



**HAL**  
open science

## Global analysis of a shistosomiasis infection with biological control

Mouhamadou Diaby, Abderrahman Iggidr, Mamadou Sy, Abdou Sène

► **To cite this version:**

Mouhamadou Diaby, Abderrahman Iggidr, Mamadou Sy, Abdou Sène. Global analysis of a shistosomiasis infection with biological control. [Research Report] RR-8148, 2012, pp.24. hal-00758009v1

**HAL Id: hal-00758009**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-00758009v1>**

Submitted on 27 Nov 2012 (v1), last revised 27 Dec 2013 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Global analysis of a shistosomiasis infection with biological control

Mouhamadou Diaby, Abderrahman Iggidr, Mamadou Sy

**RESEARCH  
REPORT**

**N° 8148**

Novembre 2012

Project-Team Masaie





## Global analysis of a schistosomiasis infection with biological control

Mouhamadou Diaby, Abderrahman Iggidr, Mamadou Sy

Project-Team Masaie

Research Report n° 8148 — Novembre 2012 — 24 pages

**Abstract:** In this paper, the analysis of a schistosomiasis infection model that involves human and intermediate snail hosts as well as an additional mammalian host and a competitor snail species is studied by constructing Lyapunov functions and using a Krasnoselkii sublinearity trick. We derive the basic reproduction number  $\mathcal{R}_0$  for the deterministic model, and establish that the global dynamics are completely determined by the values of  $\mathcal{R}_0$ . We obtain the global stability of the disease-free equilibrium  $E_0$  when  $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$  and we prove the existence and local stability of the endemic equilibrium  $E^*$  when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ .

**Key-words:** Nonlinear dynamical systems, global stability, Lyapunov methods.

**RESEARCH CENTRE  
NANCY – GRAND EST**

615 rue du Jardin Botanique  
CS20101  
54603 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex

## Analyse de stabilité d'un modèle d'infection de la bilharziose avec contrôle biologique

**Résumé :** On considère un modèle d'infection de la bilharziose qui prend en compte les humains et les hôtes intermédiaires d'escargots aussi bien des hôtes mammifères supplémentaires et une espèce d'escargot résistant . L'analyse de stabilité est étudiée en construisant des fonctions de Lyapunov et une méthode de Krasnoselskii de sous-linéarité. Nous établissons le taux de reproduction de base  $\mathcal{R}_0$  pour le modèle posé et nous montrons que la dynamique globale est complètement déterminée par  $\mathcal{R}_0$ . Nous obtenons la stabilité globale du point d'équilibre sans maladie  $\mathbb{E}_0$  lorsque  $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$  et quand  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$  nous prouvons l'existence et la stabilité du point d'équilibre endémique  $\mathbb{E}^*$ .

**Mots-clés :** Systèmes dynamiques non-linéaires, stabilité globale, méthodes de Lyapunov

## 1 Introduction

Schistosomiasis also known as bilharzia is a parasite-induced disease. The disease is generally associated with rural poverty. The parasites, schistosomes, have to go through an intermediate host (snails in most cases) to complete their life cycle: from eggs, to miracidia, to cercaria, finally to adult worms. Schistosomes have two stages or reproduction-sexual reproduction in humans and asexual amplification in snails, see F.A Milner and R. Zhao [1].

Control methods for schistosomiasis range from environmental modification to eliminate the host snails, chemical molluscicides, chemotherapy and more permanent methods such as the provision of safe water and sanitary facilities.

For many endemic situations, chemotherapy is a major component and is focused on school age children and other high-risk groups. However, it is acknowledged that the price of a chemical antischistosomal control is beyond the health budget of many countries.

On effective control which may require relatively little funding is biological control. In particular, trematode parasites or competitive snails of the intermediate snail hosts have proved to be effective in controlling schistosomiasis in the Caribbean area, Pointier and Jourdan [2].

Mathematical modelling and analysis of schistosomiasis has drawn many attentions since the first paper by Macdonald in [3]. Thereafter many others researchers built excellent models and developed a decent understanding of transmission mechanism of schistosomiasis (see [4, 5, 6]).

Recently, a schistosomiasis infection model described by E.J Allen and H.D Victory [7] are proposed. This model generalizes in some way, previous mathematical models such as those described by Anderson and May [9]; Kimbir [10]; Wu and Feng [11].

However, our model allows competition between the intermediate host snails and a resistant snail species to study the advantages of biological control. In this paper, taking these specific characteristics into consideration and based on Allen and Victory's model, see [7], we propose a mathematical analysis. A stability analysis is also provided to study the epidemiological consequences of control strategies. We show that the DFE is globally asymptotically stable by constructing a Lyapunov functions. The existence of at least one positive solution is shown by a simple application of fixed point Theorem in cones due by Thieme [32] and its locally asymptotically stability using a Krasnoselkii sub linearity trick.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the model described by E.J Allen and H.D Victory [7]. Its well-posedness is established and a reduced model is proposed. In Section 3 the local and global stability of the disease-free equilibrium is studied with the Lyapunov method. In Section 4 the existence of an endemic equilibria is investigated as well as its local stability.

Finally, in Section 5, we present some discussions and conclusions.

## 2 Model frame work

We consider the model presented in [7]. In their work, four definite mammalian host sub-populations, three intermediate snail host sub-populations, and a population of resistant competitor snails are considered. Its shall be assumed that the total time interval considered is sufficiently small so that human births and deaths can be neglected.

Further, it is assumed that infected snails and infected mammals do not recover from schistosomiasis as their life span are short in comparison to that for humans. The dynamical quantities of the model are:

- $u_1(t)$  = the susceptible (uninfected), see [8], human population size,
- $u_2(t)$  = the infected human population size,
- $u_3(t)$  = the susceptible snail host population size,
- $u_4(t)$  = the population size of the infected snails which are not yet shedding cercariae,
- $u_5(t)$  = the infected and shedding snail population size (shedding population size),
- $u_6(t)$  = the competitor snail population size (resistant to infection),
- $u_7(t)$  = the susceptible mammal population size,
- $u_8(t)$  = the infected mammal population size.

In addition, the population of snails as well as mammals are assumed to be competitive. Birth and death rates for the various sub populations will be denoted by  $b_i$  et  $d_i$ , respectively, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ . For simplicity the birth rate of different sub-populations of mammals and intermediate host snails will be assumed to be equal, i.e  $b_3 = b_4 = b_5$  and  $b_8 = b_7$ . The transmission parameters for the model are:

- $t_{15}$  = transmission rate from infected snails to uninfected humans,
- $t_{32}$  = transmission rate from infected humans to uninfected snails,
- $t_{38}$  = transmission rate from infected mammals to susceptible snail,
- $t_{75}$  = transmission rate from infected snails to susceptible mammals.

