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#### Abstract

Let $X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}$ denote the Segre-Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ via the sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1, d)$. We study the dimensions of higher secant varieties of $X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}$ and we prove that there is no defective $s^{t h}$ secant variety, except possibly for $n$ values of $s$. Moreover when $\binom{m+d}{d}$ is a multiple of $(m+n+1)$, the $s^{t h}$ secant variety of $X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}$ has the expected dimension for every $s$.


## Introduction

The $s^{\text {th }}$ higher secant variety of a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is defined to be the Zariski closure of the union of the span of $s$ points of $X$ (see Definition 1.1). We will denote it with $\sigma_{s}(X)$.

Secant varieties have been intensively studied (see for example [AH], [BCS], $[\mathbf{G r}],[\mathbf{L i}],[\mathbf{S t}],[\mathbf{Z a}])$. One of the first problems of interest is the computation of their dimensions. In fact, there is an expected dimension for $\sigma_{s}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$, that is, the minimum between $N$ and $s(\operatorname{dim} X)+s-1$. There are well known examples where that dimension is not attained. For example, the variety of secant lines to the Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$. A variety $X$ is said to be $(s-1)$-defective if there exists an integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the dimension of $\sigma_{s}(X)$ is less than the expected value. We would like to notice that only for Veronese varieties a complete list of all defective cases is given. This description is obtained using a result by J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz $[\mathbf{A H}]$ recently reproposed with a shorter proof in $[\mathbf{B O}]$.

The interest around these varieties has been recently revived from many different areas of mathematics and applications when $X$ is a variety parameterizing certain kind of tensors (for example Electrical Engineering; Antenna Array Processing $[\mathbf{A C C F}],[\mathbf{D M}]$ and Telecommunications $[\mathbf{C h}],[\mathbf{d L C}] ;$ Statistics , cumulant tensors [McC]; Data Analysis; Independent Component Analysis [Co1], [JS]; for other applications see also [Co2], [CR], [dLMV], [SBG] [GVL]).

Segre varieties parameterize completely decomposable tensors (i.e., projective classes of tensors in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{t}\right)$ that can be written as $v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{t}$, with $v_{i} \in V_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ vector spaces for $\left.i=1, \ldots, t\right)$. The $s^{t h}$ higher secant variety of a Segre variety is therefore the closure of the sets of tensors that can be written as a linear combination of $s$ completely decomposable tensors. In particular, the higher secant varieties of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ are well known as they parameterize matrices of bounded rank (e.g., see $[\mathbf{H r}]$ ).

A Segre-Veronese variety can be described in two ways. Either as the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{t}}$ with the sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t}\right)$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, for certain $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t} \in \mathbb{N}$, with $N=\Pi_{i=1}^{t}\binom{n_{i}+d_{i}}{d}-1$, or as a section of a Segre variety. Consider
the Segre variety that naturally lives in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}^{\otimes d_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{t}^{\otimes d_{t}}\right)$ with $V_{i}$ vector spaces of dimensions $n_{i}+1$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. Then the Segre-Veronese variety is obtained intersecting that Segre variety with the projective subspaces $\mathbb{P}\left(S^{d_{1}} V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{d_{t}} V_{t}\right)$ of projective classes of partially symmetric tensors (where $S^{d_{i}} V_{i} \subset V_{i}^{\otimes d_{i}}$ is the subspace of completely symmetric tensors of $V_{i}^{\otimes d_{i}}$ ).

These two different ways of describing Segre-Veronese varieties allow us to translate problems about partially symmetric tensors into problems on forms of multidegree $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t}\right)$ and viceversa. People working in Applied Mathematics are interested in many kind of tensors that they call "structured tensors", which means tensors with a well defined structure. Partially symmetric tensors turns out to be useful in the Antenna Array processing. In this problem, one needs to give a precise localization of different antennas sets (whose positions are unknown) by using signals coming from receivers whose locations are known.

In this paper we will describe the $s^{t h}$ higher secant varieties of the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N}\left(N=(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-1\right)$, by the sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1, d)$, for almost all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Theorem 2.3). We will follow the description of Segre-Veronese variety as the variety parameterizing forms of certain multi-degree.

One of the first instances of the study of the two factors Segre-Veronese varieties is the one of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ embedded in bi-degree $(1,3)$ and appears in a paper by London $[\mathbf{L o}]$, for a more recent approach see $[\mathbf{D F}]$ and $[\mathbf{C a C h}]$. A first generalization for $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ embedded in bi-degree $(1, d)$ is treated in $[\mathbf{D F}]$. The general case for $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ embedded in any bi-degree $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ is done in $[\mathbf{B D}]$. In $[\mathbf{C h C i}]$ the case $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ embedded in bi-degree $(d, 1)$ is treated.

