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#### Abstract

We consider cyclic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}, n$ being prime to $q$. For such a cyclic code $C$, we describe a system of algebraic equations, denoted by $\mathscr{I}_{C}(w)$, where $w$ is a positive integer. The system is constructed from Newton's identities, which are satisfied by the elementary symmetric functions and the (generalized) power sum symmetric functions of the locators of codewords of weight $w$. The main result is that, in a certain sense, the algebraic solutions of $\mathscr{I}_{C}(w)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with all the codewords of $C$ having weight lower than $w$. In the particular case where $w$ is the minimum distance of $C$, all minimum weight codewords are described by $\mathscr{I}_{C}(w)$. Because the system $\mathscr{S}_{C}(w)$ is very large, with many indeterminates, no great insight can be directly obtained, and specific tools are required in order to manipulate the algebraic systems. For this purpose, the theory of Gröbner bases can be used. A Gröbner basis of $\mathscr{\rho}_{C}(w)$ gives information about the minimum weight codewords. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study minimum weight codewords of cyclic codes, from a practical point of view. The problem is the following: given a cyclic code $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ( $n$ prime to $q$ ), how can one "find" the minimum codewords of $C$. The term "find" has to explained: minimum weight codewords will appear as solutions to algebraic systems, and "finding" minimum weight codewords is "finding" solutions to such an algebraic system. "Finding" will turn to the process of computing a Gröbner basis, for the lexicographical order.

In this first section we recall the usual definitions used in the theory of
cyclic codes, mainly the Mattson-Solomon (or the Fourier) transform. The definitions and notations will be kept as close as possible to those of [12]. Next, in the second section, we introduce the Newton identities and define an algebraic system, denoted $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$, constructed from these. The existence of solutions to this system is a necessary condition to the existence of codewords of weight $w$ in $C$. The main result is presented in Theorem 2.3, which correlates codewords and solutions to $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$.

In the paper [2], we used only the systems $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$ as a necessary condition. Establishing that there is no solution to this system is proving the nonexistence of codewords of weight less or equal than $w$ in $C$. Now we are able to use the converse: solutions to $\mathscr{\rho}_{C}(w)$ correspond to codewords of weight less than or equal to $w$. Whereas the equations were manipulated "by hand" in [1, 2] for finding contradiction in the system, Theorem 2.3 enables us to use a more complete algorithmic tool. We propose to use Gröbner bases for computing solutions to algebraic systems. Gröbner bases are convenient for the manipulation of algebraic systems and allow large systems of equations to be dealt with automatically. Basic definitions and results are recalled in the third section. Finally, some examples are presented to show the method at work.

### 1.1. Background

We denote by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ the finite field with $q$ elements, $q$ being a power of a prime number $p$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $n$ be an integer prime to $q$. A cyclic code $C$ of length $n$ is an ideal in the algebra $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}-1\right)$, a word $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ being identified with the polynomial $c_{0}+c_{1} X+\cdots+c_{n-1} X^{n-1}$. The weight of a word $c$ is the number of non-zero coordinates of $c$.

Let $\alpha$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity in the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}, \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ being the splitting field of $X^{n}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, i.e., $q^{\prime}=q^{m}$ where $m$ is the least positive integer such that $n \mid q^{m}-1$. The defining set of $C$, denoted $I(C)$, is

$$
I(C)=\left\{i \in[0, n-1] \mid \forall c \in C, c\left(\alpha^{i}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

The cyclotomic classes of $q$ modulo $n$ are the sets

$$
\mathrm{cl}(i)=\left\{i, q i, q^{2} i, \ldots\right\}, \quad i \in[0, n-1] .
$$

If $\alpha^{i}$ belongs to $I(C)$, so does $\alpha^{q i}$, and thus $I(C)$ is an union of cyclotomic classes. A cyclic code is completely defined by its defining set. Changing the primitive root $\alpha$ amounts to permuting the coordinates of codewords, thus obtaining an equivalent code. For a given primitive root $\alpha$, one can define the locators of a word:

Definition 1.1. Let $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ and let $w$ be the weight of $c$. The locators of $c$, denoted by $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{w}$, are

$$
\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{w}\right\}=\left\{\alpha^{j}, \text { for } j \text { such that } c_{j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

The locator polynomial of $c$ is the polynomial $\sigma(Z) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[Z]$, as below:

$$
\sigma(Z)=\prod_{i=1}^{w}\left(1-X_{i} Z\right) .
$$

We recall that the minimum distance of $C$ (the lowest weight of nonzero codewords in $C$ ) can be bounded from below by some useful bounds, e.g., the BCH bound, the Hartmann-Tseng bound or the Roos bound [11]. These bounds can be computed directly from the defining set of the code $C$.

### 1.2. Fourier Transform

The Fourier transform of a word $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is also called the MattsonSolomon polynomial of $c$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between words in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ and their Mattson-Solomon polynomials. For describing minimum weight codewords, we shall describe the coefficients of their MattsonSolomon polynomial. Algebraic properties of codewords are best seen in the coefficients of their Mattson-Solomon polynomial, instead of in codewords themselves.

Definition 1.2 [12, p. 239]. Let $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, and let $\alpha$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$. The Mattson-Solomon polynomial of $c$, denoted $A$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} Z^{n-i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}[Z], \quad \text { where } \forall i \in[1, n], A_{i}=c\left(\alpha^{i}\right) \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1 [12, p. 240]. Let $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ and $A(Z)$ the Mattson-Solomon polynomial of $a$. Then

$$
\forall i \in[0, n-1], \quad n c_{i}=A\left(\alpha^{i}\right)
$$

As a consequence, the correspondence $c(X) \mapsto A(Z)$ is one-to-one. We shall alternatively use $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ or ( $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ ), which is convenient since $A_{0}=A_{n}$. We also consider $A_{i+n}$, which is equal to $A_{i}$.

Proposition 1.1 [10, p. 218]. A polynomial $A(Z) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[Z]$ is the Matt-son-Solomon polynomial of a word $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ if and only if

$$
\forall i \in[1, n], \quad A_{i q \bmod n}=A_{i}^{q} .
$$

## 2. Codewords and Newton's Identities

### 2.1. The (Generalized) Newton Identities

Definition 2.1. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ be of weight $w$, and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{w}$ be the locators of $c$. The elementary symmetric functions of $c$, denoted $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$, $\ldots, \sigma_{w}$, are the elementary symmetric functions of the $X_{i}$ 's, that is,

$$
\forall i \in[1, w], \quad \sigma_{i}=(-1)^{i} \sum_{1 \leq j_{i}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{i} \leq w} X_{j_{1}} X_{j_{2}} \cdots X_{j_{i}} .
$$

The elementary symmetric functions of a codeword $c$ and the coefficients of the Mattson-Solomon polynomial of $c$ are related by the (generalized) Newton identities.

Theorem 2.1 [12]. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ be a word of weight $w, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ the coefficients of the Mattson-Solomon polynomial of $c$, and $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}$ the elementary symmetric functions of $c$. Then the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i \geq 0, \quad A_{i+w}+\sigma_{1} A_{i+w-1}+\cdots+\sigma_{w} A_{i}=0 \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each of these equations is homogeneous in the $X_{i}$ 's, the $X_{i}$ 's being the locators. We number these equations $e q_{w}, \ldots, e q_{i}, \ldots, e q_{i}$ being the equation homogeneous of degree $i$. The system (2.2.1) can be written using matrices

$$
C_{n, w}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{2.2.2}\\
\sigma_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\sigma_{w}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

where

$$
C_{n, w}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{w+1} & A_{w} & \ldots & A_{1} \\
\vdots & & & \\
A_{n+w} & A_{n+w-1} & \ldots & A_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

since the equation $e q_{n+i}$ is equal to the equation $e q_{i}$. An alternative form of the system (2.2.2) is the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}(Z) \sigma(Z)=0 \bmod \left(Z^{n}-1\right), \quad \text { where } \tilde{A}(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} Z^{i} . \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $C_{n, w}$ is related to the weight of $c$, as the following theorem ${ }^{1}$ indicates.