Competition parameters are defined for the populations:

- $c_{33}$  is the competition parameter between  $u_3$  and  $u_3, u_4, u_5$ ,
- $c_{44}$  and  $c_{55}$  are the competition parameters between  $u_4$  and  $u_5$ , respectively, and  $u_3, u_4$ , and  $u_5$ ,
- $c_{36}$  is the competition parameter for snails  $u_6$  with snails  $u_3$ ,
- $c_{46}$  and  $c_{56}$  are defined analogously,
- $c_{64}$  is the competition parameter for snails  $u_3, u_4$  and  $u_5$  with  $u_6$ ,
- $c_{66}$  is the competition parameter for  $u_6$  with  $u_6$ ,
- $c_{77}$  and  $c_{88}$  are the competition parameter for the mammals populations.

Also,  $r_{12}$  is the rate that infected humans recover and  $r_{54}$  denotes the rate that the latent snail population  $u_4$  becomes shedding  $u_5$ .

The following system of equations, for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  where  $T > 0$ , relate the various populations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{du_1}{dt} = -t_{15} u_5 u_1 + r_{12} u_2, \\ \frac{du_2}{dt} = t_{15} u_5 u_1 - r_{12} u_2, \\ \frac{du_3}{dt} = b_3 (u_3 + u_4 + u_5) - t_{32} u_2 u_3 - d_3 u_3 - c_{33} u_3 (u_3 + u_4 + u_5) \\ \quad - c_{36} u_3 u_6 - t_{38} u_3 u_8, \\ \frac{du_4}{dt} = t_{32} u_2 u_3 + t_{38} u_3 u_8 - d_4 u_4 - c_{44} u_4 (u_3 + u_4 + u_5) \\ \quad - c_{46} u_4 u_6 - r_{54} u_4, \\ \frac{du_5}{dt} = r_{54} u_4 - d_5 u_5 - c_{55} u_5 (u_3 + u_4 + u_5) - c_{56} u_5 u_6, \\ \frac{du_6}{dt} = b_6 u_6 - c_{64} u_6 (u_3 + u_4 + u_5) - c_{66} u_6 u_6 - d_6 u_6, \\ \frac{du_7}{dt} = b_7 (u_7 + u_8) - t_{75} u_5 u_7 - c_{77} u_7 (u_7 + u_8) - d_7 u_7, \\ \frac{du_8}{dt} = t_{75} u_5 u_7 - d_8 u_8 - c_{88} u_8 (u_7 + u_8). \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

With initial conditions

$$u_i(0) \geq 0, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq 8. \quad (2)$$

It is assumed for simplicity that  $d_3 = d_4 = d_5$ ,  $c_{33} = c_{44} = c_{55}$ ,  $c_{77} = c_{88}$  and  $c_{46} = c_{56} = c_{36}$ . The total human population  $N_H = u_1 + u_2$  is constant since

$$\frac{dN_H}{dt} = 0.$$

The given initial conditions make sure that  $N_H(0) \geq 0$ . Thus the total population  $N_H(t)$  remains positive and bounded for all time  $t > 0$ . The dynamics of no resistant snails total population is

$$\frac{dN_{Si}}{dt} = (b_3 - d_3) N_{Si} - c_{33} N_{Si}^2 - c_{36} u_6 N_{Si}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{dN_{Si}}{dt} \leq (b_3 - d_3) N_{Si} - c_{33} N_{Si}^2.$$

So, using comparison principle, we get

$$N_{Si} \leq \frac{(b_3 - d_3) N_0}{c_{33} N_0 + (b_3 - d_3 - c_{33} N_0) \exp -(b_3 - d_3) t}.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_{Si} \leq \frac{b_3 - d_3}{c_{33}}.$$



The dynamics of the resistant snails total population and the total mammals are respectively

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du_6}{dt} &= (b_6 - d_6)u_6 - c_{66}u_6u_6 - c_{64}u_6(u_3 + u_4 + u_5), \\ \frac{dN_M}{dt} &= (b_7 - d_7)N_M - c_{77}N_M^2.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_6 &\leq \frac{b_6 - d_6}{c_{66}}, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_M &\leq \frac{b_7 - d_7}{c_{77}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the feasible region for the system (1) is

$$\mathbb{D} = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8) \in \mathbb{R}_+^8 : N_{Si} \leq \frac{b_3 - d_3}{c_{33}}, N_M \leq \frac{b_7 - d_7}{c_{77}}, u_6 \leq \frac{b_6 - d_6}{c_{66}}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8)$  be a solution of the system (1) with initial conditions (2) and the closed set  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\mathbb{D}$  is positively invariant and attracting under the flow described by (1).*

**Proof:**

It is sufficient to consider the system on the faces of  $\mathbb{D}$  and to show that for each face, the vector fields associated to the system points inside  $\mathbb{D}$ .

If  $N_{Si} = 0$  then  $\frac{dN_{Si}}{dt} = 0$ . If  $N_{Si} = \frac{b_3 - d_3}{c_{33}}$  then  $\frac{dN_{Si}}{dt} \leq 0$ .

If  $N_M = 0$  then  $\frac{dN_M}{dt} = 0$ . If  $N_M = \frac{b_7 - d_7}{c_{77}}$  then  $\frac{dN_M}{dt} \leq 0$ .

If  $u_6 = 0$  then  $\frac{du_6}{dt} = 0$ .

If  $u_6 \leq \frac{b_6 - d_6}{c_{66}}$  then  $\frac{du_6}{dt} \leq -c_{64} \frac{b_6 - d_6}{c_{66}}(u_3 + u_4 + u_5) \leq 0$ .

Furthermore, the model (1) is well-posed epidemiologically. Hence, it is sufficient to study the dynamics of the basic model in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

## 2.1 Reduction of the system

We will reduce the stability analysis of (1), to the study of a smaller and simpler system. The following theorem(see [13] ) will permit us to reduce the stability analysis to a smaller system.

**Theorem 2.1** *Consider the following  $\mathbb{C}^1$  system*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = f(x); \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ \dot{y} = g(x, y); \\ \text{with a equilibrium point, } (x^*, y^*) \text{ i.e,} \\ f(x^*) = 0 \text{ and } g(x^*, y^*) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

*If  $x^*$  is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for the system  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , and if  $y^*$  is GAS in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , for the system  $\dot{y} = g(x^*, y)$ , then  $(x^*, y^*)$  is (locally) asymptotically stable for (3).*

*Moreover, if all the trajectories of (3) are forward bounded, then  $(x^*, y^*)$  is GAS for (3).*

We define  $x_i = \frac{u_i}{N_{Si}}$  for  $i = 3, 4, 5$ .  $x_i = \frac{u_i}{N_M}$  for  $i = 7, 8$ .

