HAL
open science

An empirical evaluation of free BEM solvers for M/EEG forward modeling

Alexandre Gramfort, Théodore Papadopoulo, Emmanuel Olivi, Maureen Clerc

To cite this version:

Alexandre Gramfort, Théodore Papadopoulo, Emmanuel Olivi, Maureen Clerc. An empirical evaluation of free BEM solvers for M/EEG forward modeling. Biomag, May 2010, Dubrovnik, Croatia. 2010. hal-00776674

HAL Id: hal-00776674

https://inria.hal.science/hal-00776674v1

Submitted on 15 Jan 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An empirical evaluation of free BEM solvers for M/EEG forward modeling

A. Gramfort†, T. Papadopoulo‡, E. Olivi‡, M. Clerc‡
alexandre.gramfort@inria.fr
† Parietal Project Team, INRIA Saclay-Ile de France, Saclay, France
‡ Athena Project Team, INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, France

Objective

- **Evaluate the accuracy** of available **BEM solvers** for M/EEG forward modeling with **realistic head models**.

The M/EEG forward problem

Objective

Predict what is measured by M/EEG sensors due to a **configuration of current generators** within the head.

Challenge

Analytical solutions exists for simple models such as **sphere models**. With **realistic head models, numerical solvers** are **required**. **BEM solvers** are adapted to models with **piecewise constant conductivities.**

Sphere models vs. realistic models



Why compare BEM solvers?

- BEM solvers are based on **different mathematical formulations**.
- For a given formulation, **implementation details** vary:
  - **Galerkin** methods vs **collocation** methods
  - Precision in **numerical integrations**
  - **Adaptive vs. non adaptive integration** procedures

Experimental setting

Software packages tested

- OpenMEEG with and without adaptive integration (**OM** and **OMNA**) [1,2,3]: Symmetric BEM with P1-P0 elements.
- BEMCP (**CP**) [Phillips 00]: standard BEM + ISA with constant collocation
- Helsinki BEM (**HB**) [Stenroos et al. 07]: same as BEMCP
- Simbio (**SB**) [Zanow et al. 95]: std. BEM + ISA with linear collocation
- Dipoli (**DP**) [Oostendorp et al. 89]: same as Simbio

Model considered



- **3 nested shells**: inner skull, outer skull and skin surfaces (radii 88, 92, 100).
- **5 dipoles** at different distances from the inner skull: direction $(1, 0, 1)$
- **regular** and **random meshes**
- a **random mesh** with N vertices is obtained by meshing the convex hull of $10N$ **points randomly sampled on the** unit **sphere** followed by decimation.

Simulation study: Comparison results for EEG

Precision measures

- Numerical solution g_n
- Analytical solution g_a
- Relative Difference Measure (RDM):

$$RDM(g_n, g_a) = \left\| \frac{g_n}{\|g_n\|} - \frac{g_a}{\|g_a\|} \right\|$$

Should be **close to 0**

- Magnitude (MAG):

$$MAG(g_n, g_a) = \|g_n\| / \|g_a\|$$

Should be **close to 1**

- With **random meshes** RDMs and MAGs are computed with **100 repetitions** of the experiment.
- **Note:** MEG accuracy relies on EEG solutions via the Biot et Savart law

With standard meshes

162 vertices per layer



642 vertices per layer



With random meshes

800 vertices per layer





- **OpenMEEG** is the **most accurate** solver with regular meshes.
- **OpenMEEG** with **adaptive integration** is the most robust to imperfect meshing.

Computation times



Technical details

- **OpenMEEG** is **opensource** (Linux, Windows, Mac OS X)
- **OpenMEEG** is written in C++ and can be used from **Python** and **Matlab** using the Fieldtrip toolbox
- Experiments have been performed with Fieldtrip
- http://openmeeg.gforge.inria.fr
- openmeeg-info@lists.gforge.inria.fr

References

[1] Gramfort A., Papadopoulo T., Olivi E., Clerc M. **OpenMEEG: opensource software for quasistatic bioelectromagnetics**, submitted.
[2] Gramfort A. **Mapping, timing and tracking cortical activations with MEG and EEG: Methods and application to human vision**, PhD thesis 2009.
[3] Kybic J., Clerc M., Abboud T., Faugeras O., Keriven R., Papadopoulo T. **A Common Formalism for the Integral Formulations of the Forward EEG Problem**, IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 2005