{u}} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Z_{i}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)^{2}}, \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_{i}Y_{i}^{u_{k}} - \left(\frac{1}{2N}\sum(Y_{i} + Y_{i}^{u_{k}})\right)^{2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum M_{i}^{\mathbf{u}} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Z_{i}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)^{2}}\right).
$$
 (4)

This estimator (in the  $k = 1$  case) was first introduced by Monod in [\[9](#page-18-8)] and Janon et al. studied its asymptotic properties (CLT, efficiency) in [\[7](#page-18-5)]. In [\[11](#page-18-9), [10\]](#page-18-10) Owen introduces new estimators for Sobol indices and compares numerically their performances. The delta method can also be used on these pick-freeze estimators to derive their asymptotic properties.

Remark 2.2. One could use all the information available in the sample by defining the following estimator:

$$
\left(\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_iY_i^{u_1} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Z_i^u\right)^2}{\frac{1}{N}\sum M_i^u - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Z_i^u\right)^2}, \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_iY_i^{u_k} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Z_i^u\right)^2}{\frac{1}{N}\sum M_i^u - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum Z_i^u\right)^2}\right)
$$

However, our empirical studies show that this estimator has a larger variance than  $T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ .

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>3 Joint CLT for Sobol index estimates with applications to significance tests

#### <span id="page-4-1"></span>3.1 Main results

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $\mathbb{E}(Y^4) < \infty$ . Then:

1.

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\sqrt{N}\left(S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}\right) \underset{N \to \infty}{\xrightarrow{L}} \mathcal{N}_k\left(0, \Gamma_{\mathbf{u},S}\right) \tag{5}
$$

.

where  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},S} = ((\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},S})_{l,j})_{1 \leq l,j \leq k}$  with

$$
(\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},S})_{l,j} = \frac{\text{Cov}(YY^{u_l},YY^{u_j}) - S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_l}\text{Cov}(YY^{u_j},Y^2) - S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_j}\text{Cov}(YY^{u_l},Y^2) + S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_j}S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_l}\text{Var}(Y^2)}{(\text{Var}(Y))^2}
$$

2.

<span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
\sqrt{N}\left(T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}-S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)\underset{N\to\infty}{\xrightarrow{L}}\mathcal{N}_k\left(0,\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T}\right)
$$
(6)

where  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T} = ((\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T})_{l,j})_{1 \leq l,j \leq k}$  with

$$
(\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T})_{l,j} = \frac{\text{Cov}(YY^{u_l}, YY^{u_j}) - S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_l} \text{Cov}(YY^{u_j}, M^{\mathbf{u}}) - S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_j} \text{Cov}(YY^{u_l}, M^{\mathbf{u}}) + S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_j} S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_l} \text{Var}(M^{\mathbf{u}})}{(\text{Var}(Y))^2}.
$$

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>3.2 Some particular cases

1. Assume  $k = p$ ,  $u = (\{1\}, \ldots, \{p\})$  and  $\mathbb{E}(Y^4) < \infty$ . We denote  $Y_i^{\{j\}}$  by  $Y_i^j$ . Here

$$
S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} = \left( \frac{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X_1))}{\text{Var}(Y)}, \dots, \frac{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X_p))}{\text{Var}(Y)} \right)
$$

and

$$
T_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} = \left( \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i Y_i^1 - \left(\frac{1}{2N} \sum (Y_i + Y_i^1)\right)^2}{\frac{1}{N} \sum M_i^{\mathbf{u}} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Z_i^{\mathbf{u}}\right)^2}, \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i Y_i^p - \left(\frac{1}{2N} \sum (Y_i + Y_i^p)\right)^2}{\frac{1}{N} \sum M_i^{\mathbf{u}} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Z_i^{\mathbf{u}}\right)^2} \right).
$$

The CLT becomes

$$
\sqrt{N}\left(T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}-S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)\underset{N\rightarrow\infty}{\overset{\mathcal{L}}{\rightarrow}}\mathcal{N}_{p}\left(0,\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T}\right)
$$

where  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T} = ((\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T})_{l,j})_{1 \leq l,j \leq k}$  with

$$
(\text{Var}(Y))^2 (\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T})_{l,j} = \text{Cov}(YY^l, YY^j) - S^l_{\text{Cl}} \text{Cov}(YY^j, M^{\mathbf{u}}) - S^j_{\text{Cl}} \text{Cov}(YY^l, M^{\mathbf{u}}) + S^j_{\text{Cl}} S^l_{\text{Cl}} \text{Var}(M^{\mathbf{u}}).
$$

2. We can obviously have a CLT for any index of order 2. Indeed if we take  $k = 1$  and  $(i, j) \in$  $\{1,\ldots,p\}^2$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $u = \{i,j\}$ . We get  $Z^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{2}(Y + Y^{\mathbf{u}})$  and  $M^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{2}(Y^2 + (Y^{\mathbf{u}})^2)$ ; thus

$$
S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X_i, X_j))}{\text{Var}(Y)} \text{ and } T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i Y_i^{\mathbf{u}} - \left(\frac{1}{2N} \sum (Y_i + Y_i^{\mathbf{u}})\right)^2}{\frac{1}{2N} \sum (Y^2 + (Y^{\mathbf{u}})^2) - \left(\frac{1}{2N} \sum (Y_i + Y_i^{\mathbf{u}})\right)^2}.
$$
The CLT becomes

$$
\sqrt{N}\left(T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}-S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)\underset{N\rightarrow\infty}{\overset{\mathcal{L}}{\rightarrow}}\mathcal{N}_{1}\left(0,\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T}\right)
$$

with

$$
\left(\text{Var}(Y)\right)^2\,\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},T}\right)=\text{Var}(YY^{\mathbf{u}})-2S^{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{Cl}}\text{Cov}(YY^{\mathbf{u}},Y^2)+\frac{\left(S^{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{Cl}}\right)^2}{2}\left(\text{Var}(Y^2)+\text{Cov}(Y^2,(Y^{\mathbf{u}})^2)\right).
$$

3. One can also straightforwardly deduce the joint distribution of the vector of all indices of order 2. For example, if  $p = 3$  take  $k = 3$  and  $\mathbf{u} = (\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\})$  and apply Theorem [3.1.](#page-4-3)

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>3.3 Proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3)

Since  $S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  are invariant by any centering (translation) of the  $Y_i$ 's and  $Y_i^{u_j}$ 's for  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , we can simplify the next calculations translating by  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ . For the sake of simplicity,  $Y_i$  and  $Y_i^{u_j}$  now denote the centered random variables.