Using  $\dot{x}_i = \frac{\dot{u}_i}{N_{Si}} - \frac{\dot{N}_{Si}}{N_{Si}} x_i = \frac{\dot{u}_i}{N_{Si}} - (a_3 - c_{33}N_{Si} - c_{36}u_6) x_i$ , for  $i = 3, 4, 5$ .

$\dot{x}_i = \frac{\dot{u}_i}{N_M} - \frac{\dot{N}_M}{N_M} x_i = \frac{\dot{u}_i}{N_M} - (b_7 - d_7 - c_{77}N_M) x_i$ , for  $i = 7, 8$  and the fact that  $\sum x_i = 1$ .

These new variables satisfy:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{du_2}{dt} = t_{15}(N_H - u_2) x_5 N_{Si} - r_{12}u_2, \\ \frac{dx_4}{dt} = (t_{32}u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)(1 - x_4 - x_5) - (b_3 + r_{54}) x_4, \\ \frac{dx_5}{dt} = r_{54}x_4 - b_3x_5, \\ \frac{dx_8}{dt} = t_{75} N_{Si} x_5(1 - x_8) - b_7x_8, \\ \frac{dN_{Si}}{dt} = \overbrace{(b_3 - d_3)}^{a_3} N_{Si} - c_{33}N_{Si}^2 - c_{36}u_6N_{Si} = X_1(N_{Si}, u_6), \\ \frac{du_6}{dt} = \overbrace{(b_6 - d_6)}^{a_6} u_6 - c_{64}u_6N_{Si} - c_{66}u_6u_6 = X_2(N_{Si}, u_6), \\ \frac{dN_M}{dt} = (b_7 - d_7)N_M - c_{77}N_M^2. \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

This system is triangular.

Let us consider the following subsystem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dN_H}{dt} = 0, \\ \frac{dN_{Si}}{dt} = \overbrace{(b_3 - d_3)}^{a_3} N_{Si} - c_{33}N_{Si}^2 - c_{36}u_6N_{Si} = X_1(N_{Si}, u_6), \\ \frac{du_6}{dt} = \overbrace{(b_6 - d_6)}^{a_6} u_6 - c_{64}u_6N_{Si} - c_{66}u_6u_6 = X_2(N_{Si}, u_6), \\ \frac{dN_M}{dt} = (b_7 - d_7)N_M - c_{77}N_M^2. \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

The last equation has an equilibrium  $N_M^* = \frac{b_7 - d_7}{c_{77}}$  which is GAS.

The equilibria of (5) are:

$(0, 0)$  which is unstable: two positive eigenvalues  $a_3$  and  $a_6$ .

$$E_1 = \left( \frac{a_3}{c_{33}}, 0 \right) \text{ with eigenvalues } -a_3 \text{ and } a_6 - \frac{c_{64}a_3}{c_{33}} = \frac{c_{33}a_6 - c_{64}a_3}{c_{33}}.$$

$$E_2 = \left( 0, \frac{a_6}{c_{66}} \right) \text{ with eigenvalues } -a_6 \text{ and } a_3 - \frac{c_{36}a_6}{c_{66}} = \frac{c_{66}a_3 - c_{36}a_6}{c_{66}}.$$

If  $c_{33}a_6 - c_{64}a_3 < 0$  then  $E_1$  is LAS. If  $c_{66}a_3 - c_{36}a_6 < 0$  then  $E_2$  is LAS.

We shall assume that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are unstable which implies that

$$c_{33}a_6 - c_{64}a_3 > 0 \text{ and } c_{66}a_3 - c_{36}a_6 > 0. \quad (6)$$

This implies

$$c_{33}c_{66} - c_{36}c_{64} > 0.$$

The system (5) has a positive equilibrium  $E^*$ :

$$N_{S_i}^* = \frac{c_{36}a_6 - c_{66}a_3}{c_{36}c_{64} - c_{33}c_{66}} = \frac{c_{66}a_3 - c_{36}a_6}{c_{33}c_{66} - c_{36}c_{64}},$$

$$u_6^* = \frac{c_{64}a_3 - c_{33}a_6}{c_{36}c_{64} - c_{33}c_{66}} = \frac{c_{33}a_6 - c_{64}a_3}{c_{33}c_{66} - c_{36}c_{64}}.$$

The equilibrium  $E^* = (N_{S_i}^*, u_6^*)$  exists if only if

1. 1st case:  $c_{33}c_{66} - c_{36}c_{64} > 0$ . In this case the existence of  $E^*$  implies that  $E^*$  is LAS and the other are unstable.
2. 2nd case:  $c_{33}c_{66} - c_{36}c_{64} < 0$ . In this case  $E^*$  exists if  $c_{33}a_6 - c_{64}a_3 < 0$  and  $c_{66}a_3 - c_{36}a_6 < 0$ . In this case  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are LAS but  $E^*$  is unstable.

Therefore we shall assume that

$$c_{33}c_{66} - c_{36}c_{64} > 0. \quad (7)$$

In this case  $E^*$  is LAS: eigenvalues with negative real part.

Let

$$V = (N_{S_i} - N_{S_i}^* \log N_{S_i}) + d(u_6 - u_6^* \log u_6).$$

Then

$$\dot{V} = (N - N_{S_i}^*)(a_3 - c_{33}N - c_{36}u_6) + d(u_6 - u_6^*)(a_6 - c_{64}N - c_{66}u_6).$$

Using equilibria relations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= (N - N_{S_i}^*)(c_{33}N_{S_i}^* + c_{36}u_6^* - c_{33}N - c_{36}u_6) + d(u_6 - u_6^*)(c_{64}N_{S_i}^* \\ &\quad + c_{66}u_6^* - c_{64}N - c_{66}u_6) \\ &= -c_{33}(N - N_{S_i}^*)^2 - d c_{66}(u_6 - u_6^*)^2 - c_{36}(N - N_{S_i}^*)(u_6 - u_6^*) \\ &\quad - d c_{64}(N - N_{S_i}^*)(u_6 - u_6^*) \\ &= -c_{33}(N - N_{S_i}^*)^2 - d c_{66}(u_6 - u_6^*)^2 - (c_{36} + d c_{64})(N - N_{S_i}^*)(u_6 - u_6^*). \end{aligned}$$

We choose  $d = \frac{c_{66}a_3^2}{c_{33}a_6^2}$ . With this and using (7) we can show

$$(c_{36} + d c_{64})^2 - 4 d c_{33} c_{66} < 0, \quad (8)$$

then  $\dot{V}$  is definite negative and hence the equilibrium  $(N_{S_i}^*, u_6^*, N_M^*)$  is GAS.