Theorem 2.2. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, and $A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be the coefficients of the Mattson-Solomon polynomial of $c$. Then the weight of $c$ equals the rank of the circulant matrix

$$
C_{c}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{0} & A_{n-1} & \ldots & A_{1} \\
A_{1} & A_{0} & \ldots & A_{2} \\
\vdots & & & \\
A_{n-1} & A_{n-2} & \ldots & A_{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In fact $C_{n, w}$ is the sub-matrix of $C_{c}$ which consists of the last $w+1$ columns of $C_{c}$.

### 2.2 The System $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$ and Its Solutions

In view of Proposition 1.1, and of the Newton identities, we define the following system of algebraic equations.

Definition 2.2. Let $C$ be a cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$ and let $I(C)$ be the defining set of $C$. We define the system $\mathscr{I}_{C}(w)$ as

[^0]In this system both $A_{i}$ 's and $\sigma_{i}$ 's are indeterminates. Thus the system defines an ideal in the ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right]$.

It is clear that existence of solutions to the system $\mathscr{L}_{C}(w)$ is a necessary condition to the existence of codewords of weight $w$. This was used in [2], where it was also established that the systems $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{BCH}(59)}$ (59) and $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{BCH}(61)}$ (61), in length 255 have no solutions. This completed a table of minimum distance of BCH codes presented in [12, p. 267]. Dealing with the converse, we answer the question: what is the meaning of the algebraic solutions to $\mathscr{S}_{C}(w)$, or more precisely, do the $\sigma_{i}$ 's that are algebraic solutions to $\mathscr{S}_{C}(w)$ define locator polynomials of codewords? It turns out that the important indeterminates are the $A_{i}$ 's, rather than the $\sigma_{i}$ 's.

We shall describe the $n$-tuples $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ which are solutions to $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$.

Definition 2.3. We say that $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ is a solution to $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$ if there exists $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ such that $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right)$ is a solution to $\mathscr{\rho}_{C}(w)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $C$ be a cyclic code of length $n$. The $n$-tuples $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ that are solutions to $\mathscr{S}_{C}(w)$ are the Fourier transform of the codewords of $C$ of weight less than or equal to $w$.

Proof. Let $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ be such a solution. The equations

$$
\left(\forall i \in[0, n-1], A_{q i \bmod n}=A_{i}^{q}\right)
$$

imply that $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of some word $c$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, using Proposition 1.1. The equations

$$
\left(\forall i \in I(C), A_{i}=0\right)
$$

imply that $c$ belongs to the code $C$.
One has to see that the weight $w_{0}$ of $c$ is less or equal to $w$. Since there exists a $w$-tuple $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{w}$ such that

$$
C_{n, w}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\sigma_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\sigma_{w}
\end{array}\right)=0,
$$

then, completing with 0 's,

$$
C_{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
1 \\
\sigma_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\sigma_{w}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

Since $C_{c}$ is a circulant matrix, we get

$$
C_{c}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \sigma_{1} \\
0 & 1 & & \vdots \\
1 & \sigma_{1} & & \sigma_{w} \\
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{1} & & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\sigma_{w} & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

This proves that all columns of the matrix $C_{c}$ are in the vector space generated by the $w$ last columns of $C_{c}$. Thus the rank of $C_{c}$ is smaller than or equal to $w$, and by Theorem 2.2, the weight of $c$ is smaller than or equal to $w$.