#### Proof of  $(5)$ :

Recall that

$$
S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} = \left( \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i Y_i^{u_1} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i\right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i^{u_1}\right)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i\right)^2} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_1}, \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i Y_i^{u_k} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i\right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i^{u_k}\right)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum Y_i\right)^2} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{u_k} \right).
$$

Let  $W_i = (Y_i Y_i^{u_j}, j = 1, ..., k, Y_i, Y_i^{u_j}, j = 1, ..., k, Y_i^2)^t$   $(i = 1, ...)$  and g the mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  defined by

$$
g(x_1,...,x_k,y,y_1,...,y_k,z) = \left(\frac{x_1 - yy_1}{z - y^2}, \ldots, \frac{x_k - yy_k}{z - y^2}\right).
$$

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the covariance matrix of  $W_i$ . The vectorial central limit theorem implies that

$$
\sqrt{N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum W_i - \mathbb{E}(W)\right) \underset{N \to \infty}{\xrightarrow{L}} \mathcal{N}_{2k+2}\left(0, \Sigma\right)
$$

We then apply the so-called Delta method [\[17\]](#page-18-1) to  $W$  and  $g$  so that

$$
\sqrt{N} \left( g(\overline{W}_N) - g(\mathbb{E}(W)) \right) \underset{N \to \infty}{\xrightarrow{L}} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, J_g(\mathbb{E}(W)) \Sigma J_g(\mathbb{E}(W))^t \right)
$$

with  $J_q(\mathbb{E}(W))$  the Jacobian of g at point  $\mathbb{E}(W)$ .

Define  $(g_1, ..., g_k) := \varphi$ . For  $i = 1, ..., k, j = 1, ..., k$ ,

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbb{E}(W)) = \frac{1}{V}\delta_{i,j} \\
\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y}(\mathbb{E}(W)) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y_i}(\mathbb{E}(W)) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z}(\mathbb{E}(W)) = -\frac{S_{\text{CI}}^{u_j}}{V}\n\end{cases}
$$

with  $\delta_{i,i} = 1$  and  $\delta_{i,j} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{u},S} = J_g(\mathbb{E}(W)) \Sigma J_g(\mathbb{E}(W))^t$  is as stated in Theorem [3.1.](#page-4-3)

#### Proof of  $(6)$ :

The proof is similar to the one of [\(5\)](#page-4-4). We now define  $W_i = (Y_i Y_i^{u_j}, j = 1, ..., k, Y_i, Y_i^{u_j}, j =$  $1 \ldots, k, \overline{(Y_i^{\mathbf{u}})^2}$ , We apply the delta method to g from  $\mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  defined by

$$
g(x_1, \ldots, x_k, y, y_1, \ldots, y_k, z) = \left(\frac{x_1 - \left(\frac{y+y_1}{2}\right)^2}{z - \left(\frac{y+y_1 + \ldots + y_k}{k+1}\right)^2}, \ldots, \frac{x_k - \left(\frac{y+y_k}{2}\right)^2}{z - \left(\frac{y+y_1 + \ldots + y_k}{k+1}\right)^2}\right).
$$

For  $i = 1, ..., k, j = 1, ..., k$ ,

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} u(\mathbb{E}(W)) = \frac{1}{V} \delta_{i,j} \\
\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y} (\mathbb{E}(W)) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y_i} (\mathbb{E}(W)) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z} (\mathbb{E}(W)) = -\frac{S_{\text{CI}}^{u_j}}{V}.\n\end{cases}
$$

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>3.4 Significance tests

In order to simplify the notation we will write the vectors  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  as column vectors. In this section, we give a general procedure to build significance tests of level  $\alpha$  and then illustrate this procedure on two examples.

Let  $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  so that for any  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ ,  $u_i$  is a subset of  $I_p := \{1, \ldots, p\}$ . Similarly, let  $\mathbf{v} := (v_1, \ldots, v_l)$  and  $\mathbf{w} := (w_1, \ldots, w_l)$  be l be so that for any  $i = 1, \ldots, l, v_i \subseteq I_p$  and  $w_i \subseteq I_p$ . Consider the following general testing problem

$$
H_0: S^{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{Cl}} = 0
$$
 and  $S^{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{Cl}} = S^{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{Cl}}$  against  $H_1: H_0$  is not true.

Remark 3.2. Note that one can also test

$$
H_0: S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \le s \quad \text{against} \quad H_1: S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} > s,
$$

or

$$
H_0: S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \leq S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{v}} \quad \text{against} \quad H_1: S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} > S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{v}}.
$$

Appling Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) we have

$$
G_N := \sqrt{N} \left( \begin{pmatrix} S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{v}} - S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{w}} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{v}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{w}} \end{pmatrix} \right) \underset{N \to \infty}{\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\rightarrow}} \mathcal{N}_{k+l} (0, \Gamma). \tag{7}
$$

Since we have an explicit expression of Γ we may build an estimator  $\Gamma_N$  of Γ thanks to empirical means. Note that  $(\Gamma_N)_N$  converges a.s. to Γ. Define

$$
\widetilde{G}_N := \sqrt{N} \begin{pmatrix} S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{v}} - S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{w}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then:

$$
G_N = \widetilde{G}_N - \begin{pmatrix} S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{v}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{w}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

**Corollary 3.3.** Under  $H_0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_N \underset{N \to \infty}{\overset{L}{\to}} \mathcal{N}_{k+l} (0, \Gamma)$ . Under  $H_1$ ,  $|\widetilde{G}_N(1)| + |\widetilde{G}_N(2)| \overset{a.s.}{\underset{N \to \infty}{\to}} \infty$ .

This corollary allows us to construct several tests. It is a well-known fact that in the case of a vectorial null hypothesis "there exists no uniformly most powerful test, not even among the unbiased tests" (see Chapter 15 in [\[17\]](#page-18-1)). In practice, we return to the dimension 1 introducing a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^{k+l} \to \mathbb{R}$  and testing  $H_0(F)$ :  $F(h) = 0$  (respectively  $H_1(F)$ :  $F(h) \neq 0$ ) instead of  $H_0 : h = 0$  (resp.  $H_1 : h \neq 0$ ). The choice of a reasonable test "depends on the alternatives at which we wish a high power".