Then, under the condition (7),  $(N_{S_i}^*, u_6^*, N_M^*)$  is GAS.

*Remark:* It is also possible to prove the GAS of  $(N_{Si}^*, u_6^*)$  by using Dulac criterion with the function  $\rho(N_{Si}, u_6) = \frac{1}{N_{Si} u_6}$  defined on  $\mathcal{D} = ]0, \frac{b_3 - d_3}{c_{33}}[ \times ]0, \frac{b_6 - d_6}{c_{66}}[$ .

We have  $\frac{\partial(\rho X_1)}{\partial N_{Si}} + \frac{\partial(\rho X_2)}{\partial u_6} = - \left( \frac{c_{33}}{u_6} + \frac{c_{66}}{N_{Si}} \right) < 0$ .

Therefore, on the set  $\mathcal{D}$  it is sufficient to consider the system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{du_2}{dt} = t_{15}(N_H - u_2)x_5 N_{Si}^* - r_{12}u_2, \\ \frac{dx_4}{dt} = (t_{32}u_2 + t_{38}N_M^*x_8)(1 - x_4 - x_5) - (b_3 + r_{54})x_4, \\ \frac{dx_5}{dt} = r_{54}x_4 - b_3x_5, \\ \frac{dx_8}{dt} = t_{75}N_{Si}^*x_5(1 - x_8) - b_7x_8. \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

### 3 Disease-free Equilibrium and Stability Analysis

#### 3.1 Main theorem

In this section, we will give an analytic expression for  $\mathcal{R}_0$ , for more details see [14, 15, 16] and completely answer the stability question of a disease-free equilibrium. As usual  $\rho(M)$  is the spectral radius of a matrix  $M$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *The origin is the DFE of (9) and*

$$R_0 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_{54} N_{Si}^* (b_7 t_{15} t_{32} N_H + r_{12} t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*)}{b_3 b_7 r_{12} (b_3 + r_{54})}} = T_0^{1/3}.$$

Moreover The DFE is LAS if  $T_0 < 1$  and is unstable if  $T_0 > 1$ .

**Proof:**

It is clear that the DFE is  $E_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ .

The Jacobian at  $E_0$  is

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -r_{12} & 0 & t_{15} N_H N_{Si}^* & 0 \\ t_{32} & -(b_3 + r_{54}) & 0 & t_{38} N_M^* \\ 0 & r_{54} & -b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_{75} N_{Si}^* & -b_7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$J_0 \text{ is a Metzler matrix and } J_0 = F + V \text{ with } F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & t_{15} N_H N_{Si}^* & 0 \\ t_{32} & 0 & 0 & t_{38} N_M^* \\ 0 & r_{54} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_{75} N_{Si}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $F > 0$  and  $V$  is Metzler stable, see [17, 18, 19, 20]. Thanks to Varga's Theorem in [22]:  $s(J_0) \leq 0$  if  $\rho(-F V^{-1}) \leq 1$ .

A simple computation gives:

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \rho(-F V^{-1}) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_{54} N_{Si}^* (b_7 t_{15} t_{32} N_H + r_{12} t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*)}{b_3 b_7 r_{12} (b_3 + r_{54})}} = T_0^{1/3}.$$

Hence,  $E_0$  is LAS if  $T_0 < 1$  and is unstable if  $T_0 > 1$ .

#### 3.2 A stability theorem

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $T_0 \leq 1$  then the DFE is GAS.*

**Proof:**

Consider the candidate Lyapunov function:

$$V = \frac{t_{32}}{r_{12}} u_2 + x_4 + \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} x_5 + \frac{t_{38} N_M^*}{b_7} x_8.$$

Its derivative along the solutions of (9) satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} &= \frac{t_{32}}{r_{12}} t_{15} (N_H - u_2) x_5 N_{S_i}^* - t_{32} u_2 \\
&\quad + (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M^* x_8) (1 - x_4 - x_5) - (b_3 + r_{54}) x_4 \\
&\quad + \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} (r_{54} x_4 - b_3 x_5) + \frac{t_{38} N_M^*}{b_7} (t_{75} N_{S_i}^* x_5 (1 - x_8) - b_7 x_8) \\
&= -t_{32} u_2 x_5 - t_{38} N_M^* x_8 x_5 \\
&\quad + x_4 \left( \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} r_{54} - (b_3 + r_{54}) - t_{32} u_2 - t_{38} N_M^* x_8 \right) \\
&\quad + x_5 \left( \frac{t_{32}}{r_{12}} N_{S_i}^* (N_H - u_2) - \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} b_3 + \frac{t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*}{b_7} N_{S_i}^* (1 - x_8) \right) \\
&= -(x_4 + x_5) (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M^* x_8) \\
&\quad + x_5 \left( \frac{t_{32}}{r_{12}} N_{S_i}^* (N_H - u_2) - \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} b_3 + \frac{t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*}{b_7} N_{S_i}^* (1 - x_8) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} &\leq -(x_4 + x_5) (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M^* x_8) \\
&\quad + x_5 \left( \frac{t_{32}}{r_{12}} N_{S_i}^* N_H - \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} b_3 + \frac{t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*}{b_7} N_{S_i}^* \right) \\
&= -(x_4 + x_5) (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M^* x_8) \\
&\quad + x_5 \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} b_3 \left( \frac{r_{54} N_{S_i}^*}{b_3 (b_3 + r_{54})} \left( \frac{t_{32}}{r_{12}} N_H + \frac{t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*}{b_7} \right) - 1 \right) \\
&= -(x_4 + x_5) (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M^* x_8) \\
&\quad + x_5 \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} b_3 \left( \frac{r_{54} N_{S_i}^* (b_7 t_{32} N_H + r_{12} t_{38} t_{75} N_M^*)}{b_3 (b_3 + r_{54}) b_7 r_{12}} - 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\dot{V} \leq -(x_4 + x_5) (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M^* x_8) + \frac{b_3 + r_{54}}{r_{54}} b_3 (T_0 - 1) x_5 \leq 0$  if  $T_0 \leq 1$ .

If  $T_0 < 1$ , then  $\dot{V} = 0$  implies  $x_5 = x_4 = 0$ , or  $x_5 = x_8 = u_2 = 0$ . Thanks to Lasalle [23, 24, 25] we conclude.