In $[\mathbf{C a C h}]$ one can find the defective cases $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ embedded in bi-degree $(1,2)$, $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{4}$ embedded in bi-degree $(1,2)$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{5}$ embedded in bi-degree $(1,2)$.

The paper $[\mathbf{C G G}]$ studies also the cases $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ with bi-degree $(n+1,1) ; \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with bi-degree $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with bi-degree $(2,2)$.

In $[\mathbf{A b}]$ the cases $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ in bi-degree $(2 d+1,2), \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ in bi-degree $(2 d, 2)$, and $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ in bi-degree $(d, 3)$ can be found. A recent result on $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ in bi-degree $(1,2)$ is in $[\mathbf{A B}]$, where the authors prove the existence of two functions $\underline{s}(n, m)$ and $\bar{s}(n, m)$ such that $\sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1,2)}^{(n, m)}\right)$ has the expected dimension for $s \leq \underline{s}(n, m)$ and for $s \geq \bar{s}(n, m)$. In the same paper it is also shown that $X_{(1,2)}^{(1, m)}$ is never defective and all the defective cases for $X_{(1,2)}^{(2, m)}$ are described.

The varieties $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ embedded in bi-degree $(1, d)$ are related to the study of Grassmann defectivity ([DF]). More precisely, one can consider the Veronese variety $X$ obtained by embedding $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ using the $d$-uple Veronese embedding $\left(N=\binom{m+d}{d}\right)$. Then consider, in $\mathbb{G}(n, N)$, the ( $\left.n, s-1\right)$-Grassmann secant variety of $X$, that is, the closure of the set of $n$-dimensional linear spaces contained in the linear span of $s$ linearly independent points of $X$. The variety $X$ is said to be $(n, s-1)$-Grassmann defective if the ( $n, s-1$ )-Grassmann secant variety of $X$ does not have the expected dimension. It is shown in [DF], following Terracini's ideas in [Te1], that $X$ is $(n, s-1)$-Grassmann defective if and only if the $s^{\text {th }}$ higher secant varieties of the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N}\left(N=(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-1\right)$, by the sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1, d)$, is $(s-1)$-defective. Hence, the result proved in this paper gives information about the Grassmann defectivity of Veronese varieties (see Remark 2.5).

In Proposition 2.1 we prove the non-defectivity of the Hilbert function of a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ made of a $d$-uple $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t$ projective subspaces of dimension $n$ containing it, a simple $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ and a number of double points that is an integer multiple of $n-1$. This result, together with Theorem 1.1 in [CGG] (see Theorem 1.4 in this paper), gives immediately the regularity of the higher secant varieties of the Segre-Veronese variety that we are looking for.

More precisely, we consider (see Section 2) the case of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ embedded in bidegree $(1, d)$ for $d \geq 3$. Our main result is Theorem 2.3 where we prove that the $s^{t h}$ higher secant variety of such Segre-Veronese varieties has the expected dimension for $s \leq s_{1}$ and for $s \geq s_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\max \left\{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \text { is a multiple of }(n+1) \text { and } s \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}}{m+n+1}\right]\right\} \\
& s_{2}=\min \left\{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \text { is a multiple of }(n+1) \text { and } s \geq\left\lceil\left.\frac{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}}{m+n+1} \right\rvert\,\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Preliminaries and Notation

We will always work with projective spaces defined over an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic 0 . Let us recall the notion of higher secant varieties and some classical results which we will often use. For definitions and proofs we refer the reader to [CGG] .
Definition 1.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a projective variety. We define the $s^{\text {th }}$ higher secant variety of $X$, denoted by $\sigma_{s}(X)$, as the Zariski closure of the union of all linear spaces spanned by $s$ points of $X$, i.e.:

$$
\sigma_{s}(X):=\overline{\bigcup_{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s} \in X}\left\langle P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\rangle} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}
$$

When $\sigma_{s}(X)$ does not have the expected dimension, that is $\min \{N, s(\operatorname{dim} X+$ 1) -1$\}, X$ is said to be $(s-1)$-defective, and the positive integer

$$
\delta_{s-1}(X)=\min \{N, s(\operatorname{dim} X+1)-1\}-\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{s}(X)
$$

is called the $(s-1)$-defect of $X$.
The basic tool to compute the dimension of $\sigma_{s}(X)$ is Terracini's Lemma ([ $\left.\left.\mathbf{T e}\right]\right)$ :
Lemma 1.2 (Terracini's Lemma). Let $X$ be an irreducible variety in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$ be s generic points on $X$. Then the tangent space to $\sigma_{s}(X)$ at a generic point in $\left\langle P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\rangle$ is the linear span in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ of the tangent spaces $T_{X, P_{i}}$ to $X$ at $P_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$, hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{s}(X)=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle T_{X, P_{1}}, \ldots, T_{X, P_{s}}\right\rangle
$$