We now describe the $w$-tuples $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right)$ that are solutions to $\mathscr{L}_{C}(w)$.
Definition 2.4. For each solution $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ to $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$, let $\mathscr{F}_{\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)}$ be the space of the $w$-tuples $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right)$, associated to $\left(A_{0}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$, such that $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}, A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ is a solution to $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ be a solution to $\mathscr{S}_{C}(w)$, and $c$ be the codeword of weight $w_{0} \leq w$, given by the inverse Fourier transform of $\left(A_{0}\right.$, . . . , $\left.A_{n-1}\right)$. Let $\sigma_{c}(Z)$ be the locator polynomial of $c$. Then the set $\mathscr{F}_{\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)}$ associated to $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$, is

$$
\mathscr{F}^{\prime}:=\left\{\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{w} \mid \sigma_{c}(Z) \text { divides }\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{w} \sigma_{i} Z^{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof. It is clear that $\mathscr{F}_{\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)}$ is an affine space of dimension $w-w_{0}$, because the coefficients of $\sigma_{c}(Z)$ belong to $\mathscr{F}_{\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)}$, and the rank of $C_{n, w}$ is $w_{0}$. The dimension of the affine space $\mathscr{F}$ is $w-w_{0}$ and the coefficients of $\sigma_{c}(Z)$ belongs to $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$. Now let $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right) \in \mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ and let $\sigma(Z)$ be the polynomial $1+\sum_{i=1}^{w} \sigma_{i} Z^{i}$. Then $\sigma(Z)=p(Z) \sigma_{c}(Z)$ for some polynomial $p(Z)$ and

$$
A(Z) \sigma(Z)=A(Z) \sigma_{c}(Z) p(Z)=0 \quad \bmod Z^{n}-1
$$

since $\sigma_{c}(Z)$ satisfies the alternative form (2.2.3) of the Newton identities. Thus $\sigma(Z)$ also satisfied (2.2.3), and thus ( $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}$ ) belongs to $\mathscr{F}_{\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)}$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $C$ be a cyclic code of length $n$, of minimum distance d. The number of solutions to $\mathscr{S}_{C}(d)$ is finite. Each solution $\left(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of a minimum weight codeword. Each solution ( $\sigma_{1}$, . . . , $\sigma_{w}$ ) is the set of coefficients of the locator polynomial of a minimum weight codeword.

Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 completely describe the solutions of the system $\rho_{C}(d)$, in terms of codewords of $C$. The important consequence is the following. Given a cyclic code $C$ of length $n$, such that there exists no codewords of weight less than $w$ (from the BCH bound for instance), if there are solutions to $\mathscr{L}_{C}(w)$, then the minimum distance of $C$ is $w$. In that case the number of these solutions is equal to the number of minimum weight codewords of $C$. Furthermore, all the polynomials $1+\sum_{i=1}^{w} \sigma_{i} Z^{i}$ in which $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{w}\right)$ is a solution to $\mathscr{L}_{C}(w)$ are locator polynomials of minimum weight codewords.

To be able to use this correspondence between solutions of algebraic systems and words of cyclic codes, one must be able to deal efficiently with algebraic systems. The next section introduces Gröbner bases, which are a commonly used tool for studying these systems.

## 3. Gröbner Bases

The theory of Gröbner bases is well developed and quite useful. One can say that as soon as practical problems with algebraic systems are encountered, Gröbner bases come into play. We introduce here only the concepts and results needed for our purpose, without proofs. No new material will be found here about Gröbner bases. Rather complete books on the subject are [3] and [6], while in [8], a more practical point of view is adopted.

### 3.1. Definition

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. The number of zeros of a univariate polynomial $P$, counted with multiplicities, equals the degree of $P$, and the number of common solutions to the polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}$ equals the degree of the gcd of the $P_{i}$ 's. When multivariate polynomials are considered, $k[\bar{Y}]=k\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]$ is not a principal ideal domain. The notion of Gröbner bases can be seen as a generalization of gcd's of univariate polynomials. All the notions related to Gröbner bases depends on some ordering on $\mathbb{N}^{n}$. We shall make use of the lexicographical order on $\mathbb{N}^{n}$.