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Remark 3.4.** If we take as test statistic  $T_N = A\widetilde{G}_N$  where A is a linear form defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{l+k}$ , under  $H_0$ ,  $T_N \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, A\Gamma A')$ . Replacing  $\Gamma$  by  $\Gamma_N$  and using Slutsky's lemma we get

$$
(A\Gamma_N A')^{-1/2}T_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\xrightarrow{L}} \mathcal{N}(0,1).
$$

Thus we reject  $H_0$  if  $(A\Gamma_N A')^{-1/2}T_N \geq z_\alpha$  where  $z_\alpha$  is the  $1-\alpha$  quantile of a standard Gaussian random variable.

One can have a similar result when A is not anymore linear but only  $C^1$  by applying the so-called Delta method.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>3.4.1 Numerical applications: toy examples

Example 1 In this first toy example, we compare 5 different test statistics through their power function. Let  $X = (X_1, X_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_2)$ , and

$$
Y = f(X) = \lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_1 X_2 + \lambda_2 X_1 X_2,
$$

with  $2\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 1$ . We consider here the following testing problem

$$
H_0: S^1_{Cl} = S^2_{Cl} = \lambda_1^2 = 0
$$
 against  $H_1: \lambda_1 \neq 0$ .

Then, computations lead to

$$
\Gamma(1,1) = \Gamma(2,2) = 3 - 2\lambda_1^2 - 11\lambda_1^4 + 24\lambda_1^6 - 24\lambda_1^8
$$
  

$$
\Gamma(2,1) = \Gamma(1,2) = -7\lambda_1^4 + 24\lambda_1^6 - 24\lambda_1^8.
$$

The Gaussian limit in Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) is  $\mathcal{N}_2(0, 3Id_2)$  under  $H_0$  while it is asymptotically distributed as  $\mathcal{N}_2(0,\Gamma)$  under  $H_1$ .

**Test 1:** we take as test statistic  $T_{N,1} = \widetilde{G}_N(1) + \widetilde{G}_N(2)$ .

Under  $H_0$ ,  $T_{N,1} \underset{N \to \infty}{\overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to}} \mathcal{N}(0,6)$  so we reject  $H_0$  if  $T_{N,1} > z_\alpha$  where  $z_\alpha/\sqrt{6}$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$  quantile of a standard Gaussian random variable. While under  $H_1$ , following the procedure of Remark [3.4](#page-6-1) with  $A = (1 1).$ 

$$
\left(T_{N,1} - 2\sqrt{N}\lambda_1^2\right) / (2[\Gamma(1,1) + \Gamma(1,2)])^{1/2} \underset{N \to \infty}{\overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to}} \mathcal{N}(0,1).
$$

It is then easy to compute the theoretical power function. In Figure [1](#page-8-0) we plot this theoretical function called true power fct t1 and the empirical power function called estimated power fct t1. To compute the empirical power function we didn't assume the knowledge of the matrix  $\Gamma$  nor the one of the function f.

Test 2: since the Sobol indices are non negative, the testing problem is naturally unilateral. However in view of more general contexts we introduce the test statistic  $T_{N,2} = |G_N(1)| + |G_N(2)|$ . We reject H<sub>0</sub> if  $T_{N,2} > z_\alpha$  where  $z_\alpha/\sqrt{3}$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$  quantile of the random variable having

$$
\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-u^2/4}\Phi(u/\sqrt{2})1\!1\mathbb{R}_+(u)
$$

as density ( $\Phi$  being the distribution function of a standard Gaussian random variable). Under  $H_1$ , the power function of  $T_{N,2}$  and the limit variance are estimated using Monte Carlo technics. In Figure [1](#page-8-0) we plot this empirical power function called estimated power fct t2.

**Test 3:** in the same spirit, we introduce the test statistic  $T_{N,3} = |\tilde{G}_N(1) + \tilde{G}_N(2)|$ . We reject  $H_0$ if  $T_{N,3} > z_\alpha$  where  $z_\alpha/\sqrt{6}$  is the  $(1 - \alpha/2)$  quantile of a standard Gaussian random variable.Under  $H_1$ , the power function of  $T_{N,3}$  and the limit variance are estimated using Monte Carlo technics. In Figure [1](#page-8-0) we plot this empirical power function called estimated power fct t3.

**Test 4:** we use the  $L^2$  norm and consider  $T_{N,4} = (G_N(1))^2 + (G_N(2))^2$ . Under  $H_0, T_{N,4}/3 \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\sim} \chi_2(2)$ so we reject  $H_0$  if  $T_{N,4} > z_\alpha$  where  $z_\alpha/3$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$  quantile of a  $\chi_2$  random variablewith 2 degrees of freedom. Under  $H_1$ , the power function of  $T_{N,4}$  and the limit variance are estimated using Monte Carlo technics. We plot this empirical power function in Figure [1](#page-8-0) called estimated power fct t4.

**Test 5:** we use the infinity norm and consider  $T_{N,5} = max(|G_N(1)|; |G_N(2)|)$ . We reject  $H_0$  if  $T_{N,5} > z_{\alpha}$  where  $z_{\alpha}/\sqrt{3}$  is the  $[1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}]/2$  quantile of a standard Gaussian random variable. Under  $H_1$ , the power function of  $T_{N,5}$  and the limit variance are estimated using Monte Carlo technics. In Figure [1](#page-8-0) we plot this theoretical function called **true power fct t5** and the empirical power function called estimated power fct t5.