A consequence of Terracini's Lemma is the following corollary (see [CGG, Section 1] or [AB, Section 2] for a proof of it).
Corollary 1.3. Let $X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the Segre-Veronese variety image of the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ by the sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1, d)$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, with $N=$ $(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}\right)=N-\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{Z}\right)_{(1, d)}=H(Z,(1, d))-1
$$

where $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ is a set of s generic double points, $I_{Z}$ is the multihomogeneous ideal of $Z$ in $R=K\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]$, the multigraded coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$, and $H(Z,(1, d))$ is the multigraded Hilbert function of $Z$.

Now we recall the fundamental tool which allows us to convert certain questions about ideals of varieties in multiprojective space to questions about ideals in standard polynomial rings (for a more general statement see [CGG, Theorem 1.1])

Theorem 1.4. Let $X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ and $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ as in Corollary 1.3. Let $H_{1}, H_{2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be generic projective linear spaces of dimensions $n-1$ and $m-1$, respectively, and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s} \in \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be generic points. Denote by

$$
d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{s} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m}
$$

the scheme defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{H_{1}}^{d} \cap \mathcal{I}_{H_{2}} \cap \mathcal{I}_{P_{1}}^{2} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{I}_{P_{s}}^{2} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+m}}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{Z}\right)_{(1, d)}=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}}\right)_{d+1}
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}\right)=N-\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}}\right)_{d+1}
$$

Since we will make use of Castelnuovo's inequality several times, we recall it here (for notation and proof we refer to [AH2], Section 2).

Lemma 1.5 (Castelnuovo's inequality). Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a hyperplane, and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a scheme. We denote by $\operatorname{Res}_{H} X$ the scheme defined by the ideal $\left(I_{X}: I_{H}\right)$ and we call it the residual scheme of $X$ with respect to $H$, while the scheme $\operatorname{Tr}_{H} X \subset H$ is the schematic intersection $X \cap H$, called the trace of $X$ on $H$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{X, \mathbb{P}^{N}}\right)_{t} \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\operatorname{Res}_{H} X, \mathbb{P}^{N}}\right)_{t-1}+\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{T r_{H} X, H}\right)_{t} .
$$

## 2. Segre-Veronese embeddings of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$

First we prove a relevant fact about subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$. Using this result and Theorem 1.4 we will obtain our main theorem.

Proposition 2.1. Let $d \geq 3, n, m \geq 1$ and let $s=(n+1) q$ be an integer multiple of $n+1$. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s} \in \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be generic points and let $H_{1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, H_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ be generic linear spaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$. Let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{t} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be $t$ generic linear spaces of dimension $n$ containing $H_{1}$. Now consider the scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{X}:=d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}+W_{1}+\cdots+W_{t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $q, t \in \mathbb{N}$ the dimension of the degree $d+1$ piece of the ideal $I_{\mathbb{X}}$ is the expected one, that is

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}=\max \left\{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-s(n+m+1)-t(n+1) ; 0\right\}
$$

Proof. We will prove the proposition by induction on $n$.
A hypersurface defined by a form of $\left(I_{d H_{1}}\right)_{d+1}$ cuts on $W_{i} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ a hypersurface which has $H_{1}$ as a fixed component of multiplicity $d$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{d H_{1}, W_{i}}\right)_{d+1}=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\emptyset, W_{i}}\right)_{1}=n+1 .
$$

Hence the expected number of conditions that a linear space $W_{i}$ imposes to the forms of $\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}$ is at most $n+1$. Moreover a double point imposes at most $n+m+1$ conditions. So, since by Theorem 1.4 with $Z=\emptyset$ we get

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{d H_{1}+H_{2}}\right)_{d+1}=\operatorname{dim} R_{(1, d)}=(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}
$$

(where $R=K\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1} \geq(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-s(n+m+1)-t(n+1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be a generic hyperplane containing $H_{2}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}$ be the scheme obtained from $\mathbb{X}$ by specializing the $n q$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n q}$ on $H,\left(P_{n q+1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right.$ remain generic points, not lying on $H$ ).