Definition 3.1. The lexicographical order on $\mathbb{N}^{n}$, denoted $\leq_{\text {lex }}$, is defined as

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \underset{\text { lex }}{\leq}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exists s \in[1, n],
$$

$$
\left(\forall i<s, a_{i}=b_{i}\right) \text { and }\left(a_{s}<b_{s}\right) .
$$

Definition 3.2. Let $f=\sum f_{a} \bar{Y}^{a}$. The leading monomial of $f$ is $\bar{Y}^{a}$, where $a$ is maximal (for $\leq_{\text {lex }}$ ) such that $f_{a} \neq 0$. The leading term of $f$, denoted $\exp (f)$, is the exponent of the leading monomial of $f$. The initial of $f$, denoted $\operatorname{in}(f)$, is $c_{\exp (f)} \bar{Y}^{\exp (f)}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let I be an ideal of $k[\bar{Y}]$. The set

$$
\{\exp (f), f \in I\}
$$

is denoted $E(I)$. There exists a finite set $S \subset \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(I)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in S}\left(\alpha+\mathbb{N}^{n}\right) \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ set $B \subset I$ of polynomials is $a$ Gröbner basis of I if the set of leading terms of the polynomials of B satisfy 3.3.1. A minimal Gröbner basis is a Gröbner basis of minimal cardinality.

Thus the set $E(I)$ of an ideal $I$ is the set of the leading terms of all polynomials in $I$. The previous theorem states that there exist a finite number of polynomials in $I$ such that their leading terms are a basis for $E(I)$.

### 3.2. Main Properties of Gröbner Bases

When a Gröbner basis $B$ of the ideal $I$ is known, many problems can be addressed using Hironaka's division. In the following theorem, we use only the "remainder" of the division, which I call the reduction map.

Proposition 3.1. Let I be an ideal of $k[\bar{Y}]$, and let $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$ be a Gröbner basis of I. There exists a reduction map $\mathscr{R}\left\{f_{1}, \ldots f_{s}\right\}: k[\bar{Y}] \rightarrow$ $k[\bar{Y}]$, such that

$$
\forall f \in k[\bar{Y}], \quad \exp (f \mathscr{R} I) \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \backslash E(I)
$$

This map is independent of the choice of the Gröbner basis.
Theorem 3.2. Let $B=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}$ be a Gröbner basis of $I$; we note $f \mathscr{R} I$ for $f \mathscr{R}\left\{f_{1} \cdots f_{s}\right\}$. Then $f \in I$ if and only if $f \mathscr{R} I=0$.

Hironaka's division also enables us to show that a Gröbner basis of $I$ is a set of generating polynomials of $I$ (see [6, 3])

Definition 3.3. Let $I$ be an ideal of $k[\bar{Y}]$. A Gröbner basis $\left(f_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $f_{s}$ ) of $I$ is said to be reduced if and only if:
(1) $\inf _{\mathrm{i}}=Y^{\exp f_{i}}, i \in[1, s]$,
(2) $f_{i} \mathscr{R}\left\{f_{1, \ldots}, \hat{f}_{i}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}=f_{i}$,
where $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, \hat{f}_{i}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$ denotes $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i-1}, f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$.
A reduced Gröbner basis can be seen as a Gröbner basis in which each polynomial is monic and is reduced modulo the ideal generated by the other ones.

Proposition 3.2. Two reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal I are equal, modulo a permutation of indices.

So we can talk about the Gröbner basis of the ideal $I$, meaning a reduced Gröbner basis of $I$. Knowing the Gröbner basis of $I$ is knowing the set $E(I)$ of exponents of $I$. Let $I$ be an ideal generated by $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}$ (not necessarily a Gröbner basis). Then the equations $g_{1}=\cdots=g_{l}=0$ define an algebraic system of equations.

Proposition 3.3. Let I be an ideal of $k[\bar{Y}]$ generated by $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$. If

$$
\operatorname{Card}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n} \backslash E(I)\right)<\infty,
$$

then the set $S$ of solutions to the system $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$ is finite, and the cardinality s of $S$ is such that

$$
s \leq \operatorname{Card}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n} \backslash E(I)\right)
$$

The number of solutions to the system $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$, counted with multiplicities, is exactly $\operatorname{Card}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n} \backslash E(I)\right)$.