In Figure [1](#page-8-0) we thus present the plot of the different power functions for  $N = 100$ , 500 and 1000.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 1: Power functions

Example 2 Let  $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_3), 2\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 1$  and

$$
Y = f(X) = \lambda_1(X_2 + X_3) + \lambda_2 X_1 X_2.
$$

Let us test if  $X_1$  has any influence ie  $H_0$ :  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{1\}} = 0$ ,  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{1,2\}} = S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{2\}}$  and  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{1,3\}} = S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{3\}}$ . Applying Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) we easily get

$$
G_N := \sqrt{N} \left( \begin{pmatrix} S_{N,\text{Cl}}^1 \\ S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{1,2} - S_{N,\text{Cl}}^2 \\ S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{1,3} - S_{N,\text{Cl}}^3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} S_{\text{Cl}}^1 \\ S_{\text{Cl}}^{1,2} - S_{\text{Cl}}^2 \\ S_{\text{Cl}}^{1,3} - S_{\text{Cl}}^3 \end{pmatrix} \right) \underset{N \to \infty}{\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}} \mathcal{N}_3(0,\Gamma) \,.
$$

Here under  $H_0$  the covariance limit  $\Gamma$  in Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) is the identity matrix. Under  $H_1$  we use its explicit expression given in Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) to compute an empirical estimator  $\Gamma_N$ . We compare Test 1, Test 3, Test 4 and Test 5 defined in the previous example. We present in Figure [2](#page-9-0) the plot of the different estimated power functions for  $N = 100$ , 500 and 1000.

Figures [1](#page-8-0) and [2](#page-9-0) show, as expected, that increasing N leads to a steeper power function (hence, a better discrimination between the hypothesis), and that the estimated power function gets closer to



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure 2: Estimated power functions for different values of N.

the true one. We also see that no test is the most powerful, uniformly in  $\lambda_1$ , in accordance with the theory quoted above.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>Ishigami function The Ishigami model [\[6](#page-18-11)] is given by:

$$
Y = f(X_1, X_2, X_3) = \sin X_1 + 7\sin^2 X_2 + 0.1X_3^4 \sin X_1
$$
\n(8)

for  $(X_i)_{i=1,2,3}$  are i.i.d. uniform random variables in  $[-\pi;\pi]$ . Exact values of these indices are analytically known:

$$
S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{1\}} = 0.3139, S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{2\}} = 0.4424, S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{3\}} = 0.
$$

We perform simulations in order to show that our test procedure allows us to recover the fact that  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\{3\}}=0$ , even for relatively small values of N. In Table [1,](#page-10-1) we present the simulated confidence levels obtained for  $N \in \{10, 50, 100, 500, 1000\}$  by the following procedure. For each value of N, we use a 1000 sample to estimate the confidence level and we repeat this scheme 20 times. We give in Table [1](#page-10-1) the minimum, the mean and the maximum of these 20 distinct simulated values of the confidence levels.

N	Min	Mean	Max
10	0.041	0.0463	0.048
50	0.042	0.0482	0.050
100	0.044	0.0489	0.051
500	0.047	0.0510	0.053
1000	0.049	0.0510	0.055

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Table 1: Results for the Ishigami function

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>3.4.2 Numerical applications: a real test case

It is customary in aeronautics to model the fuel mass needed to link two fixed countries with a commercial aircraft by the Bréguet formula:

$$
M_{fuel} = (M_{empty} + M_{pload}) \left( e^{\frac{SFC \cdot g \cdot Ra}{V \cdot F} 10^{-3}} - 1 \right). \tag{9}
$$

See [\[13\]](#page-18-12) for the description of the model with more details. The fixed variables are

- $M_{empty}$ : *Empty weight* = basic weight of the aircraft (excluding fuel and passengers)
- $M_{pload}$ :  $Payload =$  maximal carrying capacity of the aircraft
- $g$ : Gravitational constant
- $Ra: Range = distance traveled by the aircraft$

The uncertain variables are

- $V$ : Cruise speed = aircraft speed between ascent and descent phase
- $F:$  Lift-to-drag ratio = aerodynamic coefficient
- $SFC$ : Specific Fuel Consumption = characteristic value of engines

We follow [\[13\]](#page-18-12) and model the uncertainties as presented in Table [2.](#page-10-2)



<span id="page-10-2"></span>Table 2: Uncertainty modeling

The probability density function of a beta distribution on [a, b] with shape parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is

$$
g_{(\alpha,\beta,a,b)}(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{(\alpha-1)}(b-x)^{\beta-1}}{(b-a)^{\beta-1}B(\alpha,\beta)} 1\!\!1_{[a,b](x)},
$$

where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the beta function. Still following [\[13\]](#page-18-12), we take the nominal and extremal values of V and F as in Table [3.](#page-10-3)

variable	nominal value	mın	max
	231	226	
	19		19.05

<span id="page-10-3"></span>Table 3: Minimal and maximal values of uncertain variables

The uncertainty on the cruise speed V represents a relative difference of arrival time of 8 minutes. The airplane manufacturer may wonder whether he has to improve the quality of the engine  $(SFC)$ or the aerodynamical property of the plane  $(F)$ . Thus we study the sensitivity of  $M_{fuel}$  with respect to F and SFC and we want to know if  $H_0: S^{SFC} > S^F$  or  $H_1: S^{SFC} \leq S^F$ . Applying the test procedure described previously we can not reject  $H_0$ .

## <span id="page-11-0"></span>4 Concentration inequalities

In this section we give concentration inequalities satisfied by the Sobol indices in one dimension (i.e.  $k = 1$ ).

We define the h function by  $h(x) = (1+x)\ln(1+x) - x$  for all  $x > -1$ .

# <span id="page-11-1"></span>4.1 Concentration inequalities for  $S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$

We introduce the random variables

$$
U_i^\pm=Y_iY_i^\mathbf{u}-(S_\text{Cl}^\mathbf{u}\pm y)(Y_i)^2
$$
 and  $J_i^\pm=(S_\text{Cl}^\mathbf{u}\pm y)Y_i-Y_i^\mathbf{u}$ 

and denote  $V_U^+$  (respectively  $V_U^-$ ,  $V_J^+$  and  $V_J^-$ ) the second moment of the i.i.d. random variable  $U_i^+$  (resp.  $U_i^-$ ,  $J_i^+$  and  $J_i^-$ ).