If  $T_0 = 1$ , then  $\dot{V} = 0$  implies  $x_5 = x_4 = 0$ , or  $x_8 = u_2 = 0$ . Again thanks to Lasalle [23, 24, 25] we conclude.

## 4 Endemic Equilibrium and Stability Analysis

### 4.1 Existence of Endemic Equilibrium

Next, we will find the equilibrium points of system (9). To this end we express  $u_2$ ,  $x_4$ ,  $x_8$  in terms of  $x_5$ .

Then we use a theorem for the existence and uniqueness of a positive fixed point of a multi-variable function. We labelled this theorem as follows

**Theorem 4.1** (Thieme [32], theorem 2.1) *Let  $F(x)$  be a continuous, monotone non-decreasing, strictly sub linear, bounded function which maps the non-negative orthant  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = [0, \infty)$  into itself. Let  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F'(0)$  exists and be irreducible. Then  $F(x)$  does not have a non-trivial fixed point on the boundary of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Moreover,  $F(x)$  has a positive fixed point iff  $\rho(F'(0)) > 1$ . If there is a positive fixed point, then it is unique.*

An equilibrium point is a solution of the simultaneous non-linear equations obtained by setting the right hand sides of the equation (9) to 0. Now we reformulate the non-linear equations as a fixed point equation. Solving the third equilibrium-point equation for  $x_5$  and substituting into the first equation, we obtain

$$x_5 = \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4,$$

$$t_{15}(N_H - u_2) x_5 N_{Si}^* - r_{12} u_2 = 0,$$

This implies

$$u_2 = \frac{t_{15} N_{Si}^* N_H \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}{r_{12} + t_{15} N_{Si}^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}.$$

Solving the equations in (9) at steady state gives

$$x_4^* = \frac{b_3}{r_{54}} x_5^*, \quad x_8^* = \frac{t_{75} N_{Si}^*}{t_{75} N_{Si}^* x_5^* + b_7} x_5^*, \quad u_2^* = \frac{t_{15} N_H N_{Si}^* x_5^*}{t_{15} N_{Si}^* x_5^* + r_{12}}. \quad (10)$$

$$x_4 = \frac{(t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)}{(b_3 + r_{54}) + (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8) \left(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{b_3}\right)}$$

the fourth equation gives

$$x_8 = \frac{N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}{b_7 + N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3}}$$

We write this as

$$U = F(U),$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ x_4 \\ x_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 := \frac{t_{15} N_{Si}^* N_H^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}{r_{12} + t_{15} N_{Si}^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4} \leq N_H \\ F_2 := \frac{(t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)}{(b_3 + r_{54}) + (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{b_3})} \leq 1 \\ F_3 := \frac{N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}{b_7 + N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4} \leq 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the equilibrium points are fixed points of  $F$  given by  $U = F(U)$  and this is the formulation that we use to prove existence and uniqueness of an endemic equilibrium point.

In this case  $F(U)$  is continuous, bounded function which maps

$\Omega = \{(u_2, x_4, x_8) : 0 \leq u_2 \leq N_H, 0 \leq x_4 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_8 \leq 1\}$  into itself and infinitely differentiable with Jacobian as follows

$$J_e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{b_3 N_H N_{Si} r_{12} r_{54} t_{15}}{(b_3 r_{12} + N_{Si} r_{54} t_{15} x_4)^2} & 0 \\ \frac{b_3^2 t_{32}}{(b_3 + r_{54})(b_3 + t_{32} u_2 + N_M t_{38} x_8)^2} & 0 & \frac{b_3^2 N_M t_{38}}{(b_3 + r_{54})(b_3 + t_{32} u_2 + N_M t_{38} x_8)^2} \\ 0 & \frac{b_3 b_7 N_{Si} r_{54} t_{75}}{(b_3 b_7 + N_{Si} r_{54} t_{75} x_4)^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the off-diagonal elements are non-negative. Thus the function  $F(U)$  is monotone non-decreasing and  $F(0) = 0$ . Note that  $\rho(F'(0)) = \mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ . Thanks to the graph theory, we claim that  $F'(0)$  is irreducible because the associated graph of the matrix is strongly connected.

Let us now prove that  $F$  is strictly sub linear in  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $F(rU) > rF(U)$ , for any  $U \in \Omega$  with  $U > 0$ , and  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Some calculations give

$$\frac{r_1 F_1(U)}{F_1(r_1 U)} = r_1 \frac{t_{15} N_{Si}^* N_H^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}{r_{12} + t_{15} N_{Si}^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4} * \frac{r_{12} + t_{15} N_{Si}^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} r_1 x_4}{t_{15} N_{Si}^* N_H^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} r_1 x_4} \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{r_{12} + t_{15} N_{Si}^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} r x_4}{r_{12} + t_{15} N_{Si}^* \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4} < 1$$

$$\frac{r_2 F_2(U)}{F_2(r_2 U)} = \frac{r_2 (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)}{(b_3 + r_{54}) + (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{b_3})} * \frac{(b_3 + r_{54}) + r_2 (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{b_3})}{r_2 (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)} \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{(b_3 + r_{54}) + (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{b_3})}{(b_3 + r_{54}) + r (t_{32} u_2 + t_{38} N_M x_8)(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{b_3})} < 1$$



$$\frac{r_3 F_3(U)}{F_3(r_3 U)} = \frac{r_3 N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4}{b_7 + N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4} * \frac{b_7 + N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} r_3 x_4}{N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} r_3 x_4} \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{b_7 + N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} r_3 x_4}{b_7 + N_{Si}^* t_{75} \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} x_4} < 1$$

So the function  $F(U)$  is strictly sub linear with  $r = \min(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ . In this way we have proved the following theorem

**Theorem 4.2** *If  $\mathcal{R} \leq 1$ , the only equilibrium point of the system is the disease-free equilibrium  $E_0$ . If  $\mathcal{R} > 1$ , there also exists a unique endemic equilibrium  $E^*$  in  $\text{int}(\Omega)$  whose coordinates are given by (10).*

## 4.2 Local Stability of the Endemic Equilibrium

In this section, we shall prove the local stability of the endemic equilibrium when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ . For this we shall follow the method given by Hethcote and Thieme, which is based on a Krasnoselkii technique. A usual way to prove the local asymptotic stability of an equilibrium point  $\bar{x}_0$  of the system of differential equations

$$\bar{x}' = f(\bar{x}) \quad (14)$$

is proving that the linearised equation

$$\bar{Z}' = Df(\bar{x}_0)\bar{Z} \quad (15)$$

has no solutions of the form

$$\bar{Z}(t) = \bar{Z}_0 \exp(wt) \quad (16)$$

with  $\bar{Z}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\text{Re } w \geq 0$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the complex numbers i.e.,  $w \bar{Z} = Df(\bar{x}_0)\bar{Z}$  with  $\bar{Z} \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  implies  $\text{Re } w < 0$ .