Example 3.1. Let $k=\mathbb{F}_{3}$, and consider the ideal generated by the polynomials $x^{2}+y^{2}-1, x-y+1$. The Gröbner basis, for the lexicographical ordering $y>x$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-x-1, x^{2}+x \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $E(I)$ can be shown as:


Since there are a finite number of points, i.e., two, under $E(I)$, the system of equations (3.3.2) has two solutions.

Thus, given a system of equations $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$, a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I$ generated by the polynomials $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ gives us the knowledge of $E(I)$, and then we are able to know if the system has solutions and the number of solutions if it is finite.

As a final note, Gröbner bases can be computed. Buchberger's algorithm is the basic scheme for computing Gröbner bases. It is well known $[3,8]$ and, for instance, one can use the implementation provided by most computer algebra systems. However, for our purpose, the reader is warned that we had to use a more powerful tool, called Gb, designed by Jean-Charles Faugère [7].

## 4. Examples

Here we give some examples of Gröbner bases for the system $\mathscr{L}_{C}(w)$ for the minimum distance of some codes. Note that the number of variables for the system $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$, for a code of length $n$, is $n+w$. Before feeding Buchberger's algorithm with our system, we do the following manipulations:

- Choose one representative $i_{0}$ for the cyclotomic class $\operatorname{cl}\left(i_{0}\right)$. Then the $A_{i}$ 's, for $i$ belonging to $\mathrm{cl}\left(i_{0}\right)$, are replaced by the convenient power of $A_{i_{0}}$.
- Let the $A_{i}$ equal 0 for $i \in I(C)$ (that is, they do not appear in the system).
- Replace $A_{i+n}$ by $A_{i}$.

As an example, consider the cyclic code $C$ of length 7 over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, with
defining set $\{1\}$. The cyclotomic classes of 2 modulo 7 are $\{\{0\},\{1,2,4\},\{3$, $6,5\}\}$.

The system $\mathscr{f}_{C}(3)$ is transformed as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ A _ { 4 } + A _ { 3 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 2 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 1 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 5 } + A _ { 4 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 3 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 2 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 6 } + A _ { 5 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 4 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 3 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 7 } + A _ { 6 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 5 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 4 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 8 } + A _ { 7 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 6 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 5 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 9 } + A _ { 8 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 7 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 6 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 1 0 } + A _ { 9 } \sigma _ { 1 } + A _ { 8 } \sigma _ { 2 } + A _ { 7 } \sigma _ { 3 } = 0 } \\
{ A _ { 0 } ^ { 2 } = A _ { 0 } }
\end{array} \rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{r}
A_{3} \sigma_{1}=0 \\
A_{3}^{4}+A_{3} \sigma_{2}=0 \\
A_{3}^{2}+A_{3}^{4} \sigma_{1}+A_{3} \sigma_{3}=0 \\
A_{0}+A_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}+A_{3}^{4} \sigma_{2}=0 \\
A_{0} \sigma_{1}+A_{3}^{2} \sigma_{2}+A_{3}^{4} \sigma_{3}=0 \\
A_{0} \sigma_{2}+A_{3}^{2} \sigma_{3}=0 \\
A_{3}+A_{0} \sigma_{3}=0 \\
A_{0}^{2}=A_{0}
\end{array} .\right.\right.
$$

### 4.1. First Example

We consider the binary cyclic code $C$ of length 63 with defining set

$$
I(C)=\operatorname{cl}(1) \cup \operatorname{cl}(5) \cup \operatorname{cl}(7) \cup \operatorname{cl}(9) \cup \operatorname{cl}(11) \cup \operatorname{cl}(13) \cup \operatorname{cl}(23) \cup \operatorname{cl}(27) .
$$

The sequence $\{7,8,9,10,11\}$ belongs to $I(C)$, and thus the minimum distance of $C$ is greater than or equal to 6 . The minimal Gröbner basis for $S_{C}(6)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sigma_{6}+A_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{5}, \sigma_{4}, \sigma_{3}+A_{3}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}, A_{31}, A_{21}+A_{3}^{7}, A_{15}+A_{3}^{5}, A_{3}^{21}+1, A_{0}\right] \tag{4.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Gröbner basis does not reduce to $\{1\}$, the system has solutions. Thus there are codewords of weight 6 in $C$, and the minimal distance of $C$ is 6 . There are 21 solutions, so there are 21 minimum weight codewords. All these codewords belongs to the subcode with defining set $I(C) \cup\{0,31\}$ (Note that the fact $A_{0}=0$, which is obvious because the weight is even, has been retrieved by the computation of the Gröbner basis).