<span id="page-11-4"></span>**Theorem 1.** Let  $b > 0$  and  $y > 0$ . Assume that all the random variables  $Y_i$  and  $Y_i^{\mathbf{u}}$  belong to  $[-b, b]$ . Then

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N,C1}^{\mathbf{u}} \ge S_{C1}^{\mathbf{u}} + y\right) \le M_1 + 2M_2 + 2M_3,\tag{10}
$$

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N,C1}^{\mathbf{u}} \le S_{C1}^{\mathbf{u}} - y\right) \le M_4 + 2M_2 + 2M_5,\tag{11}
$$

where

$$
M_1 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV_U^+}{b_U^2}h\left(\frac{b_U}{V_U^+}\frac{yV}{2}\right)\right\} \qquad M_3 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV_J^+b^2}{b_U^2}h\left(\frac{b_U}{bV_J^+}\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)\right\}
$$
  

$$
M_2 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV}{b^2}h\left(\frac{b}{V}\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)\right\} \qquad M_4 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV_U^-}{b_U^2}h\left(\frac{b_U}{V_U^-}\frac{yV}{2}\right)\right\}
$$
  

$$
M_5 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV_J^-b^2}{b_U^2}h\left(\frac{b_U}{bV_J^-}\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)\right\}
$$

and  $b_U = b^2(1 + S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} + y)$ .

**Remark 4.1.** One must be cautious since the variables  $Y_i - \overline{Y}_N$  are dependent.

*Proof.* Since  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  are invariant by translation on Y and Y<sup>u</sup>, one may assume without loss of generality that Y is centered.

1. Obviously  $U_i^+$  and  $U_i^-$  are upper-bounded by  $b_U$ ,  $J_i^+$  and  $J_i^-$  by  $b_U/b$ ,

$$
\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{E}(U_i^+)=-yV & \quad \mathbb{E}(J_i^+)=0 \\ \mathbb{E}(U_i^-)=yV & \quad \mathbb{E}(J_i^-)=0 \end{array}
$$

and

$$
V_U^{\pm} = \text{Var}(YY^{\mathbf{u}}) + (S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} + y)^2 \text{Var}(Y^2) - 2(S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \pm y) \text{Cov}(YY^{\mathbf{u}}, Y^2) + y^2 V^2
$$
  

$$
V_J^{\pm} = ((S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \pm y)^2 + 1)V - 2(S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \pm y)C_u.
$$

2. Proof of  $(10)$ . Using

$$
\{a+b\geq c\}\subset\{a\geq c/2\}\cup\{b\geq c/2\}\quad\text{and}\quad\{ab\geq c\}\subset\{|a|\geq\sqrt{c}\}\cup\{|b|\geq\sqrt{c}\}
$$

one gets

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \geq S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} + y\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}Y_{i}Y_{i}^{\mathbf{u}} - \overline{Y}_{N}\overline{Y}_{N}^{\mathbf{u}}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(Y_{i})^{2} - (\overline{Y}_{N})^{2}} \geq S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} + y\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(U_{i}^{+} - \mathbb{E}(U^{+})\right) + \overline{Y}_{N}\overline{J}_{N}^{+} \geq yV\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(U_{i}^{+} - \mathbb{E}(U^{+})\right)\right) \geq N\frac{yV}{2}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}Y_{i} \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}(-Y_{i}) \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}J_{i}^{+} \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}(-J_{i}^{+}) \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right).
$$

Inequality [\(10\)](#page-11-2) comes directly by applying five times Bennett inequality (see [\[1](#page-18-13)] and references therein).

3. Proof of [\(11\)](#page-11-3). In the same way, one gets

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} \leq S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} - y\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-U_{i}^{-} + \mathbb{E}(U^{-})\right) + \left(-\overline{Y}_{N}\right)\overline{J}_{N} \geq yV\right)
$$
  

$$
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-U_{i}^{-} + \mathbb{E}(U^{-})\right) \geq N\frac{yV}{2}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)
$$
  

$$
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-Y_{i}\right) \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}^{-} \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right)
$$
  

$$
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-J_{i}^{-}\right) \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2}}\right).
$$

Inequality [\(11\)](#page-11-3) comes directly by applying five times Bennett inequality.

<span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span> $\Box$ 

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>4.2 Concentration inequalities for  $T_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}}$

<span id="page-12-3"></span>Now remind  $Z_i = \frac{Y_i + Y_i^{\mathbf{u}}}{2}$  and introduce the random variables  $K_i^{\pm} = Y_i Y_i^{\mathbf{u}} - (S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \pm y) \frac{(Y_i)^2 + (Y_i^{\mathbf{u}})^2}{2}$  $\frac{F(I_i)}{2}$ . Denote  $V_K^+$  (resp.  $V_K^-$ ) the second moment of the i.i.d. random variable  $K_i^+$  (resp.  $K_i^-$ ). **Theorem 2.** Let  $b > 0$  and  $y > 0$ . Assume that  $Y \in [-b, b]$ . Then

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{N,C1}^{\mathbf{u}} \ge S_{C1}^{\mathbf{u}} + y\right) \le m_1 + 2m_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{S_{C1}^{\mathbf{u}} + y - 1 \ge 0\}},\tag{12}
$$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{N,C1}^{\mathbf{u}} \le S_{C1}^{\mathbf{u}} - y\right) \le m_3 + 2m_4 \mathbb{1}_{\{S_{C1}^{\mathbf{u}} + y - 1 \ge 0\}},\tag{13}
$$

where

$$
m_1 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV_K^+}{b_U^2}h\left(\frac{b_U}{V_K^+}\frac{yV}{2}\right)\right\}, \quad m_2 = \exp\left\{-\frac{N(V+C)}{2b^2}h\left(\frac{b}{V+C}\sqrt{\frac{2yV}{S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}}+y-1}}\right)\right\},\
$$
  

$$
m_3 = \exp\left\{-\frac{NV_K^-}{b_U^2}h\left(\frac{b_U}{V_K^-}\frac{yV}{2}\right)\right\}, \quad m_4 = \exp\left\{-\frac{N(V+C)}{2b^2}h\left(\frac{b}{V+C}\sqrt{\frac{2yV}{y+1-S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}}}}\right)\right\}.
$$

*Proof.* Since  $T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  is invariant by translation on Y and Y<sup>u</sup>, one may assume without loss of generality that Y is centered.