Since by the semicontinuity of the Hilbert Function $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}}\right)_{d+1} \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}$, by (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}}\right)_{d+1} \geq(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-s(n+m+1)-t(n+1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V_{i}=\left\langle H_{1}, P_{i}\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n}$. Since the linear spaces $V_{i}$ are in the base locus of the hypersurfaces defined by the forms of $\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}}\right)_{d+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}}\right)_{d+1}=\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}}\right)_{d+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the residual scheme of $\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)$ with respect to $H: \operatorname{Res}_{H}(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+$ $\left.V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =d H_{1}+W_{1}+\cdots+W_{t}+P_{1}+\cdots+P_{n q}+2 P_{n q+1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s} \\
& \quad=d H_{1}+W_{1}+\cdots+W_{t}+2 P_{n q+1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any form of degree $d$ in $I_{\operatorname{Res}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)}$ represents a cone whose vertex contains $H_{1}$. Hence if $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^{m}$ is the scheme obtained by projecting $\operatorname{Res}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)$ from $H_{1}$ in a $\mathbb{P}^{m}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\operatorname{Res}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)}\right)_{d}=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{Y}}\right)_{d} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the image by this projection of each $W_{i}$ is a point, and for $1 \leq i \leq n q$ the image of $P_{i}+V_{i}$ is a simple point, and for $n q+1 \leq i \leq s$ the image of $2 P_{i}+V_{i}$ is a double point, we have that $\mathbb{Y}$ is a scheme consisting of $t+n q$ generic points and $q$ generic double points.

Now by the Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem (see [AH]), since $d>2$ and $t+n q>$ 1 we have that the dimension of the degree $d$ part of the ideal of $q$ double points plus $t+n q$ simple points is always as expected. So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{Y}}\right)_{d}=\max \left\{\binom{m+d}{d}-q(m+1)-t-n q ; 0\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $n=1$. In this case we have: $s=2 q$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\operatorname{Res}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)}\right)_{d}  \tag{7}\\
=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{Y}}\right)_{d}=\max \left\{\binom{m+d}{d}-q(m+1)-t-q ; 0\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

moreover $H_{1}$ is a point, $H_{1} \cap H$ is the empty set, the $W_{i}$ and the $V_{i}$ are lines, and $V_{i}$ is the line spanned by the points $H_{1}$ and $P_{i}$.

Set $W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i} \cap H, V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i} \cap H$. Note that for $1 \leq i \leq q$ we have $V_{i}^{\prime}=P_{i}$. The trace on $H$ of $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right) \\
=H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{q}+W_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+W_{t}^{\prime}+V_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+V_{2 q}^{\prime} \\
=H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{q}+W_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+W_{t}^{\prime}+V_{q+1}^{\prime}+\cdots+V_{2 q}^{\prime} \subset H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right) \subset H$ is a scheme in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ union of $H_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$, plus $q$ generic double points and $t+q$ generic simple points. As above, by [AH], since $d>2$ and $t+q \geq 1$ we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{T r_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)}\right)_{d+1}=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\left.2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{q}+W_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+W_{t}^{\prime}+V_{q+1}^{\prime}+\cdots+V_{2 q}^{\prime}\right)_{d}}=\max \left\{\binom{m+d}{d}-q(m+1)-t-q ; 0\right\}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

By Castelnuovo's inequality (see Lemma 1.5), (7) and (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}}\right)_{d+1} \leq \max \left\{2\binom{m+d}{d}-2 q(m+1)-2 t-2 q ; 0\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by (3), (4) and (9) we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}}\right)_{d+1}=\max \left\{2\binom{m+d}{d}-2 q(m+2)-2 t ; 0\right\}
$$

From here, by (2) and by the semicontinuity of the Hilbert Function we get

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}=\max \left\{2\binom{m+d}{d}-2 q(m+2)-2 t ; 0\right\}
$$

and the result is proved for $n=1$.
Let $n>1$.
Set: $H_{1}^{\prime}=H_{1} \cap H ; W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i} \cap H ; V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i} \cap H$. With this notation the trace of $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}$ on $H$ is:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)=
$$