All the coefficients of the Mattson-Solomon polynomial of minimum weight codewords can be expressed in terms of $A_{3}$, which satisfies $A_{3}^{21}=1$. The locator polynomials are of the form

$$
\sigma_{c}(Z)=A_{3}^{2} Z^{6}+A_{3} Z^{3}+1 .
$$

Since $A_{3}^{21}=1$, one can write $A_{21}=\gamma^{3}$, for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{64}^{\star}$. Thus $\sigma_{c}(Z)=Y^{2}+Y+1$, where $Y=(\gamma Z)^{3}$. The polynomial $Y^{2}+Y+1$ has two roots, namely $\alpha^{21}$ and $\alpha^{42}$, and the locators of the minimum weight codewords are

$$
\left\{\alpha^{7} / \gamma, \alpha^{28} / \gamma, \alpha^{56} / \gamma, \alpha^{14} / \gamma, \alpha^{49} / \gamma, \alpha^{35} / \gamma\right\}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{64}^{\star} .
$$

Letting $A_{3}$ equal 1 (that is, $\gamma=1$ ), we get a codeword such that $A_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$, $i \in[0,62]$. This codeword is an idempotent, which admits 21 conjugates by cyclic shift, which are all the minimum weight codewords.

### 4.2. A Dual of a BCH Code

We consider the dual of the BCH code of length 63 and designed distance 7. By the BCH bound it can be seen that minimum distance is bounded from below by 16 . Computing a Gröbner basis for $\mathscr{L}_{C}(16)$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\sigma_{16}+A_{15}^{20} A_{31}+A_{15}^{19} A_{23}^{2}, \sigma_{15}+A_{15}, \sigma_{14}+A_{15}^{12} A_{23}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{10}, \sigma_{8}\right.} \\
& \left.\quad+A_{15}^{20} A_{23}, \sigma_{6}, \sigma_{4}, \sigma_{2}, A_{31}^{3}+A_{15}^{20} A_{23}^{2} A_{31}^{2}+A_{15}^{2}, A_{23}^{3}+A_{15}^{13}, A_{15}^{21}+1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are $189=3 \times 3 \times 21$ solutions and thus 189 minimum weight codewords. These codewords do not belong to any proper cyclic subcode, a fact which can be seen by checking that each of the equations $A_{15}=0$, $A_{23}=0$, or $A_{31}=0$ is impossible for a minimum weight codeword. There are no minimum weight idempotents: an idempotent should satisfy $A_{15}=1$, which implies $A_{23}=1$ and $A_{31}^{3}+A_{31}^{2}+1=0$, which is impossible in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

### 4.3. A Quadratic Residue Code

Let $C$ be the quadratic residue code of length 31 (it is the cyclic code with defining set $\{1,5,7\}$ ). The minimum distance is 7 , and the Gröbner basis for $\mathscr{L}_{C}(7)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\sigma_{7}+A_{11}^{4} A_{3}^{29}+A_{11}^{2} A_{3}^{26}, \sigma_{6}+A_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{5}+A_{11} A_{3}^{29}, \sigma_{4}+A_{11}^{4} A_{3}^{28}+A_{11}^{2} A_{3}^{25}, \sigma_{3}\right.} \\
& \quad+A_{3}, \sigma_{2}+A_{11} A_{3}^{28}, \sigma_{1}, A_{15}+A_{11}^{3} A_{3}^{25}+A_{3}^{5}, A_{11}^{5}+A_{11}^{4} A_{3}^{14}+A_{11}^{2} A_{3}^{11} \\
& \left.\quad+A_{11} A_{3}^{25}+A_{3}^{8}, A_{3}^{31}+1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are 155 solutions and thus 155 minimum weight codewords. They do not belong to any cyclic subcode and cannot be idempotent.