1. Obvisouly  $K_i^+$  and  $K_i^-$  are upper-bounded by  $b_U$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(K_i^+) = -yV$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(K_i^-) = yV$  and

$$
V_K^{\pm} = V_U^{\pm} + (S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \pm y)^2 \frac{\text{Cov}(Y^2, (Y^{\mathbf{u}})^2) - \text{Var}(Y^2)}{2}.
$$

We also have  $Z_i$  is upper-bounded by  $b, \mathbb{E}(Z_i) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(Z_i^2) = \frac{V + C_u}{2}$ .

2. Proof of [\(12\)](#page-12-1). One gets if  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} + y - 1 \ge 0$ 

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{N,CI}^{u} \geq S_{Cl}^{u} + y\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i}Y_{i}^{u} - (\overline{Z}_{N})^{2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{Y_{i}^{2} + (Y_{i}^{u})^{2}}{2} - (\overline{Z}_{N})^{2}} \geq S_{Cl}^{u} + y\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(K_{i}^{+} - \mathbb{E}(K^{+})\right) + (\overline{Z}_{N})^{2} \left(S_{Cl}^{u} + y - 1\right) \geq yV\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(K_{i}^{+} - \mathbb{E}(K^{+})\right) \geq N\frac{yV}{2}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_{i} \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2(S_{Cl}^{u} + y - 1)}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (-Z_{i}) \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2(S_{Cl}^{u} + y - 1)}}\right).
$$

Inequality [\(12\)](#page-12-1) comes directly by applying Bennett inequality to the random variables  $K_i^+$ ,  $Z_i$ and  $-Z_i$ .

3. Proof of [\(13\)](#page-12-2). One gets since  $y + 1 - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} > 0$ 

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} \leq S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} - y\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-K_{i}^{-} + \mathbb{E}(K^{-})\right) + \left(\overline{Z}_{N}\right)^{2} (y+1-S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}}) \geq yV\right)
$$
  

$$
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-K_{i}^{-} + \mathbb{E}(K^{-})\right) \geq N\frac{yV}{2}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_{i} \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2(y+1-S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}})}}\right)
$$
  

$$
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (-Z_{i}) \geq N\sqrt{\frac{yV}{2(y+1-S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}})}}\right).
$$

Inequality [\(13\)](#page-12-2) comes from Bennett inequality to the random variables  $K_i^-$ ,  $Z_i$  and  $-Z_i$ .  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>4.3 Numerical applications

In this section, we provide numerical illustrations of the concentration inequalities stated in Sections [4.1](#page-11-1) and [4.2.](#page-12-0)

The upper bounds appearing in Theorem [1](#page-11-4) involve the (a priori) unknown quantities:

$$
Q=\left(V,V_U^+,V_U^-,V_J^+,V_J^-,S_{\rm Cl}^{\mathbf u}\right).
$$

We denote by  $pAbove(y, N)$  and  $pBelow(y, N)$  the estimators of the right-hand sides of [\(10\)](#page-11-2) and [\(11\)](#page-11-3), respectively, obtained by replacing the Q vector by its empirical estimate.

Similarly, we denote by  $pAbove'(y, N)$  and  $pBelow'(y, N)$  the estimators of the right-hand sides of [\(12\)](#page-12-1) and [\(13\)](#page-12-2) when:

$$
Q'=\left(V,C,V_K^+,V_K^-,S_{\rm Cl}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)
$$

is replaced by its empirical estimate.

One should note at this point that, on the one hand, the bounds of Theorems [1](#page-11-4) and [2](#page-12-3) are fully rigorous for any N. From a practical point of view, these bounds are not computable, unless the  $Q$  (resp.  $Q'$ )

vector is known. On the other hand, pAbove and pBelow (resp. pAbove' and pBelow') are computable but are not fully justified for finite N, as they rely on the estimation of  $Q$  (resp.  $Q'$ ). However, as pointed out in [\[4](#page-18-14)], these bounds are conservative, hence they are less sensitive to a bad estimation than the asymptotic confidence interval given by the CLT

We again take for f the Ishigami function considered in [3.4.1.](#page-9-1)

In this case, it is easy to check that  $Y \in [-b, b]$ , where:

$$
b = 8 + 0.1 \times \pi^4.
$$

When such a majoration of Y is not possible, b can be put into the  $Q$  (or  $Q'$ ) vector and estimator of it can be plugged in to obtain *pAbove* and *pBelow* (or *pAbove'* and *pBelow'*).

We also choose  $\mathbf{u} = \{1\}.$ 

Figure [3](#page-14-1) show, for different values of N, the plot of  $pAbove(y, N)$  and  $pBelow(y, N)$  (respectively,  $pAbove'(y, N)$  and  $pBelow'(y, N))$  as functions of y.



<span id="page-14-1"></span>Figure 3: Plots, for  $N \in \{1000, 4000, 8000, 12000\}$ , of  $pAbove(y, N)$  (left-top) and  $pBelow(y, N)$  (righttop),  $pAbove'(y, N)$  (left-bottom) and  $pBelow'(y, N)$  (right-bottom) for the Ishigami model and for  $u = \{1\}.$ 

As expected, the concentration inequalities are more conservative than the asymptotic confidence interval. These plots confirm that the  $T_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  concentrates faster than  $S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ , and the inequality, while conservative, is sharp enough for this desirable property of  $T_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}}$  to be reported. We also notice that there is a dissimetry in the bounds for above and below deviations, as this is often the case for concentration inequalities. Finally, the expected convergence for  $N \to +\infty$  is observed.

### <span id="page-14-0"></span>5 Berry-Esseen Theorems

In this section we will give a general Berry-Esseen type Theorem for the estimator  $S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  in one dimension (i.e.  $k = 1$ ). Let  $\Phi$  be the cumulative distribution function of the standard Gaussian <span id="page-15-0"></span>distribution.

#### 5.1 Pinelis' Theorem

We first recall a general Berry-Esseen type theorem proved in [\[12\]](#page-18-15). Let  $(V_i)_{i\geq 1}$  a sequence of i.i.d. centered random variables in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let f some measurable function:  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and such that:

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists M_{\varepsilon} > 0 \text{ s. t. } |f(x) - L(x)| \le \frac{M_{\varepsilon}}{2} ||x||^2 \tag{14}
$$

where  $L := Df(0)$  is the Fréchet derivative of f at point 0.

<span id="page-15-3"></span>**Remark 5.1.** Remark that condition  $(14)$  is satisfied as soon as f is twice continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of 0.