Substituting a solution of the form (16) in the linearised equation of the endemic equilibrium, we obtain the following linear equations.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w Z_1 = -(t_{15} x_5^* N_{Si}^* + r_{12}) Z_1 + t_{15} (N_H - u_2^*) N_{Si}^* Z_3 \\ w Z_2 = (1 - x_4^* - x_5^*) t_{32} Z_1 - (t_{32} u_2^* + t_{38} N_M x_8^*) Z_2 \\ \quad - (b_3 + r_{54}) Z_2 - (t_{32} u_2^* + t_{38} N_M x_8^*) Z_3 + N_M t_{38} (1 - x_4 - x_5) Z_4 \\ w Z_3 = r_{54} Z_2 - b_3 Z_3 \\ w Z_4 = t_{75} N_{Si} (1 - x_8^*) Z_3 - (t_{75} N_{Si} x_5^* + b_7) Z_4 \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

Solving for  $Z_3$  from the third equation of (17), and substituting the result into the second equation

(and simplifying), give the equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(1 + \frac{w + t_{15} x_5^* N_{Si}^*}{r_{12}}\right) Z_1 &= \frac{t_{15} (N_H - u_2^*) N_{Si}^*}{r_{12}} Z_3 \\
(1 + G_2(w)) Z_2 &= \frac{(t_{32} (1 - x_4^* - x_5^*))}{b_3 + r_{54}} Z_1 + \frac{(t_{38} N_M x_8^*) (1 - x_4^* - x_5^*)}{b_3 + r_{54}} Z_4 \\
\left(1 + \frac{w}{b_3}\right) Z_3 &= \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} Z_2 \\
\left(1 + \frac{t_{75} N_{Si} x_5^*}{b_7}\right) Z_4 &= \frac{t_{75} N_{Si} (1 - x_8^*)}{b_7} Z_3
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$G_2(w) = \frac{w}{b_3 + r_{54}} + \frac{(t_{32} u_2 + N_M t_{38} x_8)}{b_3 + r_{54}} \left(1 + \frac{r_{54}}{w + b_3}\right)$$

Denoting in the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1(w) &= \frac{w + t_{15} x_5^* N_{Si}^*}{r_{12}} \\
G_3(w) &= \frac{w}{b_3} \\
G_4(w) &= \frac{w + t_{75} N_{Si} x_5^*}{b_7}
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + G_1(w)] Z_1 &= (H \bar{Z})_3 \\
[1 + G_2(w)] Z_2 &= (H \bar{Z})_1 + (H \bar{Z})_4 \\
[1 + G_3(w)] Z_3 &= (H \bar{Z})_2 \\
[1 + G_4(w)] Z_4 &= (H \bar{Z})_3
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{15} (N_H - u_2^*) N_{Si}^*}{r_{12}} & 0 \\ \frac{t_{32} (1 - x_4^* - x_5^*)}{b_3 + r_{54}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{38} N_M (1 - x_4^* - x_5^*)}{b_3 + r_{54}} \\ 0 & \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{75} N_{Si} (1 - x_8^*)}{b_7} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the notation  $H(\bar{Z})_i$  (with  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ) denotes the  $i$ th coordinate of the vector  $H(\bar{Z})$ . It should further be noted that the matrix  $H$  has non-negative entries, and the equilibrium  $E^* = (u_2^*, x_4^*, x_5^*, x_8^*)$  satisfies  $E^* = H E^*$ . Furthermore, since the coordinates of  $E^*$  are all

positive, it follows then that if  $\bar{Z}$  is a solution of (19), then it is possible to find a minimal positive real numbers  $s$ , depending on  $\bar{Z}$ , such that

$$\|\bar{Z}\| \leq s E^* \quad (20)$$

where  $\|\bar{Z}\| = (\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_3, \bar{Z}_4)$  with the lexicographic order, and  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm in  $\mathbb{C}$ . now we want to show that  $\mathcal{R}ew < 0$ . Deny it, we distinguish two cases :  $w = 0$  and  $w \neq 0$ . In the first case, the determinant of the homogeneous linear system (17) in the variable  $Z_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ) corresponds to that of the Jacobian of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 - G_1(0) & 0 & \frac{N_{Si}t_{15}(N_H - u_2^*)}{r_{12}} & 0 \\ \frac{(1 - x_4^* - x_5^*) t_{32}}{b_3 + r_{54}} & -1 - G_2(0) & 0 & \frac{(1 - x_4^* - x_5^*) N_M t_{38}}{b_3 + r_{54}} \\ 0 & \frac{r_{54}}{b_3} & -1 - G_3(0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{N_{Si}t_{75}(1 - x_8^*)}{b_7} & -1 - G_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-1 - G_4(0)) \left( (1 + G_1(0) + G_2(0) + G_1(0)G_2(0))(-1 - G_3(0)) + \frac{N_{Si} r_{54} t_{15} t_{32} (N_H - u_2) (1 - x_4 - x_5)}{b_3 r_{12} (b_3 + r_{54})} \right) \\ &+ \frac{(-1 - G_1(0)) N_M N_{Si} r_{54} t_{38} t_{75} (1 - x_4 - x_5) (1 - x_8)}{b_3 b_7 (b_3 + r_{54})} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G_3(0) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-1 - G_4(0)) \left( -1 - G_1(0) - G_2(0) - G_1(0)G_2(0) + \frac{t_{32} (1 - x_4 - x_5) u_{2^*}}{x_{4^*} (b_3 + r_{54})} \right) \\ &+ \frac{(-1 - G_1(0)) N_M t_{38} (1 - x_4 - x_5) x_{8^*}}{x_{4^*} (b_3 + r_{54})} \\ &= (-1 - G_4(0)) (-1 - G_1(0) - G_2(0) - G_1(0)G_2(0)) + (-1 - G_4(0)) \left( \frac{t_{32} (1 - x_4 - x_5) u_{2^*}}{x_{4^*} (b_3 + r_{54})} \right) \\ &+ \frac{(-1 - G_1(0)) N_M t_{38} (1 - x_4 - x_5) x_{8^*}}{x_{4^*} (b_3 + r_{54})} \\ &= (-1 - G_4(0)) (-1 - G_1(0) - G_2(0) - G_1(0)G_2(0)) \\ &- \frac{1}{x_{4^*}} \left( \frac{t_{32} (1 - x_4 - x_5) u_{2^*}}{(b_3 + r_{54})} (1 + G_4(0)) + \frac{N_M t_{38} (1 - x_4 - x_5) x_{8^*}}{(b_3 + r_{54})} (1 + G_1(0)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Denoting  $\alpha = \max\{1 + G_1(0), 1 + G_4(0)\}$ , we have

$$\Delta > 1 + G_1(0) + G_2(0) + G_1(0)G_2(0) + G_4(0) + G_1(0)G_4(0) + G_2(0)G_4(0) + G_1(0)G_2(0)G_4(0) - \alpha$$