$=d H_{1}^{\prime}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{n q}+W_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+W_{t}^{\prime}+V_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+V_{s}^{\prime} \subset H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n+m-1}$.
Analogously as above, observe that the linear spaces $V_{i}^{\prime}=\left\langle H_{1}^{\prime}, P_{i}\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ are in the base locus for the hypersurfaces defined by the forms of $\left(I_{d H_{1}^{\prime}+2 P_{i}}\right)_{d+1}$, hence the parts of degree $d+1$ of the ideals of $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)$ and of $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+$ $\left.V_{n q+1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)$ are equal. So we have

$$
\left(I_{T r_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)}\right)_{d+1}=\left(I_{T r_{H}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}+V_{n q+1}+\cdots+V_{s}\right)}\right)_{d+1}=\left(I_{\mathbb{T}}\right)_{d+1},
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{T}=d H_{1}^{\prime}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{n q}+W_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+W_{t}^{\prime}+V_{n q+1}^{\prime}+\cdots+V_{s}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m-1}
$$

that is, $\mathbb{T}$ is union of the $d$-uple linear space $H_{1}^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$, the linear space $H_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$, $t+q$ generic linear spaces through $H_{1}^{\prime}$, and $n q$ double points. Hence by the inductive hypothesis we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{T}}\right)_{d+1}=\max \left\{n\binom{m+d}{d}-n q(n+m)-(t+q) n ; 0\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4), by Lemma 1.5, by (5), (6) and (10) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}}\right)_{d+1} \leq \max \left\{\binom{m+d}{d}-q(m+1)-t-n q ; 0\right\}+ \\
& \quad+\max \left\{n\binom{m+d}{d}-n q(n+m)-(t+q) n ; 0\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-s(n+m+1)-t(n+1) ; 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the conclusion follows from (2) and the semicontinuity of the Hilbert Function and this ends the proof.

Corollary 2.2. Let $d \geq 3, n, m \geq 1$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}:=\max \left\{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \text { is a multiple of }(n+1) \text { and } s \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}}{m+n+1}\right\rfloor\right\} \\
& s_{2}:=\min \left\{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \text { is a multiple of }(n+1) \text { and } s \geq\left\lceil\frac{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}}{m+n+1}\right\rceil\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s} \in \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be generic points and $H_{1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, H_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ be generic linear spaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$. Consider the scheme

$$
\mathbb{X}:=d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}
$$

Then for any $s \leq s_{1}$ and any $s \geq s_{2}$ the dimension of $\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}$ is the expected one, that is

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-s(n+m+1) & \text { for } s \leq s_{1} \\
0 & \text { for } s \geq s_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. By applying Proposition 2.1, with $t=0$, to the scheme $\mathbb{X}=d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+$ $\cdots+2 P_{s}$, we get that the dimension of $I(\mathbb{X})_{d+1}$ is the expected one for $s=(n+1) q$ and for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence if $s_{1}$ is the biggest integer multiple of $n+1$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1} \neq 0$ we get that for that value of $s$ the Hilbert function $H\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}, d+1\right)$ has the expected value. Now if for such $s_{1}$ we have that $\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}$ has the expected dimension than it has the expected dimension also for every $s \leq s_{1}$.

Now, if $s_{2}$ is the smallest integer multiple of $n+1$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}=0$ then obviously such a dimension will be zero for all $s \geq s_{2}$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $d \geq 3, n, m \geq 1, N=(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}-1$ and let $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be as in Corollary 2.2.

Then the variety $\sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ has the expected dimension for any $s \leq s_{1}$ and any $s \geq s_{2}$, that is

$$
\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
s(n+m+1)-1 & \text { for } s \leq s_{1} \\
N & \text { for } s \geq s_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $H_{1}, H_{2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{m+n}$ be projective subspaces of dimensions $n-1$ and $m-1$ respectively and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s} \in \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ be $s$ generic points of $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$. Define $\mathbb{X} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{m+n}$ to be the scheme $\mathbb{X}:=d H_{1}+H_{2}+2 P_{1}+\cdots+2 P_{s}$. Theorem 1.1 in [CGG] shows that $\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}\right)$ is the expected one if and only if $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{\mathbb{X}}\right)_{d+1}$ is the
expected one. Therefore the conclusion immediately follows from Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 2.2.
Remark 2.4. If $\binom{m+d}{d}$ is multiple of $(m+n+1)$, say $\binom{m+d}{d}=h(m+n+1)$, we get

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}}{m+n+1}\right\rfloor=\left\lceil\frac{(n+1)\binom{m+d}{d}}{m+n+1}\right\rceil=h(n+1)
$$

so $s_{1}=s_{2}$. Hence in this case the variety $\sigma_{s}\left(X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}\right)$ has the expected dimension for any $s$.

If $\binom{m+d}{d}$ is not multiple of $(m+n+1)$, it is easy to show that $s_{2}-s_{1}=n$. Thus there are at most $n$ values of $s$ for which the $s^{t h}$ higher secant varieties of $X_{(1, d)}^{(n, m)}$ can be defective.

Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.3 has a straightforward interpretation in terms of Grassmann defectivity. More precisely, we see that the $d$-uple Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ is not $(n, s-1)$-Grassmann defective when $s \leq s_{1}$ or $s \geq s_{2}$.
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