The subcode of $C$ with even weight, i.e., the cyclic code $C_{e}$ with defining set $\{1,5,7,0\}$, has minimum distance 8 . A Gröbner basis for $\mathscr{S}_{C_{e}}(8)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[ } \sigma_{8}+A_{11}^{14} A_{3}^{3}+A_{11}^{12}+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{14}+A_{11}^{9} A_{3}^{11}+A_{11}^{6} A_{3}^{22}+A_{11}^{5} A_{3}^{5}, \sigma_{7}+A_{11}^{14} A_{3}^{13} \\
& \quad+A_{11}^{13} A_{3}^{27}+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{24}+A_{11}^{8} A_{3}^{4}+A_{11}^{7} A_{3}^{18}+A_{11}^{3} A_{3}^{12}+A_{3}^{23}, \sigma_{6}+A_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{5} \\
& \quad+A_{11}^{14} A_{3}^{2}+A_{11}^{12} A_{3}^{30}+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{13}+A_{11}^{9} A_{3}^{10}+A_{11}^{6} A_{3}^{21}+A_{11}^{5} A_{3}^{4}+A_{11}^{29} A_{3}^{29}, \sigma_{4} \\
& \quad+A_{11}^{14} A_{3}^{12}+A_{11}^{13} A_{3}^{26}+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{23}+A_{11}^{8} A_{3}^{3}+A_{11}^{7} A_{3}^{17}+A_{11}^{3} A_{3}^{22}, \sigma_{3}+A_{3}, \sigma_{2} \\
& \quad+A_{11}^{14} A_{3}+A_{11}^{12} A_{3}^{29}+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{12}+A_{11}^{9} A_{3}^{9}+A_{11}^{6} A_{3}^{20}+A_{11}^{5} A_{3}^{3} \\
& \quad+A_{11} A_{3}^{28}, \sigma_{1}, A_{15}+A_{11}^{13} A_{3}^{9}+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{23}+A_{11}^{10} A_{3}^{20}+A_{11}^{9} A_{3}^{3}+A_{11}^{8} A_{3}^{17}+A_{11}^{7} \\
& \quad+A_{11}^{6} A_{3}^{14}+A_{11}^{5} A_{3}^{28}+A_{11}^{4} A_{3}^{11}+A_{11}^{22} A_{3}^{22}, A_{11}^{15}+A_{11}^{14} A_{3}^{14}+A_{11}^{12} A_{3}^{11} \\
& \quad+A_{11}^{11} A_{3}^{25}+A_{11}^{10} A_{3}^{8}+A_{11}^{8} A_{3}^{5}+A_{11}^{6} A_{3}^{2}+A_{11}^{4} A_{3}^{30}+A_{11}^{3} A_{3}^{13}+A_{11}^{2} A_{3}^{27} \\
&\left.\quad+A_{3}^{24}, A_{3}^{31}+1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are $465=31 \times 15$ solutions. The minimal weight codewords do not belong to a cyclic subcode and are not idempotent. This code has been studied in [5], where the complete distribution of the weight has been established. For the minimum weight codewords, the results are the same.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

We have transformed a problem from coding theory (finding codewords in a cyclic code) into a purely algebraic one (finding solutions to an algebraic system). The most interesting case is when $w$ is the minimum distance of $C$. We want to emphasize that the Gröbner basis contains much information about minimum weight codewords. The main drawback of this method is the high complexity of Buchberger's algorithm. It is stated to be $d^{O\left(m^{2}\right)}$ [9], where $d$ is the maximum degree of the generators of the ideal, and $m$ the number of variables, and $d^{O(m)}$ for a particular family of systems. Fortunately, it appears that the systems $\mathscr{f}_{C}(w)$ are solved at a cost much lower than this theoretical complexity. Yet the general Buchberger algorithm can be modified in many ways, by choosing different strategies, and a "good" strategy has to be found for the very particular systems $\mathscr{L}_{C}(w)$ for our method to be practical for longer codes.
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