Then if  $\alpha = 1 + G_1$ , we obtain

$$\Delta > G_2 + G_1 G_2 + G_4 + G_1 G_4 + G_2 G_4 + G_1 G_2 G_4 > 0$$

Else  $\alpha = 1 + G_2$ , we obtain

$$\Delta > G_1 + G_2 + G_1 G_2 + G_1 G_4 + G_2 G_4 + G_1 G_2 G_4 > 0$$

since  $G_1(0), G_2(0), G_4(0)$  are positive. Then, for  $w = 0$ , the only solution of the system (19) is the trivial one which implies that  $w \neq 0$ . Assume now that  $w \neq 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Re} w \geq 0$ . Let  $G(w) = \min \{|1 + G_i(w)|, i = 1, \dots, 4\}$ . It is easy to prove that in this case  $|1 + G_i(w)| > 1$  for all  $i$ , and therefore  $G(w) > 1$ . Taking norms on both sides of (19), and using the fact that  $H$  is non-negative, we obtain the following inequality:

$$G(w) \|\bar{Z}\| \leq H \|\bar{Z}\|. \quad (21)$$

Using (20) and (21), we get

$$G(w) \|\bar{Z}\| \leq s H E^* = s E^*.$$

which implies

$$\|\bar{Z}\| \leq \frac{s}{G(w)} E^* < s E^*.$$

but this contradicts the minimality of  $s$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Re} w < 0$ . In this way we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3** *If  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , then the positive endemic equilibrium stated  $E^*$  of the system (9) is locally asymptotically stable on the set  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

Table 1: Parameter values corresponding to  $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$

Parameters	Values
$c_{36}$	$5.11 * 10^{-8}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{44}$	$3.11 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{55}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{46}$ ,	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{88}$	$7.00 * 10^{-8}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{77}$	$7.00 * 10^{-8}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{64}$	$25.11 * 10^{-9}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{56}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{33}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1 – 2)
$c_{66}$	$1.50 * 10^{-8}$ (case 1 – 2)
$r_{12}$	$4.47 * 10^{-3}$ (case 1 – 2)
$r_{54}$	$2.50 * 10^{-6}$ (case 1 – 2)
$d_3$	$8.86 * 10^{-3}$ (case 1 – 2)
$d_4$	$8.86 * 10^{-3}$ (case 1 – 2)
$d_5$	$1.79 * 10^{-3}$ (case 1 – 2)
$d_6$	$1.00 * 10^{-2}$ (case 1 – 2)
$d_7$	$5.00 * 10^{-3}$ (case 1 – 2)
$d_8$	$5.00 * 10^{-3}$ (case 1 – 2)
$b_6$	$6.60 * 10^{-2}$ (case 1 – 2)
$b_3$	$6.00 * 10^{-2}$ (case 1), $6.00 * 10^{-1}$ (case 2)
$b_7$	$1.20 * 10^{-2}$ (case 1), $1.20 * 10^{-5}$ (case 2)
$t_{15}$	$2.23 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1), $2.23 * 10^{-9}$ (case 2)
$t_{38}$	$2.0 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1), $2.0 * 10^{-9}$ (case 2)
$t_{32}$	$1.05 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1), $1.05 * 10^{-9}$ (case 2)
$t_{75}$	$1.02 * 10^{-7}$ (case 1), $1.02 * 10^{-9}$ (case 2)

Table 2: Parameter values for which  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$


Parameters	Values
$c_{36}$	$5.11 * 10^{-8}$
$c_{44}$	$3.11 * 10^{-7}$
$c_{55}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$
$c_{46}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$
$c_{88}$	$7.00 * 10^{-8}$
$c_{77}$	$7.00 * 10^{-8}$
$c_{64}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$
$c_{56}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$
$c_{33}$	$5.11 * 10^{-7}$
$c_{66}$	$2.50 * 10^{-7}$
$r_{12}$	$4.47 * 10^{-4}$
$r_{54}$	$2.50 * 10^{-2}$
$d_3$	$8.86 * 10^{-3}$
$d_4$	$8.86 * 10^{-3}$
$d_5$	$1.79 * 10^{-3}$
$d_6$	$8.00 * 10^{-3}$
$d_7$	$5.00 * 10^{-3}$
$d_8$	$5.00 * 10^{-3}$
$b_6$	$6.60 * 10^{-2}$
$b_3$	$6.00 * 10^{-2}$
$b_7$	$1.20 * 10^{-2}$
$t_{15}$	$2.23 * 10^{-7}$
$t_{38}$	$1.05 * 10^{-7}$
$t_{32}$	$1.04 * 10^{-5}$
$t_{75}$	$2 * 10^{-6}$

## 5 Numerical studies


To illustrate the various theoretical results contained in the paper, the whole system with the eight equations is simulated and parameter values using data of Allen and al. and summarize in the following table.

In the present investigation, simulations were performed to study the effect of applying biological treatments strategy. Infected humans and snails and Latent snails were compared by introducing or not competitor snails. Notice, introduction of resistant that out compete the intermediate host snails can eradicate the infection more rapidly.


In addition, the tables presents with a minor modification the sets of values of the parameters discussed in Allen and al., which are used in the numerical simulations.




(a)



(a)




(b)




(b)

Figure 1: Trajectories of the model without resistant snails and parameters values in Table 2 when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$


Figure 2: Trajectories of the model with resistant snails conditions and parameters values in Table 2 when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$




(a)



(a)



(b)



(b)

Figure 3: Trajectories of the model without resistant snails and parameters values in Table 2 when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$

Figure 4: Trajectories of the model with resistant snails conditions and parameters values in Table 2 when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$









Figure 5: Trajectories of the infected populations with different initial conditions when  $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$   
RR n° 8148

Figure 6: Trajectories of the infected populations with different initial conditions when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$



## 6 Summary and conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a stability analysis of a deterministic model for the transmission dynamics of a schistosomiasis infection.

Eight subpopulation sizes were modeled: human host susceptible and infected, snail intermediate host susceptible, latent, and shedding, resistant competitor snail, mammal host susceptible and infected. The snails competition is needed to study control to the infection by biological control.