<span id="page-15-4"></span>**Theorem 5.2** (Corollary 3.7 in [\[12\]](#page-18-15)). Take any  $p \in (2,3]$ . Assume [\(14\)](#page-15-2) holds,

$$
\sigma:=\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(L(V)^2\right)}>0\,,
$$

and  $(\mathbb{E}(||V||^p))^{1/p} < \infty$  where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$
\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{f(\overline{V}_n)}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le z\right) - \Phi(z) \right| \le \frac{\kappa}{n^{p/2 - 1}},\tag{15}
$$

where  $\kappa$  above is a generic constant that depends only upon p.

#### <span id="page-15-1"></span>5.2 Theoretical result for the general case

For any random variable Z, denote by  $Z^c$  its centered version  $Z - \mathbb{E}(Z)$ .

Theorem 5.3. Assume that the random variable Y has finite moments up to order 6. Then, for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

<span id="page-15-5"></span>
$$
\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}\left[S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}\right] \leq z\right) - \Phi(z) \right| \leq \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{N}}.
$$
\n(16)

Here

$$
\sigma^2 := \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{V}\left(Y^c(Y^{\mathbf{u}})^c - S^{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{Cl}}(Y^c)^2\right)\right)
$$

is the asymptotic variance of  $\sqrt{N}S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ .

*Proof.* We define  $V_i = (Y_i^c (Y_i^{\mathbf{u}})^c - C_u, Y_i^c, (Y_i^{\mathbf{u}})^c, (Y_i^c)^2 - V)^t$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(x, y, z, t) = \frac{x - yz + C_u}{t - y^2 + V} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ . Note that  $f(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ ,  $f(\overline{V}_N) = S_{N, \text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u$ result is then a direct application of Theorem [5.2](#page-15-4) once  $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}(L(V)^2)$  will be computed. We have

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)(0,0,0,0) = \left(\frac{1}{V}, 0, 0, \frac{-C_u}{V^2}\right).
$$

Using notation in Section [5.1](#page-15-0) one gets

$$
L(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{V} (x - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} t)
$$
 and  $L(V) = \frac{1}{V} (Y^c (Y^{\mathbf{u}})^c - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} (Y^c)^2)$ .

Straightforward computations lead to the required result.

Then we have a Berry-Essen theorem for Sobol index estimator in a general case (whatever the first moment of  $Y$ ). However, the constant of the bound is hard or even too complex to express explicitely. In the next section we present a Berry-Essen theorem with explicit bounds in the centered case but with an estimator of  $S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  slightly different from  $S_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ .

 $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>5.3 Practical result in the centered case

In this section we give a Berry-Esseen theorem for the estimator

$$
\widetilde{S}_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} := \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i Y_i^{\mathbf{u}}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum Y_i^2}
$$

in the centered case and  $k = 1$ . Further, let  $\kappa \approx 0.42$  be the last best constant known in the classical Berry-Esseen theorem ([\[8\]](#page-18-16)). We then have

Theorem 5.4. Assume that the random variable Y has finite moment up to order 6. Then, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}(\widetilde{S}_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}) \leq t\right) - \Phi(t) \right| \leq \frac{\kappa \mu_{3,N}}{\sqrt{N}} + \left| \Phi(t) - \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{t\nu_N}{\sigma \sqrt{N} V^2}}}\right) \right|.
$$
 (17)

Here

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\sigma^2 := \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{V}\left(YY^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}Y^2\right)\right) \tag{18}
$$

is the asymptotic variance of  $\sqrt{N} \widetilde{S}_{N,\mathrm{Cl}}^{\mathrm{u}}$  and

$$
\mu_{3,N} := \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\Delta_n - \mathbb{E}(\Delta_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}\Delta_n}}\right|^3\right],
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta_N := \sigma^{-1}V\left[YY^{\mathbf{u}} - \left(S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{t\sigma}{\sqrt{N}}\right)Y^2\right],
$$
  
\n
$$
\nu_N := \left(\frac{t\sigma}{\sqrt{N}} + 2S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}\right)\text{Var}(Y^2) - 2\text{Cov}(YY^{\mathbf{u}}, Y^2).
$$

*Proof.* To begin with, we compute the asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2$  of  $\sqrt{N} \widetilde{S}_{N,Cl}^u$  : we apply the so-called Delta method [\[17\]](#page-18-1) to  $W_i = (Y_i Y_i^{\mathbf{u}}, Y_i^2)$  and  $\Psi(u, v) := uv^{-1}$ ,  $(u \in \mathbb{R}, v > 0)$ . Then  $\sigma^2 =$  $J_{\Psi}(\mathbb{E}(W))\Sigma J_{\Psi}(\mathbb{E}(W))^t$  ( $J_{\Psi}$  the Jacobian of  $\Psi$ ) and the expression given in [\(18\)](#page-16-1) follows obviously. Now, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , set

$$
A_t := \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma} \left( \widetilde{S}_{N, \text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \right) \leq t \right\}.
$$

Obvious algrebraic manipulations lead to

$$
A_t = \left\{ \sqrt{N^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{N,j} \le 0 \right\}
$$

where, for  $j = 1, \ldots, N$ ,

$$
\Delta_{N,j} := \sigma^{-1} \left[ Y_j Y_j^{\mathbf{u}} V - \left( C_u + \frac{t \sigma}{\sqrt{N}} V \right) Y_j^2 \right].
$$

Now, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}(\Delta_N) = \frac{-tV^2}{\sqrt{N}} \text{ and } \text{Var}(\Delta_N) = V^4 \left[ 1 + \frac{t\nu_N}{\sigma\sqrt{N}V^2} \right].
$$

So that denoting by  $\Delta_{N_i}$  the empirical mean of  $(\Delta_{N,j})_{j=1,\dots,N}$ , we obtain

$$
A_t = \left\{ \sqrt{N} \Delta_{N, \cdot} \le 0 \right\} = \left\{ \sqrt{N} \left( \frac{\Delta_{N, \cdot} - \mathbb{E}(\Delta_N)}{\sqrt{\text{Var} \Delta_N}} \right) \le \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{t\nu_N}{\sigma \sqrt{N} V^2}}} \right\}.
$$

