



**HAL**  
open science

# The Refined Calculus of Inductive Construction: Parametricity and Abstraction

Chantal Keller, Marc Lasson

► **To cite this version:**

Chantal Keller, Marc Lasson. The Refined Calculus of Inductive Construction: Parametricity and Abstraction. LICS - 27th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science - 2012, Jun 2012, Dubrovnik, Croatia. hal-00757620

**HAL Id: hal-00757620**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-00757620>**

Submitted on 27 Nov 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The Refined Calculus of Inductive Construction: Parametricity and Abstraction

Chantal Keller

INRIA Saclay–Île-de-France at École Polytechnique  
Email: Chantal.Keller@inria.fr

Marc Lasson

ENS Lyon, Université de Lyon, LIP  
UMR 5668 CNRS ENS Lyon UCBL INRIA  
Email: marc.lasson@ens-lyon.org

**Abstract**—We present a refinement of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions in which one can easily define a notion of relational parametricity. It provides a new way to automate proofs in an interactive theorem prover like **Coq**.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC in short) extends the Calculus of Constructions with inductively defined types. It is the underlying formal language of the **Coq** interactive theorem prover [1].

In the original presentation, CIC had three kinds of sorts: the impredicative sort of propositions **Prop**, the impredicative sort of basic informative types **Set**, and the hierarchy of universes  $\text{Type}_0, \text{Type}_1, \dots$ . This presentation was not compatible with the possibility to add axioms in the system, since it could lead to inconsistencies [2]. Nowadays, there is no impredicative sort of basic informative types, and **Set** represents  $\text{Type}_0$ .

This does not fit well with one of the major original ideas about CIC: the possibility to perform program extraction. Indeed, since the current version of CIC does not separate informative types from non-informative types, extraction needs to normalize its type to guess whether it should be erased or not, and this makes it very uneasy to prove correct [3].

In this paper, we propose a refinement of CIC which reconciles extraction with the possibility to add axioms to the system:  $\text{CIC}_{\text{ref}}$ , the Refined Calculus of Inductive Constructions. The idea is to split the  $(\text{Type}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  hierarchy into two hierarchies  $(\text{Set}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\text{Type}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ , one for informative types and one for types without computational content.

This calculus allows us to extend the presentation of parametricity for Pure Types Systems introduced by Bernardy *et al.* [4] to the Calculus of Inductive Constructions. Parametricity is a concept introduced by Reynolds [5] to study the type abstraction of system F, and the *abstraction theorem* expresses the fact that polymorphic programs map related arguments to related results. In  $\text{CIC}_{\text{ref}}$ , we can define a notion of relational parametricity in which the relations' codomains is the **Prop** sort of propositions.

## II. $\text{CIC}_{\text{REF}}$ : THE REFINED CALCULUS OF INDUCTIVE CONSTRUCTIONS

The Refined Calculus of Inductive Constructions is a refinement of CIC where terms are generated by the same grammar

as CIC:

$$A, B, P, Q, F := x \mid s \mid \forall x : A. B \mid \lambda x : A. B \\ \mid (A B) \mid I \mid \text{case}_I(A, \vec{Q}, P, \vec{F}) \mid c \mid \text{fix}(x : A). B$$

where  $s$  ranges over the set  $\{\text{Prop}\} \cup \{\text{Set}_i, \text{Type}_{i+1} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of sorts and  $x$  ranges over the set of variables. We write  $\text{Ind}^p(I : A, \vec{c} : \vec{C}^k)$  to state that  $I$  is a well-formed inductive definition typed with  $p$  parameters, of arity  $A$ , with  $k$  constructors  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  of respective types  $C_1, \dots, C_k$ .

A context  $\Gamma$  is a list of pairs  $x : A$  and the typing rules are the rules of CIC (one can refer to [1] for the complete set of rules), except to type sorts and dependent products. As for CIC, typing fixpoints (for **fix**) and elimination rules (for **case**) is subject to restrictions to ensure coherence. We present only the rules which are specific to our type system. Here are the three typing rules to type sorts:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{Prop} : \text{Type}_1} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \text{Set}_i : \text{Type}_{i+1}} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \text{Type}_i : \text{Type}_{i+1}}$$

The following three typing rules tell which products are authorized in the system. The level of the product is the maximum level of the domain and the codomain:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : r_i \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s_j}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : A. B : s_{\max(i,j)}} \quad (r, s) \in \{\text{Type}, \text{Set}\}$$

Quantifying over propositions does not rise the level of the product:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \text{Prop} \quad \Gamma, h : A \vdash B : s_i}{\Gamma \vdash \forall h : A. B : s_i} \quad s \in \{\text{Type}, \text{Set}\}$$

And the sort **Prop** is impredicative, it means that products in **Prop** may be built by quantifying over objects whose types inhabit any sort:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : \text{Prop}}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : A. B : \text{Prop}} \quad s \in \{\text{Type}, \text{Set}, \text{Prop}\}$$

Finally, as in CIC, the system comes with subtyping rules based on the following inclusion of sorts (where  $i < j$ ):

$$\text{Prop} <: \text{Set}_1 \quad \text{Set}_i <: \text{Set}_j \quad \text{Type}_i <: \text{Type}_j$$

One should note that  $\text{CIC}_{\text{ref}}$  easily embeds into CIC by mapping any  $\text{Set}_i$  and  $\text{Type}_i$  onto the  $\text{Type}_i$  of CIC. The coherence of CIC thus implies the coherence of  $\text{CIC}_{\text{ref}}$ .

## III. PARAMETRICITY

We can define a notion of relational parametricity for  $\text{CIC}_{\text{ref}}$ .