Mathematical properties of the model are analyzed in terms of the stability of the possible steady states. The reproductive number  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is calculated. We proved that the disease-free steady state  $\mathbb{E}_0$  is globally asymptotically stable if  $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$  and it is unstable if  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ . We proved also the existence and uniqueness of the endemic equilibrium  $\mathbb{E}^*$  in the case where  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$  as well as its local asymptotic stability.

In a more realistic situation, the speed of a river should affect the transmission dynamics of schistosomiasis by assuming flush-away of only free-swimming miracidia and cercariae. We are working on a model with spatial structure (modeling with a ODEs equations coupled with a shallow water system) that characterizes the density change of parasites following the flush-away of larvae, see [33].

## References

- [1] F.A MILNER AND R. ZHAO, *A deterministic model of schistosomiasis with spatial structure*, Mathematical biosciences and engineering, Vol. **5** (2008), 505–522.
- [2] POINTIER, J.P., JOURDANE, J., *Biological control of the snail hosts of schistosomiasis in areas of low transmission: the example of the Caribbean area*, Acta Trop. 77,(2000), 53–60.
- [3] G. MACDONALD, *The dynamics of helminth infection, with special reference to schistosomiasis*, Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. **59** (1965) 489–506
- [4] JOEL E. COHEN, *Mathematical Models of Schistosomiasis*, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, Vol. **8** (1977), 209–233
- [5] Z. CHEN, L. Z, D. SHEN, W. ZHANG AND S. RUAN, *Mathematical modelling and control of Schistosomiasis in Hubei Province, China*, Acta Tropica
- [6] WOOLHOUSE, M.E.J., *On the application of mathematical models of schistosome transmission dynamics. I.*, Natural transmission. Acta Trop. **49**, 241–270
- [7] E.J. ALLEN AND H.D. VICTORY, *Modelling and simulation of a schistosomiasis infection with biological control*, Acta Tropica, **87** (2003) 251–267.
- [8] H. W. HETHCOTE, *The mathematics of infectious diseases.*, SIAM Review. 42: 599–653.
- [9] ANDERSON, R.M., MAY, R.M., *Helminth infections of humans: mathematical models, population dynamics, and control.*, Baker, J.R., Muller, R. (Eds.), Advances in Parasitology, vol. 24, pp. 1–101.

- [10] KIMBIR, A.R., *A mathematical model of the transmission dynamics of schistosomiasis*, J. Nigerian Math. Soc. 16/17, 39–63 (1997-1998).
- [11] WU, J., FENG, Z., *Mathematical models for schistosomiasis with delays and multiple definite hosts*, Castillo- Chavez, C., Blower, S., van der Driessche, P., Kirchner, D., Yakubu, A.-A. (Eds.), *Mathematical Approaches for Emerging and Reemerging Infectious Diseases: Models, Methods, and Theory*. Springer, New York, pp. 215–229 (2002).
- [12] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, S. LEELA AND A. A. MARTYNYUK, *Stability Analysis of Nonlinear Systems.*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, (1989).
- [13] M. VIDYASAGAR, *Decomposition techniques for large-scale systems with nonadditive interactions: Stability and stabilizability*, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **25** (1980) 773.
- [14] P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE AND J. WATMOUGH, *Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission*, *Mathematical Biosciences*, **180**: 29–48 .
- [15] O. DIEKMANN, J.A.P. HEESTERBEEK, J.A.J. METZ, *On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction  $\mathcal{R}_0$  in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations*, J. Math. Biol. 28, 365–382.
- [16] C. CASTILLO-CHAVEZ, Z. FENG AND W. HUANG, *On the computation of  $\mathcal{R}_0$  and its role on global stability*, *Theoretical And Applied Mechanics* ,**5** (2002) 1–22.
- [17] A. BERMAN AND R.J. PLEMMONS, *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences, Classics in Applied Mathematics*, vol. **9**, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1994, p. 340.
- [18] J.A. JACQUEZ AND C.P. SIMON, *Qualitative theory of compartmental systems*, *SIAM Rev.* 35 (1) (1993) 43.
- [19] O. DIEKMANN AND J.A.P. HEESTERBEEK, *Mathematical Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases: Model Building, Analysis and Interpretation*, first ed., Wiley, 2000.
- [20] F. BRAUER AND C. CASTILLO-CHAVEZ, *Mathematical models in population biology and epidemiology*, *Texts in Applied Mathematics Series*, **40**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [21] H.W. HETHCOTE, *The mathematics of infectious diseases*, *SIAM Rev.* 42, 4 (2000) 599–653.
- [22] J.K. HALE, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1969.
- [23] J.P. LASALLE, *The stability of Dynamical Systems.*, *Mathematical Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*, SIAM, Philadelphia., **1976**.

- 
- [24] V. CAPASSO, *Mathematical Structures of Epidemic Systems*,  
Lect. Notes in Biomath., vol. **97**, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [25] (MR1124979) Z. MA, J. LIU AND J. LI, *Stability analysis for differential infectivity epidemic models*,  
Non-linear Anal.: real world appl.4 (2003) 841–856.
- [26] (MR1301779) J. CARR, *Applications of Centre Manifold Theory*,  
Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [27] C. CASTILLO-CHAVEZ AND B. SONG, *Dynamical model of tuberculosis and their applications*,  
Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering. 1(2): 361–404.
- [28] C. BOWMAN, A.B. GUMEL, P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE, J. WU AND H. ZHU, *Mathematical model for assessing control strategies against West Nile virus*,  
Bulletin of Mathematical Biology. **67**: 1107–1133.
- [29] F. VERHULST, *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*,  
Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [30] HAL L. SMITH, *Systems of Ordinary Differential Equations Which Generate an Order Preserving Flow. A Survey of Results*,  
SIAM Review, Vol. 30, No. 1, Mar., 1988.
- [31] M W. HIRSH, *Stability and convergence in strongly monotone dynamical systems*,  
J. Reine Angew. Math., Vol. 383, 1988.
- [32] H W. HETHCOTE, H. R. THIEME, *Stability of the Endemic Equilibrium in Epidemic Models with Subpopulations*,  
Math. Biosci. 75 (1985) 205–277.
- [33] M. DIABY, M. SY, A. SENE AND A. IGGIDR, *Global Stability of schistosomiasis infection with spatial structure*, in progress.



**RESEARCH CENTRE  
NANCY – GRAND EST**

615 rue du Jardin Botanique  
CS20101  
54603 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex

Publisher  
Inria  
Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt  
BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex  
[inria.fr](http://inria.fr)

ISSN 0249-6399