Now, to conclude we apply Berry-Esseen theorem (see [\[8\]](#page-18-16)) and the triangular inequality to obtain  $(16).$  $(16).$  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>5.4 Numerical applications for the centered case

We denote by  $B(t)$  the right hand side of the Berry-Esseen inequality [\(17\)](#page-16-2). It is clear that, for any  $y > 0$ , we have:

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(-y \le \widetilde{S}_{N,Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{Cl}^{\mathbf{u}} \le y) \ge \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) \right] - \left[ B\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) + B\left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) \right] \tag{19}
$$

and:

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(-y \le \widetilde{S}_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} - S_{\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}} \le y) \le \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) \right] + \left[ B\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) + B\left(-\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sigma}y\right) \right] \tag{20}
$$

Hence, the actual confidence level of the asymptotic confidence interval for  $S^{\mathbf{u}}$  using  $\widetilde{S}_{N,\text{Cl}}^{\mathbf{u}}$  is greater than the theoretical level (first term of the sum above), minus a correction term given by the Berry-Esseen theorem (second term). The upper bound given by [\(20\)](#page-17-1) may also be of practical interest: an overly conservative (overconfident) interval is not always desirable, as a more precise interval with accurate level may exist.

As in the previous applicational section [4.3,](#page-13-0) the lower bound of the asymptotic confidence interval level involve unkown quantities (moments of  $\Delta_N$ , Y, YY<sub>u</sub>) that have to be estimated. We designate by  $L(y, N)$  (resp.  $U(y, N)$ ) the estimator of the right hand side of [\(19\)](#page-17-2) (resp. [\(20\)](#page-17-1)) when all unkown quantities are empirically estimated.

We take as output model the Ishigami function defined at Section [3.4.1,](#page-9-1) recentered by its true mean  $7/2$  :

$$
Y = f(X_1, X_2, X_3) = \sin X_1 + 7\sin^2 X_2 + 0.1X_3^4 \sin X_1 - \frac{7}{2}.
$$

Note that the true mean could also be replaced by an estimate of the mean. For  $y$ , we choose  $y = 1.96 \frac{\widehat{\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{N}}$ , where  $\widehat{\sigma^2}$  is an empirical estimate of  $\sigma^2$ , so as to compute (estimators of ) upper and lower bounds of the actual level of the 95%-level confidence interval.

We present the numerical results, as functions of N, and for  $\mathbf{u} = \{1\}$  in Figure [4;](#page-17-3) for  $\mathbf{u} = \{2\}$  or  $u = \{3\}$ , the results were very similar.



<span id="page-17-3"></span>Figure 4: Plots of  $L(N)$  (minoration of CI level)) and  $U(N)$  (majoration of CI level) for  $\mathbf{u} = \{1\}$  and different values of N.

As expected, the actual confidence level is estimated under the "target" level of the confidence interval (0.95). As  $N \to +\infty$ , our bound converges (quite slowly) to 0.95. Nevertheless, the Berry-Esseen bound we have presented quickly attains confidence levels which are very close to the asymptotic level, and it can be used so as to provide a certification, at finite sample size, of the level of the asymptotic confidence interval.

Acknowledgements. This work has been partially supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through COSINUS program (project COSTA-BRAVA nr. ANR-09-COSI-015).

### References

- <span id="page-18-13"></span>[1] Stéphane Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi, and Pascal Massart. Concentration Inequalities: A Nonasymptotic Theory of Independence. OUP Oxford, 2013.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>[2] E. De Rocquigny, N. Devictor, and S. Tarantola. Uncertainty in industrial practice. Wiley Online Library, 2008.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[3] J.C. Helton, J.D. Johnson, C.J. Sallaberry, and C.B. Storlie. Survey of sampling-based methods for uncertainty and sensitivity analysis. Reliability Engineering & System Safety,  $91(10-11)$ :1175– 1209, 2006.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>[4] Fred J Hickernell, Lan Jiang, Yuewei Liu, and Art Owen. Guaranteed conservative fixed width confidence intervals via monte carlo sampling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1208.4318, 2012.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[5] T. Homma and A. Saltelli. Importance measures in global sensitivity analysis of nonlinear models. Reliability Engineering & System Safety,  $52(1):1-17$ , 1996.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[6] T. Ishigami and T. Homma. An importance quantification technique in uncertainty analysis for computer models. In First International Symposium on Uncertainty Modeling and Analysis Proceedings, 1990., pages 398–403. IEEE, 1990.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[7] Alexandre Janon, Thierry Klein, Agnès Lagnoux, Maëlle Nodet, and Clémentine Prieur. Asymptotic normality and efficiency of two Sobol index estimators.
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>[8] V. Yu. Korolev and I. G. Shevtsova. An upper bound for the absolute constant in the Berry-Esseen inequality. Teor. Veroyatn. Primen., 54(4):671–695, 2009.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>[9] H. Monod, C. Naud, and D. Makowski. Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis for crop models. In D. Wallach, D. Makowski, and J. W. Jones, editors, Working with Dynamic Crop Models: Evaluation, Analysis, Parameterization, and Applications, chapter 4, pages 55–99. Elsevier, 2006.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>[10] Art B Owen. Better estimation of small sobol'sensitivity indices. arXiv preprint arXiv:1204.4763, 2012.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[11] Art B Owen. Variance components and generalized sobol' indices. Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1774, 2012.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>[12] I. Pinelis and R. Molzon. Berry-esseen bounds for general nonlinear statistics, with applications to pearson's and non-central student's and hotelling's. Arxiv preprint  $arXiv:0906.0177v3$ , 2012.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>[13] Nabil Rachdi, Jean-Claude Fort, and Thierry Klein. Stochastic inverse problem with noisy simulator-application to aeronautical model. Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, 6, 21:593–622, 2012.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[14] A. Saltelli, K. Chan, and E.M. Scott. Sensitivity analysis. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2000.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[15] I. M. Sobol. Sensitivity estimates for nonlinear mathematical models. Math. Modeling Comput. Experiment, 1(4):407–414 (1995), 1993.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[16] I.M. Sobol. Global sensitivity indices for nonlinear mathematical models and their Monte Carlo estimates. Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 55(1-3):271–280, 2001.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[17] A. W. van der Vaart. Asymptotic statistics, volume 3 of Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.